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Realization of High-Power-Efficiency White Electroluminescence from A Single Polymer by Energy-Level Engineering

Shiyang Shao, Shumeng Wang, Xiushang Xu, Yun Yang, Jianhong Lv, Junqiao Ding, Liang Wang, Xiabin Jing and Fosong Wang

Single white-emitting polymers (SWPs) represent a high-fidelity system for generating white light emission from polymers without phase separation compared to the polymer blend systems. However, their device performance so far has been limited because of the unwanted hole scattering caused by energy-level mismatch between emitters and hosts, and the large injection barrier in polymer/anode interface. Here, we report novel poly(arylene phosphine oxide)-based all-phosphorescent SWPs by using the combination of high-HOMO-level blue phosphor and high-HOMO-level poly(arylene phosphine oxide) host to achieve a low turn-on voltage of 2.8 V, high external quantum efficiency of 18.0% and remarkable power efficiency of 52.1 lm W⁻¹, which makes them the most efficient SWPs so far. This record power efficiency is realized by using the high-HOMO-level blue phosphor to eliminate hole scattering effect, and by using the high-HOMO-level polymer host to reduce hole injection barrier. This result represents an important progress for SWPs to achieve efficiency outperforming the polymer blends currently used for solution-processed white organic light-emitting diodes (WOLEDs) and even comparable with the small molecules used for vacuum-deposited WOLEDs.

**Introduction**

White organic light-emitting diodes (WOLEDs) have continued to attract attention of researchers owing to their applications in lighting sources and full-color displays by coupling with color filters. In past years, lots of efforts have been devoted to small-molecule WOLEDs relying on vacuum-deposited technologies, with their power efficiency (PE) now comparable with those of fluorescent tubes (60–100 lm W⁻¹). Nevertheless, WOLEDs based on polymers, which can be fabricated by low-cost solution-processing methods such as ink-jet printing and roll-to-roll printing, are less developed. Especially, their PE still lags far behind those of the small-molecule counterparts because of the relatively high driving voltages and low external quantum efficiencies.

The conventional approach for white electroluminescent polymers uses blend systems, e.g. polymer/polymer or polymer/small molecules with complementary colors (blue and yellow, or blue, green and red), to cover the whole visible region. These multi-component systems inherently suffer from phase separation of different emitters which leads to deterioration of device efficiency and undesired voltage- and/or time-induced color shift. An alternative approach is to develop single white-emitting polymers (SWPs) by covalently incorporating complementary emissive species into one macromolecule, which can produce broad-band emissions from all the components via partial energy transfer from high-energy (blue) species to low-energy (yellow, or green and red) ones. Because all the emissive species are chemically dispersed in the polymer, the risk of phase separation can be avoided. Therefore SWPs are envisioned as a high-fidelity system compared to polymer blends.

Since the first report of SWPs with individual blue and yellow fluorescent emission in 2004, a number of SWPs containing two or three colors have been reported. Particularly, incorporating phosphorescent emitters to harvest triplet excitons has been an important advance in boosting the device efficiency. For example, Ir(III) complexes have been introduced to polyfluorenes to produce fluorescent/phosphorescent hybrid SWPs exhibiting the best external quantum efficiency (EQE) of 5.4%. Additionally, all-phosphorescent SWPs have been reported by incorporating both blue and yellow phosphors into one polymer host, revealing EQEs up to 7.1%. Despite of these progress, the device performance of SWPs still lags behind that of the polymer blends, and cannot compete with small molecules—including the driving voltage, EQE and power efficiency (PE).

