

Highlighting collaborative research between the University of Göttingen (Germany) and the Rice University (United States).

A symmetrical method to obtain shear moduli from microrheology

Microrheology measures viscoelastic properties of soft materials by observing thermally fluctuating embedded probe particles. We introduce a new data analysis method that optimizes detection bandwidth.

As featured in: Soft Matter See Maria L. Kilfoil, Christoph F. Schmidt, F. C. MacKintosh *et al*., *Soft Matter*, 2018, **14**, 3716.

Registered charity number: 207890

Soft Matter

Cite this: *Soft Matter,* 2018, 14, 3716

Received 20th December 2017, Accepted 21st March 2018

DOI: 10.1039/c7sm02499a

rsc.li/soft-matter-journal

1 Introduction

Bulk viscoelastic properties of soft materials are usually measured by mechanical rheometers, in which, for instance, the shear response of a material is measured under an applied oscillatory torsion.1–5 Complementary microrheological techniques have been developed over the past two decades that permit the measurement of the local viscoelastic response on microscopic length scales and at much higher frequencies than are accessible with conventional rheometers. $6-10$ In passive microrheology, the linear response characteristics of a material are inferred from the thermal motion of embedded probe particles. Such an approach is particularly

^a Third Institute of Physics-Biophysics, University of Göttingen, 37077 Göttingen, Germany

E-mail: cfschmidt@phy.duke.edu

Kengo Nishi, \mathbf{D}^{a} Maria L. Kilfoil, \mathbf{D}^{abc} Christoph F. Schmidt \mathbf{D}^{rad} and F. C. MacKintosh^{D*efghi}

Passive microrheology typically deduces shear elastic loss and storage moduli from displacement time series or mean-squared displacements (MSD) of thermally fluctuating probe particles in equilibrium materials. Common data analysis methods use either Kramers–Kronig (KK) transformation or functional fitting to calculate frequency-dependent loss and storage moduli. We propose a new analysis method for passive microrheology that avoids the limitations of both of these approaches. In this method, we determine both real and imaginary components of the complex, frequency-dependent response function $\chi(\omega) = \chi'(\omega) + i\chi''(\omega)$ as direct integral transforms of the MSD of thermal particle motion. This procedure significantly improves the high-frequency fidelity of $\chi(\omega)$ relative to the use of KK transformation, which has been shown to lead to artifacts in $\chi'(\omega)$. We test our method on both model and experimental data. Experiments were performed on solutions of worm-like micelles and dilute collagen solutions. While the present method agrees well with established KK-based methods at low frequencies, we demonstrate significant improvement at high frequencies using our symmetric analysis method, up to almost the fundamental Nyquist limit. PAPER
 (a) Check for undates
 EVALUATE CHECK CONSULTS (A) Check for undates
 EVALUATE CHECK CONSULTS (C) Maria L KIIOI, Φ ¹⁶⁷C Christoph F. Schmidt Φ ⁺²⁷ and
 $\frac{1}{2}$ CHECK starting NET CHECK (C) Maria L K

suitable for soft matter systems, in which thermal fluctuations can often be observed by standard light microscopy techniques. For this reason, microrheology is frequently used to study colloidal suspensions, emulsions, hydrogels and biopolymer solutions.¹¹ Provided that the medium is in thermal equilibrium, the fluctuation-dissipation theorem $(FDT)^{12,13}$ implies that one can completely determine the linear response of a probe particle from the thermal fluctuations of that particle. Furthermore, provided that this micromechanical response can be accurately modeled in terms of macroscopic transport properties such as the viscosity η or the viscoelastic shear modulus $G(\omega)$, e.g., using the Stokes formula or its generalizations, microrheology can be used to measure such bulk viscoelastic properties.^{6,8-10,14}

The practical implementation of passive microrheology is subject to at least three limitations: (1) the temporal bandwidth and spatial resolution limits of the method used to measure the fluctuations, (2) the artifacts introduced by the analysis of these fluctuations to derive the micromechanical probe-particle response, and (3) the accuracy and appropriateness of models such as generalizations of the Stokes formula that are employed to relate the particle response to bulk material properties. Here, we address primarily the second of these limitations by introducing an improved method of analysis to obtain the local, micromechanical compliance or response function χ of the probe particle from displacement time-series data. Our analysis presupposes that either a direct displacement time series $x(t)$ or the mean-squared displacement (MSD) $\langle (x(t'+t) - x(t'))^2 \rangle$ of particle

 b Alentic Microscience Inc., Halifax, NS B3H 0A8, Canada</sup>

^c Department of Physics & Atmospheric Science, Dalhousie University, Halifax, NS B3H 4R2, Canada. E-mail: maria.kilfoil@dal.ca

^d Department of Physics, Duke University, Durham, NC 27708, USA.

 e^e Department of Chemical & Biomolecular Engineering, Rice University, Houston, TX 77005, USA. E-mail: fcmack@gmail.com

 f Center for Theoretical Biological Physics, Rice University, Houston, TX 77030, USA g Department of Chemistry, Rice University, Houston, TX 77005, USA

