RSC Advances

PAPER

Cite this: RSC Adv., 2019, 9, 6589

Received 18th December 2018 Accepted 14th February 2019

DOI: 10.1039/c8ra10358e

rsc.li/rsc-advances

Introduction

Technical demands for electrochemical energy storage systems such as lithium-ion rechargeable batteries (LIBs), fuel cells, and redox flow batteries have increased in parallel with the demands for clean and sustainable energy generation. $1-3$ In recent years, materials and processes used for LIBs have dramatically advanced, to meet the requirements of mobile applications and electric vehicles.³–⁵ However, various issues still need to be addressed for these uses; for example, the stability of anode materials when used at fast charging rates.⁶⁻⁹ In particular, graphite is still widely used in LIB anodes for economic reasons, despite having a relatively low theoretical specific capacity of 330 mA h g^{-1} .^{8,9} Furthermore, lithium dendrites form on the surface of carbonaceous materials during rapid charging owing to low Li-ion diffusivity; these can lead to LIB fires or explosions.⁹

 $TiO₂$ -based anode materials, which show a theoretical electromotive force of about 1.7 V (vs. Li/Li⁺), are being intensively investigated as alternatives. Because of its very low volume expansion ratio (below 3%), TiO₂ is termed "zero-strain" and is one of the most stable anode materials.¹⁰⁻¹⁴ However, its theoretical specific capacity is relatively low: for $Li_xTiO₂$, it is 335 mA h g^{-1} for $x = 1.0$ (although x is usually 0.5 in applications).¹⁰–¹² As a result, foreign elements with a high theoretical specific capacity are usually co-synthesized with TiO_2 .¹⁵

Binder-free $SnO₂-TiO₂$ composite anode with high durability for lithium-ion batteries†

Hyeonseok Yoo[,](http://orcid.org/0000-0001-6601-5561) \mathbf{D}^a G[i](http://orcid.org/0000-0003-1675-7318)baek Lee \mathbf{D}^{*b} and Jinsub Choi \mathbf{D}^{*a}

A $SnO₂-TiO₂$ electrode was prepared via anodization and subsequent anodic potential shock for a binder-free anode for lithium-ion battery applications. Perpendicularly oriented TiO₂ microcones are formed by anodization; SnO₂, originating in a Na₂SnO₃ precursor, is then deposited in the valleys between the microcones and in their hollow cores by anodic potential shock. This sequence is confirmed by SEM and TEM analyses and EDS element mapping. The $SnO₂-TiO₂$ binder-free anode is evaluated for its C-rate performance and long-term cyclability in a half-cell measurement apparatus. The SnO₂–TiO₂ anode exhibits a higher specific capacity than the one with pristine TiO₂ microcones and shows excellent capacity recovery during the rate capability test. The $SnO₂-TiO₂$ microcone structure shows no deterioration caused by the breakdown of electrode materials over 300 cycles. The charge/discharge capacity is at least double that of the TiO₂ microcone material in a long-term cycling evaluation. PAPER
 EXERCT AND CRIME SOCIETY AND ACTION COMPOSITE and CONTROL COMPOSITE AND CRIME SOCIETY (SCALINGTOR CONTROL COMPOSITE AND CRIME THE CONTROL CON

Furthermore, because of the low electrical conductivity of $TiO₂$, it is essential to improve the physical properties of $TiO₂$ batteries with a conducting material such as CuO , $Co₃O₄$, NiO, $MoO₃, Fe₂O₃, or SnO₂.^{8,9,16-21}$

Although $SnO₂$ has a lower theoretical capacity than the better-known SiO $_2$ (780 mA h g^{-1} , compared to 980 mA h g^{-1}), its volume expansion ratio is also lower (\sim 150%, compared to \sim 260%).^{22–31} However, since the volume change of SnO₂ is still large, it is necessary to suppress the volume change-induced degradation by combining with zero-strain materials such as TiO₂. Jean et al. fabricated high performance anodes for LIBs based on TiO_2 @ SnO_2 @TiO₂ triple-shell nanotubes prepared by a hydrothermal growth method.²⁹ In addition, Wu et al. reported that in electrospun nanowires of $SnO₂$ mixed with $TiO₂$, the $TiO₂$ successfully reduced the degradation resulting from $SnO₂$ deformation.³⁰ However, a further improvement of electrical conductivity was still needed because of the increased resistance in an electrode containing a binder.

