**Catalysis
Science & Technolog** Technology (SS)

Cite this: Catal. Sci. Technol., 2021, 11, 2497

Received 14th December 2020, Accepted 21st January 2021

DOI: 10.1039/d0cy02390f

rsc.li/catalysis

A combined experimental and computational study to decipher complexity in the asymmetric hydrogenation of imines with Ru catalysts bearing atropisomerizable ligands†

Félix León, \ddagger ^a Aleix Comas-Vives, \mathbf{D}^{b} Eleuterio Álvarez \mathbf{D}^{a} and Antonio Pizzano $\mathbf{D}^{\star\mathsf{a}}$

RuCl2(P–OP)(N–N) complexes (1) containing an atropisomerizable phosphine–phosphite (P–OP) and a chiral C_2 symmetric diamine (N–N) are readily prepared as trans isomers by successive addition of P–OP and N–N ligands to RuCl₂(PPh₃)₃. For these complexes, fast atropisomerization of the biaryl fragment at room temperature has been observed. Compound trans-1a cleanly isomerizes into a mixture of cis isomers in EtOH upon heating. DFT calculations reproduce accurately the ratio of isomers observed as well as the greater thermodynamic stability of the cis isomers of 1a. Complexes 1 are efficient catalyst precursors for the asymmetric hydrogenation of N-aryl imines 5 in toluene under very mild conditions using KO'Bu as a base (4 bar H₂, room temperature, $5/1/KO^tBu = 500/1/10$). Among the catalyst precursors, 1f provides good enantioselectivities in the hydrogenation of a wide range of N-aryl imines (84–96% ee, 16 examples). From DFT calculations, a mechanism consisting in stepwise transfer of a hydride and a proton from the dihydride to the imine has been proposed, with the most favourable paths for R and S products involving cis-dihydrides d1R and d3S, respectively. Among several hydrogen activation pathways examined in the pro-R route, the most favorable one consists of hydrogen coordination to a Ru–amido/amine adduct, followed by amine assisted activation of dihydrogen. **PAPER**
 CONSERVANT CONSERVATION CONSE

Introduction

Chiral Ru complexes bearing P- and N-ligands constitute a group of catalysts of paramount importance in the area of asymmetric hydrogenation.¹ Fueled by the seminal discovery by Noyori and coworkers about the outstanding performance of complexes containing BINAP and DPEN or DAIPEN ligands in the asymmetric hydrogenation of ketones, 2 the field has grown impressively and a vast diversity of catalysts comprising different combinations of ligands can be found in the literature.³ Moreover, these types of catalysts have

Vespucio 49, 41092 Sevilla, Spain. E-mail: pizzano@iiq.csic.es

ROYAL SOCIETY
OF CHEMISTRY

The study of the mechanism of the prototypical asymmetric hydrogenation of ketones with the aforementioned catalysts has deserved considerable attention as well.⁵ From this background, it is concluded that the ketone is reduced by an octahedral dihydride, generated in the reaction medium from a dihalo or a halo-hydride complex by the action of a base and hydrogen, $5a-c$ by an outer sphere pathway. In this regard, computational studies by Dub and Gordon have shown the prevalence of a stepwise mechanism over a concerted one.⁶ Another key mechanistic aspect regards the stereochemistry of the reducing dihydride. Due to their octahedral geometry, several isomers are possible depending on the nature of the ancillary ligands. It is worthy to note that cis isomers possess an additional source of chirality due to a stereogenic center located at the metal. $⁷$ In this context, a detailed study by Morris and</sup> coworkers on the $Ru(H)₂(PPh₃)₂((R,R)-dach)$ complex (A, Fig. 1) has shown that the trans,cis isomer is the active catalyst in the hydrogenation of acetophenone, while the cis, cis isomers (two isomers differing in metal configuration;

^a Instituto de Investigaciones Químicas and Centro de Innovación en Química Avanzada (ORFEO-CINQA), CSIC and Universidad de Sevilla, Avda Américo

 b Department of Chemistry, Universitat Autònoma de Barcelona, 08193 Cerdanyola</sup> del Vallès, Catalonia, Spain

[†] Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available: Experimental details of the synthesis and characterization of Ru complexes and procedures for asymmetric hydrogenation reactions. X-ray crystallographic data for 1a, 1c, 1i and cis-1a and computational details. CCDC 2045798 (1a), 2045799 (1c), 2045800 (1i), 2045801 and 2045802 (cis-1a). For ESI and crystallographic data in CIF or other electronic format see DOI: 10.1039/d0cy02390f

[‡] Present address: Nanyang Technological University, Singapore.

Fig. 1 Structures of cis and trans dihydride isomers relevant in the catalytic hydrogenation of ketones and imines.

only the Λ isomer is shown) are precursors of the active one.^{5c} Aligned with these observations, mechanistic studies on $Ru(H)₂((S)-BINAP)((S,S)-DPEN)$ (B) have considered the *trans* isomer as the competent catalyst.^{5h} It is pertinent to note that Ohkuma and coworkers have otherwise proposed a cis dihydride catalyst in the asymmetric hydrogenation of imines catalyzed by $cis-Ru(Br)_{2}((S,S)-Xyl-skewphos)((S,S)-S^2)$ DPEN) (C) .⁸ In connection with this, it should also be noticed that cis dichloro complexes based on diphosphine and amino-pyridine ligands are also effective in the asymmetric transfer hydrogenation of ketones.⁹

Following our interest in the application of chiral phosphine–phosphites (P–OP) in hydrogenation reactions, we preliminarily described the application of $Ru(Cl)₂(P-OP)$ (DPEN) complexes (Fig. 2) in the enantioselective hydrogenation of N-aryl imines.^{10a} Compared to ubiquitous diphosphine catalysts, the presence of a phosphite fragment in these complexes may have a profound influence in the catalytic system due to its π -acidity. Comparison between structures of the $Ru(Cl)₂(P-OP)(DPEN)$ complexes showed that both cis and trans isomers can be formed depending on the structure of the P–OP ligand. Moreover, a remarkable feature of this catalytic system is that high levels of enantioselectivity were achieved with P–OP ligands bearing atropisomerizable phosphite fragments.^{10a} This is an intriguing observation considering the complexity of the system, as the combination of two different phosphorus functionalities, the access to both cis and trans isomers and the atropisomerizable phosphite fragment can lead up to ten dihydrides with potential catalytic reactivity. In this contribution, we present a combined experimental and

Fig. 2 Structures of the trans and cis stereoisomers of $Ru(X)_2(P-OP)((S,X)_1)$ S)-DPEN) ($X = CL$, H; for the number of isomers, it should be considered that there are two possible configurations of the atropisomerizable biaryl phosphite; P and P′ denote either a phosphine or a phosphite fragment).

computational study on this catalytic system which explains the high levels of observed enantioselectivity. In addition, catalyst screening covering diverse combinations of P–OP and diamine ligands has detected a practical and versatile catalyst with the DPEN diamine as the sole chiral ligand, capable of reducing a variety of N-aryl imines under very mild conditions with good levels of activity and enantioselectivity.

Results and discussion

Synthesis and structural features of $Ru(Cl)₂(P-OP)(N-N)$ complexes

 $Ru(Cl)₂(P-OP)(N-N)$ complexes $(1a-1k, Scheme 1)$ were prepared in a one-pot reaction by successive addition of phosphine–phosphite and diamine ligands to $RuCl₂(PPh₃)₃$. Monitoring the reactions by ${}^{31}P{^1H}$ NMR showed the formation of one set of signals, enabling the isolation of compounds 1 with moderate to high yields (56–76%). This procedure thus constitutes a substantial improvement over the reaction of $Ru(2-Me-C₃H₄)₂(P-OP)$ with HCl and diamine used by us before, 10 which led to complexes 1 as diastereomeric mixtures with rather low yields (ca. 10–40%). In the case of complexes 1a–1c, characterized by an oxamethylene backbone, the undesired formation of $Ru(Cl)₂(P-OP)₂$ was observed. Latter complexes are characterized by triplets in the phosphine and phosphite regions in the ${}^{31}P{^1H}$ NMR spectra (*e.g.* centred at 133.0 and 4.5 ppm, $\frac{2}{\text{J}}_{\text{PP}}$ = 37 Hz, in the synthesis of 1c). These byproducts result from the reaction of $RuCl₂(P-OP)(PPh₃)$ with P–OP and better yields of 1a–1c were obtained in more diluted reactions. The procedure in Scheme 1 is rather versatile and allowed us to introduce several chiral 1,2-diaryl-1,2-ethanediamines (3a–3d) or a 1,2-diisopropyl derivative (4) as well as P–OP ligands differing in their backbone structure. In the search for chirally-economic catalysts, $9b$ an atropisomerizable biaryl fragment in the P–OP ligand has been maintained as a common element 11 in the library of catalysts. The exploitation of such an element is a rather powerful strategy in the design of chiral catalysts as it generates a stereogenic axis from an achiral ligand upon coordination, with its configuration being potentially controlled by a chiral ancillary ligand.¹² For instance, this approach has been applied successfully in Ru hydrogenation catalysts by the combination of chiral diamines (e.g. DPEN) and atropisomerizable diphosphines.^{12a,b,e} **Paper** Catalysis Science & Technology
 $\frac{n\sqrt{2}}{2}$
 $\frac{1}{2}$

