Nanoscale Advances

PAPER

Check for updates

Cite this: Nanoscale Adv., 2020, 2, 5790

Received 20th July 2020 Accepted 9th October 2020

DOI: 10.1039/d0na00591f

rsc.li/nanoscale-advances

1. Introduction

Ferrites are categorized as electro-ceramics with ferromagnetic properties, mainly consisting of ferric oxide, α -Fe₂O₃.¹ The general formula of ferrites is represented by $(M_{1-x}Fe_x)_A$ $(M_x$ - Fe_{2-x} _BO₄, where A and B represent tetrahedral and octahedral sites respectively.² Magnetic ferrites are inverse spinel where the divalent ions occupy the octahedral sites and the trivalent ions occupy both the octahedral and tetrahedral sites giving rise to various magnetic behaviors.² Both the structural and magnetic properties of ferrites vary depending on their sizes. Nickel ferrite (NiFe₂O₄) is a cubic ferrimagnetic oxide with an inverse structure where the divalent ion (Ni²⁺) occupies the octahedral sites and the trivalent ions (Fe³⁺) occupy both the tetrahedral and octahedral sites.3 The ferrimagnetism arises from the magnetic moment of the inverted spins present between the Fe³⁺ ions in the tetrahedral sites and Ni²⁺ ions in the octahedral sites.² So, NiFe₂O₄ is considered as a soft ferromagnetic material.⁴ It is reported that when the diameter of the particle is less than that of a single magnetic domain, spinel ferrite nanoparticles (NPs) become superparamagnetic.4 Among different ferrites, spinel NiFe₂O₄ became a topic of interest in recent times because of its excellent technological applications.⁵⁻⁹

NiFe₂O₄ nanoparticles: an efficient and reusable catalyst for the selective oxidation of benzyl alcohol to benzaldehyde under mild conditions[†]

ROYAL SOCIETY OF **CHEMISTRY**

View Article Online

View Journal | View Issue

Saddam Iraqui, Siddhartha Shankar Kashyap and Md. Harunar Rashid 🕑 *

Benzaldehyde is one of the most important and versatile organic chemicals for industrial applications. This study explores a milder approach for the fabrication of NiFe₂O₄ nanoparticles (NPs) for use as a catalyst in the selective oxidation of benzyl alcohol to benzaldehyde. A co-precipitation method coupled with hydrothermal aging has been adopted to synthesize NiFe₂O₄ NPs in the absence of any additive. Different techniques such as electron microscopy, diffractometry, and photoelectron spectroscopy have been used to characterize the products. The results showed that the synthesized NiFe₂O₄ NPs are spherical, pure, and highly crystalline with sizes below 12 nm possessing superparamagnetic behaviour. The catalytic activity of the synthesized NiFe₂O₄ NPs has been assessed in the selective oxidation of benzyl alcohol under ambient reaction conditions. A conversion of 85% benzyl alcohol with 100% selectivity has been attained with *t*-butyl hydroperoxide at 60 °C in 3 h. With the optimized reaction conditions, the generality of the newly developed protocol has been expanded to a wide array of substituted benzyl alcohols with good performance. The NiFe₂O₄ nanocatalysts are magnetically separable and are reusable up to five cycles without loss of catalytic activity.

These technological applications motivated researchers to develop an efficient chemical technique to synthesize NiFe₂O₄ of controlled sizes and shapes. Among different chemical synthesis methods, the hydrothermal method is considered to be the most efficient because of various advantages associated with such techniques such as well-controlled particle size, morphology, and crystallinity. Also by changing the reaction time, pH of the reaction medium, and temperature, highly crystallized and weakly accumulated powders having narrow size distributions can be produced.^{10,11} Moreover, hydrothermal methods are simple, environment-friendly, and cost-effective.4,12 Accordingly, many researchers utilized the hydrothermal method under different reaction conditions to synthesize NiFe₂O₄ NPs. For example, Shen et al. reported the synthesis of highly ordered octahedral like NiFe2O4 with enhanced magnetic performance via a novel multistep hydrothermal approach at 180 °C for 8 h in the presence of ethylene glycol.13 Kesavan et al. synthesized NiFe₂O₄ NPs with a cubic crystal structure with an average crystallite size of 16 nm by a hydrothermal method at 130 °C for 12 h in the presence of polyvinylpyrrolidone (PVP) as an additive. They further calcined the isolated sample at 550 °C for 4 h to get the desired product.14 Safaei et al. presented a simple and efficient method to synthesize a magnetic NiFe2O4 nanocatalyst under hydrothermal conditions at 200 °C for 24 h using urea and polyethylene glycol (PEG) as additives.¹⁵ Paul et al. reported a novel and facile approach for the synthesis of spinel NiFe₂O₄ NPs employing homogeneous chemical precipitation followed by

Department of Chemistry, Rajiv Gandhi University, Rono Hills, Doimukh 791 112, Arunachal Pradesh, India. E-mail: harunar.rashid@rgu.ac.in

[†] Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available. See DOI: 10.1039/d0na00591f

Paper

hydrothermal treatment using tributylamine (TBA) as a hydroxvlating agent in the presence of PEG as a surfactant.¹⁶ Karaagac et al. synthesized NiFe2O4 NPs of different sizes and shapes in a two-step co-precipitation/hydrothermal process by varying the temperature between 125 and 200 °C for 40 h.17 Li et al. reported the synthesis of nanocrystalline NiFe2O4 via a hydrothermal method at 160 °C for 6 h assisted with homogeneous coprecipitation at low temperatures in the presence of urea.¹⁸ There was no defined morphology and size of the formed NiFe₂O₄ nanocrystal. Rafique et al. reported the synthesis of NiFe₂O₄ nanooctahedra in the gram scale via a hydrothermal method at 220 °C for 12 h.19 Nejati et al. reported the synthesis of NiFe2O4 NPs by a hydrothermal method at different temperatures of 100, 130, and 150 °C for 18 h.20 They used triethylamine in ethyl acetate solution to adjust the pH of the reaction mixture. They further investigated the inhibition of the surfactant (glycerol or sodium dodecyl sulphate) on particle growth. Although the above reports were of successfully synthesized NiFe₂O₄ NPs, most of the reports utilized additives in the form of surfactants or polymers or the reaction was performed at higher temperatures or the reaction was continued for a long time. So, the processes are lengthy, energyconsuming, and in some cases complex due to the presence of additives. Hence, a mild and simple hydrothermal method of synthesis of uniform NiFe2O4 NPs without using any additive is highly desirable and is the current topic of research interest.