 $TiO₂$ structures prepared by anodization allow the formation of a binder-free anode, providing highly active reaction sites for Li ions as a result of its nano- and micro-sized pores. $32-34$ In particular, $TiO₂$ microcones, which have only been developed in recent years, are a quite promising material for anodes, having a conical shape as well as an internal hollow space on the micro scale.^{34,35} In this study, binder-free $SnO₂-TiO₂$ microcones were prepared for use as an LIB anode material. The hollowcontaining $TiO₂$ microcones were prepared by anodization, and subsequently, $SnO₂$ was decorated into the hollow spaces by an anodic potential shock.³⁶⁻³⁹ The potential shock method is an economical and highly reproducible metal oxide decoration method on anodic $TiO₂$ structures.

a Department of Chemistry and Chemical Engineering, Inha University, 22212 Incheon, Republic of Korea. E-mail: jinsub@inha.ac.kr

b Chemical Engineering for Energy, School of Chemical Engineering, Yeungnam University, 38541 Gyeongsan, Republic of Korea. E-mail: gibaek@ynu.ac.kr

[†] Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available. See DOI: 10.1039/c8ra10358e

Results and discussion

Representative FE-SEM images of the binder-free anode are presented in Fig. 1. As reported previously, 34 samples with typical $TiO₂$ conical structures (MCs) are prepared by anodization at 60 V for 60 min (Fig. 1a). TiO₂ MCs exhibit a highly ordered distribution on the Ti substrate, composed of nanofragments with vacant spaces in the individual layers; the MCs increase the active surface area. Fig. 1b-d shows $SnO₂-TiO₂$ MCs for different potentials used as the anodic shock. Visually, a newly grown layer appears in the valleys between the $TiO₂ MCs$ for potentials of 40 V and higher (Fig. S1†). Eventually, most of the TiO₂ MCs are covered with a newly deposited layer, including the cracks and surface boundary layers. When a shock voltage of 60 V or more is applied, the tin oxide layer may become unstable; Fig. 1d shows a severe crack on the surface. This is expected to be degraded during cycles. Nevertheless, most of TiO₂ MCs retain their original structures without detachment from the Ti substrate or destruction during the voltage shock procedure. **EXAMENTES Articles. Articles Articles.** The more interest are considerable in the set of the simulation of the set of the

The main composition of these layers is revealed as tin oxides by EDS element mapping (Fig. $S2\dagger$). Typically, the TiO₂ MCs show a hollow structure inside, which is clearly indicated by the EDS element mapping, and is in good agreement with a previous report.³⁴ The O element mapping for the truncated $TiO₂$ MC represents the vacant space in the center of the structure. Furthermore, the lack of a titanium filling is confirmed by the Ti map (Fig. 2a). In the case of the $Sn40-MC$ specimen, an O element distribution is observed similar to that for the pristine TiO₂ MC (Fig. S2†). When the microcones of the Sn40-MCs electrode are completely removed, the entire EDS maps of Ti and O show identical aspects on the surface of the electrode (Fig. 2b). Furthermore, Sn is detected in all surface areas, with a distribution covering the microcones inside and outside. A possible reason for this is the fact that potential

shock is normally carried out immediately after the anodization that creates the $TiO₂$ MCs, without a process sequence including specimen exchange. Therefore, titanium will be anodized additionally during the application of the potential shock. These phenomena have been already reported in potential shock experiments on nanotubes.^{38,39,41} As a result, additional anodization of titanium inside the microcones, and tin oxide deposition, may occur simultaneously. This indicates that tin oxide in the core of the microcones is encapsulated by the shell of the MCs. We expect that the decrease in electrochemical performance caused by the volume expansion of tin oxide would be suppressed by the counteracting $TiO₂$ MC shell. The average weight of electrode materials was measured in terms of the shock voltage and is reflected in the cycle measurements (Fig. S3†): the TiO₂ MC electrodes originally had an average weight of 0.42 mg; after the potential shock, the tin oxide deposited showed a weight linearly increasing with the applied shock voltage.

It has been reported that $TiO₂$ MCs have a polycrystalline anatase phase, and it can be used for applications without any further heat treatment; post-annealing usually leads to a decrease in the cycle performance of $TiO₂ MCs.^{34,40}$ The polycrystalline TiO₂ anatase on titanium metal is formed without an annealing step, regardless of the applied shock voltage, as demonstrated in the XRD analysis (Fig. 3). The peaks at 25.308°, 38.572°, 62.692°, and 70.303° correspond to (101), (112), (204), and (202), respectively (JCPDS PDF#01-071-1166). In the XRD patterns, there are no detectable peaks of tin composites; this strongly indicates that tin composites generated through potential shock might be amorphous (see the FE-TEM observations and XPS analysis below).

