# Analyst

## PAPER

Cite this: Analyst, 2021, 146, 4789

Received 3rd May 2021, Accepted 29th June 2021 DOI: 10.1039/d1an00780g [rsc.li/analyst](www.rsc.li/analyst)

## Introduction

Monoclonal antibody (mAb) drugs are used to treat some of the most serious, life-threatening, and chronic diseases, such as cancers, $1$  immune-mediated inflammatory conditions, $2$  and diabetes.<sup>3</sup> Most of the current therapeutic mAbs are humanized or human immunoglobulins G (IgGs), produced as recombinant glycoproteins in eukaryotic cells.<sup>4</sup> IgGs are about 150 kDa in size and comprised of two identical heavy chains of ∼50 kDa and two identical light chains of ∼25 kDa (Fig. 1).<sup>5</sup>

Immunoglobulin G molecules are glycosylated in the  $C_H2$ domains of the Fc region (see Fig. 1), with glycans being covalently attached at the Asn297 residue. The N-glycans of the Fc region contribute approximately 2–3% to the total mass of the IgG protein.<sup>4,5</sup> Despite this low percentage, the N-glycan moieties can have a significant impact on the effector functions of antibodies, such as the antibody-dependent cell mediated cytotoxicity (ADCC) and the complement-dependent cytotoxicity (CDC).6,7 For example, it has been established that the absence of core fucose (Fuc) residues in the N-glycans of the Fc region substantially increases the ADCC activity.<sup>8,9</sup> Moreover, a high sialic acid content reduces ADCC activity but

## A new approach for identifying positional isomers of glycans cleaved from monoclonal antibodies†

Irina Dyukova[,](http://orcid.org/0000-0002-3112-4298) **D**<sup>a</sup> Ahmed Ben Fale[h,](http://orcid.org/0000-0002-6240-0136) D<sup>a</sup> Stephan Warnke, D<sup>a</sup> [N](http://orcid.org/0000-0003-2796-905X)atalia Yalovenko, <sup>a</sup> Vasyl Yatsyna,  $\mathbf{D}^{a,b}$  Priyanka Bansal  $\mathbf{D}^{a}$  and Thomas R. Rizzo  $\mathbf{D}^{*a}$ 

Glycosylation patterns in monoclonal antibodies (mAbs) can vary significantly between different host cell types, and these differences may affect mAbs safety, efficacy, and immunogenicity. Recent studies have demonstrated that glycan isomers with the terminal galactose position on either the Man α1-3 arm or the Man  $\alpha$ 1-6 arm have an impact on the effector functions and dynamic structure of mAbs. The development of a robust method to distinguish positional isomers of glycans is thus critical to guarantee mAb quality. In this work, we apply high-resolution ion mobility combined with cryogenic infrared spectroscopy to distinguish isomeric glycans with different terminal galactose positions, using G1F as an example. Selective enzymatic synthesis of the  $GI(\alpha1-6)F$  isomer allows us to assign the peaks in the arrival-time distributions and the infrared spectra to their respective isomeric forms. Moreover, we demonstrate the impact of the host cell line (CHO and HEK-293) on the IgG G1F gycan profile at the isomer level. This work illustrates the potential of our approach for glycan analysis of mAbs. PAPER<br> **Check for updates**<br> **Check for updates**<br> **Check for the state and the state of the state and the stat** 

at the same time plays an important role in anti-inflammatory responses.10,11 Terminal galactose is well known to enhance CDC activity, and its impact on ADCC activity has also been reported.12–<sup>17</sup> However, it has only recently been demonstrated that the terminal galactose position  $(i.e.,$  on either the core mannose (Man)  $\alpha$ 1-6 or  $\alpha$ 1-3 branch) has a significant effect on the effector functions of mAbs. Aoyama et al. have shown that the  $G1(\alpha1-6)$ F mAb has higher complement component 1q (C1q)- and Fc gamma receptor (FcγR)-binding activities and CDC activity than the G1( $\alpha$ 1-3)F mAb because of the greater involvement of the galactose on the  $\alpha$ 1-6 branch in the structural stability of the  $C_H2$  domain.<sup>18</sup>



Fig. 1 Schematic structure of IgG antibody



<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup>Laboratoire de Chimie Physique Moléculaire, École Polytechnique Fédérale de Lausanne, EPFL SB ISIC LCPM, Station 6, CH-1015 Lausanne, Switzerland. E-mail: thomas.rizzo@epfl.ch

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>b</sup>University of Gothenburg, Department of Physics, 412 96 Gothenburg, Sweden

<sup>†</sup>Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available. See DOI: 10.1039/ d1an00780g

