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Organocatalytic reductive coupling of aldehydes with 1,1-diarylethylenes using an *in situ* generated pyridine-boryl radical

A pyridine-boryl radical promoted reductive coupling reaction of aldehydes with 1,1-diarylethylenes has been established via a combination of computational and experimental studies. Density functional theory calculations and control experiments suggest that the ketyl radical from the addition of the pyridine-boryl radical to aldehyde is the key intermediate for this C-C bond formation reaction. This metal-free reductive coupling reaction features a broad substrate scope and good functional compatibility.
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Introduction

Carbon–carbon bond formation is the most important transformation in organic synthesis.\textsuperscript{1} The catalytic reductive coupling of olefins with carbonyl compounds is one of the most economical C–C bond constructing methods, due to the abundant source of olefins and carbonyl compounds.\textsuperscript{2} Traditionally, transition metal catalysts have played privileged roles in these transformations, including metal-catalyzed C≡O reductive coupling (Scheme 1, top)\textsuperscript{3–5} and redox-triggered C≡O coupling \textit{via} \( \text{H}_2 \) transfer (Scheme 1, middle).\textsuperscript{4} However, sensitive organometallic reagents or transition-metal catalysts are usually required in these reactions. In contrast, organocatalytic reductive coupling of olefins with carbonyl derivatives for C−C bond formation in the presence of sensitive functional groups or congested structural environments is still rare.\textsuperscript{5–6}

Boron containing radicals are important reactive intermediates in organic synthesis.\textsuperscript{7–12} In this context, our group recently revealed that the pyridine-ligated boryl radical (Py-Bpin\textsuperscript{1}) could be readily generated from (pinacolato)diboron (B\textsubscript{2}pin\textsubscript{2}) through a cooperative catalysis involving two 4-cyano-pyridine molecules.\textsuperscript{13} This kind of pyridine-boryl radical was used for the catalytic reduction of azo-compounds\textsuperscript{13} or as a carbon-centered radical for the synthesis of 4-substituted pyridines.\textsuperscript{12} Moreover, the pyridine-boryl radical can act as a persistent radical\textsuperscript{13} for the synthesis of organoboronate derivatives.\textsuperscript{14} Because the precursors (pyridines and B\textsubscript{2}pin\textsubscript{2}) of these pyridine-boryl radicals are inexpensive and stable,\textsuperscript{15} the development of new chemical transformations with these pyridine-boryl radicals is attractive. In this work, we further explored pyridine-boryl radical chemistry in the organocatalytic reductive coupling of aldehydes with 1,1-diarylalkenes (Scheme 1, bottom), which, to the best of our knowledge, has not been reported previously.

Results and discussion

It will be shown that the reductive coupling of aldehydes and olefins can be promoted by an \textit{in situ} generated pyridine-boryl radical, following the proposed pathway as shown in Scheme 2. The proposed catalytic cycle consists of the following four steps: (1) activation of the B−B bond of B\textsubscript{2}pin\textsubscript{2} by pyridines to form a pyridine-boryl radical (Int1); (2) the addition of the pyridine-boryl radical to aldehyde 1a to generate a new ketyl radical (Int3), with the regeneration of the pyridine catalyst; (3) the
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addition of the new ketyl radical to 1,1-diphenylethylene to yield a diaryl-stabilized radical species (Int4); and (4) the hydrogen abstraction of Int4 from an appropriate H-source to yield the final reductive coupling product. In addition, one molecule of Int4 may also abstract a hydrogen atom from another molecule of Int4 to give the reductive coupling product and another disproportionation product. To make this catalytic cycle happen, it is necessary to inhibit the possible radical–radical C–C coupling reaction between the pyridine-boryl radical and the ketyl radical, as observed between α,β-unsaturated ketones and 4-cyanopyridine in the presence of B2pin2. Thus, other pyridines with different substituents may be better catalysts than 4-cyanopyridine for the proposed reaction. With a pyridine-boryl radical bearing a suitable substituent, its reactivity might be tuned so that the newly generated ketyl radical could react with 1,1-diphenylethylene to yield a diaryl-stabilized radical species, which then undergoes a hydrogen atom abstraction from an appropriate hydrogen source to produce the reductive coupling product.

To find suitable pyridines which can react with B2pin2 to form the corresponding pyridine-boryl radical under mild conditions, we first performed density functional theory (DFT) calculations with the M06-2X functional to screen a series of pyridines. A careful analysis of stationary points revealed that the formation of the pyridine-boryl radical proceed through a [3,3]-sigmatropic rearrangement/homolytic C–C bond cleavage pathway17 rather than via the direct homolytic cleavage of the B–B bond11,18 (see Fig. S1 and S2 in the ESI† for details). As shown in Fig. S1–S12,† the [3,3]-sigmatropic rearrangement is the rate-determining step for the formation of the corresponding pyridine-boryl radical. The activation barrier of this step with different pyridines is highly dependent on the substituent in the pyridine ring. Pyridines with an electron-withdrawing group at the C4 position, such as CN (A), 4-cyano phenyl (B), Ph (C), and CF3 (D), have lower barriers than unsubstituted pyridines and pyridines with an electron-donating group (CH3, CH3O, and N(CH3)2). The pyridine with a CF3 group at the C3 position has a slightly higher activation barrier than that with the same group at the C4 position (D > E). These results indicate that the activation barrier of pyridines correlates closely with the resonance effect and the inductive effect of the substituents. According to the calculated activation barriers, five pyridines (A, B, C, D, and E) with barriers of no more than 28.5 kcal mol−1 are possible candidates for cleaving the B–B bond of B2pin2.