^h Department Physics & Astronomy, Rice University, Houston, TX 77005, USA

 i Department of Physics and Astronomy, Vrije Universiteit, 1081HV Amsterdam, The Netherlands

[†] Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available. See DOI: 10.1039/c7sm02499a

motion is measured over about three decades or more in t. Various experimental techniques can be used for such measurements. The MSD of an ensemble of probe beads can, for instance, be obtained using light scattering methods, including dynamic light scattering (DLS) and diffusing wave spectroscopy (DWS), where the intensity fluctuations of the coherently scattered light can be related to the MSD.¹⁵ These methods offer high spatial resolution and a wide bandwidth (\sim 1 nm and 10–10⁵ Hz for DWS). Moreover, the averaging extends over hundreds or more probe particles, resulting in good statistics. This averaging, however, can become problematic if particles reside in inhomogeneous micromechanical environments.

Alternatively, laser interferometry can be used to track thermal motions of single beads. $8,9,16,17$ This method delivers \sim 1 nm spatial resolution and a frequency range of 0.1 Hz up to 100's of kHz, and averaging over multiple probe particles must be done sequentially. Finally, individual bead motions can be imaged directly over time using video microscopy, employing standard optical microscopes and digital cameras. The highfrequency limit is determined by the camera, and is typically less than 100 Hz, but can be extended up to 10s or even 100s of kHz with the use of specialized high-speed cameras.¹⁸ In this approach, 10s to 100s of beads can be tracked simultaneously, resulting in good statistics of the ensemble-averaged MSD. Importantly, both of the latter tracking methods retain singlebead trajectories for further evaluation. Among other things, this can be used to directly identify inhomogeneities in the micromechanical environments of the probe particles. From the displacement time series $x(t)$, one calculates the power spectral density (PSD), typically by fast Fourier transformation (step (a) in Fig. 1), or the mean-squared displacement (MSD) (step (b) in Fig. 1).

The MSD and PSD are entirely equivalent, as they are simply related by Fourier transformation. Thus, either of these can be obtained (indicated by steps (a) and (b) in Fig. 1) to a level of accuracy and over a time/frequency range limited by noise and detection bandwidth. From the PSD, one can directly determine the imaginary part χ'' of the response function via the FDT (Fig. 1 step (c)). A significant advantage of this approach is its rigor up to this point: the accuracy of the result is limited only by experimental noise and detection band-width. A significant practical limitation, however, of prior implementations of this method enters with the calculation of the real part of the response function χ' , which is needed to determine the full complex shear modulus. This has led to a loss of accuracy at high frequencies over as much as a decade below the Nyquist limit, which imposes a cut-off in the required Kramers–Kronig integral transformation.

A common alternative approach starts with the calculation of the MSD of particle motion (Fig. 1 step (b)). One can determine the complex shear modulus in an approximate manner by performing a Laplace transform on the MSD (Fig. 1(e)) and fitting with an assumed functional form. One can then transform this fitted function to obtain an estimate of the frequency-dependent shear modulus using the generalized Stokes formula. Even

Fig. 1 Schematic of common data analysis procedures employed in passive microrheology. From the time series $x(t)$, one can calculate the displacement power spectral density (PSD) or the mean-squared displacement (MSD), as indicated by steps (a) and (b), respectively. From the PSD, one can determine the response function χ by direct application of the FDT, as indicated in step (c). This step, however, usually requires the use of a Kramers–Kronig integral transformation, the accuracy of which is limited by the bandwidth of the PSD. Here, we show how to go directly from the MSD to the response function (d). The shear modulus can then can be determined via the generalized Stokes formula (f). Alternatively, one can estimate the shear modulus from a functional fit to the Laplace transform of the MSD (e). In the latter approach, the result depends on the assumed functional form for fitting.

assuming that the generalized Stokes formula is valid, the result can only be as good as the assumed functional form for the fitting. The choice of that function is either empirical or based on an expected form of the shear modulus. It thus represents an uncontrolled approximation: functions that look very similar in the Laplace domain can represent very different functions when continued to the Fourier (frequency) domain. In practice, this indirect approach often depends on some knowledge or expectation of the rheological properties of the medium.

Our aim here is to develop a more direct and still rigorous and objective approach to the calculation of the local micromechanical compliance $\gamma(\omega)$ directly from the MSD (Fig. 1(d)). From this, the complex shear modulus can also be determined directly (Fig. 1(f)), provided the response can be accurately modeled in terms of the macroscopic rheology, e.g., via a Stokes-like formula. In Section 2, we review the relevant linear response theory and present our analysis method, applying it to simulated data, constructed for a viscoelastic medium. In Sections 3 and 4 we present experimental results, comparing our new method with other approaches. Finally, in Section 5 we

discuss the limits of our approach and compare with alternative approaches.