One of the most important and versatile organic chemicals in the chemical industry is benzaldehyde. It serves as a synthetic intermediate for agrochemicals, dyes, perfumery, and pharmaceuticals.²¹ It appears as the second most important aromatic molecule after vanillin used in the cosmetics and flavour industries.^{22,23} In commercial practice, benzaldehyde is commonly prepared by the partial oxidation of benzyl alcohol, alkaline hydrolysis of benzyl chloride, liquid-phase oxidation of toluene, and the carbonylation of benzene.24,25 However, the existence of a chlorinated product and low selectivity towards benzaldehyde are the main concerns of these processes.26 Another method to produce benzaldehyde is through the catalytic oxidation of styrene at the side chain.²⁷ However, the harsh reaction conditions and complex and expensive methodologies implemented for the controlled conversion of styrene into one desired oxygenated product (selectivity issue) limit the applicability of this method. Therefore, many new routes have been introduced in recent years for the synthesis of benzaldehyde. One of the popular techniques is the catalytic oxidation of benzyl alcohol selectively using both homogeneous and heterogeneous catalyst systems.28 Although homogeneous systems were found to be successful, reusability, cost, sustainability, and toxicity issues limit their uses.28 Whereas, heterogeneous catalysts are in general more favourable than traditional homogeneous ones due to their promising easy separation and potential recycling. Subsequently, several heterogeneous catalyst systems were reported recently for the oxidation of benzyl alcohol with different oxidants. But satisfactory results in terms of selectivity were attained in only a few cases.²⁹⁻³⁴ Therefore, the demand for efficient and selective catalysts is the driving force for the researchers to synthesize

new catalysts. Among different heterogeneous catalysts, magnetic ferrites occupied a significant position due to their easy recovery, low cost, and low toxicity. For example, Gawande et al. reported the use of recyclable Fe₃O₄-Co NPs for the oxidation of alcohols to carbonyl compounds using t-butyl hydroperoxide (TBHP) as the oxidant. They attained a conversion of 82% benzyl alcohol to benzaldehyde at 80 °C after 5 h.35 Saranya et al. reported the use of supported NiFe₂O₄ for the oxidation of benzyl alcohol in an acetonitrile medium at 80 °C using O2 as the oxidant. The conversion of benzyl alcohol reached a maximum of 77% with 100% selectivity.36 Sadri et al. reported the use of the magnetically separable nano-CoFe₂O₄ catalyst for oxidation of primary and secondary benzylic and aliphatic alcohols to produce the corresponding carbonyl products in water with oxone as the oxidizing agent at room temperature.³⁷ Zhu et al. reported the use of CuFe₂O₄ as a catalyst for the oxidation of benzyl alcohol in the presence of TEMPO in water at 100 °C for 24 h using O₂ as the oxidant. They recorded a maximum yield of 95%, which decreased when the amount of TEMPO was lowered.38 Bhat et al. reported the use of different ferrites for the oxidation of benzyl alcohol in an acetonitrile medium using H₂O₂ as an oxidant at 80 °C for 7 h. The maximum conversion of 82.4% was attained with a nanofunctionalized NiFe2O4 catalyst.39 Nasrollahzadeh et al. studied the performance of CoFe₂O₄ NPs in the oxidation of benzyl alcohol to benzaldehyde using H2O2 as an oxidant under solvent-free conditions. They acquired >99% conversion of benzyl alcohol to benzaldehyde at 110 °C for 5 h.40 A careful investigation suggests that in the above reports either the catalyst system was prepared using a complex methodology or harsh conditions such as high temperatures or longer reaction time was applied for the desired conversion. So, it is highly desirable to design and develop an efficient and mild protocol for the selective oxidation of benzyl alcohol to benzaldehyde. To address the above issues with the synthesis of ferrites and the selective oxidation of benzyl alcohol, the objective of the current investigation is (i) to synthesize uniform, easily separable, and cost-effective non-toxic catalysts easily and simply and (ii) to use the synthesized catalyst for selective oxidation of benzyl alcohol to benzaldehyde under mild reaction conditions. Subsequently, we were able to address some of the issues by synthesizing NiFe₂O₄ NPs via coprecipitation coupled with hydrothermal treatment in the absence of any additive or other toxic agents at a temperature of 180 °C for 3 h. We were able to produce highly crystalline, uniform particles. These particles successfully catalyze the oxidation of benzyl alcohol and its substituted derivative selectively under mild conditions. So, the objective of the research was accomplished with great success.

2. Experimental section

2.1 Chemicals

Anhydrous ferric chloride (FeCl₃), nickel chloride hexahydrate (NiCl₂ \cdot 6H₂O), benzyl alcohol (C₆H₅CH₂OH), hydroquinone, and ammonium hydroxide (NH₄OH; 25%) were purchased from Merck India. *t*-Butyl hydroperoxide (TBHP; 70% in water) and other substituted benzylic and aliphatic alcohols were

View Article Online Paper

purchased from Sigma-Aldrich and Alfa-Aesar with the purity of 97–99%. All the reagents were used without further purification. All the glassware was cleaned in a bath of freshly prepared aquaregia solution (HCl : HNO₃ = 3 : 1 V/V) and then rinsed thoroughly with double distilled water. Double distilled water was used for all types of material preparation.

2.2 Synthesis of NiFe₂O₄ nanoparticles

To synthesize NiFe₂O₄, equal volume of aqueous NiCl₂ (25 mL; 0.05 M) and FeCl₃ (25 mL; 0.1 M) solution were taken in a round bottom (RB) flask fitted with a condenser and placed at 80 °C to get a Ni²⁺ to Fe³⁺ ratio of 1 : 2. The mixture of metal salt solutions was then subjected to constant stirring to get a homogeneous solution followed by the dropwise addition of aqueous NH₄OH (5.25 M; 20 mL) solution. The reaction mixture was stirred for 30 min at the same temperature. The color of the reaction mixture gradually changed and finally, a reddish-brown precipitate was noticed. The whole reaction mixture was then transferred into a 100 mL Teflon lined autoclave and aged for 3 h at 180 °C. After that, the reactor containing the product was allowed to cool down to room temperature naturally. The solid precipitate was collected by magnetic separation using a simple bar magnet. The isolated solid product was purified by washing with H₂O several times till a pH of 7.0 was obtained and finally dried in a vacuum at 60 °C for 12 h. This sample was labelled as NiFe₂O₄-3. Another set of the reaction was carried out by changing the hydrothermal aging time to 4 h keeping all the reaction conditions the same as the previous one and the sample was denoted as NiFe₂O₄-4. The synthesized particles were characterized by different spectroscopic, microscopic, and diffractometric techniques as discussed in detail in the ESI.†

2.3 General procedure for the oxidation of benzyl alcohol

For the oxidation reaction, 10 mg of NiFe₂O₄ NPs (0.04 mmol; sample NiFe₂O₄-3) was added to 3 mL of acetonitrile taken in a clean dry round bottom flask of volume 10 mL. For the efficient dissolution of the catalyst, the mixture was sonicated for about 5 min. Following this, 0.104 mL benzyl alcohol (1 mmol) and 0.05 mL of 70% TBHP (0.4 mmol) was added to the reaction mixture and was subjected to magnetic stirring at 60 °C under reflux conditions. The progress of the reaction was monitored by thinlayer chromatography (TLC). After the complete conversion of the reaction, the reaction mixture was allowed to cool to room temperature and the catalyst was separated using a simple bar magnet. The reaction mixture was then diluted with an optimum amount of ethyl acetate and water. The organic layer was separated and washed with brine and dried over Na₂SO₄. The solvent was removed in a rotary evaporator (Buchi) under reduced pressure. The crude product was purified by column chromatography (5% ethyl acetate in hexane) on silica gel (100-200 mesh) to get the desired product. The products were identified by ¹H NMR and ¹³C NMR.