> The structure of some representative complexes 1 was then examined by X-ray crystallography. It should be recalled that the preliminary structure determination of complex 1d displayed the presence of two diastereomeric structures with a trans stereochemistry, differing in the configuration of the biaryl fragment.10a,13 Analysis of complex 1i showed an analogous pattern (Fig. 3a), as well as 1a and 1c (see the ESI†). This stereochemistry seems associated with the synthetic route in Scheme 1 and can reasonably be assumed for the rest of complexes 1. To avoid redundancy, an explicit mention of the *trans* stereochemistry of 1 will only be made

Fig. 3 ORTEP views of the R_{ax} and S_{ax} diastereomers of complex 1i observed in the crystal lattice (a; hydrogen atoms have been omitted for clarity). Comparison of structures of the diastereomers of complexes 1d and 1i (b; numerical values correspond to the $P_0-Ru-P_C-C_{Ar}$ dihedral angles in degrees; $P_{\rm O}$ and $P_{\rm c}$ denote the phosphorus atoms of phosphite and phosphine fragments, respectively, while C_{Ar} corresponds to the backbone aryl carbon bonded to P_c ; diamine aryl substituents and hydrogen atoms have been omitted for clarity).

in selected cases for the sake of clarity. The change in the configuration of the stereogenic axis is accompanied by an extensive conformational change of the P–OP ligand backbone and of the arrangement of the phenylphosphine substituents, in such a way that both structures would be mirror images if the diamine backbone were not considered.13^b Another common feature of these structures is that the axial hydrogens of amino groups display a hydrogen bond with an adjacent chloro ligand, 14 as the corresponding distances range between 2.7 and 2.8 Å, while the sum of van der Waals radii lies between 2.9 and 3.0 \AA ¹⁵ Moreover, these axial hydrogens exhibit a syn periplanar orientation with chloro ligands, with torsion angles ranging between ca. 13 and 22 degrees. Finally, an interesting difference between structures of complexes 1d and 1i regards the different orientation of the phenylene backbone fragment with regard to the phosphite biaryl moiety as shown by the opposite signs of the values of the P_O –Ru– P_C – C_{Ar} dihedral angle (Fig. 3b) in the R_{ax} and S_{ax} diastereomers.

According to the presence of two diastereomers of complexes 1 in the crystal lattice, the observation of one set of signals in ${}^{31}P{^1H}$ NMR at room temperature agrees with

the fast atropisomerization of the biaryl moiety.¹⁶ Confirmation of the existence of a dynamic process comes from variable temperature ${}^{31}P{^1H}$ NMR spectroscopy of 1b (Fig. 4), which shows the existence of two species in a 3 : 1 ratio at −90 °C, characterized by doublets in the phosphine and phosphite regions (major: 174.5, 69.5 ppm; minor: 175.4, 67.6 ppm) with a coincident value of $\frac{2}{L_{\text{PP}}}$ coupling constants (47 Hz). Coalescence of signals was observed at around −70 °C, while over −50 °C, two sharp doublets were observed. For this dynamic process, a free activation energy of 9.2 kcal mol⁻¹ was determined at the coalescence temperature by lineshape analysis, while an analogous analysis for 1d gave a value of 10.2 kcal mol⁻¹ (coalescence temperature −65 °C, see the ESI† for details).

Pertinent to note in this context, Ohkuma and coworkers have observed that a mixture of cis and trans isomers of $Ru(Br)_{2}((S, S)-XyI-skewphos)((S, S)-DPEN)$ can be converted into the *cis* isomer by treatment with a i PrOH/CH₂Cl₂ mixture. The aforementioned allyl route led to a mixture of isomers of $Ru(Cl)₂(2a)(3a)$ composed of *trans* complex 1a and additional isomers. From this mixture, a cis isomer could be characterized by X-ray diffraction (cis-1a; cis-1 type structure,

 R_{ax} configuration; see Fig. 5a in the Computational studies section).^{10b} According to this finding, we have examined the isomerization of trans complex 1a in alcoholic solvents. Interestingly, heating a solution of this complex in EtOH for 16 h at 60 °C leads to a mixture of two new species in a 2.5 : 1 ratio with almost complete conversion (95%). These compounds are characterized by very similar NMR data and among them, an upfield shift of resonances of NH signals with respect to those of the starting *trans* complex can be remarked, attributable to the proximity of the biaryl phosphite moiety to the amino fragments and hence indicative of a *cis* structure. From the mixture, it was possible to crystallize the major isomer which was identified as cis-1a by X-ray analysis (minor cis isomer will be denoted as cis-1a′). In contrast, 1d is rather unreactive under these reaction conditions and only a conversion of 10% could be observed after 3 days at 70 °C in an EtOH-1,2-dichloroethane $(1:1)$ mixture, exhibiting two new species in a $ca. 3:1$ ratio by ${}^{31}P_1^1H_7^1$ NMR.

Catalytic studies

In our preliminary study of the hydrogenation of imine 5a with $Ru(Cl)₂(P-OP)(N-N)$ complexes (Scheme 2), we screened several reaction conditions.^{10a} Among them, the best results were obtained under very mild conditions in toluene with $Ru(Cl)₂(2f)(3a)$ (4 bar H₂, RT, S/C/KO^tBu = 500/1/10, full conversion in 24 h; 93% ee). Examination of the performance of the series of catalyst precursors 1 under these reaction conditions (Table 1) showed near complete reactions with the only exception of 1c (86%, entry 3) and particularly 1k, for which a poor value was observed (27%, entry 10). Moreover, good values of enantioselectivity were obtained (89–95% ee), with 1a and 1f surpassing the *p*-tolyl catalyst (entries 1 and 5, respectively). The uniformly high levels of enantioselectivity with complexes 1 also demonstrate that the atropisomerizable phosphite fragment is a valuable element for the design of chirally economic catalysts. It is worth noting that no influence of the electronic properties of DPEN substituents was observed with catalyst precursors 1i and 1j (entries 8 and 9). For the couple of diastereomeric complexes 1g and 1h, little matching/mismatching effects were observed, with a small difference of enantioselectivity between them and the configuration of the product determined by that of DPEN (entries 6 and 7). This is general and for catalyst precursors bearing (S, S) -diamines, the R product is formed (and conversely the S product from (R,R) diamines). Among the complexes examined, 1f showed the best performance with a complete conversion and 95% ee. From this satisfactory result, we next screened the application of this complex in the hydrogenation of a set of N-aryl imines derived from aryl alkyl ketones (5b–5o). For these substrates, full conversion and enantioselectivities between 84 and 96% ee were observed (Table 2). It is worth **Catalysis Science & Technology**
 $\frac{1}{2}$ $\frac{1}{2$

Fig. 5 Relative free energies calculated for isomers of Ru(Cl)₂(2a)(3a) and Ru(H)₂(2a)(3a) (a; ∆G in kcal mol⁻¹, notation in brackets). Ru ligand bond distances determined by X-ray crystallography and computed (in brackets) for stereoisomers of Ru(Cl)₂(2a)(3a) (b).

Table 1 Hydrogenation of imine 5a with catalyst precursors 1^a

Entry	Cat	Conv $(\%)$	ee $(\%;$ config)
$\mathbf{1}$	1a	100	94 (R)
$\overline{2}$	1 _b	100	94 (S)
3	1c	86	90(S)
$\overline{4}$	1e	100	92(S)
5	1f	100	95(S)
6	1g	100	89 (R)
7	1 _h	100	93 (S)
8	1i	100	90 (R)
9	1j	95	90(S)
10	1k	27	nd

 a Reactions performed under 4 bar H_2 in toluene at room temperature for 24 h with KO^tBu as a base; $S/C/B = 500/1/10$; conversion was determined by ¹H NMR. % ee determined by HPLC. Configuration was determined by comparison with literature data.¹⁷

Table 2 Hydrogenation of imines $5b-5$ o with catalyst precursor $1f^4$

Imine	% ee (conf)		
5b	94 (S)		
5c	94 (S)		
5d	87(S)		
5e	94 (S)		
5f	91 (S)		
	96(S)		
5h	95(S)		
5i	93 (S)		
	95(S)		
5k	94 (S)		
51	91 (S)		
5m	95(S)		
5n	84(S)		
5 _n	92(S)		
50	96(S)		
	$5g$ 5j		

^a Reactions performed under 4 bar H_2 in toluene at room temperature for 24 h with KO^tBu as a base; $S/C/B = 500/1/10$; full conversion (¹H NMR) was observed in all reactions. % ee determined by HPLC. See the ESI† for determination of configuration. Scheme 3 Gram scale synthesis of 6h.

noting that the set includes demanding ortho substituted imines (entries 8 and 9), naphthyl imines (entries 13 and 14) and a thienyl example (entry 12). In contrast, no conversion was observed in the hydrogenation of challenging isopropyl methyl imine 5p.

To examine the practical character of 1f, we have also prepared for the gram scale hydrogenation of 5h (Scheme 3), as the resulting product has attracted interest in the synthesis of the NPS R-568 calcimimetic.¹⁸ We were pleased to note that a reaction using 2.0 g of 5h at $S/C/B = 1000/1/10$ led to full conversion in 24 h, yielding amine 6h with 96% isolated yield and 95% ee.