From the material design aspect, to develop high-efficiency all-phosphorescent SWPs, there are at least two major challenges to be addressed. First, there lacks a polymer host that possesses both high triplet energy (E_t > 2.70 eV) and high HOMO level close to the Femi level of the anode. For efficient white emission, a high-E_t host is required to prevent back energy transfer from blue phosphor to...
However, the high $E_T$ tends to result in a wide energy gap, leading to a low HOMO level and thus large hole-injection barrier. For instance, the commonly-used high-$E_T$ host poly(9-vinylcarbazole) (PVK) shows a HOMO level of $-5.90 \text{ eV}$, giving an energy barrier of $0.7 \text{ eV}$ for hole injection from indium tin oxide (ITO)/poly(3,4-ethylenedioxythiophene):poly(styrene) sulfonate (PEDOT:PSS) anode ($-5.20 \text{ eV}$) to the polymer. Second, there is a mismatch of energy level between the blue phosphor and the polymer host, which brings about unwanted charge trapping or charge scattering effect for SWPs depending on the relative position of the HOMO/LUMO levels of the dopant to those of the host. Generally, if the HOMO/LUMO levels of the phosphor fall within those of the host, charge trapping will take place. On the contrary, if the phosphor has a lower-lying HOMO or higher-lying LUMO, hole scattering or electron scattering occurs. Both these processes will lead to a decrease of current density and an increase of driving voltage for devices, and therefore are undesired for SWPs. These effects are critical for blue phosphors because their content in SWPs is at a relatively high level ($>5 \text{ mol } \%$). However, it is known that the benchmark blue phosphor bis(2-(4,6-difluorophenyl)pyridine)picolinate)iridium(III) (FIrpic) shows a low HOMO level of $-5.64 \text{ eV}$, so hole scattering can occur if a high-HOMO-level polymer host is used. Therefore, regulating the energy level of the blue phosphor to match that of the host is of particular significance for the development of SWPs with low driving voltage and high power efficiency.

Here, we demonstrate novel poly(arylene phosphine oxide)-based all-phosphorescent SWPs to realize high-power-efficiency white electroluminescence from a single polymer, which is achieved by using a combination of high-HOMO-level blue phosphor and high-HOMO-level nonconjugated poly(arylene phosphine oxide) host. The high-HOMO-level blue phosphor is to eliminate the hole scattering between the blue phosphors and polymer hosts, while the high-HOMO-level nonconjugated polymer host is to reduce the hole injection barrier from anode to SWPs and meanwhile to prevent back energy transfer from blue phosphor to host. With this novel strategy, a low turn-on voltage ($V_{\text{on}}$) of 2.8 V, high external quantum efficiency of 18.0% and a record forward PE of 52.1 lm W$^{-1}$ is achieved for SWPs. Such remarkable progress makes SWPs outperform the polymer blends currently used for solution-processed WOLEDs, and be comparable with the small molecules used for vacuum-deposited WOLEDs. We believe this study will definitely push forward the development of SWPs for low-cost and large-scale illumination applications in the future.

Results and Discussion

1. Molecular design and synthesis

To design all-phosphorescent SWPs, the selection of polymer host and phosphors is the key factor in determining the device efficiency. For the polymer host, a high $E_T$ is required to confine the triplet excitons on all the phosphors, while appropriate HOMO/LUMO energy levels are needed to allow smooth carrier injection in the emissive layer (EML). Based on these requirements, our selection of polymer host is focused on the following considerations. First, triphenylphosphine oxide (TPO) unit is chosen as building block for the polymer backbone because of its high $E_T$ and suitable LUMO level for efficient electron injection. Second, non-conjugated 3,3’-dimethyl diphenyl ether is incorporated into the poly(arylene phosphine oxide) backbone to isolate the TPO.
units to maintain a high $E_T$ (Figure 1). The diphenyl ether moiety is expected to break the π-conjugation between the adjacent phenyl groups of the spacer, while the methyl groups is to increase the twisting angle between the TPO unit and the spacer which further decreases the conjugation along the polymer backbone. This molecular design endows the nonconjugated poly(arylene phosphine oxide) host with high $E_T$ up to 2.85 eV (Figure S1–S2, Supporting Information), making it sufficient to act as host for blue phosphors. Third, three kinds of carbazole derivatives—including tert-butyl-capped carbazole dendrons with first (Cz) or second (DtBu) generation, and n-butoxy-capped carbazole dendron with second generation (DOBu)—are selected as side chain to tune the HOMO level of the resulting polymers (PH1, PH2 and PH3). According to the density functional theory (DFT) calculations (Figure 2), the HOMO level shifts to higher energy by 0.29 eV through changing the capping groups from tert- butyl (DtBu) to n-butoxy (DOBu) due to the extended electron cloud across the electron-donating oxygen atoms. However, from DtBu to Cz, the HOMO level shifts to lower energy owing to the more localized electron population. With this design, PH2 containing the DOBu sidechain shows the highest experimental HOMO level of –5.20 eV, matching well with the Fermi level of the ITO/PEDOT:PSS anode. In comparison, PH1 and PH3 give experimental values of –5.37 and –5.64 eV, respectively, which is much lower than the anode (Figure S3).

