



Cite this: *RSC Adv.*, 2018, 8, 3626

Received 13th October 2017
Accepted 15th December 2017

DOI: 10.1039/c7ra11294g

rsc.li/rsc-advances

Active oxygen species adsorbed on the catalyst surface and its effect on formaldehyde oxidation over Pt/TiO₂ catalysts at room temperature; role of the Pt valence state on this reaction?

Geo Jong Kim, Sang Moon Lee, Sung Chang Hong* and Sung Su Kim *

Pt/TiO₂ catalysts, prepared by reduction pretreatment, showed enhanced catalytic activities in formaldehyde oxidation. X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy analysis confirmed that catalytic activity was affected by Pt valence states in the Pt/TiO₂ catalyst. Using O₂ re-oxidation tests, we showed that there was a correlation between the area of oxygen consumed and the ratio of metallic Pt species on the catalyst surface. The O₂ re-oxidation ability was involved in the production of the adsorbed formate intermediate from HCHO, confirmed through diffuse reflectance infrared Fourier transform spectroscopy analysis. Furthermore, metallic Pt species were involved in the oxidation of adsorbed CO to CO₂.

1 Introduction

Demand for comfortable and healthy living space has increased due to the rise in living standards. Because a significant portion of the modern lifestyle is spent indoors, the necessity of improving the air quality of living and work environments is increasing. The removal of harmful substances from indoor air has, therefore, emerged as a concern.

Indoor air quality is affected by various substances, such as carbon monoxide (CO), formaldehyde (HCHO), nitrogen dioxide (NO₂), ozone (O₃), particulates (PM10), asbestos, volatile organic compounds (VOCs), microbial substances, and radon (Rn).^{1–3} Among these substances, formaldehyde is most harmful to human health, and is emitted from several common sources including thermal insulation materials, furniture, tobacco smoke, deodorant, cosmetics, and plywood burning. Upon short-term exposure, formaldehyde penetrates the body and enters mucous membranes in the eyes and nose, while long-term exposure can cause diarrhea, coughing, emotional instability, skin disease (atopy), and amnesia.^{4,5}

Extensive research on HCHO oxidation at room temperature has been conducted recently, with principal technologies using noble-metal-supported catalysts.^{6–11} Zhang *et al.* reported that HCHO could be completely oxidized to CO₂ over a Pt/TiO₂ catalyst, and they performed diffuse reflectance infrared Fourier transform spectroscopy (DRIFTS) to propose a reaction model.¹² In this model, differences in catalytic activity were caused by the

specific intermediate products produced, including CO and formate species. Nie *et al.* reported that the surface hydroxyl groups are indispensable and act synergistically of HCHO reaction, and they propose a reaction model that hydroxyl group participates in the HCHO reaction.¹³

Tang *et al.* and Kim *et al.* reported the effect of catalyst reduction as a pretreatment step on the feeding concentration of HCHO, and showed that the reduced catalysts displayed excellent activities, with an optimum reduction temperature of 873 K.^{14,15} A higher HCHO feeding concentration was also reported, resulting in drastically decreased catalytic activity, with metallic Pt species proving more active than PtO_x species. However, the report was limited to one catalyst, so further study of various supports is required.

Huang and Leung reported that Pt/TiO₂ and Pd/TiO₂ catalysts have strong potential for HCHO oxidation, with their activities dependent on the magnitude of the strong metal-support interaction (SMSI) phenomenon and the oxidation states of the active metals. They also reported that catalytic performance improved as the Pd or Pt oxidation states decreased, caused by the SMSI effect between the active metal and the TiO₂ support.^{16–18} Only one TiO₂ support was used, however, so the effect of the type of TiO₂ support on the SMSI effect or the Pt valence was not reported. They also did not determine why metallic Pt gave such a strong HCHO conversion rate.

This study examined the activities of various Pt/TiO₂ catalysts, using different types of supports, in HCHO oxidation at room temperature. We also performed various analyses to investigate the relationship between HCHO oxidation catalytic activity and the physicochemical character of the catalyst.