Fig. 1 XRD patterns of NiFe $_2O_4$ NPs prepared at the hydrothermal aging time of 3 and 4 h.

3. Results and discussion

3.1 X-ray diffraction study

Fig. 1 shows the X-ray diffraction (XRD) pattern of the asprepared samples. The diffractogram showed diffraction peaks at $2\theta = 30.1$, 35.6, 37.2, 43.2, 53.7, 57.1, and 62.7° which are assigned to (220), (311), (222), (400), (422), (511), and (440) planes of cubic structures of spinel NiFe₂O₄ (JCPDS Card no. 10-0325).⁴¹ No additional diffraction peaks due to impurities were noticed in the XRD pattern indicating the formation of pure and highly crystalline spinel NiFe₂O₄. Similar XRD results were reported earlier for both spherical and sheet-like NiFe₂O₄ NPs.^{4,42} The average crystallite size as measured using Scherer's equation (eqn (1)) was found to be 8.2 nm for both the samples.

$$D = \frac{0.9\lambda}{\beta\cos\theta} \tag{1}$$

where λ is the wavelength of the X-ray radiation (0.154 nm), θ is the diffraction angle and β is the full width at half maximum (FWHM).

3.2 Electron microscopy study

To examine the morphological evolution, we recorded the scanning electron microscopy (SEM) images of the samples. Fig. 2a shows the SEM image of sample NiFe₂O₄-3 prepared at the hydrothermal aging time of 3 h, which is dominated by highly populated spherical particles of sizes below 15 nm. The SEM image of NiFe₂O₄-4 prepared at the hydrothermal aging time of 4 h also shows similar morphology and sizes. This might indicate that the hydrothermal aging time does not have much



Fig. 2 FESEM images of the as-prepared NiFe₂O₄ NPs: (a) sample NiFe₂O₄-3 and (b) sample NiFe₂O₄-4.

influence on the morphology and size of the products. Srivastava *et al.* also reported the formation of spherical NiFe₂O₄ NPs of sizes 15–20 nm by a hydrothermal method at 160 °C for 15 h.⁴ Abu-Dief *et al.* reported the synthesis of spherical NiFe₂O₄ NPs of an average size of 5 nm by the hydrothermal method at 180 °C for 24 h in presence of PEG.⁸ Ganesh *et al.* observed the formation of clusters of sheets of NiFe₂O₄ of average crystallite size of 16 nm from the respective salts in an aqueous medium by a hydrothermal method performed at 130 °C for 12 h in presence of PVP.⁴² The comparison of the results indicated that in the absence of any additive, nearly monodisperse $NiFe_2O_4$ NPs can be obtained within a shorter time. The energy dispersive X-ray (EDX) spectroscopy analysis confirmed the presence of elemental Ni, Fe, and O in the atomic ratio of approximately 1:2:4 in both the samples (Fig. S1 in the ESI[†]).

Further to study the morphological evolution, transmission electron microscopy (TEM) images were recorded from the samples. The TEM image recorded from sample NiFe₂O₄-3 (Fig. 3a) further confirmed the presence of spherical NPs those are mostly aggregated. This might be due to the absence of any



Fig. 3 TEM images of the as-prepared NiFe₂O₄ NPs: (a) TEM image, (b) HRTEM image, and (c) SAED pattern recorded from sample NiFe₂O₄-3 and (d) TEM image, (e) HRTEM image, and (f) SAED pattern recorded from sample NiFe₂O₄-4.

stabilizer or magnetic properties of the particles. The highresolution TEM (HRTEM) image recorded from a portion (Fig. 3b) showed the presence of well-resolved lattice fringes with a *d* space value of 0.3 nm. This value corresponds to the (220) lattice plane in NiFe2O4. The selected area electron diffraction (SAED) study (Fig. 3c) revealed the presence of bright spots superimposed on a ring pattern confirming that the NiFe₂O₄ NPs are polycrystalline. The TEM image recorded from sample NiFe₂O₄-4 (Fig. 3d) also shows similar structural evolution as observed in sample NiFe₂O₄-3. In this case, the particles are more uniform compared to the previous one. The HRTEM image and SAED pattern also show similar characteristics as observed in sample NiFe₂O₄-3. The mean size of NiFe₂O₄ NPs for both the samples was calculated from TEM micrographs considering 100 particles (Fig. S2 in the ESI⁺). The mean sizes of NiFe2O4 NPs are 11.3 and 10.2 nm respectively for samples NiFe₂O₄-3 and NiFe₂O₄-4. A slight decrease in the particle size in sample NiFe₂O₄-4 might be attributed to the high hydrothermal aging time. Further, the average size obtained from TEM is slightly larger than the crystallite sizes of NiFe₂O₄ obtained from XRD results.

3.3 Magnetic property study

The hysteresis curve of the NiFe₂O₄ NPs was investigated using a vibrating sample magnetometer (VSM) at room temperature (300 K). The hysteresis curves of NiFe₂O₄ samples are shown in Fig. 4a. The NiFe₂O₄ NPs in both the samples exhibit superparamagnetic behavior with the saturation magnetic moment (M_s) of 0.6 emu and without magnetic hysteresis loop, coercivity (H_c), and remanent magnetization (M_r). A similar observation was also noticed in the magnetic properties of NiFe₂O₄ NPs in sample NiFe₂O₄-4 which is a characteristic of super-paramagnetism.⁴³ The obtained saturation magnetization (M_s) value is lower than the values of bulk NiFe₂O₄ particles reported elsewhere.¹¹ Super-paramagnetic behavior arises from the fact that when a magnetic field is applied, the NPs need to overcome the thermal barrier to align themselves with the direction of the field and above $T_{\rm B}$ due to thermal energy, this barrier is overcome.