Another consideration for our design of SWPs involves the selection of blue and yellow phosphors. For blue phosphors, two iridium complexes, e.g. FIrpic and the homemade (tri(4-butoxy-2-(2,4-difluorophenyl)pyridine) iridium(III) (FIrOBu)\textsuperscript{55}, with different energy levels are chosen. Compared with FIrpic (HOMO: –5.64 eV), the HOMO level of FIrOBu (–5.28 eV) is up-shifted by 0.36 eV through introducing electron-donating n-butoxy substituents on the pyridine units (Figure S4). This high HOMO level is expected to suppress the hole scattering effect between the phosphor and host. As for the yellow phosphor, the Ir complex tri(5-trifluoromethyl-2-(9,9-diethylfluoren-2-yl)pyridine) iridium(III) (Ir(FlPy-CF\textsubscript{3})\textsubscript{3}) featuring a short triplet-state lifetime ($\tau = 0.1 \mu$s) is selected for the SWPs. As demonstrated previously\textsuperscript{40}, Ir(FlPy-CF\textsubscript{3})\textsubscript{3} showed much higher device efficiency and smaller efficiency roll-off than the counterpart (fbi)\textsubscript{2}Ir(acac) (bis-[2-(9,9-diethyl-fluoren-2-yl)-1-phenylbenzoimidazol-N, C\textsuperscript{3}] iridium (acetylacetonate)) that had a longer $\tau$ of 1.5 $\mu$s, because the collision-induced quenching effect—including triplet-triplet annihilation and triplet-polaron quenching—was inhibited for Ir(FlPy-CF\textsubscript{3})\textsubscript{3}.

With the hosts and dopants in hand, four kinds of all-phosphorescent SWPs (SWP1-FIrpic, SWP1-FIrOBu, SWP2-FIrOBu and SWP3-FIrOBu) are designed by covalently tethering the blue and yellow phosphors to the side chain of poly(arylene phosphine oxide) hosts through alkyl chains. The saturated alkyl chain keeps...
the electronic properties of the host and the phosphor independent from each other. To get balanced blue and yellow emission for white light emission, the content of the blue and yellow phosphors are tuned according to the feed ratio, which is 30 mol% and 0.7 mol%, respectively, for the optimized SWPs.

Scheme 1–2 show the synthetic routes for the poly(arylene phosphine oxide)s. The dibrominated monomer Br-Cz, Br-DtBu and Br-DOBu were produced from bis-(4-bromophenyl)phosphine oxide (1) and corresponding aryl iodides (2, 3, 4) through palladium-catalyzed coupling in high yields (>75%). The polymers were then synthesized by Suzuki-Miyaura polymerization from corresponding bromide monomers and borated monomer (5), with typical number-average molecular weights of 30–100 K Da as obtained by gel permeation chromatography (GPC) method using polystyrene as standard and tetrahydrofuran as eluent. It is noteworthy that, unlike the harsh conditions used for previous poly(arylene ether)-based hosts (strong base at 165 °C), the Suzuki-Miyaura polymerization condition used here (weak base under 90 °C) is mild enough for the fluorinated Ir(III) complexes, avoiding the risk of decomposition of the blue phosphor. The actual content of the Ir complexes in the SWPs is quantified by comparing the integration of the ¹H NMR signals of the Ir complexes with that of carbazole segments. It is found that the Ir loading is close to the feed ratio. The detailed procedure for the synthesis and characterization of the monomers and polymers can be found in the Supporting Information.

2. Energy-level matching of blue phosphor and polymer host

Energy level of the phosphor determines the charge transporting behavior to a large extent. To avoid unwanted charge trapping/scattering effect, the energy level of the phosphor should match that of the host. This requirement is important for blue
phosphor because of its typically high doping concentration (30 mol% in this study) in SWPs.