It is important to note that protein biotherapeutics such as mAbs generally exhibit micro-heterogeneities that can lead to the presence/absence or different ratios between the N-glycans in the Fc region with terminal Gal on the Man α1-6 and α1-3 arms. Effective tools are thus needed to analyse protein glycoforms, even at the isomer level, for both biological mAbs and biosimilars.19–<sup>22</sup>

Several methods have been implemented to distinguish and identify positional isomers of released N-linked glycans with terminal Gal ( $\alpha$ 1-6/ $\alpha$ 1-3). These include tandem mass spectrometry,<sup>23</sup> ion mobility spectrometry  $(MS)$ ,<sup>24</sup> and various combinations of selective enzymatic digestion or synthesis with nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR) or high-performance liquid chromatographic (HPLC) analysis. $25-28$  The most commonly used method currently combines hydrophobic interaction liquid chromatography (HILIC) and mass spectrometry (MS), where the chromatographic peak assignment is based on the previously published work indicating that the glycan with a terminal galactose on the upper Man (α1-6) arm elutes prior to that with galactose on the lower Man (α1-3) arm.<sup>29</sup> Despite the potential of this hybrid technique, glycan LC workflows typically involve a derivatization step to label the glycans with a fluorescent tag, since they do not contain a natural chromophore.<sup>30</sup> While this improves sensitivity and facilitates quantification, it complicates the workflow, and the labels can be expensive. Paper **Access Article** in the interaction of the interaction of the common and the set of the set of

Recently there has been a surge in the application of gasphase spectroscopy together with ion mobility spectrometry for the structural characterization of glycans.<sup>24,31–36</sup> In the present work, we use a combination of ultrahigh-resolution IMS with cryogenic infrared spectroscopy as a rapid and reliable technique for glycan isomer identification. Our approach allows one to obtain highly resolved, isomer-specific vibrational spectra, even of larger, more complex glycan ions. We have implemented a chemoenzymatic approach  $37,38$  to synthesize selectively the glycan isomer  $G1(\alpha1-6)F$  and characterize it along with the  $G1(\alpha1-3)F$  isomer by IMS and vibrational spectroscopy. We then demonstrate the impact of the host cell line (CHO and HEK-293) on the ratio of G1F isomers within the glycan profile of IgG.

### Experimental approach

#### Selective chemoenzymatic synthesis of the G1( $\alpha$ 1-6)F isomer

The synthesis of G1( $\alpha$ 1-6)F (Fig. 2) was performed in a total volume of 50 μL containing 0.27 mM of an acceptor G-NGA2 N-linked glycan (Dextra Laboratories, UK), 0.54 mM of GDP-Fuc (guanosine 5′-diphospho-β-L-fucose sodium salt) (Sigma-Aldrich), a MES (2-(N-morpholino)ethanesulfonic acid) buffer solution (100 mM, pH 7.0), and 0.12 mg mL<sup>-1</sup> of recombinant human α1,6-fucosyltransferase (FUT8) (Creative BioMart, USA). The reaction was incubated overnight at 37 °C and then quenched by adding 30 μL of ice-cold acetonitrile to the mixture.<sup>37-39</sup>

After synthesis, the reaction mixture was analysed by HILIC using an AQUITY UPLC H-Class Plus System (Waters) coupled



Fig. 2 Positional isomers of G-NGA2 and G1F glycans with a scheme for chemoenzymatic synthesis of the  $GI(\alpha1-6)F$  isomer using human α1,6-fucosyltransferase FUT8.

to a Q-TOF mass spectrometer (Waters Premier). The final product, G1( $α1-6$ )F isomer, with a yield of ~50% (Table S1, ESI†), was collected using a Waters Fraction Collector III coupled to a UPLC-MS system and concentrated to 15–20 µM (50/50 H2O/acetonitrile mixture).

#### Enzymatic release of N-linked glycans

Recombinant IgG glycoproteins from CHO and HEK-293 cell lines were produced and purified at the EPFL protein production and structure core facility. One hundred μg of lyophilized mAb was diluted in 16  $\mu$ L of H<sub>2</sub>O and incubated with 4 μL of Rapid PNGase F buffer and 1 μL of PNGaseF enzyme (BioConcept, Allschwil, Switzerland) at 50 °C for 10 minutes to detach the N-linked glycans.