The temperature-dependent magnetization of NiFe₂O₄ NPs was studied from zero field cooling-field cooling (ZFC-FC) measurements. For the ZFC-FC measurements, the sample was cooled down to 5 K in zero fields and the magnetization was recorded as the sample was heated to 300 K in an applied field of 500 Oe. Then, the sample was cooled to 5 K under an applied field of 500 Oe and the magnetization was recorded as the sample was heated to 300 K in the same field. The ZFC-FC curves of NiFe2O4 NPs are shown in Fig. 4b that exhibited a sharp divergence below 150 K with blocking temperatures $(T_{\rm B})$ around 75 and 58 K respectively for samples NiFe2O4-3 and NiFe₂O₄-4. That is, the sample of NiFe₂O₄ NPs has a maximum in ZFC measurements and constant moments below $T_{\rm B}$ for FC measurements. This was due to the blocking of magnetic moments because of the presence of anisotropy. Such divergence of the magnetization below the blocking temperature in the ZFC-FC measurements is due to the existence of the energy barriers of magnetic anisotropy and the slow relaxation of the particles below the blocking temperature. This magnetic anisotropy energy barrier is the key to superparamagnetic relaxation.

3.4 X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy study

To study the elemental composition and the oxidation states of the elements present in the synthesized product, we analyzed the sample $NiFe_2O_4$ -4 by X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS). A typical survey scan XPS spectrum present in Fig. 5a shows the presence of elements O 1s, Ni 2p, and Fe 2p. The high-resolution XPS spectrum of the core level Fe 2p



Fig. 4 (a) Magnetic hysteresis curves (M vs. H) of samples NiFe₂O₄-3 and NiFe₂O₄-4 at 300 K and (b) temperature dependence of magnetization of samples NiFe₂O₄-3 and NiFe₂O₄-3 and NiFe₂O₄-3 and NiFe₂O₄-4 measured under field-cooled (FC) and zero-field-cooled (ZFC) conditions at an applied field of 500 Oe.



Fig. 5 X-ray photoelectron spectra of sample NiFe₂O₄-4: (a) survey scan, and (b) Fe 2p, (c) Ni 2p, and (d) O 1s regions.

region (Fig. 5b) shows two broad peaks at a binding energy of 724.9 eV (Fe $2p_{1/2}$) and 711.5 eV (Fe $2p_{3/2}$) with a satellite peak of Fe $2p_{3/2}$ at around ~718.2 eV. These peaks correspond to the presence of Fe in the Fe(m) state in the product.⁴⁴ The presence of a satellite peak further supports the absence of the Fe₃O₄ phase in the composite. The Fe $2p_{3/2}$ peak can further be fitted into two peaks at ~712.6 and ~710.4 eV which corresponds to the presence of Fe³⁺ ions in both octahedral and tetrahedral sites of NiFe₂O₄ respectively.⁴⁴ These observations further indicate that NiFe₂O₄ has a partial inverse spinel structure. Fig. 5c shows the high-resolution XPS spectrum of core level Ni 2p where two peaks were observed at 873.4 (Ni $2p_{1/2}$) and 855.5 (Ni $2p_{3/2}$) which are accompanied by another satellite peak at ~656.2 and ~854.7 eV which reveals the presence of two

non-equivalent bonds due to two types of lattice sites, *i.e.* tetrahedral and octahedral sites of NiFe₂O₄.⁴⁴ Fig. 5d shows the O 1s core-level spectrum, where three peaks were observed at 529.6, 530.8, and 532.4 eV in the fitted curve. The peak found at 529.6 eV is due to O^{2-} in the NiFe₂O₄ crystal lattice, whereas the peak at 530.8 is due to the chemisorbed oxygen associated with the surface adsorbed hydroxyl groups. The peak at binding energy 532.4 eV is attributed to the presence of water. A similar observation was reported earlier for NiFe₂O₄ thin films deposited on a quartz substrate deposited by radiofrequency magnetron sputtering.⁴⁵

3.5 Oxidation of benzyl alcohol

To investigate the catalytic activity of prepared $NiFe_2O_4$ NPs, oxidation of benzyl alcohol was chosen. For the selection of

Table 1 Optimization of reaction conditions for the oxidation of benzyl alcohol in terms of solvent and oxidant^a



Entry	Solvent (mL)	Oxidant (mL)	Time (h)	Yield ^c (%)
L	_	O_2	6	Trace
2	—	$H_2O_2(0.5)$	6	Trace
3	—	TBHP (0.05)	6	30
1 ^b	_	TBHP (0.05)	6	Trace
5	$C_2H_5OH(3.0)$	TBHP (0.05)	6	Trace
5	$CH_{3}OH(3.0)$	TBHP (0.05)	6	15
7	2-Propanol (3.0)	TBHP (0.05)	6	42
3	$CH_{3}CN(3.0)$	TBHP (0.05)	3	85
)	$H_2O(3.0)$	TBHP (0.05)	6	Trace

 $[^]a$ Benzyl alcohol = 1.0 mmol; catalyst = 10 mg (0.04 mmol). b No catalyst was used. c Isolated yield.

oxidants, we tried first the oxidation of benzyl alcohol using different mild oxidants such as O_2 , H_2O_2 , and TBHP in the absence of any solvent. The use of molecular O_2 and H_2O_2 shows no significant conversion over a considerable time at 60 °C when 10 mg catalyst was used (Table 1; entry 1 and 2). The ineffectiveness of H_2O_2 in this system might be due to the possible decomposition of H_2O_2 over ferrite catalysts as reported earlier.⁴⁶ We observed that the system offers satisfactory results with TBHP (Table 1; entry 3). So, TBHP was used as an oxidant for the catalytic oxidation of benzyl alcohol. We also tried to investigate whether the reaction can be performed without a catalyst which produced a trace amount of product



Entry	NiFe ₂ O ₄ (mg)	TBHP (mL)	Temperature (°C)	Time (h)	$\operatorname{Yield}^{b}(\%)$				
1	10	0.05	110	3	87				
2	10	0.05	60	3	85				
3	10	0.05	50	3	73				
4	10	0.05	40	3	55				
5	10	0.05	rt	24	${\sim}20$				
6	5	0.05	60	3	60				
7	15	0.05	60	3	85				
8	20	0.05	60	3	85				
9	10	0.01	60	3	52				
10	10	0.03	60	3	70				
11	10	0.1	60	3	60				
^{<i>a</i>} Reaction conditions: hencyl alcohol: 1 mmol ^{<i>b</i>} Isolated yield									

^{*a*} Reaction conditions: benzyl alcohol: 1 mmol. ^{*b*} Isolated yield.

that undergoes further oxidation to benzoic acid (Table 1; entry 4). This result revealed the usefulness of a catalyst for the oxidation of benzyl alcohol using TBHP as the oxidant at 60 °C. In the next assessment, the activity of the prepared catalyst was studied under different solvent atmospheres. So, we performed a set of reactions in the presence of different solvents such as ethanol, methanol, 2-propanol, acetonitrile, and water (Table 1, entry 5 to 9). Surprisingly in acetonitrile medium maximum activity of the catalyst was observed at 60 °C (Table 1, entry 8). The reaction achieved a conversion yield of 85% in 3 h. Polar

 Table 3
 Comparison of the efficiency of the current catalyst in the oxidation of benzyl alcohol to benzaldehyde with a few reported ferrite and non-ferrite catalysts