Department of Environmental Energy Engineering, Graduate School of Kyonggi University, 94-6 San, Iui-dong, Youngtong-ku, Suwon-si, Gyeonggi-do 443-760, Republic of Korea. E-mail: schong@kgu.ac.kr; Fax: +82-31-2544941



2 Results and discussion

2.1 Physicochemical characteristics of Pt/TiO₂ catalysts and catalytic activities

8 anatase and 2 rutile type TiO₂ supports with varying surface areas and particle sizes were chosen to investigate the influence of a catalytically active TiO₂ support.

The structural properties of Pt/TiO₂ catalysts were investigated by XRD, shown in Fig. 1. All samples were found to exist as anatase or rutile TiO₂ structures. It was found that Pt highly dispersed over the support, due to the absence of diffraction lines on Pt of 1% Pt/TiO₂ catalyst, as previously reported by Zhang *et al.* and Kim *et al.*^{15,19,20}

The physico-chemical properties of the various TiO₂ supports and Pt/TiO₂ catalysts manufactured in this study are shown in Table 1. Pt particle sizes in the Pt/TiO₂ catalysts were confirmed by TEM, shown in Fig. 2. The specific surface areas of all catalysts were relatively low, compared with raw TiO₂, while Pt dispersion and particle size varied among the types of TiO₂ used as supports.

The Pt/TiO₂ catalyst activities are shown in Fig. 3. The reduced catalysts (Fig. 3(B)) showed greater levels of activity than those that did not undergo the reduction pretreatment under space velocity (SV) conditions of 60 000 h⁻¹. This

Table 1 Physicochemical characteristics of TiO₂ and Pt/TiO₂ catalysts and their activities. Reaction temperature = 298 K, HCHO = 25 ppm, O₂ = 21%, N₂ balance, total flow = 500 ml min⁻¹, SV = 360 000 h⁻¹

Samples	SSA of raw TiO ₂ (m ² g ⁻¹)	SSA of Pt/TiO ₂ (m ² g ⁻¹)	D (%)	Reaction rate (mmol g _{cat} ⁻¹ min ⁻¹)	TOF × 100 (s ⁻¹)
PT-Aa	11	9	2.6	4.29 × 10 ⁻⁶	0.322
PT-Ar	4	23	3.2	6.59 × 10 ⁻⁶	0.401
PT-D	76	35	3.4	8.16 × 10 ⁻⁶	0.468
PT-G	340	38	6.1	6.18 × 10 ⁻⁵	1.975
PT-Gs	250	21	6.4	1.75 × 10 ⁻⁵	0.533
PT-N	144	26	6.9	7.12 × 10 ⁻⁵	2.025
PT-P	44	30	5.9	1.75 × 10 ⁻⁵	0.578
PT-S	122	55	19.1	1.08 × 10 ⁻⁴	1.103
PT-U	149	37	13.2	9.37 × 10 ⁻⁵	1.384
PT-W	65	11	3.7	6.47 × 10 ⁻⁶	0.341

phenomenon was thought to be caused by rich metallic Pt sites on the catalyst, it will be discussed in more detail later.¹⁵

Differences in activities at a SV of 60 000 h⁻¹ were difficult to find. In a heterogeneous catalyst reaction, mass transfer does not influence the overall reaction rate if mass transfer is sufficiently fast, or if the catalyst reaction rate is very slow. Under these conditions, the overall reaction rate rely on the specific reaction rate of the catalyst, occurring at the active site.

In contrast, if the mass transfer rate is relatively slower than the catalyst reaction rate, the overall reaction rate is dependent on the mass transfer rate. In this respect, the mass transfer rate for the reduced catalyst was not sufficient, therefore, the activity test under high SV conditions (Fig. 4) was necessary. When SV was increased, the catalytic activities of all catalysts decreased, however, the magnitude of this decrease varied with the type of TiO₂ support. The TiO₂(S) catalyst showed the highest catalytic activity, with a HCHO conversion of 90%. The order of activity was as follows: TiO₂(S) > TiO₂(N) > TiO₂(U) > TiO₂(G) > TiO₂(Gs) > TiO₂(P) > TiO₂(W) = TiO₂(Ar) > TiO₂(D) > TiO₂(Aa).