To remove peptides, protein, and other contaminants present in the glycan samples after digestion, we used Sep-Pak C18 cartridges from Waters. The cartridges were first conditioned with 1 mL of MeOH followed by 1 mL of 5% acetic acid three times before glycan samples were applied directly after the deglycosylation step. Then cartridges were washed three times with 1 mL of 5% acetic acid. The collected fractions with released N-linked glycans were lyophilised and diluted in 80  $\mu$ L of a 30/70 H<sub>2</sub>O/acetonitrile mixture. The mixtures of released N-linked glycans from IgG produced in CHO and HEK-293 cell lines were analysed by UPLC-MS (Fig. 3). The obtained glycoprofiles for both mAbs are consistent with previously published data. They include three major glycans: G0F, G1F, G2F.<sup>40,41</sup> The glycan G1F appears as a single peak consisting of two positional isomers: G1( $\alpha$ 1-6)F and G1( $\alpha$ 1-3)F. Using the fraction collection procedure explained above, the peak corresponding to G1F cleaved from four portions of 100 µg of each mAb was collected and concentrated.

The glycan standard G1F was purchased from Dextra Laboratories (UK) and contains a mixture of the G1 $(\alpha$ 1-6)F and  $G1(\alpha1-3)$ F positional isomers. Solutions were prepared by dissolving the respective powder in 50/50  $H<sub>2</sub>O/$ acetonitrile mixture fora concentration of 15–20 µM. Sample solutions were stored at −20 °C. We added sodium acetate to all collected fractions to observe the doubly sodiated adduct as the predominant species for ion-mobility and spectroscopy measurements.

#### Ion-mobility-selective IR spectroscopy

Analysis of the glycan standard and collected fractions was performed on a home-built ultrahigh-resolution ion mobility spectrometer coupled to a cryogenic ion trap and a time-of-



Fig. 3 Chromatograms of three major N-linked glycans released from IgGs produced in CHO (blue) and HEK-293 (red) cell lines.

flight (TOF) MS, which improves on a previously published  $\text{design}^{32}$  and will be described in detail in an upcoming publication.42 Briefly, doubly sodiated glycan ions were produced via nanoelectrospray ionization (nESI) of 15–20 μM solutions (50 : 50 water/acetonitrile) and transferred into the instrument through a stainless-steel capillary heated to 120 °C. A dualstage ion funnel assembly $43$  focuses and transfers ions into the ion-mobility region, which is based on structures for lossless ion manipulation (SLIM) technology, originally developed by Smith and co-workers.<sup>44,45</sup> In the SLIM-IMS device, traveling wave (TW) electric potentials created between electrodes on a pair of printed circuit boards propel ions through the drift gas  $(2 \text{ mbar}, N_2)$  on a serpentine path to achieve high mobility resolution. The length of the serpentine separation path is 10 m and can be extended by sending ions for multiple roundtrips along this path. The ion mobility resolving power after a single cycle was determined to be ∼200 using the ion mobility standard peptides GRGDS and SDGRG. The overall resolving standard peptides GRGDS and SDGRG. The overall resolving<br>power can be increased by a factor of  $\sqrt{n}$  as the number of separation cycles *n* is increased.<sup>46</sup> Prior to separation, ions are accumulated on the SLIM board in a 2 m storage region and released in short packages into the separation region. Following separation, ions are transferred into high vacuum, where the arrival time distributions (ATDs) are measured by means of a nested TOF measurement, $47$  yielding an ATD with 100 µs time increments (bin size). Compared to the previous design, the new instrument exhibits higher IMS resolving power and higher sensitivity, both as a result of an updated SLIM device incorporating published design features.<sup>48</sup>

To record cryogenic infrared spectra, ions separated by SLIM-IMS are sent to a cryogenic ion trap, which is held at

45 K. There, the ions are cooled in collisions with a 20 : 80 mixture of nitrogen and helium, which is pulsed into the trap just before the ions arrive. During the collisional cooling process, ions are tagged with  $N_2$  molecules in preparation for performing messenger-tagging spectroscopy.<sup>49,50</sup> The nitrogen-tagged ions are then irradiated for 50 ms with a continuous-wave, mid-IR laser (IPG Photonics) operated at 0.7 W output power. When the laser wavenumber is resonant with a vibrational transition of the molecule, energy is absorbed and then redistributed, causing the nitrogen tag to detach. Monitoring the depletion of the  $N_2$ -tagged ions with the TOF mass analyser as a function of the laser wavenumber gives an IR fingerprint spectrum of the molecule.

#### Results and discussion

#### Isomer identification

For the first time, we demonstrate a comprehensive analysis of G1F N-linked glycan positional isomers using a combination of IMS and cryogenic IR spectroscopy. Commercially available G1F is provided as a mixture of two positional isomers, with the terminal galactose sitting on either the Man α1-6 or Man α1-3 arms (Fig. 2).