In the FE-TEM images, granular-like substances are deposited on the surface layers of microcone fragments (Fig. 4a). In more detail, the amorphous structure deposited on the polycrystalline surface (light blue) is well observed in the higher magnification image (Fig. 4b). The crystal planes are identified as TiO₂ anatase, which are identified by 3.51 Å of reciprocal distance. The crystallographic information analyzed by selected area electron diffraction patterns also shows only $TiO₂$ anatase (Fig. 4c), indicating that the tin oxide deposited on the $TiO₂$ surface has an amorphous structure. The morphological structure of a single-layered nanofragment of tin oxide deposited on the TiO₂ MCs was characterized using an energy-

Fig. 1 FE-SEM images of $TiO₂$ microcones. Pristine microcones (a), and TiO₂ microcones with SnO₂ deposited via the potential shock method at (b) 20, (c) 40, and (d) 60 V. Inset images are magnified to show single microcones for each condition.

Fig. 2 EDS element mapping data (K shell) for microcone (MC) structures: (a) truncated TiO₂ MCs, (b) Sn40-MCs: titanium (red), oxygen (blue), and tin (green). (a) and (b) are samples following complete removal of microcones by ultrasonication.

Fig. 3 XRD patterns of binder-free electrodes, measured without annealing after preparation.

Fig. 4 (a) FE-TEM image of Sn40-MCs and (b) high-magnification of (a). (c) SAED pattern corresponds to (b), indicating the polycrystalline $TiO₂$ anatase phase. (d)–(g) in situ FE-TEM element mapping, scanned on a single-layered nanofragment (d) of Sn40-MC. The magenta, yellow, and bright blue indicate titanium, tin, and oxygen, respectively.

dispersive spectroscopy (EDS) analyzer. Fig. 4d displays the amount of Ti, Sn, and O elements, which are the major components in the electrode. EDS mapping indicates that Ti, Sn, and O are distributed evenly among individual microcones.

To confirm the surface chemical states of tin oxide in electrode, XPS analysis was performed, as shown in Fig. 5. In the O 1s spectra, it was found that the intensity of $SnO₂$ at 530.6 eV increases as a function of applied shock voltage, and the amount of $SnO₂$ on the surface was larger than that of TiO₂ at 530.1 eV for a voltage shock above 40 V (Fig. 5a).^{40,42} The peaks located at 531.7 and 532.5 eV could be ascribed to oxygen vacancies and adsorbed O_x^- species, respectively.⁴³ SnO is an oxide of tin that could be formed during the potential shock process; however, the O 1s binding energy in SnO is situated in

a similar energy region to that in $TiO₂$, and it is therefore difficult to distinguish possible SnO peaks from those of TiO₂.⁴² The Sn 3d spectrum provides useful information for identifying the formation of SnO (Fig. 5b); the peaks detected at 486.3 and 486.7 eV are at the relevant binding energies for SnO (Sn $3d_{7/2}$, Sn^{2+} for SnO) and SnO₂ (Sn 3d_{7/2}, Sn⁴⁺ for SnO₂), respectively. This result agrees with previous analysis of the O 1s spectra.^{42,44} Interestingly, tin fluoride components such as SnF_4 (488.1 eV of Sn $3d_{7/2}$) and SnF₂ (487.4 eV of Sn $3d_{7/2}$) also exist on the surface.^{45,46} From the F 1s spectrum, it is seen that the tin fluoride composites clearly appear after the potential shock (Fig. $S4\dagger$); these are attributed to residual F⁻ ions, which were trapped inside the MCs. It has been reported that $SnF₂$ can act as an anode material delivering a higher theoretical capacity (991 mA h g^{-1}) than SnO₂.⁴⁷ However, the non-conductive SnF₄ is known as a non-useful by-product in a Li ion battery, which may have originated from the reaction between a tin oxidebased anode and an F ion-containing electrolyte during cycling.⁴⁵ In our system, the quantity of residual F^- ions, which possibly leads to the formation of $SnF₄$, is strongly determined by the concentration of HF in the anodizing electrolyte and the anodic shock voltage. Therefore, a shock of around 40 V, which generates more $SnO₂$ and $SnF₂$ than SnO and $SnF₄$, was considered the optimum condition. Paper articles. Common article. Published on 25 February 2019. Downloaded to the common and the strength of the strength of the strength on 25 February 2019. Downloaded under a common and the strength of the Day are set t

The electrochemical characteristics of TiO₂ and SnO₂ were analyzed via cyclic voltammograms (Fig. 6a). All redox reactions are explained by Li-ion insertion/extraction, and by Li/Sn alloying/de-alloying in the SnO_2-TIO_2 MC electrode. The anode reaction of $TiO₂$ during the cycle is generally described by the following equation:³⁴

$$
TiO2 + xLi+ + xe- \leftrightarrow LixTiO2 (0 \le x \le 1)
$$
 (1)