In order to determine a suitable combination of a pyridine catalyst and a hydrogen source, we conducted an initial investigation on the reaction between isobutyaldehyde 1a and 1,1-diphenylethylene 2 (see Tables S1 to S3† for details). As shown in Table 1, by heating a mixture of isobutyaldehyde 1a (1.0 equiv.), 1,1-diphenylethylene (2.0 equiv.), and B2pin2 (1.0 equiv.) in tert-butyl methyl ether (MTBE) at 120 °C, the desired reductive coupling product 3a was observed in 28% yield (entry 1), together with a small amount of pyridine-aldehyde adducts (12% yield, see the ESI† for details). When 4-{4-pyridyl}benzonitrile B was used as the catalyst (entry 2), the NMR yield of 3a improved to 78%, and the yield of a byproduct 3a′ from the disproportionation of the diaryl radical intermediate (Int4) was 6%. However, when other pyridines (for example C, D, or E, entries 3–5) were adopted, the yield of 3a decreased significantly. If Et3SiH was chosen as the hydrogen source, the yield of 3a is somewhat lower than that with 1,3,5-trimethyl-1,4-cyclohexadiene as a hydrogen source (entry 6). In the absence of a hydrogen source (entry 7), the ratio of 3a/3a′ was 52% : 16%, suggesting that the addition of a hydrogen source is important for improving the yield of 3a (see Table S2†).

Under the optimum conditions (Table 1, entry 2), we explored the generality of this transformation with a series of aldehydes. As shown in Table 2, the reductive coupling reactions of several fully aliphatic aldehydes proceeded with good efficiency (1a–1d). It was noteworthy that aldehydes with C=C double bond (1e), methylthio (1f), or furyl (1h) functionalities on the alkyl chain were tolerated, giving the

Scheme 2 Proposed mechanism for the reductive coupling of isobutyaldehyde and 1,1-diphenylethylene.
Reducing coupling products in moderate to good yields. The \( \alpha \)-branched aldehydes (1i–1r), in particular, pivaldehyde (1q) and 1-adamantylcarboxaldehyde (1r), also reacted well to afford the desired products in good yields. It should be mentioned that the substrates with a congested structure environment show less reactivity in transition-metal catalyzed reductive coupling of olefins and aldehydes, possibly because the coordination between the metal centre and the corresponding substrates is difficult.\(^{46}\) However, our method is also suitable for butyl aldehydes (1q and 1r). Beside allyl aldehydes, aryl aldehydes (1s and 1t) bearing electron-donating groups (CH\(_3\) and CH\(_2\)O) could also serve as the coupling partners, furnishing corresponding products in moderate yields. In addition to aldehydes, allyl ketones (1u–1w) also reacted smoothly to provide the desired alcohols in 27–42% yield.

Diarylkalkenes are important pharmacophores in drugs.\(^{49}\) It would be attractive to apply this metal-free method in the late stage functionalization of medicinally related molecules. As shown in Table 2(C), an acetic acid derivative (1x) and gem-fibrilozol derivative (1y) reacted smoothly with 1,1-diphenylethylene to form 3x and 3y in acceptable yields, respectively.

Next, the scope of 1,1-diarylkalkenes (4) was examined (Table 3(a)). Both symmetrical (4a–d) and unsymmetrical (4e–o) 1,1-diarylkalkenes were converted into the corresponding products 5 in moderate to good yields with modest diastereoselectivities. The reaction tolerated substrates bearing various functional groups on the benzene ring, such as halogen functionalities (4c and 4d), CF\(_3\) (4e), CN (4f and 4g), MeO (4h), CH\(_3\)S (4i), CO\(_2\)Me (4j), and tBu (4k). More importantly, 1,1-diarylkalkenes containing heterocyclic structures (4m–o), such as benzofuran (4o) and thioxanthen (4p), also reacted smoothly to give the expected products in reasonable yields. Additionally, we also tested the reactivity of other alkenes with pivaldehyde 1q (Table 3(b)).