Fig. 4 shows the ATD for the doubly sodiated G1F glycan standard ([G1F + 2Na]<sup>2+</sup>,  $m/z$  835). Four distinct peaks were observed after six cycles on the SLIM board (60 m drift path) at an IMS resolving power of approximately 500, as determined by our calibration with GRGDS and SDGRG. Since two neigh-



Fig. 4 Arrival time distribution of G1F after 60 m SLIM-IMS separation (i.e., six separation cycles), representing a mixture of the  $GI(\alpha1-6)F$  and  $G1(\alpha1-3)F$  positional isomers.

bouring peaks (II and III) are just resolved, we can estimate the difference in their CCS values to be 0.2–0.3%.

While SLIM-based ion mobility serves as a powerful, highresolution tool for glycan separation, it is not ideally suited for identification and database construction. Arrival time distributions determined using SLIM depend sensitively on the traveling wave speed and amplitude as well as the temperature and pressure of the drift gas, and hence need to be calibrated to obtain collision cross section (CCS) values.<sup>51</sup> Given a typical deviation of reported CCS values of approximately  $2\%$ ,  $52$  it would be tenuous to identify isomers that have CCSs as close as the species separated here by this method alone, even if done carefully. In contrast, the vibrational spectrum is an intrinsic property of the molecule and provides an identifying fingerprint that is insensitive to external parameters. **Paper**<br> **Solution** Access Article Comparison Articles. According the Comparison Article is the Comparison American Creative Comparison Article is the Creative Comparison Comparison Comparison Article is licensed under the

For two positional isomers, we observed four distinct peaks on the ATD. These peaks could arise from the two reducingend anomers, $53-55$  which we have previously shown can be separated by ultrahigh-resolution IMS, or from different conformers for each isomer.

Fig. 5 displays cryogenic IR spectra of each peak in the ATD of G1F. Even though G1F consists of nine monosaccharide units, each spectrum exhibits a highly distinct spectral fingerprint that can serve as a unique and robust identifier. We confirmed that regardless of the experimental conditions affecting the arrival time distribution, the spectra of the individual species in the ATD are reproducible.

Each IR scan was acquired within ∼10 min to allow for extensive signal averaging. However, many fewer spectral data

points are needed to uniquely identify each species. Moreover, one can see that the  $3600-3700$  cm<sup>-1</sup> range exhibits sufficiently unique absorption bands in every one of the four spectra to facilitate compound identification. Taken together, this would allow us to identify each of these glycans in less than one minute.

Pure isomers G1( $\alpha$ 1-6)F and G1( $\alpha$ 1-3)F are difficult to obtain commercially. The samples we purchased from the few manufacturers that offer them were of unsatisfactory isomeric purity, exhibiting the same ATD profile as for the G1F mixture. The two main strategies to produce asymmetrically branched N-linked glycans are to release them from natural sources<sup>56</sup> or to synthesize them (either chemically or chemoenzymatically). $39,57-59$  Isolation of adequate amounts of N-linked glycans with specific structures from natural sources is challenging due to their low abundance. On the other hand, synthetic methods require sufficiently powerful analytical techniques to assess the isomeric purity.

In order to assign each peak in the ATD of G1F to one of two positional isomers (G1( $\alpha$ 1-6)F and G1( $\alpha$ 1-3)F), we use a chemoenzymatic approach to synthesise the  $G1(\alpha1-6)F$  isomer selectively.<sup>37</sup> The FUT8 enzyme, which is a recombinant human α1,6-fucosyltransferase, requires a free GlcNAc on the α1,3-Man branch of its N-glycan substrate for activity. The enzyme catalyses the transfer of L-fucose to form an  $\alpha$ 1,6linkage to the reducing-end GlcNAc of  $G(\alpha 1-6)$ -NGA2, leading to the selective synthesis of the  $G1(\alpha1-6)F$  isomer.

Fig. 6 displays the ATD obtained for the doubly sodiated G1F glycan mixture (top) and the synthesised G1( $\alpha$ 1-6)F isomer



Ш  $III$ <sup>\*</sup>  $G1(\alpha 1 - 6)F$  $\widetilde{\phantom{m}}$ 450 460 470 480 490 500 510 520 Arrival time, ms

Fig. 5 Cryogenic IR spectra of peaks I–IV observed in the ATD of G1F glycan shown in Fig. 4.

Fig. 6 ATDs of G1F glycan representing a mixture of  $GI(\alpha1-6)F$  and G1 ( $α1-3$ )F positional isomers (top) and the synthesised  $G1(α1-6)F$  isomer (bottom) after 60 m SLIM-IMS separation.

#### Analyst Paper

(bottom) performed under the same experimental conditions after 6 cycles (60 m) of separation by SLIM-IMS. One can see that the ATD of the G1( $\alpha$ 1-6)F isomer is simpler, exhibiting only two distinct peaks  $(III^*)$  and  $IV^*)$  that match the drift times of peaks III and IV of the G1F mixture, respectively.