Detailed mechanistic studies by the group of Noyori have shown that hydride–borohydride complexes $Ru(H)(BH₄)(tol-$ BINAP)(1,2-diamine) (1,2-diamine = DPEN, en, DAIPEN) are suitable catalyst precursors for the hydrogenation of ketones in isopropanol without the need of an additional base, $19,20$ while no catalytic activity was observed in toluene.^{5b} Based on this background, we have prepared $Ru(H)(BH₄)(2c)(3b)$ (7) by treating 1e with an excess of NaBH₄ in a toluene: EtOH $(1:1)$ mixture (Scheme 4).²¹ An analysis by NMR of the product showed the existence of two isomers of 7 in a 7 : 3 ratio. A 2D NOESY experiment with the mixture indicates that the major isomer possesses a trans stereochemistry, while the minor one, attending to the similarity between the spectroscopic data of both species, can be assigned as the alternative trans isomer. We have next analysed the performance of 7 in the hydrogenation of 5a in the absence of a base. First, no reaction was observed in toluene, in accord with the literature precedent mentioned above. On the other hand, a reaction performed under 20 bar H_2 in 1 PrOH and 60 \degree C with an S/C = 100 showed a complete conversion and 84% ee after 24 h. For comparison, a reaction prepared with 1e under the same reaction conditions but with addition of a small amount of KO^tBu to guarantee catalyst activation $(S/C/B = 100/1/5)$ provided 91% conversion and 83% ee. These results point to a common enantiodetermining step starting from $1e$ and KO'Bu or 7 in isopropanol. These observations are analogous to those reported for the BINAP/DPEN system in the asymmetric hydrogenation of acetophenone.^{5b} Catalysis Science & Technology

Access Article 2021.

Access Articl

> In addition, we have examined the hydrogenation of 5g under our standard conditions with trans complex 1a, with the mixture of cis-1a and cis-1a′ isomers (resulting from isomerization of 1a) and with cis-1a (obtained by crystallization of the latter mixture). Full conversion and coincident enantioselectivities (94.2, 94.2 and 94.6% ee, respectively) were observed in the three reactions, pointing to

the same catalyst formed independently of the stereochemistry of the catalyst precursor.

It is worth noting in this context that Bäckvall and coworkers reported the racemization of some enantiopure amines 6 in toluene at 110 °C using the Shvo catalyst and 0.5 equivalents of 2,4-dimethyl-3-pentanol, with the racemization rate being significantly reduced when the reaction temperature was lowered.^{22a} Moreover, in the presence of a hydrogen acceptor, imines 5 could be obtained.^{22b} Based on this background, it seems highly interesting to examine if the formation of amines 6 is irreversible in the present catalytic system. To this aim, the hydrogenation of 5g using 1a under standard reaction conditions was stopped at 25% conversion. This reaction showed a 93.4% ee, which is very close to the value obtained at full conversion (94.2% ee). In addition, a sample of rac-6g was exposed to catalytic reaction conditions for 24 h and neither a variation of the enantiomeric ratio nor imine were observed. To discard the idea that any impurity could inactivate the catalyst generated from 1a in the latter experiment, a complementary one using a mixture of 5g and $rac{rac{6g}{2}(1:3.4)$ was examined under the same reaction conditions. After 24 h, complete reduction of the imine was observed and determination of the enantioselectivity of 6g gave a value of 20.8% ee. For this experiment, a theoretical value of 21.2% ee can be estimated if the imine were hydrogenated with a 93% ee. These results therefore indicate that no reversibility of the reduction step should occur under the mild catalytic conditions used. **Paper** Catalysis Science Articles. Control on 21 January 2021. This article is limited and all the enumerator stap of the common state of the state of the

Computational studies

We subsequently did computational studies to complement the experimental results via DFT-based methods. 23 These comprise the structural and stereochemical features of complex 1a as well as the study of the mechanism of a representative imine hydrogenation reaction, with the aim of understanding the role of the atropisomerizable phosphite fragment and the high enantioselectivities observed. In addition, some studies regarding 1d and the corresponding dihydride will be included for comparative purposes. As mentioned, outer sphere reduction by $Ru(H)₂(diphosphine)$ (diamine) is a well-established step in the asymmetric hydrogenation of ketones in both protic and aprotic solvents.⁵ Moreover, due to the similarity between the Ru catalyzed hydrogenation of imines and ketones, 1^b some catalysts have been proposed to reduce imines enantioselectively following an outer sphere mechanism, $4a, b, 8$ although no detailed computational study has been provided. In this regard, results of reactions performed with 1a and 7

in isopropanol point to an outer sphere reduction of 5a by $Ru(H)₂(2a)(3a)$ as the enantioselective step. Although the nature of the resting catalyst state in toluene and isopropanol should be different,^{5b} it is reasonable to assume that this reduction mode should also operate in toluene.²⁴

Structure and stereochemical features of $Ru(Cl)₂(2a)(3a)$ and $Ru(Cl)₂(2c)(3a)$. The structures of isomers of dichloro complex 1a have been optimized to get a deeper insight into their relative stabilities (Fig. 5a). A good agreement has been observed between the calculated structures of c1R, ctR and ctS and the X-ray determined structures of *cis*-1a, and R_{ax} and S_{ax} diastereomers of *trans* complex 1a, respectively (Fig. 5b). Moreover, ctR and ctS are very close in energy, as could be expected from the behaviour shown by 1a in solution. On the other hand, c1R and c3S are more stable than the trans structures, in good agreement with the observed isomerization of 1a. In addition, the small difference in free energy between c1R and c3S gives a 1.7:1 calculated ratio, which is in good agreement with the ratio of *cis-1a* and cis-1a′ species observed after the isomerization. Finally, c1R shows the same stereochemistry as that of the predominant cis isomer in solution (cis-1a), while the minor one (cis-1a′) should have the structure shown by c3S.

A remarkable result regards differences in energy between cis structures differing in the configuration of the phosphite stereogenic axis. Thus, low to negligible differences are observed between atropisomers of types cis-2 and cis-4, which contain the phosphite fragment coordinated in a cis position to one $NH₂$ group. In contrast, there are considerable energy differences between atropisomers of types cis-1 and cis-3, characterized by a phosphite *cis* to both $NH₂$ groups in an arrangement which favors the interaction between the biaryl phosphite and the diamine backbone. Most interestingly, the preferred biaryl configuration depends on that of the metal, with c1R and c3S being appreciably more stable than their respective atropisomers c1S and c3R, with free energy differences of 8.1 and 5.9 kcal mol⁻¹, respectively.

Moreover, we have examined selected structures for complex 1d. Initially, examination of trans structures with the backbone orientation shown by X-ray analysis leads to a couple of diastereomers (ctR′ and ctS′) structurally very close to the experimental structures, with a minimal free energy difference between them $(0.4 \text{ kcal mol}^{-1})$. In addition, structures with a backbone orientation shown by 1i were also investigated. Notably, the corresponding trans diastereomers (ctR″ and ctS″) were appreciably more stable than ctR′ and ctS', with free energy differences of 4.5 and 5.6 kcal mol^{-1} , respectively. Among the four isomers, ctS″ is the more stable one, with the **ctR**" isomer being 1.5 kcal mol⁻¹ higher in free energy than the former species. Presumably, easy interconversion between the four conformers should occur in solution, while the observation of less stable conformers of 1d by X-ray crystallography should be caused by a better packing in the crystal lattice. On the other hand, a comparison between *cis*-1-R and *cis*-3-S type structures for 1d (c1R′ and c3S′, respectively) shows a higher stability for the

Catalysis Science & Technology Paper

former species (1.6 kcal mol⁻¹ in free energy). However, these cis structures are slightly less stable than ctS″, with free energy differences of 0.4 and 2.0 kcal mol⁻¹, respectively. Therefore, the comparison of energies of isomers 1a and 1d indicates that the cis/trans preference in dichloro complexes is rather sensitive to the precise structure of the phosphine– phosphite ligand.

In addition, the atropisomerization of 1a has also been studied (Fig. 6). As mentioned, this dynamic process involves a conformational change not only in the biaryl moiety, but also in the backbone and in the position of the phosphine substituents. Starting from ctR, which is characterized by a biaryl dihedral angle (φ) of −49.1°, a scan of the reduction of this parameter allowed us to locate a transition state (atrop-TS1, φ = 1.9°) lying 9.3 kcal mol⁻¹ in free energy above **ctR**. This transition state leads to an alternative conformer (conf-**1**, $\Delta G = 5.2$ kcal mol⁻¹) characterized by a value of 28.3° for φ , and thus displaying the S_{ax} configuration. To complete the dynamic process to reach ctS, a pathway involving two additional transition states and two conformers has been found (see the ESI† for further details). Notably, the highest point along the whole atropisomerization pathway corresponds to atrop-TS1, in good agreement with the experimental value obtained by VT-NMR for the energy barrier of the fluxional process shown by 1a.