The theoretical potential of eqn (1) is reported as around 1.75 V.¹⁶⁻¹⁸ Therefore, the peaks at 1.75 and 2.05 V (vs. Li/Li⁺) are related to the reversible lithiation/delithiation coupling in $TiO₂$.³⁴ For SnO₂, two sequential anode reactions are assumed:

Fig. 5 High-resolution XPS (a) O 1s and (b) Sn 3d spectra of $SnO₂$ $TiO₂$ MCs in different conditions. $TiO₂$ and $SnO₂$ are marked as black and light blue dashed lines in O 1s spectra (a). Tin fluorides and tin oxides are illustrated as yellow and light blue dashed lines in Sn 3d spectra (b). All measurements are performed before cell assembly.

Fig. 6 Charging/discharging characteristics: dependence on shock voltage. (a) Cyclic voltammograms of MC and $SnO₂ - TiO₂$ MCs electrodes (various shock voltages) at a scan rate of 0.1 mV s $^{-1}$. (b) Charge and discharge curves of Sn40-MCs at 300 μ A cm $^{-2}$. (c) Cyclability plot of Sn40-MC sample tested at different C-rates. (d) Rate performance test of Sn40-MCs cell scanned at 0.5C, 1C, 1.5C, 2C, 2.5C and 5C.

the partial reversible reduction reaction of $SnO₂$, and the sequential reversible alloying/de-alloying reaction of Sn:²⁸

$$
SnO2 + 4Li+ + 4e- \rightarrow Sn + 2Li2O
$$
 (2)

$$
Sn + xLi^{+} + xe^{-} \to Li_{x}Sn \ (0 \le x \le 4.4)
$$
 (3)

A solid-electrolyte interface (SEI) layer was formed on all electrodes (from eqn (2)) under 1.5 V (vs. Li/Li⁺) of cathodic potential during the $1st$ cycle (Fig. S5†). From the second cycle onward, there were two remarkable redox couplings at 0.18/ 0.56 V and $1.02/1.21$ V (vs. Li/Li⁺). It was confirmed that the current density for these reversible reactions was proportional to the applied shock voltage. The first redox couple of $0.18/0.56$ V corresponds to the reversible alloying/de-alloying reaction of Sn in eqn (3) ²⁵⁻³⁰ In addition, the second redox couple of $1.02/1.21$ V is ascribed to the partial reversible reaction of $SnO₂$ in eqn (2) .^{28–30,48} For SnF₂, it causes an irreversible reaction, providing additional electrochemical capacity according to eqn (4):

$$
SnF2 + 2Li+ + 2e- \rightarrow Sn + 2LiF
$$
 (4)

 $SnF₂$ is a significant tin-based compound located on the surface of the Sn20-MC electrode and was identified by the XPS and EDS element mapping. Nevertheless, the related electrochemical reaction in the potential range 0–1.0 V (vs. Li/Li^+) appears small, and it is reasonable to assume that the tin fluoride content in the electrode is in fact very small. On the other hand, in Fig. S6,† the surface resistance is larger than that of pristine MCs at 10 and 20 V of applied shock voltages. The resistance begins to decrease if the shock voltage increases over 20 V, finally becoming the value lower than that of pristine MCs. It means that the non-conductive SnF4 affects the surface electron transfer rate of the electrode.