To confirm that peaks  $III^*$  and  $IV^*$  in the ATD of G1( $\alpha$ 1-6)F correspond to peaks III and IV in the ATD of the G1F mixture, we measured and compared their respective IR spectra. The good agreement between IR fingerprints of III\*/III and IV\*/IV shown in Fig. 7 indeed confirms our assignment. As described in the ESI,† these kinds of isomer assignments can be made using a machine learning approach based on principal component analysis combined with a clustering algorithm.

Based on the selectivity of the chemoenzymatic synthesis of the G1( $\alpha$ 1-6)F isomer, these results demonstrate that peaks III and IV of the ATD of the G1F mixture correspond to G1( $\alpha$ 1-6)F, and thus peaks I and II must correspond to  $G1(\alpha 1-3)F$ . It is worth noting that in the ATD measured here by ion mobility, G1( $\alpha$ 1-3)F arrives prior to G1( $\alpha$ 1-6)F, while using HILIC, the opposite is observed. $29$  This difference results from the different mechanism of separation. In HILIC,  $G1(\alpha1-3)F$  has higher affinity to the stationary phase and elutes after  $G1(\alpha1-6)$ F. In IMS,  $G1(\alpha1-3)F$  has a more compact structure and therefore undergoes fewer collisions with the buffer gas, which makes it arrive sooner than G1( $\alpha$ 1-6)F.<sup>60</sup>

#### Impact of the host cell line on the glycan profile

The results above show that the combination of high-resolution ion mobility and cryogenic ion spectroscopy provides a fast and reliable method for glycan isomer identification. It can be used to complement, or even replace, existing methods for establishing similarity of glycan profiles between biological



Fig. 7 Cryogenic IR spectra of peaks III vs. III\* and IV vs. IV\* observed in the ATDs of G1F, representing a mixture of the G1( $\alpha$ 1-6)F and G1( $\alpha$ 1-3)F positional isomers (foreground, peaks III and IV), and the synthesised G1 (α1-6)F isomer (background, peaks III\* and IV\*) (see Fig. 6).



Fig. 8 ATDs of G1F standard (bottom) and G1F released from recombinant IgG antibody produced in HEK-293 (middle) and CHO cell lines (top) after 60 m SLIM-IMS separation.

drugs and their biosimilars. Different biosimilar suppliers use independently derived cell lines and different manufacturing processes that affect the glycosylation profile, and thus potentially the quality, safety, and efficacy of the final protein product. In the case of G1F, we demonstrate the impact of the host cell line on its ATD profile, which reflects the ratio of positional isomers. Fig. 8 shows the ATD profile of the G1F standard together with those of G1F released from IgG antibodies produced in either CHO or HEK-293 cell lines.

While the ATDs look quite similar in the number of features and their respective drift times, demonstrating the presence of both positional isomers in all three samples, their ratio changes. Based upon the integrated area of each pair of peaks corresponding to the respective positional isomers, the ratio of G1( $\alpha$ 1-3)F to G1( $\alpha$ 1-6)F increases in the following order: standard (65/35) < HEK-293 (70/30) < CHO (75/25) (Fig. S1, ESI†).

In addition to the different ratios of positional isomers, we observe a difference in the relative intensities of the two peaks in the ATD assigned to each isomer. As discussed above, these peaks could arise from the two reducing-end anomers or from different conformations for each isomer. While we suspect that they may arise from the two anomers, the assignment of these features is the subject of ongoing studies.

## **Conclusions**

Our results demonstrate that the combination of ultrahighresolution ion mobility separation with cryogenic vibrational spectroscopy represents a rapid and reliable analytical method

to distinguish positional isomers of glycans. We used a chemoenzymatic approach with the FUT8 enzyme for the selective synthesis of G1( $\alpha$ 1-6)F, which we employ as a standard for assigning the mobility-separated positional isomers of G1F based on their unique IR fingerprint spectra. With this assignment, we can now identify G1F positional isomers in subsequent experiments based on their vibrational fingerprints alone. One should note that the observed isomers differ by as little as 0.2% in their collision cross section, emphasizing the difficulty to distinguish them by IMS-MS methods alone. Paper Workeviands positional isometric of givens. We used a checker Articles. A Nonloon, 2. Licence and the standard of the standard of the standard of the Standard Commons Articles. Commons Articles. Commons Articles Com

We then applied this technique for determining the difference in the ratio of the individual G1F positional isomers from mAbs that have been produced from two different cell lines: CHO and HEK-293. This work demonstrates the power of our approach for monitoring subtle differences in galactosylation of N-glycans cleaved from mAbs.