Dihydrides. The differences in free energy between isomers of $Ru(H)₂(2a)(3a)$ (Fig. 5a) parallel the trend observed for those of the dichloro complex. Hence, d1R and d3S are the more stable dihydrides of the series, while the trans isomers are among the less stable ones. In addition, compounds d1R and d3S have appreciably lower free energies than their corresponding atropisomers (7.4 and 6.9 kcal mol−¹ , respectively). Moreover, variations in Ru–H distances are in good accord with the trans influence of ancillary

Fig. 6 Schematic fluxional mechanism computed for the atropisomerization of 1a (relative free energies in kcal mol⁻¹ in brackets relative to ctR; diamine ligand has been omitted for clarity).

ligands.²⁵ Thus, those of the *trans* dihydrides are in the 1.679–1.685 Å range, while for the hydride ligands trans to the phosphite, phosphine and amino ligands, ranges of 1.657–1.670, 1.648–1.658 and 1.602–1.615 Å are observed, respectively. Examination of selected structures of stereoisomers of $Ru(H)₂(2c)(3a)$ (*i.e.* those corresponding to 1d) showed a similar stability pattern to that shown above, with the cis-1- R_{ax} type isomer (d1R[']) as the most stable one, while the cis-3-S_{ax} type structure (**d3S**[']) is only 0.1 kcal mol⁻¹ in free energy over **d1R'**. These *cis* structures are more stable than the trans isomers irrespective of the backbone conformation of ligand 2c. Thus, structures dtR′ and dtS′ are 6.6 and 7.1 kcal mol⁻¹ above $d1R'$ in free energy, while dtR'' and dtS" are 3.9 and 4.2 kcal mol⁻¹ higher than d1R', respectively. For these structures, the Ru–H distances follow the trend commented above and have value ranges of 1.675– 1.684, 1.658–1.663 and 1.605–609 Å for hydrides trans to the hydride, phosphite and amino ligands, respectively. These values indicate that the significantly higher reactivity of trans dihydrides, compared to cis ones, characteristic of the wellstudied diphosphine catalysts,^{5b,h} may not be transferable to the present system due to the phosphite fragment. Thus, the cis-1 and cis-3 dihydrides may have comparable reactivities to the trans isomers. Catalysis Science & Technology

former species Rable and Ship assets Articles. The species Article is statistically assets are allow the sublicle in the second under the statistical are allow the subsect are allow the sta

Amido–hydride complexes. Another kind of important complexes in the catalytic system are amido–hydride complexes $Ru(H)$ {(S,S)-NH–CHPh–CHPh–NH₂}(2a).^{5c} These compounds should be formed by the activation of $Ru(Cl)₂(2a)$ (3a) with a base and hydrogen as well as by the reduction of the imine by $Ru(H)₂(2a)(3a)$ (see below). Conversely, the reaction of amido–hydrides with hydrogen should lead to dihydrides $Ru(H)₂(2a)(3a)$. Considering that the latter reaction can occur with small structure rearrangement, the starting structures for amido–hydride compounds can be generated by the formal abstraction of a hydride and a pseudo-axial adjacent amine proton from the dihydrides.^{5a} By optimization of these starting structures, either distorted trigonal bipyramidal or square pyramidal species were located, with those of the former type being significantly more stable than the latter (ca. 30 kcal mol⁻¹ in free energy, see the ESI†). Amido and amino fragments of the deprotonated DPEN occupy the equatorial and apical

Fig. 7 Structures of amido–hydride complexes (ΔG relative to a6S in kcal mol $^{-1}$ in brackets).

coordination positions in the bipyramidal complexes respectively (Fig. 7). In turn, no clear preference of the remaining ligands for an axial/equatorial position seems apparent. As expected, the Ru–amido bond distance is shorter than the Ru–amino one. For instance, for a1R, values of 1.970 and 2.221 Å have been obtained. For this structure, the equatorial plane is defined by the amido and P ligands, with N(amido)–Ru–P(phosphine) and N(amido)–Ru– P(phosphite) angles of 162.5 and 116.0 degrees, respectively. Finally, relatively small free energy differences are observed between the atropisomers of each type of amido–hydride (ΔG = 1.5–4.4 kcal mol−¹), if compared with the stabilization observed for d1R and d3S with regard to their atropisomers.

Imine reduction. An inspection of the structures of d1R and d3S indicates that the hydride located in the trans position to the amino group is surrounded by one phenyl substituent of the phosphine and one of the 'Bu groups (Fig. 8a and b), thus blocking the approach of the imine C=N fragment to the corresponding $Ru(H)-NH₂$ moiety. As mentioned above, the Ru–H distances in the computed structures indicate an appreciably longer distance for the hydride trans to the phosphite in comparison with that trans to the amino ligand in d 1R (1.670 *vs.* 1.604 Å) and d 3S (1.666 ν s. 1.604 Å). Based on these considerations, we have reasonably assumed an easier transference of the hydride trans to the phosphite from either d1R or d3S to 5a, compared with that trans to the amine.

Considering the presence of two $NH₂$ groups in d1R (trans to phosphine or to hydride) and two prochiral faces of 5a, four different approaches of the imine can be considered (Fig. 8c), as well as in the case of d3S. It is worth noting that the approximation of the substrate to the dihydride leads to the formation of loose precomplexes. Among the eight precomplexes, significant stabilization over their components is observed in the cases of $d1R-RP-5a$ and $d3S-SP-5a$, with ΔG values of -7.1 and -7.0 kcal mol⁻¹, respectively. This stabilization can be ascribed to diverse substrate–ligand noncovalent interactions (NCI) ²⁶ For instance, in the case of d1R-RP-5a, C-H/aryl interactions,²⁷ a high number of C-H/C-H dispersion interactions,²⁸ and a N…H-N interaction between the imine nitrogen and a NH fragment of the diamine ligand have been detected (Fig. 9). This NCI driven stabilization is a remarkable aspect for an outer-sphere mechanism, as these weak interactions enable structural preorganization²⁹ of the substrate–catalyst complex favoring the reduction.

From imine–dihydride precomplexes, the hydride transfer step to give monohydride–amide ionic pair species was next investigated. This class of transition states will be referred in the following as TS1. Among the TS1 transition states for d1R and d3S (Fig. 10), the most favorable one corresponds to *pro-R* precomplex **d1R-RP-5a (TS1-d1R-RP-5a,** $\Delta \Delta G^{\ddagger} = 0.0$ kcal mol⁻¹; in the following, this transition state will be used as the reference to compare energies of TS1 transition states). The most favorable TS1 for the S enantiomer (TS1-d3S-SP-5a) involves **d3S** dihydride and has a $\Delta\Delta G^{\ddagger}$ value equal to 1.6 kcal mol−¹ (see the ESI† for data on TS1 energies for the rest of the dihydride precomplexes). This is, moreover, the lowest TS1 transition state for d3S dihydride, followed by a pro-R one with $\Delta\Delta G^{\ddagger} = 4.9$ kcal mol⁻¹. Despite **TS1-d1R-RP-5a** and TS1-d3S-SP-5a lying 6.2 and 7.8 kcal mol⁻¹ in free energy above the corresponding dihydride imine precomplexes, they are very close in free energy to the starting dihydrides and free imine (−0.88 and 0.76 kcal mol−¹ for TS1-d1R-RP-5a and TS1-d3S-SP-5a compared with d1R and free 5a, respectively), due to the stabilization associated with the formation of the dihydride imine precomplexes. **Paper** Catalysis Science Articles. Consideration positions in the comparison properties (Fig. 7). In Line, not determined on the SP-R-C-II-yi Internations (Fig. 1). Downloaded under the common the state of the common the

Based on the literature precedents on the higher reactivity of trans dihydrides over cis ones⁵ and considering that trans-dihydrides have the longest Ru–H bond distances in the series of $Ru(H)₂(2a)(3a)$ dihydrides, it seems pertinent to study the barrier for the hydride transfer step for dtR and dtS. An inspection of these structures indicates that one of the hydride ligands is protected by a P-Ph and a t Bu group from the approach of the imine (views for dtR collected in

Fig. 8 Side (a) and top views (b) of d1R (H_{PO} and H_N denote hydrides trans to phosphite and amino ligands, respectively). Possible orientations of 5a to d1R depending on the substrate face and $NH₂$ fragment approached (c).

Fig. 9 d1R-RP-5a (a). Plot of non-covalent interactions for the latter precomplex (b, color ranges from strong attractive interactions in blue to strong repulsive ones in red; distances between interacting atoms or centroids of aryl rings in \AA).³⁰

Fig. 11); therefore, participation of this hydride should only be possible after atropisomerization of the P–OP ligand (*i.e.*) conversion of dtR in dtS and vice versa). Accordingly, only the transference of the less encumbered hydride needs to be examined for these dihydrides. As mentioned above for d1R and d3S, there are four possible imine approaches for both dtR and dtS, leading to eight precomplexes and corresponding TS1 transition states. For those leading to the R product, the $\Delta\Delta G^{\ddagger}$ values range between 3.9 and 18.2 kcal mol⁻¹, while for the *pro-S* ones, we found $\Delta\Delta G^{\ddagger}$ values that range between 8.7 and 18.6 kcal mol^{-1} . Presumably, steric effects associated with the bulky phosphite fragment in the equatorial plane should be responsible for the higher barriers observed for the trans-dihydrides. Likewise, an examination of the structures of dihydrides of types cis-2 and cis-4 indicates a substantial steric encumbrance around the hydride located in the trans coordination position to the phosphine ligand (i.e. that characterized by a longer Ru–H bond) because of the orientation of the biaryl phosphite, with the exception of d2S. For this dihydride, the corresponding set of four precomplexes was calculated, with d2S-RP-5a and d2S-RH-5a being already higher in free energy than TS1-d1R-

Fig. 11 Side view of dtR (a), and top (b) and bottom (c) space filling views of the same compound.