To investigate the effect of energy level of blue phosphor on EL performance of the SWPs, two devices were fabricated using SWP1-FIrpic and SWP1-FIrOBu as the emissive layer. The device structure is ITO (130 nm)/PEDOT:PSS (30 nm)/ SWPs (40 nm)/ SPPO13 (55 nm)/LiF (1 nm)/Al (100 nm). Here SPPO13 stands for 9,9'-spirobis(fluorene)-2,7-diylbis(diphenylphosphine oxide) and acts as electron-transporting layer (ETL). As shown in Figure 3, both SWP1-FIrpic and SWP1-FIrOBu show individual blue and yellow emissions, which produce white emission with Commission Internationale de L'Eclairage (CIE) coordinates of (0.41, 0.45) and (0.43, 0.44), respectively. However, SWP1-FIrpic exhibits moderate device performance with a $V_{on}$ of 4.2 V, a maximum EQE of 8.3% and a maximum PE of 12.2 lm/W (Table 1). In comparison, SWP1-FIrOBu shows much lower current density ($J$) than SWP1-FIrOBu at the same driving voltage ($V$) (Figure S5). This behavior is similar as that of the physically blended blue devices containing PH1 doped with Firpic or FirOBu as the emissive layer (Figure S6). Given the same device structure, the difference of device performance between SWP1-FIrpic and SWP1-FIrOBu is ascribed to the different energy alignment of the phosphors relative to the polymer host. As shown in Figure 3a, owing to the low HOMO level, Firpic acts as hole scattering centers in SWP1-FIrpic, leading to an increase of transit path for holes and thus a decrease of hole current density. This effect further results in that the electrons on Firpic (captured from either SPPO13 or the polymer host) cannot recombine readily with the holes and so are accumulated near the EML/ETL interface. Both of the reduced hole current density and the electron accumulation will lead to an inferior device performance such as high driving voltage and low EQE. In contrast, hole scattering is avoided in SWP1-FIrOBu because the HOMO level of FirOBu is slightly higher than that of the polymer host, so holes can transport smoothly to the EML/ETL interface to recombine immediately with the electrons, thus giving much better device performance.

Another finding is that SWP1-FIrpic shows much lower current density ($J$) than SWP1-FIrOBu at the same driving voltage ($V$) (Figure S5). This behavior is similar as that of the physically blended blue devices containing PH1 doped with Firpic or FirOBu as the emissive layer (Figure S6). Given the same device structure, the difference of device performance between SWP1-FIrpic and SWP1-FIrOBu is ascribed to the different energy alignment of the phosphors relative to the polymer host. As shown in Figure 3a, owing to the low HOMO level, Firpic acts as hole scattering centers in SWP1-FIrpic, leading to an increase of transit path for holes and thus a decrease of hole current density. This effect further results in that the electrons on Firpic (captured from either SPPO13 or the polymer host) cannot recombine readily with the holes and so are accumulated near the EML/ETL interface. Both of the reduced hole current density and the electron accumulation will lead to an inferior device performance such as high driving voltage and low EQE. In contrast, hole scattering is avoided in SWP1-FIrOBu because the HOMO level of FirOBu is slightly higher than that of the polymer host, so holes can transport smoothly to the EML/ETL interface to recombine immediately with the electrons, thus giving much better device performance.

To confirm the hole scattering effect, hole-only devices based on polymer blends of PH1:0~30 wt% Firpic and PH1:0~30 wt% FirOBu were prepared. As shown in Figure 3d, for the Firpic-doped devices, the current density at the same voltage decreases as the doping concentration increases from 0 to 30 wt%. This result indicates that the hole transport can be impeded by Firpic, which is
consistent with the hole scattering effect. By contrast, for the FIrOBu-doped devices, the current density is slightly increased as the doping concentration increases, probably because that FIrOBu can play the role of hole transporter in the active layer.