## Author contributions

NY, ID, and TRR were involved in the conceptualization of the project. SW, ABF, ID, and NY developed different aspects of the experimental methodology. ID, ABF, VY, PB, and NY performed the experiments. ID wrote the original draft and NY, SW, and TRR were involved in editing it. TRR supervised the project and acquired the funding.

## Conflicts of interest

There are no conflicts to declare.

## Acknowledgements

The authors thank the European Research Council (Grant 788697-GLYCANAL), the Swiss National Science Foundation (Grants 200020\_184838 and 206021\_177004), the Swedish Research Council (international postdoc grant 2019-00512) and the EPFL for their generous support of this work. We also thank Dr David Hacker and Dr Kelvin Lau from the EPFL protein production and structure core facility for providing the glycoproteins and Kristina Makasheva from the LCBM EPFL for providing equipment for sample storage and purification.

## References

- 1 D. Henry and C. Taylor, Semin. Oncol., 2014, 41(Suppl 3), S13–S20.
- 2 J. S. Smolen, R. Landewe, J. Bijlsma, G. Burmester, K. Chatzidionysiou, M. Dougados, J. Nam, S. Ramiro, M. Voshaar, R. van Vollenhoven, D. Aletaha, M. Aringer, M. Boers, C. D. Buckley, F. Buttgereit, V. Bykerk, M. Cardiel, B. Combe, M. Cutolo, Y. van Eijk-Hustings, P. Emery, A. Finckh, C. Gabay, J. Gomez-Reino, L. Gossec, J. E. Gottenberg, J. M. W. Hazes, T. Huizinga, M. Jani,
- D. Karateev, M. Kouloumas, T. Kvien, Z. Li, X. Mariette,
- I. McInnes, E. Mysler, P. Nash, K. Pavelka, G. Poor,
- C. Richez, P. van Riel, A. Rubbert-Roth, K. Saag, J. da Silva,
- T. Stamm, T. Takeuchi, R. Westhovens, M. de Wit and D. van der Heijde, Ann. Rheum. Dis., 2017, 76, 960–977.
- 3 L. Heinemann, J. Diabetes Sci. Technol., 2015, 10, 457– 462.
- 4 A. Beck, E. Wagner-Rousset, M. C. Bussat, M. Lokteff, C. Klinguer-Hamour, J. F. Haeuw, L. Goetsch, T. Wurch, A. Van Dorsselaer and N. Corvaia, Curr. Pharm. Biotechnol., 2008, 9, 482–501.
- 5 A. Wright and S. L. Morrison, Trends Biotechnol., 1997, 15, 26–32.
- 6 T. S. Raju, Curr. Opin. Immunol., 2008, 20, 471–478.
- 7 T. Dashivets, M. Thomann, P. Rueger, A. Knaupp, J. Buchner and T. Schlothauer, PLoS One, 2015, 10, e0143520.
- 8 P. Umana, J. Jean-Mairet, R. Moudry, H. Amstutz and J. E. Bailey, Nat. Biotechnol., 1999, 17, 176–180.
- 9 R. L. Shields, J. Lai, R. Keck, L. Y. O'Connell, K. Hong, Y. G. Meng, S. H. Weikert and L. G. Presta, J. Biol. Chem., 2002, 277, 26733–26740.
- 10 B. J. Scallon, S. H. Tam, S. G. McCarthy, A. N. Cai and T. S. Raju, Mol. Immunol., 2007, 44, 1524–1534.
- 11 Y. Kaneko, F. Nimmerjahn and J. V. Ravetch, Science, 2006, 313, 670–673.
- 12 J. Hodoniczky, Y. Z. Zheng and D. C. James, Biotechnol. Prog., 2005, 21, 1644–1652.
- 13 L. Liu, J. Pharm. Sci., 2015, 104, 1866–1884.
- 14 G. Dekkers, R. Plomp, C. A. Koeleman, R. Visser, H. H. von Horsten, V. Sandig, T. Rispens, M. Wuhrer and G. Vidarsson, Sci. Rep., 2016, 6, 36964.
- 15 M. Kiyoshi, J. M. M. Caaveiro, M. Tada, H. Tamura, T. Tanaka, Y. Terao, K. Morante, A. Harazono, N. Hashii, H. Shibata, D. Kuroda, S. Nagatoishi, S. Oe, T. Ide, K. Tsumoto and A. Ishii-Watabe, Sci. Rep., 2018, 8, 3955.