RP-5a by 3.4 and 5.5 kcal mol⁻¹, respectively. On the other hand, d2S-SH-5a is 2.8 kcal mol⁻¹ over TS1-d3S-SP-5a, while d2S-SP-5a is only 0.8 kcal mol⁻¹ more stable in free energy than the latter transition state, with TS1-d2S-SP-5a being 11.8 kcal mol⁻¹ higher in free energy than TS1-d3S-SP-5a. Considering that TS1 possess the highest free energy in the reduction pathway of 5a by d1R and d3S (Fig. 10), the values of transition states of type TS1 for d2S, dtR and dtS point to cis-dihydrides d1R and d3S as the actual catalysts in the present system.

A remarkable feature of precomplexes d1R-RP-5a and d3S-SP-5a is that they show the substrate appropriately arranged for the hydride transfer step and a minimal structural variation from the precomplexes to the corresponding TS1 is required (Fig. 12). Moreover, TS1-d1R-RP-5a and TS1-d3S-SP-5a are stabilized by a hydrogen bond between the imine nitrogen and a N–H of the diamine, with N⋯H distances of

Fig. 12 Structures of the preferred pro-R (TS1-d1R-RP-5a, top right) and pro-S (TS1-d3S-SP-5a, bottom right) hydride transfer transition states (H atoms bonded to carbons have been omitted for clarity). Key structural distances involved in the transformation from dihydride– imine precomplexes to hydride-transfer transition states are given in Å in the corresponding schemes.

1.827 and 1.963 Å, respectively.³¹ On the other hand, the distances between the hydride and the iminic carbon have values of 1.477 and 1.441 Å, respectively. In addition, expected elongations of the Ru–H and the C–N imine bonds are observed from the imine adducts to TS1 structures. In both transition states, the substrate displays a similar orientation, with the iminic nitrogen interacting with the amino ligand located in a trans coordination position to the phosphine, while the pseudoaxial Ph phosphine and the C– Ph imine substituents point to opposite directions.

The hydride transfer step from TS1-d1R-RP-5a and TS1 d3S-SP-5a next leads to ion pairs ip-pro-R and ip-pro-S, respectively.³² These species are stabilized by a hydrogen bond, with N…H distances of 1.788 Å and 1.821 Å for ip-pro-R and ip-pro-S, respectively, as well as by an agostic interaction provided by the new C–H bond, with Ru–H distances of 2.031 Å and 2.033 Å, respectively. From the respective ion pair intermediates, a very easy proton transfer (TS2) via TS2-pro-R and TS2-pro-S can take place, lying 1.3 and 0.4 kcal mol⁻¹ above the corresponding ion-pairs, respectively. The proton transfer step leads to adducts formed by an amido complex and enantiomers of amine 6a (a1R-R-6a and a3S-S-6a, respectively). It is worth noting that this step also displays the completion of the hydride transfer step, as shown by a shortening of the corresponding C–H distance (e.g. from 1.201 Å in ip-pro-R to 1.119 Å in a1R-R-6a) with a concomitant lengthening of the C–N bond of the product (e.g. from 1.394 to 1.462 Å in the *pro-R* route). Complexes a1R-R-6a and a3S-S-6a are stabilized as well by a hydrogen bond between the amido ligand and $6a$ with N…H distances of 2.011 Å and 1.969 Å for a1R-R-6a and a3S-S-6a, respectively. The proton transfer step raises an important difference with the hydrogenation of acetophenone, as the deprotonation of the DPEN ligand by N-(phenyl)-1-phenylethylamide should be easier than that by 1-phenylethoxide, due to the higher basicity of the former. In addition, amido–amino complexes are significantly more stable than the parent ion pair species, with respective gains of free energy equal to 6.0 and 9.1 kcal mol⁻¹ for **a1R-R-6a** and **a3S-S-6a**, respectively. It is worth noting in this context that the evolution of ionic pair species to the corresponding amido (or alkoxo complexes in ketone hydrogenation) is typically a very thermodynamically favorable step. $5h,33$ In the present case, amido complex N1R **Catalysis Science** Catalysis Science Articles. Published on 21 Section 2021. The magnitude of the maintain is non-thermatic on a sponsorial common and the main the main the main the main of the same common and the main t

is 4.2 kcal mol⁻¹ lower in free energy than **ip-pro-R**, although the magnitude of the stabilization is not as pronounced as reported for an N -(phenyl)phenylmethylamide compound.³³ Thus, a1R-R-6a is 1.8 kcal mol⁻¹ more stable than N1R in free energy.³⁴

Hydrogen activation. Although it has received less attention than the enantioselective reduction of the substrate, hydrogen activation constitutes a key mechanistic aspect in asymmetric hydrogenations performed by bifunctional catalysts. It is pertinent to note in this context that computational studies by Dub and Gordon about the hydrogenation of acetophenone catalyzed by *trans*-Ru $(H)_{2}((S)$ - $BINAP$))((S,S) -DPEN) have shown that hydrogen activation by an ion pair species (assisted by the alkoxide fragment resulting from hydride transfer to the ketone) is a more favorable route than the direct activation by an amido– hydride complex. $5h$ The involvement of the imine in the activation of hydrogen can therefore be a point of considerable interest in the present system that deserves study. Attending to the pathway described for the reduction of 5a by d1R, hydrogen coordination and further activation by a1R-R-6a seems a plausible route for the second part of the catalytic cycle. Nevertheless, alternative pathways from ion pair $ip-pro-R$ as well as direct activation by amido complex a1R have also been considered attending to aforementioned precedents.

Hydrogen interaction with a1R-R-6a started with a sequence of steps for H_2 coordination (Fig. 13). Approach of the H_2 molecule to the amido complex shows a first transition state (TS3a) with Ru–H distances of 2.812 and 2.662 Å, while the H–H distance in the complex is 0.749 Å, indicating a minimal interaction with the metal center (0.744 Å computed for free hydrogen). From TS3a, the reaction sequence first produces a loose dihydrogen complex h1R-R-6a-l $(d(\text{Ru-H}) = 2.106, 2.160 \text{ Å}, d(\text{H-H}) = 0.779 \text{ Å})$ and then a tight one h1R-R-6a-t characterized by shorter Ru–H distances of 1.779 and 1.746 Å and a H–H distance of 0.839 Å, as well as the corresponding transition state between them (TS3b). It is worthy to note that both dihydrogen complexes as well as transition states along the pathway are stabilized by a hydrogen bond to (R) -6a. TS4a connects the tight H_2 bound complex with a hydrogen bonded adduct between d1R and (R) -6a (d1R-R-6a). However, TS4a mainly shows proton

Fig. 13 Hydrogen activation by a1R-R-6a (ΔG and ΔE values in kcal mol⁻¹ referenced to a1R + (R)-6a + H₂, bond distances in Å).

transfer from (R) -6a to the complex to generate an ionic-pair type species along with incipient activation of the coordinated H_2 , assisted by deprotonated (R) -6a. Further activation of the coordinated H_2 is easy and IRC analysis from TS4a leads to d1R-R-6a in the product direction. It is worthy to note that the free energy of TS4a is only 1.8 kcal mol⁻¹ above that of **h1R-R-6a-t**, indicating that ionization induced by the proton transfer step enables very easy hydrogen activation. Notwithstanding that, this is the step with the highest barrier in the coordination-activation of H_2 by $a1R-R-6a$.

Analysis of H_2 coordination to **ip-pro-R** led to a high energy transition state (TS3c, ΔG^{\ddagger} = 16.4 kcal mol⁻¹, Fig. 14). It seems that separation in toluene of the tightly bonded ionic components of the pair due to the uncompleted hydride transfer and the agostic interaction has a considerable energetic cost. TS3c shows a dissimilar interaction between Ru and both atoms of the H_2 molecule, with Ru-H distances of 2.161 and 2.548 Å, while the H–H distance (0.761 Å) indicates the scarce activation of dihydrogen. In addition, the N–H distances reflect the ionic-pair character of TS3c. Based on the comments made above, one might expect that H_2 is quite ready to be activated from TS3c and indeed, an IRC analysis from this transition state directly leads in the product direction to a hydrogen bonded adduct between (R)- 6a and d1R, which differs from d1R-R-6a in the orientation of the amine.