The $SnO₂-TiO₂$ MCs exhibits excellent cycling stability at a current density of 300 μ A cm⁻² (Fig. 6b). Charge/discharge profile which are extracted at $1st$, $20th$, $50th$, and $100th$ cycles shows the plateaus of $TiO₂$ and tin composites, meaning that all electrode components are well-connected. The initial specific capacity of all electrodes is reduced to half due to the irreversible reaction of SnO_2 (eqn (2)) and the volume expansion during alloying/de-alloying (eqn (3)), as reported in previous studies.²⁴⁻³¹ However, after the $1st$ cycle, the specific capacity remains constant over 100 cycles. The pristine $TiO₂$ MC electrode exhibits an average capacity of 205.6 mA h g^{-1} , whereas Sn30-MC, Sn40-MC, and Sn60-MC provide a markedly increasing capacity as a function of the shock voltage: 332.8, 466.5 and 481.2 mA h g^{-1} at the 50th cycle, respectively (Fig. S7†). Sn80-MC shows a drastically decreased capacity during cycling (366.3 mA h g^{-1} at 50th cycle). To optimize the cycle performance, the correlation between specific capacity and shock voltage was investigated (Fig. S8†). Even though Sn60-MC provides the highest specific capacity, its cycle retention proves unstable; this results from high stresses, seen in the surface morphology, leading to significant degradation during cycling. Therefore, the Sn40-MC electrode, which showed consistently stable cyclability, was chosen as the optimized electrode. Evaluation of the cycling stability was carried out for Sn40-MC anode at different current rates of 0.5, 1.5, 2.5, and 5.0C (Fig. 6c); the capacity decreases with increasing cycle rate. For a rate of 0.5C, the cell exhibits a capacity of 426 mA h g^{-1} at the $50th$ cycle. However, even when the C-rate is increased 10fold (5.0C), a specific capacity of approximately 300 mA h g^{-1} remains after 50 cycles; this indicates that the Sn40-MC electrode could be used for fast charging in practical LIB applications. Moreover, the Sn40-MC electrode exhibits an excellent rate capability under rapid charge and discharge conditions. As shown in Fig. 6d, the electrode delivers reversible capacities of approximately 476, 420, 380, 350, 330, and 300 mA h g^{-1} at Crates of 0.5, 1.0, 1.5, 2.0, 2.5, and 5.0C, respectively. The Sn40- MC electrode recovers and stabilizes at approximately 415 mA h g^{-1} (for 0.5C) following cycling at a high current rate (5C), suggesting that as-prepared $SnO₂-TiO₂$ electrodes could provide outstanding rate retention and cycle stability. The SEM image after the full-charging at 5C shows that the dendrite-free morphology is maintained, meaning strong structural stability (Fig. S9†). The well-established cycle retention in charge/ discharge profiles are also in good agreement with other results (Fig. S10†). The seven major peaks for Sn40-MC electrode shown in the cyclic voltammogram (Fig. 6a) are matched as plateaus at identical location in charge/discharge profile during C-rate performance test for all scan rate. **PEX Arbaness**

Commons are commons are commons are commons are expected to the experimental and the second on the second on the second on 25 February 2019. Downloaded on the second online and the second online and the se

> In the long-term cycle measurement (Fig. 7a), the cyclability of the Sn40-MC electrode is consistently maintained, delivering 307 mA h g^{-1} (212 µA h cm⁻²) after 300 cycles at the high current rate of 1.5C. In addition, the coulombic efficiency exhibited for most entire cycle is approximately 100%. In contrast, the Sn60-MC electrode shows an abrupt break with drastically reduced specific capacity at the 110^{th} cycle, as predicted from the SEM morphology image (Fig. 1d). Even though the specific capacity recovers, it is not retained and exhibits

Fig. 7 Performance of Sn40-MCs electrodes. (a) Long-term cycling performance of Sn40-MCs electrode, cycled at 1.5C for 300 cycles. MC and Sn40-MCs results are included for comparison. Upper trace (denoted C.E.) is the coulombic efficiency (right axis). (b) Electrochemical impedance spectroscopy (EIS): Nyquist plots of Sn40-MCs samples before and after the cycle test. The related equivalent circuit is shown. (c) FE-SEM image of Sn40-MCs after 300 cycles; the inset is a high-magnification image.

a rapid fading. The optimized Sn40-MC electrode provides an excellent lifetime in a long-term fast charge/discharge environment, leading to favorable results in comparison to $SnO₂$ and $TiO₂$ anode materials in other studies (Table 1). In the electrochemical impedance spectroscopy measurements (Fig. 7b), the electrolyte resistance (R_e) and surface film resistance $(R_{\rm sf})$ show similar behavior, derived from Li-ion migration through the surface film. However, the charge resistance (R_{ct}) of the SnO₂–TiO₂ MC anode (39.0 Ω) is smaller than that of pristine TiO₂ MCs (69.1 Ω). After 300 cycles, the R_{ct} of Sn40-MC is increased slightly due to the SEI formation, and is related to the constant phase elements (CPEsf). Nonetheless, it is not large compared with that of $TiO₂$ MC electrode. Fig. 7c shows morphology images of Sn40-MC after 300 cycles. Most $SnO₂$ –

TiO2 MC structures are successfully retained without surface cracks or damage following the high current and prolonged cycling. Furthermore, the $SnO₂-TiO₂$ MCs remain wellconnected to the Ti substrate without evidence of fracture or deformation. Consequently, the $SnO₂-TiO₂$ MCs are shown to be a stable and suitable anode material for high-speed charging in Li-ion battery applications.