- 16 D. Houde, Y. Peng, S. A. Berkowitz and J. R. Engen, Mol. Cell. Proteomics, 2010, 9, 1716–1728.
- 17 M. Thomann, K. Reckermann, D. Reusch, J. Prasser and M. L. Tejada, Mol. Immunol., 2016, 73, 69–75.
- 18 M. Aoyama, N. Hashii, W. Tsukimura, K. Osumi, A. Harazono, M. Tada, M. Kiyoshi, A. Matsuda and A. Ishii-Watabe, MAbs, 2019, 11, 826–836.
- 19 K. Marino, J. Bones, J. J. Kattla and P. M. Rudd, Nat. Chem. Biol., 2010, 6, 713–723.
- 20 A. Beck, S. Sanglier-Cianferani and A. Van Dorsselaer, Anal. Chem., 2012, 84, 4637–4646.
- 21 B. J. Geist, D. Davis, T. McIntosh, T. Y. Yang, K. Goldberg, C. Han, C. Pendley and H. M. Davis, MAbs, 2013, 5, 150– 161.
- 22 B. L. Duivelshof, W. Jiskoot, A. Beck, J. L. Veuthey, D. Guillarme and V. D'Atri, Anal. Chim. Acta, 2019, 1089, 1– 18.
- 23 M. R. Wormald, P. M. Rudd, D. J. Harvey, S. C. Chang, I. G. Scragg and R. A. Dwek, Biochemistry, 1997, 36, 1370–1380.
- 25 A. W. Barb and J. H. Prestegard, Nat. Chem. Biol., 2011, 7, 147–153.
- 26 T. Mizuochi, J. Hamako and K. Titani, Arch. Biochem. Biophys., 1987, 257, 387–394.
- 27 N. Takahashi, I. Ishii, H. Ishihara, M. Mori, S. Tejima, R. Jefferis, S. Endo and Y. Arata, Biochemistry, 1987, 26, 1137–1144.
- 28 Y. Yamaguchi, K. Kato, M. Shindo, S. Aoki, K. Furusho, K. Koga, N. Takahashi, Y. Arata and I. Shimada, J. Biomol. NMR, 1998, 12, 385–394.
- 29 D. Reusch, M. Haberger, B. Maier, M. Maier, R. Kloseck, B. Zimmermann, M. Hook, Z. Szabo, S. Tep, J. Wegstein, N. Alt, P. Bulau and M. Wuhrer, MAbs, 2015, 7, 167–179.
- 30 E. Largy, F. Cantais, G. Van Vyncht, A. Beck and A. Delobel, J. Chromatogr. A, 2017, 1498, 128–146.
- 31 C. Masellis, N. Khanal, M. Z. Kamrath, D. E. Clemmer and T. R. Rizzo, J. Am. Soc. Mass Spectrom., 2017, 28, 2217–2222.
- 32 A. Ben Faleh, S. Warnke and T. R. Rizzo, Anal. Chem., 2019, 91, 4876–4882.
- 33 O. Hernandez, S. Isenberg, V. Steinmetz, G. L. Glish and P. Maitre, J. Phys. Chem. A, 2015, 119, 6057–6064.
- 34 B. Schindler, L. Barnes, C. J. Gray, S. Chambert, S. L. Flitsch, J. Oomens, R. Daniel, A. R. Allouche and I. Compagnon, J. Phys. Chem. A, 2017, 121, 2114–2120.
- 35 C. Manz and K. Pagel, Curr. Opin. Chem. Biol., 2018, 42, 16– 24.
- 36 E. Mucha, A. Stuckmann, M. Marianski, W. B. Struwe, G. Meijer and K. Pagel, Chem. Sci., 2019, 10, 1272–1284.
- 37 A. D. Calderon, Y. Liu, X. Li, X. Wang, X. Chen, L. Li and P. G. Wang, Org. Biomol. Chem., 2016, 14, 4027–4031.
- 38 A. D. Calderon, J. Zhou, W. Guan, Z. Wu, Y. Guo, J. Bai, Q. Li, P. G. Wang, J. Fang and L. Li, Org. Biomol. Chem., 2017, 15, 7258–7262.
- 39 L. Li, Y. Liu, C. Ma, J. Qu, A. D. Calderon, B. Wu, N. Wei, X. Wang, Y. Guo, Z. Xiao, J. Song, G. Sugiarto, Y. Li, H. Yu, X. Chen and P. G. Wang, Chem. Sci., 2015, 6, 5652–5661.
- 40 S. Nallet, L. Fornelli, S. Schmitt, J. Parra, L. Baldi, Y. O. Tsybin and F. M. Wurm, Nat. Biotechnol., 2012, 29, 471–476.
- 41 D. T. Monteil, V. Juvet, J. Paz, M. Moniatte, L. Baldi, D. L. Hacker and F. M. Wurm, Biotechnol. Prog., 2016, 32, 1174–1180.