Further evidence for the hole scattering effect comes from the time-resolved EL intensity of the blue-emitting devices. As shown in Figure 3e, a transient overshoot after voltage turn-off is observed for device fabricated with emissive layer of PH1: 15 wt% FIrpic. Upon a negative bias of –3 V, the overshoot time is distinctly shortened, accompanied by enhanced overshoot intensity. In contrast, no overshoot of EL intensity is detected for the device based on the layer of PH1: 15 wt% FIrOBu at the bias of either 0 V or –3 V. The observed transient overshoot for the FIrpic device indicates that there are accumulated electrons on FIrpic, which can recombine with the holes in the emissive layer to give delayed emission after voltage turn-off\(^4\). Comparatively, in the FIrOBu device with no scattering effect, the holes transport smoothly and recombine rapidly with the electrons, therefore no residual holes and accumulated electrons are available to give delayed emission.

3. Regulating HOMO level of polymer host

Another key issue for the design of SWPs involves the energy-level matching of the polymer host and the electrode. Especially, to minimize the hole injection barrier, a polymer host with a HOMO level close to the Fermi level of the anode is required. For this purpose, regulation of the HOMO level of poly(arylene phosphine oxide) host is carried out for the SWPs. As shown in Figure 4a, PH1 containing the tert-butyl-capped second-generation carbazole dendron shows a HOMO level of –5.37 eV, leading to an energy barrier of 0.17 eV for hole injection from the ITO/PEDOT:PSS anode (–5.20 eV) to SWP1-FIrOBu. While for PH2 containing the n-butoxy-capped second-generation carbazole dendron, the HOMO level (–5.20 eV) is close to the anode. Therefore the hole injection barrier in the polymer/anode interface is negligible for SWP2-FIrOBu. In comparison, for SWP3-FIrOBu, the polymer host PH3 having tert-butyl-capped first-generation carbazole sidechain shows a low HOMO level of –5.64 eV, giving a large barrier of 0.44 eV for hole injection. To show the importance of the HOMO-level regulation, hole-only devices based on PH1, PH2 and PH3 were fabricated. As shown in Figure 4b, the driving voltage for the same current density (e.g. 1 mA cm\(^{-2}\)) is substantially decreased from PH3 (9.5 V), to PH1 (6.5 V) and PH2 (5.3 V), suggesting that the high HOMO level of polymer host is favorable for hole injection.

To explore the influence of HOMO levels of host on EL performance of SWPs, devices based on SWP1-FIrOBu, SWP2-FIrOBu and SWP3-FIrOBu were fabricated with configuration of ITO (130 nm)/PEDOT:PSS (30 nm)/SWPs (40 nm)/SPPO13 (55 nm)/LiF (1 nm)/Al (100 nm). All the SWPs give simultaneous blue and yellow emissions with CIE coordinates located in the white light zone. Especially, SWP2-FIrOBu exhibits CIE coordinates of (0.41±0.01, 0.44±0.01) at a luminance range from 100 to 5000 cd m\(^{-2}\) (Figure 4c). The small variation of CIE coordinates at practical luminance
implies that they are potential candidates for illumination sources with stable spectrum. In addition, due to the high $E_{L}$ of the polymer hosts, all the SWPs give impressive EQEs of 15.8~18.6% at 100 cd m$^{-2}$ and 13.4~16.0% at 1000 cd m$^{-2}$ (Table 1).

**Table 1.** Summary of the device performance of the SWPs.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Polymer</th>
<th>$V_{on}$ (V)</th>
<th>PE$^{b}$ (lm W$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>EQE$^{c}$ (%)</th>
<th>$L_{max}^{d}$ (cd m$^{-2}$)</th>
<th>CIE (x,y)$^{e}$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SWP1-FIrpic</td>
<td>4.2</td>
<td>12.2/12.1/8.6</td>
<td>8.3/7.8/7.0</td>
<td>4100</td>
<td>0.41, 0.45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SWP1-FIrOBu</td>
<td>3.4</td>
<td>40.8/38.0/25.4</td>
<td>18.7/18.6/16.0</td>
<td>15100</td>
<td>0.43, 0.44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SWP2-FIrOBu</td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td>52.1/41.0/27.3</td>
<td>18.0/17.2/14.4</td>
<td>16700</td>
<td>0.42, 0.45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SWP3-FIrOBu</td>
<td>3.6</td>
<td>32.9/30.6/23.2</td>
<td>15.8/15.8/13.4</td>
<td>11900</td>
<td>0.42, 0.44</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$^{a}$ Voltage at 1 cd m$^{-2}$. $^{b}$ Power efficiency. $^{c}$ External quantum efficiency. $^{d}$ Maximum luminance. $^{e}$ CIE coordinates.