2 Background and theory

2.1 Linear response

The simplest and most common approaches to micromechanical characterization measure the response of some time-dependent observable $A(t)$ to an applied force or field $f(t)$ that perturbs an otherwise equilibrium system. Provided this force is small enough, the response can be assumed to be linear in the force. In that case, the response can be expressed $as^{19,20}$

$$
\langle A(t) \rangle = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \chi(t - t') f(t') dt', \tag{1}
$$

where the response function $\chi(t)$ must vanish for $t < t',$ since the response cannot anticipate the force. Thus, the upper limit of the convolution integral above can be taken to be *t*. The average $\langle \cdots \rangle$ refers to an ensemble average with the same, time-dependent forcing $f(t)$. In the following, this average is implied, and we will drop the explicit notation.

For a spherical particle of radius a moving in a Newtonian liquid of viscosity η , the natural observable A of interest is the particle velocity $v(t)$, which responds to a mechanical force $f(t)$ that is conjugate to the particle position x . On time scales long enough to neglect inertial effects, eqn (1) reduces to an instantaneous response $v = \mu f$, where $\mu = 1/(6\pi\eta a)$ is the particle mobility or inverse drag coefficient. Here, we assume that the Stokes formula describes the drag force on the particle. This limit corresponds to $\chi(t)$ = $\delta(t)/6\pi\eta a$ above. Similarly, in the limit of a purely elastic response with no inertia, eqn (1) reduces to an instantaneous response in the particle position $x(t) \propto f(t)$. For a particle in an incompressible elastic medium with shear modulus G , the Stokes formula generalizes to $\chi(t) = \delta(t)/6\pi Ga$ above. More generally, by Fourier transforming this linear response relation in eqn (1), we obtain $\tilde{x}(\omega) = \tilde{y}(\omega) \tilde{f}(\omega)$, where Soft Matter
 Softwarter
 Softwarter
 Softwarter
 Softwarter
 Softwarter
 Softwarter
 Convertisers
 Convertisers
 Convertisers
 Convertisers
 Convertisers
 Convertisers
 Convertisers
 Convertiser

$$
\tilde{\chi} = \frac{1}{6\pi G(\omega)a},\tag{2}
$$

and $G(\omega) = G'(\omega) - iG''(\omega)$ is the complex, frequency-dependent shear modulus. Thus, if $\chi(t)$ or its Fourier transform $\tilde{\chi}(\omega)$ can be measured, then one can, in principle, determine $G(\omega)$.

2.2 Fluctuation–dissipation theorem

A key prediction of linear response theory is that the way a system returns to equilibrium following a small perturbation is governed by the same dynamics as the thermal fluctuations of the system about equilibrium. This is the essence of Onsager's regression hypothesis, which was proven from first principles in the fluctuation-dissipation theorem.^{12,13,19} Here, we consider the position x of a probe particle that responds to a (perturbing) force f , which is thermodynamically conjugate to x . In the absence of the perturbation f , the position $x(t)$ fluctuates in time, in a way most naturally described by the autocorrelation function (ACF) $\langle x(t)x(0) \rangle$, which we assume can be measured over a wide dynamic range of delay times t. Importantly, this

function is strictly even. As long as linear response holds, e.g., as long as the perturbing force is sufficiently small, the coordinate $x(t)$ is linearly related to the perturbing force $f(t)$ via a response function $\chi(t)$:

$$
x(t) = \int_{-\infty}^{t} \chi(t - t') f(t') dt'.
$$
 (3)

The fluctuation–dissipation theorem (FDT) states that the correlation function $\langle x(t)x(0) \rangle$ and response function χ are related bv^{19}

$$
kT\chi(t) = -\frac{d}{dt}\langle x(t)x(0)\rangle \quad \text{for} \quad t > 0. \tag{4}
$$

For $t < 0$, we must take $\chi(t) = 0$ in order to both enforce causality (no response in anticipation of the applied force) and render the integral in eqn (1) a full convolution. Thus, the equality in eqn (4) holds only for positive times t , meaning that we cannot directly equate Fourier transforms of the left- and right-hand sides of this equation.

In view of the strictly even symmetry of $\langle x(t)x(0) \rangle$ as functions on the full real line, it is convenient to define the even and odd functions

$$
\chi_{E,O}(t) = \frac{1}{2} [\chi(t) \pm \chi(-t)].
$$
 (5)

Since the correlation function $\langle x(t)x(0) \rangle$ must be even, its derivative and the right-hand side in the FDT relation in eqn (4) above must be odd. Thus, while the relation in eqn (4) between χ and $\langle x(t)x(0) \rangle$ is only valid for $t > 0$, it can be extended to all times using $\chi_0(t)$:

$$
2kT\chi_{\mathcal{O}}(t) = -\frac{d}{dt}\langle x(t)x(0)\rangle \quad \text{for} \quad \text{all } t. \tag{6}
$$