- 42 S. Warnke, A. Ben Faleh and T. R. Rizzo, Meas. Sci., 2021, submitted.
- 43 T.-C. Chen, T. L. Fillmore, S. A. Prost, R. J. Moore, Y. M. Ibrahim and R. D. Smith, Anal. Chem., 2015, 87, 7326–7331.
- 44 L. Deng, I. K. Webb, S. V. B. Garimella, A. M. Hamid, X. Zheng, R. V. Norheim, S. A. Prost, G. A. Anderson, J. A. Sandoval, E. S. Baker, Y. M. Ibrahim and R. D. Smith, Anal. Chem., 2017, 89, 4628–4634.
- 45 A. M. Hamid, S. V. B. Garimella, Y. M. Ibrahim, L. Deng, X. Zheng, I. K. Webb, G. A. Anderson, S. A. Prost, R. V. Norheim, A. V. Tolmachev, E. S. Baker and R. D. Smith, Anal. Chem., 2016, 88, 8949–8956.
- 46 A. A. Shvartsburg and R. D. Smith, Anal. Chem., 2008, 80, 9689–9699.
- 47 C. S. Hoaglund, S. J. Valentine, C. R. Sporleder, J. P. Reilly and D. E. Clemmer, Anal. Chem., 1998, 70, 2236–2242.
- 48 A. Li, G. Nagy, C. R. Conant, R. V. Norheim, J. Y. Lee, C. Giberson, A. L. Hollerbach, V. Prabhakaran, I. K. Attah, C. D. Chouinard, A. Prabhakaran, R. D. Smith, Y. M. Ibrahim and S. V. B. Garimella, Anal. Chem., 2020, 92(22), 14930–14938. Access Articles. Published on 10/5/2024 1193-1193 - The Source Common Access Article is a Marker and Access Article is a Marker and Access Article is a Marker and Access Articles. A Finder and Access Articles American Mar
	- 49 M. Z. Kamrath, E. Garand, P. A. Jordan, C. M. Leavitt, A. B. Wolk, M. J. Van Stipdonk, S. J. Miller and M. A. Johnson, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2011, 133, 6440–6448.
	- 50 A. B. Wolk, C. M. Leavitt, E. Garand and M. A. Johnson, Acc. Chem. Res., 2014, 47, 202.
	- 51 A. Li, C. R. Conant, X. Zheng, K. J. Bloodsworth, D. J. Orton, S. V. B. Garimella, I. K. Attah, G. Nagy, R. D. Smith and Y. M. Ibrahim, Anal. Chem., 2020, 92(22), 14976–14982.
	- 52 S. M. Stow, T. J. Causon, X. Y. Zheng, R. T. Kurulugama, T. Mairinger, J. C. May, E. E. Rennie, E. S. Baker, R. D. Smith, J. A. McLean, S. Hann and J. C. Fjeldsted, Anal. Chem., 2017, 89, 9048–9055.
	- 53 S. Warnke, A. Ben Faleh, V. Scutelnic and T. R. Rizzo, J. Am. Soc. Mass Spectrom., 2019, 30, 2204–2211.
	- 54 G. Nagy, I. K. Attah, S. V. B. Garimella, K. Tang, Y. M. Ibrahim, E. S. Baker and R. D. Smith, Chem. Commun., 2018, 54, 11701–11704.
	- 55 J. Ujma, D. Ropartz, K. Giles, K. Richardson, D. Langridge, J. Wildgoose, M. Green and S. Pringle, J. Am. Soc. Mass Spectrom., 2019, 30, 1028–1037.
	- 56 S. Hua, H. J. An, S. Ozcan, G. S. Ro, S. Soares, R. DeVere-White and C. B. Lebrilla, Analyst, 2011, 136, 3663–3671.
	- 57 Y. Maki, R. Okamoto, M. Izumi, T. Murase and Y. Kajihara, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2016, 138, 3461–3468.
	- 58 Z. Wang, Z. S. Chinoy, S. G. Ambre, W. Peng, R. McBride, R. P. de Vries, J. Glushka, J. C. Paulson and G. J. Boons, Science, 2013, 341, 379–383.
	- 59 I. A. Gagarinov, T. Li, J. S. Torano, T. Caval, A. D. Srivastava, J. A. Kruijtzer, A. J. Heck and G. J. Boons, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2017, 139, 1011–1018.
	- 60 S. Re, S. Watabe, W. Nishima, E. Muneyuki, Y. Yamaguchi, A. D. MacKerell, Jr. and Y. Sugita, Sci. Rep., 2018, 8, 1644.