Experimental

Electrode preparation

Titanium substrates (99.7% purity metal trace, 0.126 mm thickness, Sigma) were cut into 15 \times 15 mm² pieces for anodization. The substrates were washed in acetone, EtOH, and deionized water (DI) for 15, 10, and 5 min, respectively. The completely washed substrates were dried in ambient nitrogen. The cleaned titanium substrate and a platinum mesh were used as the working and counter electrodes, respectively, in a laboratory-made electrochemical cell. The anodization was carried out at 60 V for 60 min using a DC source (Keithley 2400 SourceMeter) in an electrolyte containing 1 M H_3PO_4 (85 wt%) purity, Sigma) with 0.4 vol% of HF (48 wt%, Sigma), forming $TiO₂$ microcones (MCs).^{34,40} After anodization, the titanium substrate was washed with DI. Subsequently, 0.4 mM Na₂- $SnO₃·H₂O$ (Alfa Aesar) was used as electrolyte for $SnO₂$ decoration on the as-prepared MCs by an anodic potential shock; the potential shock was carried out without altering the working and counter electrode configuration. Various shock voltages from 10 to 80 V were applied for 10 s. The completed electrodes were dried overnight in an oven maintained at 60 \degree C and used directly without annealing. The $SnO₂-TiO₂$ MCs specimens are denoted SnXX-MCs, where XX indicates the shock voltage; Sn10-MCs, for instance, means tin oxides were deposited using a shock of 10 V. MCs alone denotes samples that have been anodized but not shocked. The average weight of anode material is measured via thermoset epoxy, which can detach the anodic porous structure from titanium substrate.³⁴ By weighting the difference of specimen before and after detachment, the exact weight for active materials can be Published on 25 February 2019. The complete of the complete section of the complete of the complete on the complete of the complete of the common and the common a

Structure	Preparation method	The highest capacity	Cycle rate	Remarks
$SnO2-TiO2$ microcones	Potential shock on as- prepared TiO ₂ microcones	307 mA h g^{-1} (212 µA h cm^{-2}) at 300 th cycle	453 mA g^{-1} (300 µA cm ⁻² = 1.5C)	This study
$TiO2-SnO2$ nanotubes	Single-step anodization using Sn-Ti alloy	427 µA h cm ⁻² at 420 th cycle	504 µA cm ⁻² (=1C)	Ref. 23
$Sn-SnO2-TiO2$ nanoporous film	Hybrid electroplating method on Cu disk	410 mA h^{-1} g at 50 th cycle	100 mA g^{-1}	Ref. 24
$TiO_2/SnO_2/TiO_2$ nanotubes	Template-assisted atomic layer deposition (ALD)	310 mA h g^{-1} at 50 th cycle	C/10	Ref. 25
$SnO2(@TiO2 nanotubes)$	Electrodeposition on TiO ₂	250 μ A h cm ⁻² at 70 th cycle	124 μ A cm ⁻²	Ref. 26
$TiO2(@SnO2(@TiO2 triple-$ shell nanotube	Template-assisted plasma- enhanced atomic layer deposition (PEALD)	550 mA h g^{-1} at 60 th cycle	50 mA g^{-1}	Ref. 29
$SnO2/TiO2$ composite nanofibers	Electrospinning method	320 mA h g^{-1} at 50 th cycle	100 mA g^{-1}	Ref. 30

Table 1 Performance of previously reported $TiO₂-SnO₂$ composite anode materials

measured. All measurements are repeated 5 times to calculated average weight of all conditions.

Characterization

The morphological features of SnO_2-TIO_2 MCs were observed via high-resolution scanning electron microscopy (HR-SEM, SU8010, Hitachi) and field-emission transmission electron microscopy (FE-TEM, JEM-2100F, JEOL). The crystallographic nature of the electrodes was determined by X-ray diffraction (XRD, Rigaku D/max-RB, Cu K-alpha radiation), with detailed analysis using selected area electron diffraction (SAED) patterns from the FE-TEM. The spatial distribution of electrode elements was determined by in situ energy dispersive X-ray spectroscopy (EDS) mapping analysis. X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS, VGESCLALB 220i-XL, Fisons) with an Al K-alpha source was adapted to clarify the oxidation state of Sn situated on the surface and in the pore structure.

Electrochemical measurements

The electrochemical properties of the $SnO₂/TiO₂ MC$ electrodes were investigated in coin-type cells (CR2032, Wellcos Corporation); the anodized substrates were cut into 10 mm diameter discs for the working electrodes. The coin-type cells were fabricated in Ar-purged glove boxes to avoid unintended oxidation of the lithium metal foil (0.38 mm thickness, Sigma) that was used as the combined counter and reference electrode. The electrolyte was a 1 M LiPF₆ solution in a 1 : 1 ethylene carbonate (EC) : diethyl carbonate (DEC) (Sigma) mixture containing 5 vol% of fluoroethylene carbonate (FEC). Cyclic voltammetry (CV) and electrochemical impedance spectroscopy (EIS) were carried out via a potentiostat technique (PGSTAT302N, Autolab). The CV was cycled at 0.2 mV s^{-1} for 5 times in the potential range of $0.01-3.0$ V (vs. Li/Li⁺). For the EIS measurement, the frequency region $0.1-10^5$ Hz was used, with 10 mV amplitude. The galvanostatic cycling test was conducted in an identical potential range as the CV traces using a cycler system (WBCS3000, WonATech). **Examenes Articles** Articles Articles Articles Articles Articles. Published on 25 February 2019. Non-
 Conflicts of interest Articles.