We can Fourier transform both sides of eqn (6), resulting in

$$
2kT\tilde{\chi}_{\mathcal{O}}(\omega) = i\omega C(\omega). \tag{7}
$$

Here, $C(\omega)$ is the Fourier transform of $\langle x(t)x(0) \rangle$, which is also the power spectral density (PSD) of $x(t)$:

$$
C(\omega) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{i\omega t} \langle x(t)x(0) \rangle dt.
$$
 (8)

Given that $\chi(t) = \chi_{\rm E}(t) + \chi_{\rm O}(t)$, it follows that

$$
2kT\chi''(\omega) = \omega C(\omega),\tag{9}
$$

which is also a standard expression of the FDT. 21

From the power spectrum $C(\omega)$ and from $\chi''(\omega)$, one can determine $\chi'(\omega) = \tilde{\chi}_E(\omega)$, and therefore the full $\tilde{\chi}$ using a Kramers–Kronig transformation $8-10$

$$
\begin{split} \chi'(\omega) &= \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{(\xi + \omega) \chi''(\xi)}{\xi^2 - \omega^2} \mathrm{d}\xi \\ &= \frac{2}{\pi} \int_{0}^{\infty} \cos(\omega t) \mathrm{d}t \int_{0}^{\infty} \chi''(\xi) \sin(\xi t) \mathrm{d}\xi. \end{split} \tag{10}
$$

While this is a valid method for obtaining $\tilde{\chi}$, it suffers from limitations due to the unavoidable truncation of the integrals in eqn (10), due to the finite bandwidth with which $x(t)$ or $C(\omega)$ are measured in experiments. In practice, in typical microrheology applications, while $\chi''(\omega)$ can be determined with the same bandwidth as the PSD, $\chi'(\omega)$ determined from eqn (10) is severely distorted over approximately one decade below the fundamental Nyquist limit. This fundamental asymmetry in obtaining real and imaginary parts of γ results in errors for both $G'(\omega)$ and $G''(\omega)$ obtained using eqn (2).

2.3 Symmetric approach

In the following, we develop an alternative method for obtaining $\tilde{\chi}(\omega)$ and $G(\omega)$ from the mean-squared displacement (MSD), $M(t)$, which is related to the correlation function $\langle x(t)x(0) \rangle$ by

$$
M(t) = \langle (x(t) - x(0))^2 \rangle = 2[\langle x^2 \rangle - \langle x(t)x(0) \rangle]. \qquad (11)
$$

Thus, eqn (4) is equivalent to

$$
2kT\chi(t) = \frac{d}{dt}M(t) \quad \text{for} \quad t > 0. \tag{12}
$$

In principle, one could now follow the procedure leading to eqn (9) to obtain a relation between $\chi''(\omega)$ and the real part of the transform of $M(t)$, provided one considers both positive and negative times in eqn (11). But, this would be problematic, since $M(t)$ does not vanish for large t, in contrast to $\langle x(t)x(0)\rangle$.

Alternatively, one can simply perform one-sided cosine and sine transformations of eqn (12) to obtain

$$
2kT\chi'(\omega) = \int_0^\infty \cos(\omega t) \dot{M}(t) dt \qquad (13)
$$

and

$$
2kT\chi''(\omega) = \int_0^\infty \sin(\omega t)\dot{M}(t)dt.
$$
 (14)

Some care is needed here, however, since $\dot{M}(t)$ may be singular or discontinuous near $t = 0$, even though $M(t)$ is well-behaved there. In principle, not only does $M(t)$ vanish as $t \to 0$, but it should do so quadratically for small enough t . This would be the case for probe particle motion in an inertial regime, e.g., for t of order microseconds for micrometer-sized particles in aqueous media. In practice, most microrheology is done on time scales longer than this. In this case, as $t \to 0$, $\dot{M}(t)$ would extrapolate to a finite value in a viscous liquid, or even appear to diverge as t^{z-1} in a viscoelastic medium governed by $G(\omega) \sim \omega^{z \leq 1}$ as $t \to 0$. In either case, however, the integrals in eqn (13) and (14) can be evaluated, since $\dot{M}(t)$ is integrable near $t = 0$. Moreover, for these integrals to be well-defined with upper limit of ∞ , it is sufficient to have $M(t)$ bounded by t^z , for $z < 1$, as would be the case either for viscoelastic media or for microrheology using trapped particles.