A third possible H_2 activation pathway corresponds to non-assisted activation by amido complex a1R. Examination

of the coordination of the hydrogen molecule to the a1R complex displayed an analogous pathway to that described for $a1R-R-6a$, with loose and tight H_2 complexes and corresponding transition states (see the ESI† for further details). Activation of the coordinated hydrogen in the tight complex **h1R-t** leads to **TS4b** (ΔG^{\ddagger} = 16.6 kcal mol⁻¹) and then to d1R. It is worthy to note that most of the energetic cost of the hydrogen activation process corresponds to the coordination of the H_2 molecule, since reaching the h1R-t species has an energetic cost of 12.1 kcal mol⁻¹ with respect to a1R and H_2 , while the subsequent step (TS4b) has a low relative ΔG^{\ddagger} value (4.5 kcal mol⁻¹) with respect to **h1R-t**. The comparison between the activation schemes by a1R-R-6a and a1R indicates hydrogen bond stabilization from (R)-6a along the pathway in the first case, leading to a more favorable hydrogen activation route. Moreover, loose interaction between $a1R$ and (R) -6a in $a1R-R$ -6a compared with ionic fragments in $ip-pro-R$ enables easier $H₂$ coordination. Finally, an analysis of the reaction profile using the energetic span model³⁵ indicates that the turnover determining intermediate (TDI) is a1R-R-6a, while the turnover determining transition state (TDTS) is **TS4a**, with an energetic span (δE) of 17.5 kcal mol−¹ , which is an affordable free energy barrier at room temperature. Catalysis Science & Technology

Uranget complex or generate an interpart of the complex displayed an analogos pathewing the substitution of the complex displayed an analogos pathewin the common of the common and the subst

Although the results described above point to hydrogen activation as the turnover-limiting step, it should be noticed that the determination of the lowest hydrogen activation route in the present system is an exceedingly difficult task. For instance, a1R-R-6a should easily exchange amine with its

TS3c (16.4)

TS3c ($i385$ cm⁻¹)

 $T22.02040$ cm⁻¹

 $a1R(0.0)$

 $H₂$

 $-0¹$

 $\frac{1}{2}$ 15

 $TS2-nr0-R (-7.6)$

 $ro-R (-8.5)$

TS3e (12.8)

 $TS3b(2.3)$

 $h1R-t$ (12.1)

 $h1R-R-6a-t(1.2)$

TS4a (3.0)

Fig. 14 General scheme for the hydrogen activation pathways. ∆G values in brackets in kcal mol⁻¹ referred to a1R + (R)-6a + H₂

 d 1R

 $d1R$ (-11.9)

S enantiomer. In addition, hydrogen bond interaction between 6a and the amido complex generates a stereogenic center at nitrogen for which two configurations are possible resulting from easy nitrogen inversion in 6a. In addition, proton transfer between amido and amino fragments of the DPEN ligand assisted by 6a should also be a feasible step. As already mentioned, easy atropisomerization of the biaryl fragment in pentacoordinate amido complexes is an additional variable to consider. Recalling, finally, the fluxional nature described for $RuH(NHCMe₂CMe₂NH₂)$ $(PPh₃)₂^{5a}$ and considering the existence of several amidohydride complexes in a narrow interval of energy (Fig. 7), isomerization of a1R may be an additional factor to consider.

Product configuration and enantioselectivity. irreversible formation of amine observed experimentally points to the hydride insertion as the enantiodetermining step.³⁶ Thus, the general scheme drawn for the reduction of 5a explains the configuration of the amine product obtained experimentally (*i.e.* (R) -6a from 1a). It is worthy to note that the chiral diamine induces a strong preference in product configuration. Thus, in addition to the favored formation of (R) -6a from d1R, *trans* dihydrides dtR and dtS also show a significant preference for (R) -6a, as the most favorable pro-R TS1 is 3.8 kcal mol⁻¹ lower in free energy than the most favorable pro-S TS1 for the trans isomers. In contrast, metal configuration prevails in d3S over that of DPEN favouring the formation of (S) -6a (3.3 kcal mol⁻¹ difference in free energy between most favourable pro-S and pro-R TS1).

There is extensive information in the literature about fluxionality and isomerization of ruthenium dihydrides. 37 Particularly relevant in this context, Morris has studied in detail the behavior of complex A, observing the isomerization between *trans,cis* and *cis,cis* isomers (with the latter being more stable), $5c$ pointing to a non-dissociative trigonal twist mechanism for the exchange.³⁸ Due to the complexity introduced by the atropisomerization of the phosphine–phosphite ligand, we have not investigated the exchange between d1R and d3S, but considering that coincident enantioselectivities were obtained independent of the stereochemistry of $Ru(Cl)₂(2a)(3a)$, such an exchange may also be operative in the present system. Following this assumption, the value of $\Delta\Delta G^{\ddagger}$ of 1.6 kcal mol⁻¹ between TS1-d1R-RP-5a and TS1-d3S-SP-5a gives an estimation of 88% ee for the enantioselectivity, while the value of $\Delta\Delta E^{\ddagger}$ of 1.9 kcal mol−¹ corresponds to 92% ee. Using a more extended basis set (def2QZVP for Ru and $6-311++g(2d,p)$ for main group atoms), a value of $\Delta\Delta E^{\ddagger}$ equal to 1.9 kcal mol⁻¹ was obtained (91% ee). These values therefore show a good agreement with the experimental value of 94% ee. A remarkable difference between these transition states regards metal configuration.³⁹ Thus, in the case of d1R, there is a matched combination of diamine and metal configurations which enables a better fitting of the substrate in the pocket generated by the dihydride, therefore allowing a shorter N(imine)…HN hydrogen bond⁴⁰ in TS1-d1R-RP-5a than in TS1-d3S-SP-5a.

Despite the different backbones in dihydrides $Ru(H)_{2}(2a)$ (3a) and Ru(H)₂(2c)(3a), a comparison between isomers of each type (i.e. d1R, d3S, dtR and dtS with d1R', d1S', dtR" and dtS″, respectively) shows rather coincident structures. In addition, from the Ru–H distances of the Ru(H)₂(2c)(3a) complexes, a comparable reactivity between cis and trans dihydrides can be expected. Based on these considerations, a pathway involving cis-dihydrides seems also plausible for catalysts with a phenylene backbone. However, as the stabilization of imine–dihydride precomplexes and corresponding TS1 depend on a precise substrate–complex recognition, this possibility cannot be ascertained without a detailed analysis of all possible pathways, which is further complicated by the conformational properties of the phosphine–phosphite ligand.

Conclusions

Complexes 1 have selectively been obtained as trans isomers by subsequent treatment of $RuCl₂(PPh₃)₃$ with P–OP and N–N ligands. In the case of 1a, a clean trans to cis isomerization has been observed by heating in EtOH. DFT calculations performed with $Ru(Cl)₂(2a)(3a)$ reproduce the higher stability of the cis isomers over the trans isomers as well as the low energy difference between atropisomers of trans-1a observed experimentally. Moreover, a strong bias on biaryl configuration caused by metal and diamine chirality is observed in cis-1 and cis-3 type isomers. Complexes 1 are effective catalyst precursors for the enantioselective hydrogenation of N-aryl imines 5 under very mild conditions with satisfactory enantioselectivities (84–96% ee, 16 examples). The catalyst performance is also suitable for multigram hydrogenation as shown in the case of the synthesis of 6h. Complex $Ru(H)(BH₄)(2c)(3b)$ hydrogenates 5a in ⁱPrOH without the need of an additional base, giving nearly coincident enantioselectivity with 1e in ⁱPrOH using 5 equiv. of base, pointing to a common enantiodetermining step in both reactions. Likewise, the enantioselectivity in the hydrogenation of 5g under standard conditions did not depend on the stereochemistry of starting $Ru(Cl)₂(2a)(3a)$, while additional experiments pointed to the irreversible reduction of this substrate. **Paper** Catalysis Science A weakhooning a consideration and the same of the common and the same of the main of the same of the main of the same of th

> In addition, the mechanism of the reduction of 5a by $Ru(H)₂(2a)(3a)$ has been calculated by DFT methods. Results obtained from the latter are in accord with a stepwise reduction of the imine, 41 with the hydride transfer being enantiodetermining, while the pro-R and the pro-S routes are generated by d1R and d3S dihydrides, respectively. Calculations rationalize the configuration of the preferred product enantiomer and show a good agreement with experimental enantioselectivity. From the examination of several hydrogen activation pathways, the most favourable one is initiated by hydrogen coordination to hydrogen bonded adduct **a1R-R-6a**, followed by activation of the η^2 -H₂ assisted by the amine. In the latter, proton transference from the amine to the amido ligand, leading to an ion pair species, has been observed before an easy hydrogen activation step.

Conflicts of interest

There are no conflicts to declare.

Acknowledgements

This work was supported by the Spanish Ministry of Science and Innovation (projects CTQ2016-75193-P; AEI/FEDER, UE, RED2018-102387-T, PID2019-110856GA-I00 and PGC2018- 100818-A-I00). The Mass Spectrometry Service of the Universidad de Sevilla (CITIUS) is acknowledged for technical assistance as well as the Supercomputing Center of Galicia (CESGA) for the access to computing facilities. Dr. Joaquín López-Serrano is also gratefully acknowledged for providing valuable comments. A. C.-V. also thanks the Spanish MEC and the European Social Fund (Ramón y Cajal Fellowship: RyC-2016-19930). We acknowledge support of the publication fee by the CSIC Open Access Publication Support Initiative through its Unit of Information Resources for Research (URICI). This work is dedicated to Prof. Antonio Otero on the occasion of his retirement.