The catalytic activity was also examined in terms of TOF and reaction rate, shown in Table 1. Useful information can be obtained by evaluating activity with TOF.^{15,21–23} If there was no difference between the activities regarding the TOF and reaction rate, catalyst activities would be determined by Pt dispersion, however, if the activities differed, the magnitude of activity would depend on the frequency of active sites. In this research, a difference between the two reaction activities was observed over each catalyst, suggesting that differences in catalytic activity were not due to the number of active sites, but rather differences in the intensity of activity at each catalyst active site.

2.2 Effect of Pt valence state and chemisorbed oxygen

Huang and Leung recently reported that the important factors of HCHO oxidation at room temperature were the valence state of the active metal and the mobility of activated chemisorbed oxygen on the catalyst surface, rather than the noble-metal-supported catalysts.^{16–18} In their reports, the mobility of chemisorbed oxygen was more important than that of lattice oxygen,




Fig. 1 X-ray diffraction patterns of the 1% Pt/TiO₂ catalysts.






Fig. 2 FE-TEM analysis of the 1% Pt/TiO₂ catalysts.

and the formation of chemisorbed oxygen depended on a strong interaction between Pt and TiO₂. This effect is known as a strong metal-support interaction (SMSI), and can be explained by changes in electron density with the movement of electrons from Ti to Pt sites by a strong interaction between a partially reduced Ti site, and Pt. Pt-O_v-Ti³⁺ sites, which are formed between Pt and Ti, where O_v is an oxygen vacancy close to the Pt

interfacial site.²⁰ Gas-phase oxygen can dissociatively adsorb at this defect site, and the chemisorbed oxygen can then be activated at the metal-support interface, forming the highly active oxygen species involved in oxidation.¹⁷ Based on this, XPS analysis was carried out to investigate Pt valence and chemisorbed oxygen species in the 10 types of Pt/TiO₂ catalyst used in this study.






Fig. 3 Catalytic activities of the Pt/TiO₂ catalysts after (A) calcination only, and (B) reduction treatment. Inlet HCHO = 24 ppm, 21% O/N₂, temperature = 298 K, SV = 60 000 h⁻¹.




Fig. 4 Catalytic activities of Pt/TiO₂ catalysts after calcination and reduction treatment. Inlet HCHO = 24 ppm, 21% O/N₂, temperature = 298 K, SV = 60 000–360 000 h⁻¹.

The positions of the Pt 4f peaks corresponding to Pt⁰, Pt²⁺ and Pt⁴⁺ were 70.9–71.1, 72.4–73.6 and 74.56–74.8 eV, respectively.^{24,25} Fig. 5 confirms that almost all the Pt/TiO₂ catalysts




Fig. 5 Pt 4f spectra of Pt/TiO₂ catalysts from XPS analysis.

were reduced to metallic Pt (Pt⁰) or PtO (Pt²⁺). The magnitude of Pt oxidation state changes between the various catalysts. A small negative shift in binding energy was also found for metallic Pt species, which can help confirm the SMSI effect. The SMSI effect caused electrons to migrate from Ti³⁺ sites to adjacent Pt sites, resulting in enhanced electron density at the Pt–TiO₂ interfacial site. A difference of approximately 1 eV in the binding energies of metallic Pt sites adjacent to Ti³⁺ sites was reported for the reduced Pt–TiO₂ catalysts.^{18,26} In this study, the binding energy shift was lower than that found in the literature. This difference in the magnitude of the shift was believed to be caused by differences in catalyst preparation methods, with the use of a NaBH₄ solution causing a more extreme SMSI effect than the use of hydrogen gas.