The combination of eqn (13) and (14) represent a symmetric derivation of χ' and χ'' , in contrast to the use of a Kramers– Kronig transformation. This should improve the high-frequency results for $G'(\omega)$ and $G''(\omega)$ for viscoelastic materials. As noted, $\frac{\partial}{\partial u}$ our approach assumes that $\dot{M}(t)$ vanishes at long times. Assuming this, the approach in eqn (13) and (14) provides a mathematically

direct implementation of the FDT, since it involves a single time derivative. Recently, Evans et al. introduced a data analysis method for microrheology based on Fourier transformation of the second derivative of $M(t)$ with respect to time.²²⁻²⁴ Yanagishima et al. have also demonstrated a similar method for determining the rheology by analysis of the velocity autocorrelation function.²⁵ These approaches are particularly effective for systems with a longtime fluid-like response, for which neither $M(t)$ nor its first derivative $\dot{M}(t)$ can be directly transformed. The use of the second derivative is, however, more complicated with discretely sampled experimental data with noise. The resulting analysis is necessarily less direct than the present approach based on $\dot{M}(t)$ (see ESI†). In practice, many microrheology experiments employ optical traps that result in bounded $M(t)$ and vanishing $\dot{M}(t)$. Moreover, the main challenge we aim to address here concerns the high-frequency limits of microrheology that arise from finite sampling rates. Thus, our approach based on Fourier t transformation $\dot{M}(t)$ should provide a practical approach in most cases. Paper

Measured in experience on 21 March 2018. Download in the contrast Article is said to determined with the same determined with the same determined on a interded on a interded on a interded on 21 March 2018. Download

2.4 Application to a viscoelastic material with known $M(t)$ and $\chi(t)$

To illustrate the performance of the new method, using eqn (13) and (14), we simulated microrheology data for a network of semiflexible filaments, where $G'(\omega)$, $G''(\omega) \sim \omega^{3/4}$ at high frequencies.8,9,26 Following ref. 26, we generated an exact MSD for a probe particle embedded in such a network, $M(t)$, up to a multiplicative constant, as a sum over thermal bending modes of the filaments making up the network:

$$
M(t) \propto \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^4} \Big(1 - e^{-n^4|t|} \Big), \quad t \ge 0.
$$
 (15)

Here, n is the mode number and time is measured in units of the longest relaxation time for $n = 1$. Because this function converges well for $n \geq 11$, we terminated the summation at $n = 11$. We sampled $M(t)$ from eqn (15) (with proportionality replaced by equality) at a frequency $f = \omega/(2\pi)$ of 200 (in units of the inverse of the longest relaxation time). This expression for $M(t)$ can then be used to obtain $\chi'(\omega)$ and $\chi''(\omega)$, following eqn (13) and (14). The result for χ' is shown in Fig. 2a (symmetric method), along with the exact $\chi'(\omega)$ obtained for this model, using

$$
\chi(\omega) \propto \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^4 - i\omega}
$$

=
$$
\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{n^4}{n^8 + \omega^2} + i \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\omega}{n^8 + \omega^2}
$$

=
$$
\chi'(\omega) + i\chi''(\omega).
$$
 (16)

As above, the series was truncated at $n = 11$.

Here, the derivative \dot{M} was computed numerically using the five-point stencil method, 27 with which the derivative can be obtained to order δ^4 in the spacing δ between consecutive data points. This is more accurate than a simple (order δ^2) local slope of $M(t)$ obtained from pairs of consecutive data points.

Fig. 2 (a) Calculation of $\chi'(\omega)$ (in units of 1/(2kT)) from simulated meansquared displacement data: exact $\chi'(\omega)$ for idealized semiflexible polymer network, together with $\chi'(\omega)$ obtained from sampled exact expressions for PSD (KK FFT and KK Simpson) or from the numerical derivative and exact integration of M(t) (symmetric method). The corresponding Nyquist frequency is shown as the vertical dashed line. (b) The real and imaginary parts of the micromechanical stiffness $K = 1/\chi$ (in units of 2kT) are shown. Exact results are shown for comparison with three different methods, based on a Kramers Kronig integral (KK FFT), the symmetric method described here, as well as the method described by Evans et al.^{22,24} Again, vertical dashed line indicates the Nyquist frequency.

Specifically, we use

$$
\dot{M}(t) = \frac{-M(t+2\delta) + 8M(t+\delta) - 8M(t-\delta) + M(t-2\delta)}{12\delta}
$$

+ $(O)(\delta^4)$ (17)

For the first two time points, we have used To increase the accuracy of the subsequent integral, we also applied

$$
\dot{M}(t) = \frac{-25M(t) + 48M(t + \delta) - 36M(t + 2\delta) + 16M(t + 3\delta) - 3M(t + 4\delta)}{12\delta} + (O)(\delta^4). \tag{18}
$$

Simpson's rule, which is a Newton–Cotes formula for approximating the integrand $f(t)$ using quadratic polynominals, resulting in

$$
\int_{x_0}^{x_2} dx f(x) \approx \frac{\delta}{3} (f(x_0) + 4f(x_1) + f(x_2))
$$
 (19)

for equally spaced points x_0 , x_1 , and x_2 , separated by a distance δ .