Entry	Catalyst (Amount)	Oxidant	Temperature (°C)	Solvent	Time (h)	Conversion (%)	Ref.
1	CoFe ₂ O ₄ NPs	H2O2	110	_	5 h	>99	40
2	Supported NiFe ₂ O ₄	O_2	80	Acetonitrile	_	77	36
3	NiFe ₂ O ₄	TBHP	120 (MW)	_	2 h	46.2	48
4	$CoFe_2O_4$	TBHP	120 (MW)	_	2 h	88.9	48
5	Nano $CoFe_2O_4$	Oxone	rt	Water	20 min	93	37
6	CuFe ₂ O ₄	O ₂ /TEMPO	100	Water	24 h	95	38
7	CoFe ₂ O ₄ @SiO ₂	H_2O_2	rt	Water	6 h	51.2	49
8	Nano-functionalized NiFe ₂ O ₄	H_2O_2	80	Acetonitrile	7 h	82.4	39
9	PEG-NiFe ₂ O ₄ NPs	H_5IO_6	rt	Water	1.5 h	98	16
10	ZrO _x -MnCO ₃ /HRG nanocomposites	O_2	100	Toluene	4 min	~ 99	29
11	$La_{0.95}Ce_{0.05}MnO_3$	0 ₂	120	Toluene	12 h	40	32
12	Au/Sm-CeO ₂	O_2	90	Toluene	3 h	27.4	21
13	Polyoxometalate-based catalyst PW ₄ /	TBHP	100	Chloroform	6 h	92	33
	DAIL/MIL-100(Fe)						
14	MnO ₂ NPs (calcined)	TBHP	110	Alcohol	24 h	89	34
15	$Ce_{0.8}Zr_{0.2}O_2$	TBHP	120	_	4 h	92.5	50
16	NiFe ₂ O ₄ NPs	TBHP	60	Acetonitrile	3 h	85	This work

Table 4 Catalytic performance in the oxidation of benzylic and aliphatic alcohols^a

Entry	Alcohol	Product	Time (h)	Conversion ^b (%)	Specific activity (mmol $g^{-1} h^{-1}$)	TON ^c	$\mathrm{TOF}^{d}\left(\mathrm{h}^{-1} ight)$
1	CH ₂ OH	СНО	3	85	28.3	21.25	7.1
2	CH ₂ OH NO ₂	CHO NO ₂	3	76	25.3	19.0	6.3
3	CH2OH NO2	CHO NO2	3	70	23.3	17.5	5.8
4	CH ₂ OH	CHO	3	88	29.3	22.0	7.3
5	NO ₂ CH ₂ OH Br	NO ₂ CHO Br	3.5	75	21.4	18.75	5.3
6	CH2OH	СНО	2	88	44.0	22.0	11.0
7	Br CH ₂ OH CI	Br CHO CHO CI	4	68	17.0	17.0	4.3
8	CH2OH	СНО	3	81	27.0	20.25	6.8

Entry	Alcohol	Product	Time (h)	Conversion ^b (%)	Specific activity (mmol $g^{-1} h^{-1}$)	TON ^c	$\operatorname{TOF}^{d}(\operatorname{h}^{-1})$
9	CH ₂ OH	CHO OCH ₃	3	79	26.3	19.75	6.6
10	он н₃с _(_ сн₂)₅_сн—сн₃	No reaction	24 (observation)	_	_	_	_
11	OH		18-24	Trace amount	_	_	_
12	нs _(_сн₂)₂ сн₂он	No reaction	24 (observation)	_	_	_	_
13	нѕ - (- сн₂)_ сн₂он	нs -(- сн₂)₅ сно	12-24	~30	_	_	_

^{*a*} Reaction conditions: benzyl alcohol: 1 mmol; TBHP: 0.5 mmol; NiFe₂O₄: 10 mg; solvent: CH₃CN; temperature: 60 °C. ^{*b*} Isolated yield. ^{*c*} TON: turnover number = number of moles of substrate consumed/number of moles of catalyst. ^{*d*} TOF: turnover frequency = TON/time of reaction in h.

and polar protic solvents like water and ethanol showed no satisfactory conversion (Table 1, entry 5, and 9), while methanol and 2-propanol offered a conversion of 15 and 42% yield respectively (Table 1, entry 6 and 7). So, we consider acetonitrile to be the effective solvent for the proposed conversion for the remaining study. The formation of the product was initially confirmed by the FTIR spectra (Fig. S3 in the ESI[†]). In the FTIR spectrum of pure benzyl alcohol, the broadband located at 3325.6 cm⁻¹ could be attributed to the O-H stretching. The band shows peaks at 2878.2 cm⁻¹ and 1452.9 cm⁻¹ which are attributed to the C-H stretching and C-O-H peak respectively, whereas, in the spectrum of the product, an intense peak located at 1733.1 cm⁻¹ could be observed which is attributed to the C=O stretching of benzaldehyde. The peaks at 2850.2 and 2920.9 cm⁻¹ are associated with C-H stretching of the aldehyde respectively. The band located at 2959.2 cm⁻¹ is attributed to the C-H aromatic stretching of the aldehyde.

To investigate the effect of temperature on the catalytic activity, we varied the temperature from room temperature to 110 °C (Table 2, entry 1–5). We observed that under similar reaction conditions the best yield of 87% was achieved at 110 °C in 3 h (Table 2, entry 1). However, the use of such a high temperature violates the rule of green chemistry and so the study is believed to be irrelevant. Optimizing and reducing the temperature to 60 °C with the same reaction condition showed a conversion with an 85% yield in 3 h (Table 2, entry 2). Further reduction of temperature to 50 and 40 °C slowed down the

conversion and the calculated yield was found to be 73 and 55% respectively after 3 h (Table 2, entry 3, 4). When the reaction was carried out at room temperature (26 °C), nearly 20% conversion was observed after 24 h (Table 2, entry 5). So the best temperature was chosen as 60 °C for the remaining study. In the next step, we optimized the reaction for the catalyst loading (Table 2, entry 6-8) and observed that 5 mg (0.02 mmol) of the NiFe₂O₄ NP catalyst produces a conversion with a 60% yield under similar reaction conditions. When the catalyst loading was further increased to 10, 15, and 20 mg, conversion with 85% yield was obtained at 60 °C in 3 h (Table 2). So, for the next assessment, we fixed the catalyst loading at 10 mg (0.04 mmol). Further to find the minimum amount of oxidant required for satisfactory conversion, we varied the amount of oxidant from 0.01 mL (0.08 mmol) to 0.1 mL (0.8 mmol) (Table 2, entry 9-11). We observed that 0.05 mL (0.4 mmol) of TBHP is sufficient for the maximum conversion (Table 2, entry 2).