Remarkably, SWP2-FIrOBu exhibits very low driving voltages of 2.8/3.8/4.8 V at 1/100/1000 cd m$^{-2}$, respectively, which is superior to SWP1-FIrOBu showing driving voltages of 3.4/4.4/5.4 V at the same luminances. In contrast, these values for SWP3-FIrOBu are increased to 3.8/4.6/5.6 V, respectively. This result indicates that a HOMO level close to the Fermi level of the anode is favorable for reducing the driving voltage of the SWPs. Thanks to the low driving voltage, SWP2-FIrOBu shows a maximum PE of 52.1 lm W$^{-1}$ without use of any out-coupling enhancement technology. At 100 cd m$^{-2}$ and 1000 cd m$^{-2}$, the PE decays to 41.0 lm W$^{-1}$ and 27.3 lm W$^{-1}$, respectively. The considerable efficiency roll-off indicates that there are still triplet-triplet or triplet-polaron annihilation in the emissive layer. Further improving the charge balance of the polymers (e.g. by covalently incorporating charge-transporting units in mainchain or physically blending with charge-transporting materials) to broaden the charge combination zone may be prospective to address this problem. Despite of this, these power efficiencies represent an important progress for SWPs considering the PE of SWPs at the practically relevant brightness (for example 100 cd m$^{-2}$) has long been kept below 15 lm/W in the past decade (Table S1).

![Figure 5](image.png)

**Figure 5.** Recent progress of power efficiency for organic white-emitting materials. The values are collected from the most efficient materials reported in the literatures in the past decade (see Table S1). All the values are given without the use of out-coupling enhancement technology for better comparison.

The remarkable power efficiencies of the SWPs make them surpass those of the polymer blends ever reported, and are even comparable with those of the small molecules relying on the vacuum-deposition method (see Figure 5 and Table S1). From aspects of material and device design, we propose that the key to the high-power-efficiency SWPs is to satisfy the following requirements:

1. Suitable energy levels of both polymer host and phosphor to realize barrier-free charge injection and to avoid unwanted charge trapping and charge scattering process. From a survey of the state-of-the-art small molecule WOLEDs$^{6,37,38}$, a $V_{on}$ of less than 3.0 V is basically needed to achieve PE higher than 50 lm/W, thus requiring a smooth charge injection and transport from the anode to the recombination zone. To meet this requirement, multi-layer device structures that contain extra charge injection and transporting layers are always adopted for small molecule WOLEDs. However, applying such multi-layer device structures to SWPs through solution-based processing method is challenging. In view of this, fine regulation of energy levels of both polymer host and phosphor is critical for SWPs to overcome all the barriers in charge injection and transport. For polymer host, a HOMO level close to the Fermi level of anode is needed to eliminate the hole injection barrier in the polymer/anode host interface. For phosphors, their energy levels should match those of the host to avoid unwanted charge trapping and charge scattering process. In this study, the combination of high-HOMO-level blue phosphor and high-HOMO-level poly(arylene phosphine oxide) host in SWP2-FIrOBu plays the key role in satisfying these requirements to realize a low $V_{on}$ of 2.8 V. Taking into account of the band gap of 2.7 eV for FIrOBu, it is reasonable to deduce that the charge injection and transport in SWP2-FIrOBu is almost barrier-free.

2. High-$E_{L}$ polymer host to confine excitons on phosphors. As an indispensable component for white light emission, the blue phosphor requests a polymer host with $E_{L} > 2.70$ eV to avoid quenching of high-energy triplet excitons. However, in previous reports, most polymer hosts are based on conjugated backbones...
(e.g. polyfluorenes and polycarbazoles) which show E\textsubscript{S} lower than 2.70 eV, rendering them not suitable for all-phosphorescent SWPs. In this study, the incorporation of non-conjugated 3,3'-dimethyl diphenyl ether spacer endows the poly(arylene phosphine oxide) host with E\textsubscript{T} up to 2.85 eV, which is critical for avoiding quenching of the blue excitons. Indeed, if this spacer is replaced by more conjugated counterparts, the resulting polymers show much lower E\textsubscript{S} (e.g. PH4 (E\textsubscript{S}=2.70 eV) and PH5 (E\textsubscript{S}=2.45 eV), Figure S1–S2). As a result, the blue excitons can be substantially quenched, which is verified by the fact that the phosphorescence lifetime of polymer host:15 wt% FIrpic film becomes much shorter, and the EQE of the corresponding blue phosphorescent device is decreased from 11.5% to 0.5% (Figure S8).