Notes and references

- 1 For reviews on this topic, see: (a) R. Noyori and T. Ohkuma, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2001, 40, 40; (b) S. E. Clapham, A. Hadzovic and R. H. Morris, Coord. Chem. Rev., 2004, 248, 2201; (c) M. Ito and T. Ikariya, Chem. Commun., 2007, 5134.
- 2 (a) T. Ohkuma, H. Ooka, T. Ikariya and R. Noyori, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1995, 117, 10417; (b) T. Ohkuma, H. Ooka, S. Hashiguchi, T. Ikariya and R. Noyori, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1995, 117, 2675; (c) H. Doucet, T. Ohkuma, K. Murata, T. Yokozawa, M. Kozawa, E. Katayama, A. F. England, T. Ikariya and R. Noyori, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 1998, 37, 1703; (d) T. Ohkuma, M. Koizumi, H. Doucet, T. Pham, M. Kozawa, K. Murata, E. Katayama, T. Yokozawa, T. Ikariya and R. Noyori, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1998, 120, 13529; (e) T. Ohkuma, D. Ishii, H. Takeno and R. Noyori, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2000, 122, 6510; (f) T. Ohkuma, C. A. Sandoval, R. Srinivasan, Q. Lin, Y. Wei, K. Muñiz and R. Noyori, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2005, 127, 8288.
- 3 (a) V. F. Kuznetsov, G. P. A. Yap and H. Alper, Organometallics, 2001, 20, 1300; (b) Y. Xu, N. W. Alcock, G. J. Clarkson, G. Docherty, G. Woodward and M. Wills, Org. Lett., 2004, 6, 4105; (c) R. Guo, C. Elpelt, X. Chen, D. Song and R. H. Morris, Chem. Commun., 2005, 3050; (d) Q. Jing, X. Zhang, J. Sun and K. Ding, Adv. Synth. Catal., 2005, 347, 1193; (e) W. Li, X. Sun, L. Zhou and G. Hou, J. Org. Chem., 2009, 74, 1397; (f) Y. Li, K. Ding and C. A. Sandoval, Org. Lett., 2009, 11, 907; (g) B. Stegink, L. van Boxtel, L. Lefort, A. J. Minnaard, B. L. Feringa and J. G. de Vries, Adv. Synth. Catal., 2010, 352, 2621; (h) Q. Zhu, D. Shi, C. Xia and H. Huang, Chem. – Eur. J., 2011, 17, 7760; (i) K. Matsumura, N. Arai, K. Hori, T. Saito, N. Sayo and T. Ohkuma, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2011, 133, 10696.
- 4 (a) K. Abdur-Rashid, A. J. Lough and R. H. Morris, Organometallics, 2000, 19, 2655; (b) C. J. Cobley and J. P. Henschke, Adv. Synth. Catal., 2003, 345, 195; (c) K. Abdur-Rashid, R. Guo, A. J. Lough, R. H. Morris and D. Song, Adv.

Synth. Catal., 2005, 347, 571; (d) J.-H. Xie, Z.-T. Zhou, W.-L. Kong and Q.-L. Zhou, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2007, 129, 1868; (e) T. Li, I. Bergner, F. N. Haque, M. Z. De Iuliis, D. Song and R. H. Morris, *Organometallics*, 2007, 26, 5940; (f) J. M. John and S. H. Bergens, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2011, 50, 10377.

- 5 (a) K. Abdur-Rashid, S. E. Clapham, A. Hadzovic, J. N. Harvey, A. J. Lough and R. H. Morris, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2002, 124, 15104; (b) C. A. Sandoval, T. Ohkuma, K. Muñiz and R. Noyori, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2003, 125, 13490; (c) R. Abbel, K. Abdur-Rashid, M. Faatz, A. Hadzovic, A. J. Lough and R. H. Morris, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2005, 127, 1870; (d) A. Hadzovic, D. Song, C. M. MacLaughlin and R. H. Morris, Organometallics, 2007, 26, 5987; (e) M. Z.-D. Iuliis and R. H. Morris, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2009, 131, 11263; (f) A. Comas-Vives, G. Ujaque and A. Lledós, Inner- and Outer-Sphere Hydrogenation Mechanisms: A Computational Perspective, Elsevier Masson SAS, 2010, vol. 62; (g) F. Hasanayn and R. H. Morris, Inorg. Chem., 2012, 51, 10808; (h) P. A. Dub, N. J. Henson, R. L. Martin and J. C. Gordon, *J. Am. Chem.* Soc., 2014, 136, 3505; (i) P. A. Dub and J. C. Gordon, Dalton Trans., 2016, 45, 6756. Catalysis Science & Technology

There are no unified so declare.

The mean of the catalogy article is licensed as the property of the catalogy article. So, 21 January 2021. The Commons Article is licensed to the mean of t
	- 6 P. A. Dub and J. C. Gordon, ACS Catal., 2017, 7, 6635.
	- 7 (a) D. S. Zerla, I. Rimoldi, E. Cesarotti, G. Facchetti, M. Pellizzoni and M. Fusè, J. Organomet. Chem., 2014, 771, 2; (b) T. Cruchter and V. A. Larionov, Coord. Chem. Rev., 2018, 376, 95.
	- 8 N. Arai, N. Utsumi, Y. Matsumoto, K. Murata, K. Tsutsumi and T. Ohkuma, Adv. Synth. Catal., 2012, 354, 2089.
	- 9 (a) W. Baratta, G. Chelucci, E. Herdtweck, S. Magnolia, K. Siega and P. Rigo, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2007, 46, 7651; (b) F. Chen, D. He, L. Chen, X. Chang, D. Z. Wang, C. Xu and X. Xing, ACS Catal., 2019, 9, 5562.
	- 10 (a) M. Vaquero, A. Suárez, S. Vargas, G. Bottari, E. Álvarez and A. Pizzano, Chem. – Eur. J., 2012, 18, 15586; (b) P. Kleman, M. Vaquero, I. Arribas, A. Suárez, E. Álvarez and A. Pizzano, Tetrahedron: Asymmetry, 2014, 25, 744.
	- 11 For examples of catalysts containing atropisomerizable phosphites, see: (a) G. J. H. Buisman, M. E. Martin, E. J. Vos, A. Klootwijk, P. C. J. Kamer and P. W. N. M. van Leeuwen, Tetrahedron: Asymmetry, 1995, 6, 719; (b) S. Deerenberg, H. S. Schrekker, G. P. F. Van Strijdonck, P. C. J. Kamer, P. W. N. M. Van Leeuwen, J. Fraanje and K. Goubitz, J. Org. Chem., 2000, 65, 4810; (c) S. Deerenberg, O. Pàmies, M. Diéguez, C. Claver, P. C. J. Kamer and P. W. N. M. Van Leeuwen, J. Org. Chem., 2001, 66, 7626; (d) J. Mazuela, J. J. Verendel, M. Coll, B. Schäffner, A. Börner, P. G. Andersson, O. Pàmies and M. Diéguez, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2009, 131, 12344.
	- 12 (a) K. Mikami, T. Korenaga, M. Terada, T. Ohkuma, T. Pham and R. Noyori, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 1999, 38, 495; (b) K. Aikawa and K. Mikami, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2003, 42, 5455; (c) K. Akiyama, K. Wakabayashi and K. Mikami, Adv. Synth. Catal., 2005, 347, 1569; (d) K. Mikami, H. Kakuno and K. Aikawa, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2005, 44, 7257; (e) K. Mikami, K. Wakabayashi and K. Aikawa, Org. Lett., 2006, 8, 1517; (f) K. Mikami, K. Wakabayashi, Y. Yusa and K. Aikawa, Chem. Commun., 2006, 2365; (g) K. Wakabayashi, K.

Aikawa, S. Kawauchi and K. Mikami, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2008, 130, 5012.