Conflicts of interest articles.

The morphological features of 500, 150, MG were obs

Conclusions

In this study, a novel binder-free LIB anode using $SnO₂-TiO₂$ MCs for high capacity has been successfully prepared via the facile and economical processes of anodization and potential shock. Following anodization that creates the $TiO₂$ microcones, $SnO₂$ is deposited by potential shock in the valleys between the MCs as well as inside the hollow MCs. The shock plays a crucial role in the formation of the optimum structure and morphology of the SnO_2 –TiO₂ MCs. In the charging/discharging cycle, the as-prepared $SnO₂-TiO₂$ MCs may suppress the structural deformation induced by volume expansion during lithiation; this results from the hollow MCs encapsulating the $SnO₂$ layer. This achieves high cycle stability over extended high current cycling. There are still several challenges to further performance enhancement: removal of residual F^- ions in TiO₂ structures, and severe SEI layer formation from side reactions between $TiO₂$ and the electrolyte at a low discharge potential. We have

nevertheless demonstrated that $SnO₂-TiO₂$ MC material is a very promising candidate for LIB anodes.

Conflicts of interest

There are no conflicts to declare.

Acknowledgements

This work was supported by Inha University Research Grant.

Notes and references

- 1 R. T. Doucette and M. D. McCulloch, J. Power Sources, 2011, 196, 1163.
- 2 V. F. Pires, E. Romero-Cadaval, D. Vinikov, I. Roasto and J. F. Martins, Energy Convers. Manage., 2014, 86, 453.
- 3 M. Agostini, S. Brutti, M. A. Navarra, S. Pnero, P. Reale, A. Matic and B. Scrosati, Sci. Rep., 2017, 7, 1104.
- 4 I. H. Son, J. H. Park, S. Park, K. Park, S. Han, J. Shin, S.-G. Doo, Y. Hwang, H. Chang and J. W. Choi, Nat. Commun., 2017, 8, 1561.
- 5 S. Wang, W. Quan, Z. Zhu, Y. Yang, Q. Liu, Y. Ren, X. Zhang, R. Xu, Y. Hong, Z. Zhang, K. Amine, Z. Tang, J. Lu and J. Li, Nat. Commun., 2017, 8, 627.
- 6 N. Kim, S. Chae, J. Ma, M. Ko and J. Cho, Nat. Commun., 2017, 8, 812.
- 7 N. Takami, A. Satoh, M. Hara and T. Ohsaki, J. Electrochem. Soc., 1995, 142, 371.
- 8 S. Goriparti, E. Miele, F. D. Angelis, E. D. Fabrizio, R. P. Zaccaria and C. Capiglia, J. Power Sources, 2014, 257, 421.
- 9 N. Nitta, F. Wu, J. T. Lee and G. Yushin, Mater. Today, 2015, 18, 252.
- 10 B. G. Lee, S.-C. Nam and J. Choi, Curr. Appl. Phys., 2012, 12, 1580.
- 11 M. Wagemaker and F. M. Mulder, Acc. Chem. Res., 2013, 46, 1206.
- 12 Z. Chen, I. Belharouak, Y. K. Sun and K. Amine, Adv. Funct. Mater., 2013, 23, 959.
- 13 Z. Hong and M. Wei, J. Mater. Chem. A, 2013, 1, 4403.
- 14 E. C. Self, R. Wycisk and P. N. Pintauro, J. Power Sources, 2015, 282, 187.
- 15 G. Lee, S. Kim, S. Kim and J. Choi, Adv. Funct. Mater., 2017, 27, 1703538.
- 16 G. Wang, Y. Siu, M. Zhang, M. Xu, Q. Zeng, C. Liu, X. Liu, F. Du and B. Zou, J. Mater. Chem. A, 2017, 5, 18577.
- 17 W. Xu, X. Cui, Z. Xie, G. Dietrich and Y. Wang, Electrochim. Acta, 2016, 222, 1021.
- 18 W. Shi, H. Zhao and B. Lu, Nanotechnology, 2017, 28, 165403.
- 19 Y. Zhong, Y. Ma, Q. Guo, J. Liu, Y. Wang, M. Yang and H. Xia, Sci. Rep., 2017, 7, 40927.
- 20 D. Guan, J. Li, X. Gao and C. Yuan, RSC Adv., 2014, 4, 4055.
- 21 C. Wang, L. Wu, H. Wang, W. Zuo, Y. Li and J. Liu, Adv. Funct. Mater., 2015, 25, 3524.
- 22 Y. Wang, W. Zhou, L. Zhang, G. Song and S. Cheng, RSC Adv., 2015, 5, 63012.
- 23 M. Madian, M. Close, A. Jaumann, A. Bevert, S. Oswald, N. Ismail, A. Eychmüller, J. Ecert and L. Giebeler, J. Mater. Chem. A, 2016, 4, 5542. Paper