(3) Balanced charge transport to enhance carrier recombination ratio. We find that the EQEs of the SWPs are strongly dependent on the ETL. When 1,3,5-tri(m-phenyl-4-yl-phenyl)benzene (TmPyPB)\textsuperscript{43} is used as ETL instead of SPPO13, the maximum EQE of the SWP2-FIrObu device is decreased from 18.0 % to 12.3 %, which is accompanied by an increase of current density at the same voltage (Figure S10). However, inserting a thin layer (8 nm) of 3,6-bis(diphenylphosphoryl)-9-[4-(diphenylphosphoryl) phenyl]-carbazole (TPCz) between the SWP2-FIrObu and TmPyPB layer leads to a decrease of current density and an increase of EQE from 12.3% to 16.7%. This observation suggests that there are excess electrons in the recombination zone if TmPyPB is used as ETL considering its energy-level mismatch between the blue phosphor and the hole injection barrier in the polymer/anode interface, which synergetically leads to a very low turn-on voltage of 2.8 V, high external quantum efficiency of 18.0% and a high forward PE of 52.1 lm W\textsuperscript{-1}. This record efficiency makes these poly(arylene phosphine oxide)-based SWPs outperform the multi-component polymer blends currently in use for solution-processed WOLEDs and even be comparable with the vacuum-deposited molecules used for vacuum-deposition WOLEDs. Such a progress in SWPs provides an incentive for researcher to pay future efforts on this low-cost lighting technology. Our further research on boosting the power efficiency (e.g. by using high-HOMO-level polymer hosts with thermally activated delayed fluorescence effect) and enhancing the device stability of the SWPs (e.g. by using potentially-stable non-fluorinated blue dopants to replace the current fluorinated ones (FIrpic and FIrOBu)), is currently underway.

Experimental

Materials: Synthesis and characterization of the materials used in this study can be found in Supporting Information.

OLED fabrication: The white-emitting OLEDs were fabricated on ultraviolet–ozone treated ITO-glass substrates (15 Ω per square). A 30-nm-thick PEDOT:PSS (Clevious P A14083) film was deposited on the substrates and then baked at 120 °C for 45 min, which was then transferred to a N\textsubscript{2}-filled glove box. Solutions of SWPs in chlorobenzene were spin-coated on the PEDOT:PSS layer as the emissive layer with thickness of ~30 nm. After annealing at 100 °C for 30 min, the devices were transferred to a vacuum chamber. Successively, SPPO13 (55 nm) (or TmPyPB (55 nm) and TPCz (8 nm)/TmPyPB (47 nm)) was thermally evaporated on top of the emissive layer at a pressure less than 4 × 10\textsuperscript{-6} Pa to act as electron-transporting layer. Finally, a 1-nm-thick film of LiF and 100 nm-thick film of Al were deposited as the cathode through a shadow mask to give an active area of 3.5*4.0 mm\textsuperscript{2}. The hole-only devices were fabricated according to a similar procedure, except that a 60-nm-thick Au layer was evaporated on top of the active layer as the cathode.

OLED characterization: The J–V–L characteristics of the devices were measured at room temperature under ambient atmosphere using Keithley 2400/2000 source meter coupled with a calibrated silicon photodiode. The EL spectra and CIE coordinates were recorded with a PR650 spectra colorimeter. EQE of the devices were calculated based on the current density, luminance and EL spectrum assuming a Lambertian emission distribution.

Transient EL measurements: The decay curves of the transient EL intensity were measured by electrically exciting the devices through a pulse generator (Agilent 8114A, 100V/2A). After going through a monochromator the transient EL signals are collected by a photomultiplier tube. The collected results were presented using an oscilloscope (Agilent Model 54825A, 500 MHz/2 GSa/s).
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