However, our results show that other alkenes, including ethyl 2-phenylacrylate (4q), styrenes (4r and 4s) or aliphatic olein (4t), generally gave little or no desired product. The reason why 1,1-diarylkalkenes are suitable coupling partners of ketyl radicals may be due to (1) the radical stabilization effect of two aryl groups, and (2) the less nucleophilicity of present boron-ketyl radicals (compared with typical ketyl radicals).\(^{58}\) Thus, this protocol provides a metal-free reductive coupling method of 1,1-diarylkalkenes with aldehydes (via the radical addition mechanism), which traditionally requires transition metal catalysts or organometallic reagents.\(^{12-16}\)

To understand the mechanism of the reductive coupling of 1,1-diarylkalkenes with aldehydes, we have performed DFT calculations with the M06-2X functional to explore the free energy profile of the proposed mechanism for the reaction between isobutyraldehyde (1a) and 1,1-diphenylethylene (2) in the presence of Int1 as a reactive intermediate. Our theoretical studies have shown that the generation of Int1 from B\(_2\)(pin)\(_2\) and 4-{(4-pyridinyl)benzonitrile is exergonic by 13.4 kcal mol\(^{-1}\) (see Fig. S4†). The calculated free energy profile and transition state structures are displayed in Fig. 1 (the optimized structures of all minimum species are shown in Fig. S12†). First, the coordination of the oxygen atom of isobutyraldehyde to the boron atom of the pyridine-boryl radical Int1 generates a boron-containing intermediate (Int2) via Int1, with a barrier of 13.3 kcal mol\(^{-1}\). Then, the breaking of the B=N bond in Int2 yields a ketyl radical (Int3) and regenerates the 4-(4-pyridinyl)benzonitrile catalyst. This process is exothermic by 4.5 kcal mol\(^{-1}\), with a barrier of 3.2 kcal mol\(^{-1}\) (relative to Int2), suggesting that the formation of the ketyl radical (Int3) from Int2 is possible. Next, the addition of Int3 to the \( \beta \)-position of 1,1-diphenylethylene to form a diaryl-stabilized radical (Int4) via Int3 is exothermic by 15.6 kcal mol\(^{-1}\), with a barrier of 15.5
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kcal mol\(^{-1}\) (with respect to the radical \(\text{Int3}\)). Finally, the final product is obtained with a hydrogen atom abstraction from 1,3,5-trimethyl-1,4-cyclohexadiene via TS4 with a barrier of 26.3 kcal mol\(^{-1}\) (relative to the radical \(\text{Int4}\)). The whole reductive coupling reaction is exergonic by 11.7 kcal mol\(^{-1}\) (with respect to the reactants \(\text{1a and Int1}\)). These results suggest that the studied reaction is thermodynamically and kinetically feasible under the experimental conditions. In addition, our calculations suggest that the direct single electron transfer (SET) process between the pyridine-boryl radical and isobutyraldehyde is highly endergonic (see details in Fig. S13 and S14†). Thus, the SET mechanism for the present reaction can be excluded.
In addition to DFT calculations described above, we also conducted several experiments to verify the proposed pathway. First, the EPR signal was observed for the reaction of 4-(4-pyridinyl)benzonitrile and B$_2$(pin)$_2$, which supports the formation of the proposed pyridine-boryl radical, as shown in Scheme 3a. Second, the involvement of the ketyl radical was confirmed by a competition experiment (Scheme 3b). It has been reported that thiols are quick hydrogen atom donors that can interfere with the radical reaction. When the hydrogen source 1,3,5-trimethyl-1,4-cyclohexadiene was replaced by 3-methylbenzenethiol, the ketyl radical quickly abstracted a hydrogen atom from 3-methylbenzenethiol to yield the reductive product, 3-phenyl-1-propanol, so that its addition to 1,1-diphenylethylene (to form the reductive coupling product) was inhibited (see Page S20†). This result clearly indicated the involvement of the ketyl radical. Third, the generation of the radical species Int$_4$-like was further trapped by another 2-vinylpyridine molecule. However, in the presence of 2-vinylpyridine as a substrate, the yield of 6 is quite low and its isolation from the reaction mixture was not successful. Besides, we further conducted analysis of the $^{11}$B-NMR spectrum and HRMS to detect the formation of the proposed O–boron intermediate (Int$_6$, Fig. S17†). Moreover, we have performed a radical-clock study using cyclopropanecarboxaldehyde as the substrate. The experimental results indicate that some ketyl radicals first convert into the corresponding carbon radicals (via a ring-opening process) and then add to the alkene to form the ring-opening product (Scheme 3d). The experiments described above provide strong evidence on the involvement of a radical addition step between the ketyl radical and 1,1-diarylethylene in this reaction.
Conclusions

In summary, we have established the organocatalytic reductive coupling of aldehydes with 1,1-diarylalkenes via a combination of computational and experimental studies. This study showed that 4-(4-pyridinyl)benzonitrile is a suitable catalyst for cleaving the B–B bond of B$_2$pin$_2$, and the ketyl radical from the addition of an in situ generated pyridine-boryl radical to aldehydes is a key intermediate for the C–C bond formation. The reaction is practical and applicable to a broad range of aldehydes and 1,1-diarylalkenes with good functional group tolerance. DFT calculations and control experiments were conducted to verify the proposed mechanism. This pyridine-boryl radical promoted radical addition mechanism represents a metal-free reductive coupling reaction of aldehydes with 1,1-diarylalkenes. Further studies will be directed toward the development of new transformations involving readily formed pyridine-boryl radicals with the aid of combined theoretical and experimental studies.
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18 This new mechanism on the formation of the pyridine-boryl radical in the 4-cyanopyridine/B₂pin₂ system is different from that reported in ref. 11.