20 M. Madian, M. Gloss, A. Januaran, A. Berett, S. Owene, 2018, 2018.

20 K. Search A. A. Search A. Madian, A. Martin, M. Martin, M. Madian, C. Lee and J. Choi, *Guad. Tuday, 2018*,

24 K. Search A. A. Search A. Mad
	- 24 S.-Z. Kure-Chu, A. Satoh, S. Miura, M. Mizuhashi and H. Yashiro, J. Electrochem. Soc., 2015, 162, D305.
	- 25 M. Kim, J. Lee, S. Lee, S. Seo, C. Bae and H. Shin, ChemSusChem, 2015, 8, 2363.
	- 26 X. Wu, S. Zhang, L. Wang, Z. Du, H. Fang, Y. Ling and Z. Huang, J. Mater. Chem., 2012, 22, 11151.
	- 27 X. Hou, X. Wang, B. Liu, Q. Wang, Z. Wang, D. Chen and G. Shen, ChemElectroChem, 2014, 1, 108.
	- 28 S.-Y. Lee, K.-Y. Park, W. S. Kim, S. Yoon, S.-H. Hong, K. Kang and M. Kim, Nano Energy, 2016, 19, 234.
	- 29 J.-H. Jean, H. Kwak, W.-S. Kim, H.-C. Kim, K.-Y. Park, H. Kim, H.-S. Yang, W.-R. Yu, K. Kang and S.-H. Hong, J. Solid State Electrochem., 2017, 21, 2365.
	- 30 T. Tran, K. McCormc, J. Li, Z. Bi and J. Wu, Electrochim. Acta, 2014, 117, 68.
	- 31 Y. Jiang, S. Chen, D. Mu, Z. Zhao, C. Li, Z. Ding, C. Xie and F. Wu, ChemSusChem, 2018, 11, 2040.
	- 32 D. Liu, P. Xiao, Y. Zhang, B. B. Garcia, Q. Zhang, Q. Guo, R. Champion and G. Cao, J. Phys. Chem. C, 2008, 8, 11175.
	- 33 Y. Wang, S. Liu, K. Huang, D. Fang and S. Zhuang, J. Solid State Electrochem., 2012, 16, 723.
	- 34 O. Rhee, G. Lee and J. Choi, ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces, 2016, 8, 14558.
- 35 J. Park, S. Kim, G. Lee and J. Choi, ACS Omega, 2018, 3, 10205–10210.
- 36 M. Seong, S. Kim, H. Yoo and J. Choi, Catal. Today, 2016, 260, 135.
- 37 H. Yoo, K. Oh, G. Lee and J. Choi, J. Electrochem. Soc., 2017, 164, H104.
- 38 S. Kim, H. Yoo, O. Rhee and J. Choi, J. Phys. Chem. C, 2015, 119, 21497.
- 39 Y. Jo, I. Jung, I. Lee, J. Choi and Y. Tak, Electrochem. Commun., 2010, 12, 616.
- 40 J. Park, G. Lee and J. Choi, J. Electrochem. Soc., 2017, 164, D640.
- 41 K. Lee and P. Schmuki, Electrochim. Acta, 2013, 100, 229.
- 42 J. Szuber, G. Czempik, R. Larciprete, D. Koziei and B. Adamowicz, Thin Solid Films, 2001, 391, 198.
- 43 W. Wan, Y. Li, X. Ren, Y. Zhao, F. Gao and H. Zhao, Nanomater, 2018, 8, 112.
- 44 A. Palacious-Padrós, F. Caballero-Briones, I. Díez-Pérez and F. Sanz, Electrochim. Acta, 2013, 111, 837.
- 45 S. Hong, M.-H. Choo, Y. H. Kwon, J. Y. Kim and S.-W. Song, J. Electrochem. Soc., 2014, 161, A1851.
- 46 D. Shuttleworth, J. Phys. Chem., 1980, 84, 1629.
- 47 S. Bouazza, A. Saberi and M. Willert-Porada, Mater. Lett., 2011, 65, 1334.
- 48 O. Cevher and H. Akbulut, Acta Phys. Pol., A, 2017, 131, 204.