In order to compare with prior analysis methods, we used the exact $\chi'(\omega)$ from eqn (16), again truncating the summation at $n = 11$. We also numerically sampled the exact $\chi''(\omega)$ at the Nyquist frequency ($f = 100$ in our units). From this, the real part of the response function $\chi'(\omega)$ was then calculated using a Kramers Kronig integral. The KK integral was evaluated over a finite frequency range. $9,21$ This can be done in either of two ways, as indicated in Fig. 2a. In the first of these (KK FFT), the KK integral was calculated as the convolution of the functions $1/\omega$ and $\chi''(\omega)$ as shown in the first line of eqn (10). To speed up this calculation, we performed additional Fourier and inverse Fourier transformations using fast Fourier transformations (FFT) in the last line of eqn (10). In the second method (KK Simpson), χ' is obtained with an improved integration algorithm for eqn (10) using Simpson's rule. This provides, at the cost of speed, a substantial improvement over simple FFT, particularly at high frequencies. Both KK-based methods still exhibit highfrequency artifacts due to finite bandwidth. In contrast, we observe improvement in the high-frequency region when avoiding the Kramers–Kronig integral altogether, using instead the new symmetric method described above.

In Fig. 2b, we show the corresponding results for the complex stiffness $K(\omega) = 1/\chi(\omega)$, which is proportional to the complex shear modulus, e.g., for a response governed by the Stokes formula. Here, in addition to the exact results, we show the results using a Kramers Kronig integral (KK FFT), as well as the symmetric method described above. We find significant improvement on both K' and K'' using the latter method. Interestingly, we still observe a larger error in K' than K'' over the last approximately 1/3 decade below the Nyquist frequency. This is likely due to the greater sensitivity to small values of t in the cosine transform in eqn (13) than the sine transform in eqn (14). We have also included a comparison to the method of Evans et al., $2^{2,24}$ based on the second derivative of the MSD, $M(t)$. Here, too, we found a greater error in the evaluation of K^o than in that of K'' .

3 Experimental

3.1 Materials

Wormlike micelles were prepared from the surfactant cetylpyridinium chloride (CPyCl) dissolved in 0.5 M NaCl in purified water, with strongly binding counterions, sodium salicylate (NaSal). CPyCl and NaSal were obtained from Sigma Aldrich Corp. (St. Louis, MO, USA). In this study, all samples had a

$$
u(t) = \frac{-25M(t) + 48M(t + \delta) - 36M(t + 2\delta) + 16M(t + 3\delta) - 3M(t + 4\delta)}{12\delta} + (O)(\delta^4). \tag{18}
$$

molar ratio Sal/CPy = 0.5 , and the concentration of CPyCl was kept at 2 wt%. The micellar solutions were stored at a controlled temperature of 30 °C, which was above the Krafft point of this system.²⁸

Collagen type I (rat tail) was purchased as a stock solution with a concentration of 11.32 $\mathrm{mg}\,\mathrm{ml}^{-1}$ in 20 mM acetic acid (BD Biosciences, Heidelberg, Germany). For the experiments, type I

3.2 Methods

All microrheology experiments were performed on a custombuilt optical microscope equipped with optical trap essentially as described earlier.²⁸ Briefly, an infrared laser (λ = 1064 nm, NdVO4, COMPASS, Coherent Inc., Santa Clara, CA) was coupled into the sample via the microscope objective lens (Neofluar $100\times/1.30$, Zeiss, NY, USA) using immersion oil (Immersol 518F, Zeiss, Jena, Germany). The optical trap was used to trap particles with a typical power in the sample of 15–40 mW. Backfocal-plane laser interferometry was used for precise position detection of the probe particles.²⁹ The lateral $(x \text{ and } y)$ displacements of the trapped particle were detected with a quadrant photodiode (YAG444-4A, Perkin Elmer, Vaudreuil, Canada). The current signals of the QPD were amplified by a low-noise analog differential amplifier (custom built) and sampled at the desired frequency, maximally 200 kHz, by a FPGA A/D board (NI PXI-7833R, National Instruments, Austin, TX, USA). The time-series voltage data were converted to displacements in nm using independently measured calibration factors obtained from particles from the same batch trapped in water.³⁰ Paper

Contact Article article and quantity is contact Article contact Article contact Article is the contact Article is the contact Article is likely and the common state of the common and the common state of the common

4 Results

To illustrate the difference between the new symmetric approach and the KK integral method, we recorded displacement fluctuation data for suspensions of 1 μ m polystyrene beads in 2 wt% wormlike micelle solutions, using the custom-built instrument described above. The sampling rate was 100 kHz, and the total recording time was 10 s. In order to minimize the elastic confinement by the optical trap, we used a low laser power of \sim 40 mW, which resulted in a trap stiffness of \sim 100 µN m⁻¹ measured in buffer. The trap produced an apparent added elastic modulus $G' \sim 1$ Pa in the final result for the shear moduli. The trap effect was corrected for by subtracting this constant.³⁰

As shown in Fig. 3, the KK FFT method becomes unreliable at high frequencies, roughly a decade below the Nyquist frequency. The present symmetric method proves to be more robust in the high frequency region, where the KK methods fail (see ESI† for algorithm). Experimental noise in the MSD is a potential issue when performing the discrete derivative to α evaluate $\dot{M}(t)$. Random experimental noise, however, is strongly suppressed at short times or high frequencies because there are good statistics for the first several points of the MSD. The improvement obtained by the symmetric method is significant because high bandwidth in the measurement of viscoelastic response is one of the main motivations for microrheology. We have also applied the method of ref. 22 to analyze our sampled MSD data (see ESI†). The results are shown in Fig. 3. Both the present symmetrical method, as well as that of ref. 22, show an