It is well known that *t*-BuOOH mediated reactions proceed *via* a free radical mechanism. To prove the fact, we carried out a reaction in the presence of a radical quencher, hydroquinone which is an effective free radical scavenger for TBHP as reported earlier.⁴⁷ So, a set of reactions in the presence of hydroquinone (0.5 mmol) were performed keeping all other reaction parameters fixed as the parent reaction. As expected, we observed that the reaction is inhibited in the presence of the radical quencher as no significant product formation was noticed after 3 h of reaction. This observation proved that the reaction proceeds

Paper

through the involvement of the radical intermediate. Further, to examine the efficiency and capability of the present protocol, the result of the current study is compared with other related ferrite and non-ferrite based catalyst systems (Table 3). The comparative study revealed the effectiveness of the current catalyst system in the selective oxidation of benzyl alcohol to benzaldehyde under mild reaction conditions. Also, it can be seen that most of the catalysts required support which played a major role in the enhanced activity of the catalyst. However, the present catalyst system attained almost similar activity without any support materials.

To find the general applicability and the effectiveness of the TBHP/NiFe₂O₄ catalytic system, oxidations of various substituted benzyl alcohols and a few aliphatic and heteroatomic alcohols were performed under the optimized



Fig. 6 Bar diagram showing the yield% of the product in different cycles of reuse of NiFe $_2O_4$ NPs.

conditions and the results are shown in Table 4. It can be seen from Table 4 that the *p*-substituted electron-withdrawing group (-NO₂, -Cl, and -Br) showed a greater yield of the product, whereas the ortho and meta substituted benzyl alcohols produce a lower yield. This might be due to the hindrance effect at the ortho position which causes slow oxidation of alcohol. On the other hand, the electron-donating group $(-OCH_3)$ at the pposition of the ring also showed a low product formation in comparison with the electron-withdrawing group but a slightly better yield compared to the ortho and para-substituted electron-withdrawing groups. The specific catalytic activity, turnover number (TON), and turnover frequency (TOF) was found to be high for p-bromo benzyl alcohol. It was also observed that the current optimized conditions are too mild for the oxidation of aliphatic as well as heteroatomic alcohols (Table 4; entry 10-13) as a trace amount or no product formation was observed with these alcohols. The absence of conjugation in the β-position of the hydroxyl group might be the reason for this observation as reported earlier.²⁹ Also, the literature reports suggest that the oxidation of aliphatic alcohols is much more difficult than the oxidation of aromatic alcohols.^{30,31,51,52} From these results, we conclude that the experimental conditions of the present catalyst system are not so favourable for the oxidation of aliphatic alcohols but very effective for selective oxidation of benzylic alcohols.

To check the reusability of the catalyst, first, we separated the catalyst magnetically using a bar magnet after the reaction, and the isolated products were purified by washing with water and a water–ethanol mixture to get rid of any organic reactants or products. The isolated mass was dried in an oven at 60 °C under vacuum overnight. The isolated catalyst was used for new batches of the oxidation reaction under identical reaction conditions as discussed above. The process of isolation, purification, and the catalysis reaction were repeated for 5 cycles. The performance of the reused catalyst was quite satisfactory up



Fig. 7 (a) XRD pattern and (b) SEM image of the recovered NiFe₂O₄ NPs after catalysis.

View Article Online Paper

Nanoscale Advances

to the 5th cycle (Fig. 6). To study the fate of NiFe₂O₄ NPs during catalysis, the solid catalyst was isolated after the first cycle of reaction and dried at 60 °C under vacuum for further characterization. The XRD pattern of the used NiFe₂O₄ NPs exhibited similar crystalline properties with the nascent NiFe₂O₄ NPs (Fig. 7a). No major change in the crystalline properties was observed due to catalysis, although background noise could be observed in the XRD pattern. This might be due to the low quantity of the recovered sample. Also, the SEM image of the recovered sample (Fig. 7b) shows the presence of uniformly distributed spherical NiFe₂O₄ NPs. The results confirmed the stability of the sample during the catalysis.

4. Conclusion

Spherical NiFe₂O₄ NPs of sizes below 12 nm have been prepared by a precipitation method coupled with hydrothermal aging in water. The formed NiFe₂O₄ NPs are pure and highly crystalline possessing cubic structures. The hydrothermal aging time does not have any influence on the particle size and morphology. The NiFe₂O₄ NPs exhibit super-paramagnetic behavior with the saturation magnetic moment (Ms) of 0.6 emu without any magnetic hysteresis loop, coercivity (H_c), and remnant magnetization (M_r). These ferrite NPs exhibit excellent catalytic activity in the selective oxidation of benzyl alcohol and substituted benzyl alcohol under ambient conditions with 100% selectivity. Being magnetic, these ferrite NPs are easily separable from the reaction mixture with a simple bar magnet and are reusable up to five cycles without loss of catalytic activity.

Conflicts of interest

There are no conflicts to declare.

Acknowledgements

SI acknowledges Rajiv Gandhi University for providing the research fellowship. The authors also thank IACS Kolkata, NEIST Jorhat, SAIC, TU, UGC-DAE CSR Indore (Dr A. Banerjee, and Dr M. Gupta) and UGC-DAE CSR Kalpakkam (Dr S. Hussain) for providing instrumental facilities to us.

References

- 1 M. Sugimoto, The past, present, and future of ferrites, J. Am. Ceram. Soc., 1999, 82, 269–280.
- 2 N. Gupta, P. Jain, R. Rana and S. Shrivastava, Current development in synthesis and characterization of nickel ferrite nanoparticle, *Mater. Today: Proc.*, 2017, **4**, 342–349.
- 3 A. Šutka, R. Pärna, T. Käämbre and V. Kisand, Synthesis of *p*-type and *n*-type nickel ferrites and associated electrical properties, *Phys. B*, 2015, **456**, 232–236.
- 4 M. Srivastava, S. Chaubey and A. K. Ojha, Investigation on size dependent structural and magnetic behavior of nickel ferrite nanoparticles prepared by sol-gel and hydrothermal methods, *Mater. Chem. Phys.*, 2009, **118**, 174–180.