- 13 For other examples of Ru complexes crystallized as mixture of diastereomers, see: (a) H. Brunner, M. Weber, M. Zabel and T. Zwack, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2003, 42, 1859; (b) H. Brunner, T. Tsuno, M. Bodensteiner, S. Gärtner, C. Miyahara, S. Ito, T. Kurosawa and K. Koyama, Eur. J. Inorg. Chem., 2016, 2016, 5405.
- 14 For other examples, see: (a) M. D. Fryzuk, C. D. Montgomery and S. J. Rettig, Organometallics, 1991, 10, 467; (b) P. Crochet, J. Gimeno, S. García-Granda and J. Borge, Organometallics, 2001, 20, 4369.
- 15 (a) R. S. Rowland and R. Taylor, J. Phys. Chem., 1996, 100, 7384; (b) J. Reedijk, Chem. Soc. Rev., 2013, 42, 1776.
- 16 For other examples of phosphite complexes exhibiting fast atropisomerization, see: (a) G. J. H. Buisman, L. A. van der Veen, A. Klootwijk, W. G. J. de Lange, P. C. J. Kamer, P. W. N. M. van Leeuwen and D. Vogt, Organometallics, 1997, 16, 2929; (b) S. Deerenberg, P. C. J. Kamer and P. W. N. M. Van Leeuwen, Organometallics, 2000, 19, 2065; (c) A. Suarez, A. Pizzano, I. Fernandez and N. Khiar, Tetrahedron: Asymmetry, 2001, 12, 633; (d) M. Rubio, A. Suarez, D. D. Río, A. Galindo, E. Álvarez and A. Pizzano, Organometallics, 2009, 28, 547; (e) M. Jouffroy, D. Sémeril, D. Armspach and D. Matt, Eur. J. Org. Chem., 2013, 6069.
- 17 E. de Julián, E. Menéndez-Pedregal, M. Claros, M. Vaquero, J. Díez, E. Lastra, P. Gamasa and A. Pizzano, Org. Chem. Front., 2018, 5, 841.
- 18 A. Chelouan, R. Recio, L. G. Borrego, E. Álvarez, N. Khiar and I. Fernández, Org. Lett., 2016, 18, 3258.
- 19 (a) T. Ohkuma, M. Koizumi, K. Muñiz, G. Hilt, C. Kabuto and R. Noyori, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2002, 124, 6508; (b) C. A. Sandoval, Y. Yamaguchi, T. Ohkuma, K. Kato and R. Noyori, Magn. Reson. Chem., 2006, 44, 66.
- 20 For other hydride–borohydride ruthenium complexes, see: (a) R. Guo, R. H. Morris and D. Song, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2004, 127, 516; (b) R. Guo, X. Chen, C. Elpelt, D. Song and R. H. Morris, Org. Lett., 2005, 7, 1757.
- 21 The synthesis of dihydrides of formula $RuH₂(2)(3a)$ was attempted using procedures described in the literature for the synthesis of ruthenium hydrides (e.g. treatment with H_2) in the presence of a base or reaction with hydride reagents), obtaining complex reaction mixtures in all cases. Moreover, attempts to access chlorohydrides RuH(Cl)(2)(3a) failed as well due to phosphite decomposition under reaction conditions in the reaction of $RuH(Cl)(PPh₃)₃$ (ref. 3c) with phosphine–phosphite ligands.
- 22 (a) O. Pàmies, A. H. Éll, J. S. M. Samec, N. Hermanns and J. E. Bäckvall, Tetrahedron Lett., 2002, 43, 4699; (b) A. H. Ell, J. S. M. Samec, C. Brasse and J.-E. Backvall, Chem. Commun., 2002, 1144.
- 23 Calculations were performed with the Gaussian 09 program, using the B3PW91 functional, with the SDD basis set for Ru and 6-31g(d,p) for main group atoms, including D3 dispersion corrections and the use of the SMD continuum solvent model. For the latter, toluene was used for all

calculations as it is the solvent used in the catalytic reactions (see the ESI† for further details).

- 24 It should be recalled that two different mechanistic pathways have been proposed to explain the dependence of the concentration of base in the asymmetric hydrogenation of ketones (ref. 5h). In reactions prepared without an added base or with a low concentration of base, ketone reduction by $Ru(H)_{2}(diphosphine)(diamine)$ is proposed. In contrast, under high base concentration, alkaline dihydride–amidate complexes resulting from deprotonation of the diamine ligand are proposed as the key reductive species. Considering the low amount of base used in the present reactions, we have assumed that the neutral dihydride $Ru(H)_{2}(2a)(3a)$ should be the reducing species in reactions performed with 1a in toluene. **Paper** Catalysis Science, Area (and K. Ailiamn, j. Am. Chem. 302, also the first for interactions and the causalystic area (and A. Ailiamn and A. Ailiamn and Theodore and Theodore and Theodore and Theodore and Theodore a
	- 25 (a) T. G. Appleton, H. C. Clark and L. E. Manzer, Coord. Chem. Rev., 1973, 10, 335; (b) B. J. Coe and S. J. Glenwright, Coord. Chem. Rev., 2000, 203, 5.
	- 26 For studies considering non-covalent interactions in Noyori type catalysts, see: (a) C. A. Sandoval, Q. Shi, S. Liu and R. Noyori, Chem. – Asian J., 2009, 4, 1221; (b) R. Feng, A. Xiao, X. Zhang, Y. Tang and M. Lei, Dalton Trans., 2013, 42, 2130.
	- 27 A. J. Neel, M. J. Hilton, M. S. Sigman and F. D. Toste, Nature, 2017, 543, 637.
	- 28 For studies illustrating the importance of dispersion interactions in metal catalyzed reactions, see: (a) E. Lyngvi, I. A. Sanhueza and F. Schoenebeck, Organometallics, 2015, 34, 805; (b) A. A. Thomas, K. Speck, I. Kevlishvili, Z. Lu, P. Liu and S. L. Buchwald, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2018, 140, 13976; (c) F. H. Zhang, F. J. Zhang, M. L. Li, J. H. Xie and Q. L. Zhou, Nat. Catal., 2020, 3, 621.
	- 29 P. Dydio and J. N. H. Reek, Chem. Sci., 2014, 5, 2135.
	- 30 Graphical representation of NCI interactions performed with Multiwfn software:T. Lu and F. Chen, J. Comput. Chem., 2012, 33, 580.
	- 31 In this context, it should be mentioned that a key element in the catalytic transfer hydrogenation of imines by the Shvo catalyst is substrate activation by hydrogen bonding: (a) J. S. M. Samec, A. H. Ell and J. E. Backvall, Chem. Commun., 2004, 2748; (b) C. P. Casey, G. A. Bikzhanova, Q. Cui and I. A. Guzei, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2005, 127, 14062; (c) J. B. Aberg, J. S. M. Samec and J. E. Backvall, Chem. Commun., 2006, 2771; (d) C. P. Casey, T. B. Clark and I. A. Guzei, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2007, 129, 11821; (e) A. Comas-Vives, G. Ujaque and A. Lledós, Organometallics, 2007, 26, 4135; (f) A. Comas-Vives, G. Ujaque and A. Lledós, Organometallics, 2008, 27, 4854.
	- 32 A detailed analysis of the hydride transfer step shows the participation of intermediate ion pair species ag-pro-R and ag-pro-S, respectively, with Ru–H distances of 1.903 and 1.899 Å, respectively, while the C–H ones amount to 1.228 and 1.223 Å, respectively, displaying an uncompleted hydride transfer (see the ESI† for details).
	- 33 M. Hernández-Juárez, J. López-Serrano, P. Lara, J. P. Morales-Cerón, M. Vaquero, E. Álvarez, V. Salazar and A. Suárez, Chem. – Eur. J., 2015, 21, 7540.

Catalysis Science & Technology Paper

- 34 A considerable effort has been dedicated to find TS connecting ip -pro-R and N1R without success. From data obtained from reaction scan, a barrier of $\Delta E^{\ddagger} = 6.4$ kcal mol⁻¹ and ΔG^{\ddagger} = 4.0 kcal mol⁻¹ over **ip-pro-R** could be estimated (see the ESI† for details).
- 35 (a) S. Kozuch and S. Shaik, Acc. Chem. Res., 2011, 44, 101; (b) A. Uhe, S. Kozuch and S. Shaik, J. Comput. Chem., 2011, 32, 978.
- 36 For examples of reactions in which the enantiodetermining step is followed by a turnover determining one, see: (a) J. T. Issenhuth, F. P. Notter, S. Dagorne, A. Dedieu and S. Bellemin-Laponnaz, Eur. J. Inorg. Chem., 2010, 529; (b) J. S. Bandar, M. T. Pirnot and S. L. Buchwald, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2015, 137, 14812. Catalysis Science & Technology

24 A considerable effort has been defined to find 13 Schuck, L₁ Balgary, F. Balgary, P. E. Balgary, F. E. Balgar
	- 37 (a) D. H. Gerlach, W. G. Peet and E. L. Muetterties, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1972, 94, 4545; (b) P. Meakin, E. L. Muetterties and J. P. Jesson, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1973, 95, 75; (c) D. G. Gusev and H. Berke, Chem. Ber., 1996, 129, 1143; (d) J. A. Ayllón, C. Gervaux, S. Sabo-Etienne and B. Chaudret, Organometallics, 1997, 16, 2000; (e) C. Soubra, Y. Oishi, T. A.

Albright and H. Fujimoto, *Inorg. Chem.*, 2001, 40, 620; (f) D. Schott, C. J. Sleigh, J. P. Lowe, S. B. Duckett, R. J. Mawby and M. G. Partridge, Inorg. Chem., 2002, 41, 2960.

- 38 (a) A. Rodger and B. F. G. Johnson, Inorg. Chem., 1988, 27, 3061; (b) S. Li and M. B. Hall, Organometallics, 1999, 18, 5682.
- 39 An analogous reaction scheme with cis dihydrides differing in metal configuration leading to opposite product enantiomers has been previously proposed by Morris $5c$ to explain low enantioselectivities observed in the stoichiometric hydrogenation of acetophenone with a mixture of Δ-cis,cis and Λ-cis,cis-A dihydrides.
- 40 For other examples of transition states in bifunctional catalysis stabilized by hydrogen bonds, see: (a) J. F. Sonnenberg, K. Y. Wan, P. E. Sues and R. H. Morris, ACS Catal., 2017, 7, 316; (b) C. S. G. Seo, T. Tannoux, S. A. M. Smith, A. J. Lough and R. H. Morris, J. Org. Chem., 2019, 84, 12040.
- 41 For concerted imine reduction pathways, see:(a) M. Yamakawa, H. Ito and R. Novori, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2000, 122, 1466; (b) Ref. 4e.