Fig. 3 Complex shear moduli for a 2 wt% wormlike micelle solution, calculated from the primary time-series data using the three methods described in the text. Data were smoothed by logarithmic binning for plotting. The corresponding Nyquist frequency is shown as the vertical dashed line.

unphysical down-turn in G' that begins approximately a factor of four below the Nyquist frequency, which represents an improvement over the loss of accuracy over somewhat more than a full decade when using the KK integral approach.

Microrheology data were also recorded for collagen solutions with a concentration of 0.57 mg ml^{-1} . The bead diameter was $2 \mu m$, the sampling rate was 10 kHz, and the measuring time was 100 s. The filaments in the solution were fibrillar collagen bundles with a diameter of \sim 200 nm and contour lengths of tens of μ m, as described in the literature,³¹ rather than single collagen triple helices (diameter \sim 2 nm). In such a network with inhomogeneity on large scales, the Stokes formula for the particle response is unlikely to be applicable: on the scale of the probe particles we use, we cannot assume that the material can be treated accurately as a viscoelastic continuum. Nevertheless, these reconstituted collagen solutions lack active elements, such as molecular motors or contractile cells, and they are in thermal equilibrium. In this equilibrium state, we are justified in assuming linear response theory to relate the position fluctuations of a single probe particle to the local micromechanical compliance $\chi(\omega)$, even if the Stokes formula is invalid. Hence, instead of the complex shear modulus $G(\omega)$, we report our results in terms of a complex spring constant $K(\omega)$, defined as $K(\omega) = K'(\omega) - iK''(\omega) = 1/\chi(\omega).$

Fig. 4 shows the results for a dilute collagen solution. Although the micromechanical compliance is not necessarily directly related to the macroscopic shear modulus, its frequency dependence is similar to that of the complex shear modulus measured in semiflexible filament networks that can be approximated as viscoelastic continua. The new symmetric method again is clearly less affected by the finite bandwidth of recording than the KK FFT method, across the entire frequency range. In particular, the high-frequency regime of $K'(\omega)$ calculated by the symmetric method shows power law scaling for more than a decade in frequency with a slope of \sim 0.75. This scaling corresponds to theoretical predictions for both semiflexible

Fig. 4 Complex spring constant $K(\omega) = K'(\omega) - iK''(\omega) = 1/\chi(\omega)$ measured for a 0.57 mg ml $^{\rm -1}$ collagen solution, and evaluated with the three different calculation methods. Data were smoothed by logarithmic binning for plotting. The corresponding Nyquist frequency is shown as the vertical dashed line.

polymer fluctuations and the rheological response of semiflexible networks. $26,32,33$

5 Conclusions

Microrheology has become a common and fruitful approach to study a wide range of especially soft materials.¹¹ Passive microrheology is of particular interest for soft matter in equilibrium, in which case thermal fluctuations can be used to probe the micromechanical response or resistance of such materials. Here, we have developed a new analysis method for passive microrheology data, using the FDT, which can be applied to systems, including soft gels of either biological or synthetic origin. We have applied this method to two experimental systems, worm-like surfactant micelles and collagen solutions. In our approach, we determine the real and imaginary components of the complex, frequency-dependent response function $\chi(\omega)$ as direct integral transforms of the mean-squared displacement (MSD) of thermal particle motion. The approach treats real and imaginary parts of the response function in a more symmetric way than do methods using KK transformations, $8-10$ and thereby reduces the effect of finite-bandwidth recording on $\chi'(\omega)$. We illustrate the performance of the symmetric method by comparing various methods applied to numerically generated model data. We also performed microrheology experiments in solutions of WLM and collagen, which demonstrate both the practical implementation of our method, as well as the substantial improvement in resolving the viscoelastic response at high frequency.

The method presented here is most readily implemented for viscoelastic or solid-like media, for which the MSD grows sublinearly or is bounded in time. For media exhibiting long-time liquid-like or viscous behavior, the integrals in eqn (13) and (14) can become ill-defined. In practice, this is not a problem for

optical trap-based microrheology, since trapping can be used to regularize these integrals at long times. Moreover, the main point of the method introduced here is to address problems in resolving short-time or high-frequency behavior. Other methods can be used to resolve the low-frequency response.

Conflicts of interest

There are no conflicts to declare.

Acknowledgements

M. L. K. was supported by NSF (OISE-1444209). F. C. M. was supported in part by NSF (PHY-1427654). The research leading to these results has received funding from the European Research Council under the European Union's Seventh Framework Programme (FP7/2007–2013)/ERC grant agreement no. 340528 (C. F. S and K. N.), and the DFG Collaborative Research Center SFB 937 (Project A2) (C. F. S.). M. L. K., C. F. S. and F. C. M. thank the Kavli Institute for Theoretical Physics, where a portion of this work was done.