- 5 X. Lasheras, M. Insausti, I. Gil de Muro, E. Garaio, F. Plazaola, M. Moros, L. De Matteis, J. M. de la Fuente and L. Lezama, Chemical synthesis and magnetic properties of monodisperse nickel ferrite nanoparticles for biomedical applications, *J. Phys. Chem. C*, 2016, **120**, 3492– 3500.
- 6 I. Sharifi, H. Shokrollahi and S. Amiri, Ferrite-based magnetic nanofluids used in hyperthermia applications, *J. Magn. Magn. Mater.*, 2012, **324**, 903–915.
- 7 E. Umut, M. Coşkun, F. Pineider, D. Berti and H. Güngüneş, Nickel ferrite nanoparticles for simultaneous use in magnetic resonance imaging and magnetic fluid hyperthermia, *J. Colloid Interface Sci.*, 2019, **550**, 199–209.
- 8 A. M. Abu-Dief, I. F. Nassar and W. H. Elsayed, Magnetic NiFe₂O₄ nanoparticles: Efficient, heterogeneous and reusable catalyst for synthesis of acetylferrocene chalcones and their anti-tumour activity, *Appl. Organomet. Chem.*, 2016, **30**, 917–923.
- 9 B. Atashkar, A. Rostami, A. Rostami and M. A. Zolfigol, $NiFe_2O_4$ as a magnetically recoverable nanocatalyst for odourless C-S bond formation via the cleavage of C–O bond in the presence of S_8 under mild and green conditions, *Appl. Organomet. Chem.*, 2019, 33, e4691.
- 10 M. M. Bućko and K. Haberko, Hydrothermal synthesis of nickel ferrite powders, their properties and sintering, J. Eur. Ceram. Soc., 2007, 27, 723–727.
- 11 J. Zhang, J. Shi and M. Gong, Synthesis of magnetic nickel spinel ferrite nanospheres by a reverse emulsion-assisted hydrothermal process, *J. Solid State Chem.*, 2009, **182**, 2135–2140.
- 12 J. Huo and M. Wei, Characterization and magnetic properties of nanocrystalline nickel ferrite synthesized by hydrothermal method, *Mater. Lett.*, 2009, **63**, 1183–1184.
- 13 W. Shen, L. Zhang, B. Zhao, Y. Du and X. Zhou, Growth mechanism of octahedral like nickel ferrite crystals prepared by modified hydrothermal method and morphology dependent magnetic performance, *Ceram. Int.*, 2018, 44, 9809–9815.
- 14 G. Kesavan, N. Nataraj, S.-M. Chen and L.-H. Lin, Hydrothermal synthesis of $NiFe_2O_4$ nanoparticles as an efficient electrocatalyst for the electrochemical detection of bisphenol A, *New J. Chem.*, 2020, **44**, 7698–7707.
- 15 M. Safaei, H. Beitollahi and M. R. Shishehbore, Synthesis and characterization of NiFe₂O₄ nanoparticles using the hydrothermal method as magnetic catalysts for electrochemical detection of norepinephrine in the presence of folic acid, *J. Chin. Chem. Soc.*, 2019, **66**, 1597– 1603.
- 16 B. Paul, D. D. Purkayastha and S. S. Dhar, Size-controlled synthesis of NiFe₂O₄ nanospheres via a PEG assisted hydrothermal route and their catalytic properties in oxidation of alcohols by periodic acid, *Appl. Surf. Sci.*, 2016, **370**, 469–475.
- 17 O. Karaagac, S. Atmaca and H. Kockar, A facile method to synthesize nickel ferrite nanoparticles: Parameter effect, *J. Supercond. Novel Magn.*, 2017, **30**, 2359–2369.

- 18 H. Li, H.-z. Wu and G.-x. Xiao, Effects of synthetic conditions on particle size and magnetic properties of NiFe₂O₄, *Powder Technol.*, 2010, **198**, 157–166.
- 19 M. Y. Rafique, M. Ellahi, M. Z. Iqbal, Q.-u.-a. Javed and L. Pan, Gram scale synthesis of single crystalline nanooctahedron of NiFe₂O₄: Magnetic and optical properties, *Mater. Lett.*, 2016, **162**, 269–272.
- 20 K. Nejati and R. Zabihi, Preparation and magnetic properties of nano size nickel ferrite particles using hydrothermal method, *Chem. Cent. J.*, 2012, **6**, 23.
- 21 S. Mandal, K. K. Bando, C. Santra, S. Maity, O. O. James, D. Mehta and B. Chowdhury, Sm-CeO₂ supported gold nanoparticle catalyst for benzyl alcohol oxidation using molecular O₂, *Appl. Catal.*, *A*, 2013, **452**, 94–104.
- 22 D. Kahlich, U. Wiechern and J. Lindner, *Propylene oxide; ullmann's encyclopedia of industrial chemistry*, Wiley-VCH Verlag GmbH & Co., 2000.
- 23 A. Patel and S. Pathan, Solvent free selective oxidation of styrene and benzyl alcohol to benzaldehyde over an ecofriendly and reusable catalyst, undecamolybdophosphate supported onto neutral alumina, *Ind. Eng. Chem. Res.*, 2012, **51**, 732–740.
- 24 G. Zhan, Y. Hong, F. Lu, A.-R. Ibrahim, M. Du, D. Sun, J. Huang, Q. Li and J. Li, Kinetics of liquid phase oxidation of benzyl alcohol with hydrogen peroxide over bio-reduced Tu/TS-1 catalysts, *J. Mol. Catal. A: Chem.*, 2013, **366**, 215–221.
- 25 C. Wiener and O. Pittet Alan, Process for preparing natural benzaldehyde and acetaldehyde, natural benzaldehyde and acetaldehyde compositions, products produced thereby and organoleptic utilities therefor, US Pat. US 4617419 A, 1986.
- 26 M. Kantham, P. Shreekanth, K. Rao, T. Kumar, B. C. Rao, and B. Choudhury, Selective liquid phase air oxidation of toluene catalysed by composite catalytic system, Patent Appl. US6743952B2, 2003.
- 27 J. Chai, H. Chong, S. Wang, S. Yang, M. Wu and M. Zhu, Controlling the selectivity of catalytic oxidation of styrene over nanocluster catalysts, *RSC Adv.*, 2016, 6, 111399– 111405.
- 28 M. N. Kopylovich, A. P. C. Ribeiro, E. C. B. A. Alegria, N. M. R. Martins, L. M. D. R. S. Martins and A. J. L. Pombeiro, in *Advances in organometallic chemistry*, ed. P. J. Pérez, Academic Press, 2015, vol. 63, pp. 91–174.
- 29 M. E. Assal, M. R. Shaik, M. Kuniyil, M. Khan, A. Al-Warthan, M. R. H. Siddiqui, S. M. A. Khan, W. Tremel, M. N. Tahir and S. F. Adil, A highly reduced graphene oxide/ ZrO_x -MnCO₃ or -Mn₂O₃ nanocomposite as an efficient catalyst for selective aerial oxidation of benzylic alcohols, *RSC Adv.*, 2017, 7, 55336–55349.
- 30 M. E. Assal, M. R. Shaik, M. Kuniyil, M. Khan, A. Y. Alzahrani, A. Al-Warthan, M. R. H. Siddiqui and S. F. Adil, Mixed zinc/manganese on highly reduced graphene oxide: A highly active nanocomposite catalyst for aerial oxidation of benzylic alcohols, *Catalysts*, 2017, 7, 391.
- 31 M. E. Assal, M. Kuniyil, M. R. Shaik, M. Khan, A. Al-Warthan, M. R. H. Siddiqui and S. F. Adil, Synthesis, characterization, and relative study on the catalytic activity of zinc oxide

nanoparticles doped $MnCO_3$, $-MnO_2$, and $-Mn_2O_3$ nanocomposites for aerial oxidation of alcohols, *J. Chem.*, 2017, 2017, 2937108.