References

- 1 J. D. Ferry, Viscoelastic Properties of Polymers, Wiley, 1980.
- 2 R. B. Bird, Dynamics of Polymeric Liquids, Wiley, 1987.
- 3 R. G. Larson, Constitutive Equations for Polymer Melts and Solutions, Butterworths, 1988.
- 4 M. Doi and S. F. Edwards, The Theory of Polymer Dynamics, Clarendon Press, 1988.
- 5 W. W. Graessley, The Entanglement Concept in Polymer Rheology, Springer-Verlag, 1974.
- 6 T. G. Mason and D. A. Weitz, Phys. Rev. Lett., 1995, 74, 1250.
- 7 T. Mason, H. Gang and D. Weitz, J. Opt. Soc. Am., 1997, 14, 139.
- 8 F. Gittes, B. Schnurr, P. D. Olmsted, F. C. MacKintosh and C. F. Schmidt, Phys. Rev. Lett., 1997, 79, 3286.
- 9 B. Schnurr, F. Gittes, F. C. MacKintosh and C. F. Schmidt, Macromolecules, 1997, 30, 7781.
- 10 F. C. MacKintosh and C. F. Schmidt, Curr. Opin. Colloid Interface Sci., 1999, 4, 300.
- 11 E. M. Furst and T. M. Squires, Microrheology, Oxford, 2017.
- 12 H. Callen and T. Welton, Phys. Rev., 1951, 83, 34.
- 13 R. Kubo, Rep. Prog. Phys., 1966, 29, 255.
- 14 A. Levine and T. Lubensky, Phys. Rev. Lett., 2000, 85, 1774.
- 15 M. Gardel, M. Valentine and D. Weitz, Microscale Diagnostic Techniques, Springer Verlag, 2005, ch. Microrheology.
- 16 T. G. Mason, K. Ganesan, J. H. van Zanten, D. Wirtz and S. C. Kuo, Phys. Rev. Lett., 1997, 79, 3282.
- 17 F. Gittes and C. F. Schmidt, Opt. Lett., 1998, 23, 7.
- 18 J. Liu, M. Gardel, K. Kroy, E. Frey, B. Hoffman, J. Crocker, A. Bausch and D. Weitz, Phys. Rev. Lett., 2006, 96, 118104.
- 19 D. Chandler, Introduction to Modern Statistical Mechanics, Oxford University Press, 1987.
- 20 P. Chaikin and T. Lubensky, Principles of Condensed Matter Physics, Cambridge University Press, 2000.
- 21 L. D. Landau and E. M. Lifshitz, Statistical Physics, Pergamon Press, Oxford, 1980.
- 22 R. M. L. Evans, M. Tassieri, D. Auhl and T. A. Waigh, Phys. Rev. E: Stat., Nonlinear, Soft Matter Phys., 2009, 80, 012501.
- 23 R. M. L. Evans, Br. Soc. Rheol. Bull., 2009, 50, 76.
- 24 M. Tassieri, R. M. L. Evans, R. L. Warren, N. J. Bailey and J. Cooper, New J. Phys., 2012, 14, 115032.
- 25 T. Yanagishima, D. Frenkel, J. Kotar and E. Eiser, J. Phys.: Condens. Matter, 2011, 23, 194118.
- 26 F. Gittes and F. C. MacKintosh, Phys. Rev. E: Stat. Phys., Plasmas, Fluids, Relat. Interdiscip. Top., 1998, 58, R1241.
- 27 B. Fornberg, Math. Comput., 1988, 51, 699.
- 28 M. Buchanan, M. Atakhorrami, J. F. Palierne, F. C. MacKintosh and C. F. Schmidt, Phys. Rev. E: Stat., Nonlinear, Soft Matter Phys., 2005, 72, 011504.
- 29 F. Gittes and C. F. Schmidt, Opt. Lett., 1998, 23, 7.
- 30 M. Atakhorrami, J. I. Sulkowska, K. M. Addas, G. H. Koenderink, J. X. Tang, A. J. Levine, F. C. MacKintosh and C. F. Schmidt, Phys. Rev. E: Stat., Nonlinear, Soft Matter Phys., 2006, 73, 061501. Paper

20 P. Chalidra and T. Lubensky, Principles of Condraned Motior 27 D. Poncher, Mark Congari, 1988, 31, 699.

2018. Chalidra and Eulistics. Stone (1991)

21 P. Lubensky, Commons Articles. (1992)

21 P. Lubensky, Charl
	- 31 M. Shayegan and N. R. Forde, PLoS One, 2013, 8, e70590.
	- 32 F. Amblard, A. C. Maggs, B. Yurke, A. N. Pargellis and S. Leibler, Phys. Rev. Lett., 1996, 77, 4470.
	- 33 D. C. Morse, Macromolecules, 1998, 31, 7044.