- 32 A. A. Ansari, N. Ahmad, M. Alam, S. F. Adil, S. M. Ramay,
 A. Albadri, A. Ahmad, A. M. Al-Enizi, B. F. Alrayes,
 M. E. Assal and A. A. Alwarthan, Physico-chemical properties and catalytic activity of the sol-gel prepared Ceion doped LaMnO₃ perovskites, *Sci. Rep.*, 2019, 9, 7747.
- 33 S. Abednatanzi, A. Abbasi and M. Masteri-Farahani, Immobilization of catalytically active polyoxotungstate into ionic liquid-modified MIL-100(Fe): A recyclable catalyst for selective oxidation of benzyl alcohol, *Catal. Commun.*, 2017, **96**, 6–10.
- 34 F. Gaspar and C. D. Nunes, Selective catalytic oxidation of benzyl alcohol by MoO₂ nanoparticles, *Catalysts*, 2020, **10**, 265.
- 35 M. B. Gawande, A. Rathi, I. D. Nogueira, C. A. A. Ghumman, N. Bundaleski, O. M. N. D. Teodoro and P. S. Branco, A recyclable ferrite–Co magnetic nanocatalyst for the oxidation of alcohols to carbonyl compounds, *ChemPlusChem*, 2012, 77, 865–871.
- 36 R. Saranya, R. A. Raj, M. S. AlSalhi and S. Devanesan, Dependence of catalytic activity of nanocrystalline nickel ferrite on its structural, morphological, optical, and magnetic properties in aerobic oxidation of benzyl alcohol, *J. Supercond. Novel Magn.*, 2018, **31**, 1219–1225.
- 37 F. Sadri, A. Ramazani, A. Massoudi, M. Khoobi, V. Azizkhani, R. Tarasi, L. Dolatyari and B.-K. Min, Magnetic $CoFe_2O_4$ nanoparticles as an efficient catalyst for the oxidation of alcohols to carbonyl compounds in the presence of oxone as an oxidant, *Bull. Korean Chem. Soc.*, 2014, **35**, 2029–2032.
- 38 X. Zhu, D. Yang, W. Wei, M. Jiang, L. Li, X. Zhu, J. You and H. Wang, Magnetic copper ferrite nanoparticles/TEMPO catalyzed selective oxidation of activated alcohols to aldehydes under ligand- and base-free conditions in water, *RSC Adv.*, 2014, 4, 64930–64935.
- 39 P. B. Bhat and B. R. Bhat, Magnetically retrievable nickel hydroxide functionalised AFe_2O_4 (A = Mn, Ni) spinel nanocatalyst for alcohol oxidation, *Appl. Nanosci.*, 2016, 6, 425-435.
- 40 M. Nasrollahzadeh, M. Bagherzadeh and H. Karimi, Preparation, characterization and catalytic activity of $CoFe_2O_4$ nanoparticles as a magnetically recoverable catalyst for selective oxidation of benzyl alcohol to benzaldehyde and reduction of organic dyes, *J. Colloid Interface Sci.*, 2016, **465**, 271–278.
- 41 P. Sivakumar, R. Ramesh, A. Ramanand, S. Ponnusamy and C. Muthamizhchelvan, Preparation and properties of nickel ferrite (NiFe₂O₄) nanoparticles via sol–gel auto-combustion method, *Mater. Res. Bull.*, 2011, 46, 2204–2207.
- 42 K. Ganesh, N. Nandini, S.-M. Chen and L.-H. Lin, Hydrothermal synthesis of $NiFe_2O_4$ nanoparticles as an efficient electrocatalyst for the electrochemical detection of bisphenol a, *New J. Chem.*, 2020, **44**, 7698–7707.
- 43 P. Deb, A. Basumallick, P. Chatterjee and S. P. Sengupta, Preparation of α -Fe₂O₃ nanoparticles from a nonaqueous precursor medium, *Scr. Mater.*, 2001, **45**, 341–346.

- 44 R. S. Yadav, J. Havlica, J. Masilko, L. Kalina, J. Wasserbauer, M. Hajdúchová, V. Enev, I. Kuřitka and Z. Kožáková, Effects of annealing temperature variation on the evolution of structural and magnetic properties of NiFe₂O₄ nanoparticles synthesized by starch-assisted sol–gel autocombustion method, *J. Magn. Magn. Mater.*, 2015, **394**, 439–447.
- 45 C. Solís, S. Somacescu, E. Palafox, M. Balaguer and J. M. Serra, Particular transport properties of NiFe₂O₄ thin films at high temperatures, *J. Phys. Chem. C*, 2014, **118**, 24266–24273.
- 46 P. Lahiri and S. K. Sengupta, Spinel ferrites as catalysts: A study on catalytic effect of coprecipitated ferrites on hydrogen peroxide decomposition, *Can. J. Chem.*, 1991, **69**, 33–36.
- 47 D. Waffel, B. Alkan, Q. Fu, Y.-T. Chen, S. Schmidt, C. Schulz, H. Wiggers, M. Muhler and B. Peng, Towards mechanistic understanding of liquid-phase cinnamyl alcohol oxidation with tert-butyl hydroperoxide over noble-metal-free LaCo₁₋ _xFe_xO₃ perovskites, *ChemPlusChem*, 2019, 84, 1155–1163.

- 48 N. M. R. Martins, L. M. D. R. S. Martins, C. O. Amorim, V. S. Amaral and A. J. L. Pombeiro, Solvent-free microwaveinduced oxidation of alcohols catalyzed by ferrite magnetic nanoparticles, *Catalysts*, 2017, 7, 222.
- 49 J. Tan, X. B. Liu, W. F. Chen and Y. L. Hu, Synthesis of magnetically separable nanocatalyst CoFe₂O₄@SiO₂@MIL-53(Fe) for highly efficient and selective oxidation of alcohols and benzylic compounds with hydrogen peroxide, *ChemistrySelect*, 2019, 4, 8477–8481.
- 50 C. A. Akinnawo, N. Bingwa and R. Meijboom, Tailoring the surface properties of meso-CeO₂ for selective oxidation of benzyl alcohol, *Catal. Commun.*, 2020, **145**, 106115.
- 51 E. Assady, B. Yadollahi, M. Riahi Farsani and M. Moghadam, Zinc polyoxometalate on activated carbon: An efficient catalyst for selective oxidation of alcohols with hydrogen peroxide, *Appl. Organomet. Chem.*, 2015, **29**, 561–565.
- 52 S. Hasannia and B. Yadollahi, Zn–Al LDH nanostructures pillared by Fe substituted keggin type polyoxometalate: Synthesis, characterization and catalytic effect in green oxidation of alcohols, *Polyhedron*, 2015, **99**, 260–265.