A shift in the O 1s peak was also confirmed in the XPS spectra (Fig. 6). An O 1s shoulder peak, corresponding to chemisorbed oxygen species, was observed at 531.5–532 eV in all catalysts.^{27,28}






Fig. 6 O 1s spectra of Pt/TiO₂ catalysts from XPS analysis.

Table 2 XPS data of Pt/TiO₂ catalysts

Catalysts	BE, eV	
	Pt 4f	O 1s, O _{II} (O _I)
PT-Aa	70.9	531.8 (529.6)
PT-Ar	70.9	531.8 (529.4)
PT-D	70.9	531.4 (529.5)
PT-G	70.5	531.7 (529.5)
PT-Gs	70.7	531.4 (529.6)
PT-N	70.6	531.8 (529.4)
PT-P	70.7	531.8 (529.5)
PT-S	70.7	531.7 (529.5)
PT-U	70.4	531.8 (529.4)
PT-W	70.9	531.4 (529.5)

The peak positions for Pt 4f and O 1s are shown in Table 2. According to Huang and Leung, metallic Pt sites and oxygen vacancies can facilitate oxygen adsorption and activation, so the amount of chemisorbed oxygen species depended on the intensity of the SMSI effect (*i.e.* the population of metallic Pt).¹⁸

The correlation between metallic Pt atom population and catalyst activity is shown in Fig. 7(A). A positive linear relationship between catalytic activity and the amount of reduced metallic Pt formed on the catalyst surface was confirmed. It was consistent with our previous report, which showed that reduced Pt species were more active in HCHO oxidation at room temperature than oxidized Pt species.¹⁵ The magnitude of reduction of the Pt species in the Pt/TiO₂ catalysts varied, even though the catalysts were exposed to the same pretreatment conditions. In this study, the properties of the TiO₂ supports varied substantially. Panagiotopoulou *et al.* mentioned that the activity of Pt/TiO₂ catalysts during the water-gas shift (WGS) reaction was affected by the size of the TiO₂ primary crystallite.²⁹ Kim *et al.* also reported various activities for Pt/TiO₂ catalysts in the reverse WGS reaction, with their catalytic nature depending on the properties of the TiO₂ support. These studies suggested that the SMSI effect depended on the properties of TiO₂ in the Pt/TiO₂ catalyst.^{21–23} The results presented in Fig. 5 and 7 should, therefore, be related to TiO₂ properties in the Pt/TiO₂




Fig. 7 Correlation between the ratios of (A) metallic Pt/Pt_{total} and catalyst activities, and (B) metallic Pt/Pt_{total} and TiO₂ crystallite size in the Pt/TiO₂ catalysts.



catalyst. The relationships between reduced Pt species and TiO₂ crystallite size in the Pt/TiO₂ catalysts after pretreatment were investigated (Fig. 7(B)). In this analysis, the TiO₂ crystallite sizes after catalyst preparation were evaluated, due to differences between the crystallite sizes in raw TiO₂ and generated Pt/TiO₂ catalysts.²² The relationship between both factors is also strongly correlated (Fig. 7(B)). Based on this result, and literature on the SMSI effect, smaller TiO₂ crystallites could result in larger Pt/TiO₂ interfaces, leading to an increase in the amount of oxygen vacancies, or Pt–O_v–Ti³⁺ sites (Pt–Ti interfacial sites).^{18,22,30} The origin of differences in the activation energy required for the formation of Pt–O_v–Ti³⁺ sites should be discussed. In general, noble metals, such as Ru, Pd, and Pt are not expected to interact strongly with TiO₂.³¹ Dispersed noble-metal crystallites are well known to interact with partially reduced TiO₂ supports, so smaller TiO₂ supports exhibit better redox properties, which can also affect SMSI.

However, Huang and Leung reported that the effect of support reducibility or type of support on HCHO oxidation at room temperature was not important.¹⁸ They used CeO₂, Al₂O₃, ZrO₂, MgO, and TiO₂ as supports, but support reducibility only weakly influenced catalyst activity. This result differs slightly from those presented in this study, which could be because the catalyst TOFs were calculated from activity obtained under low SV conditions. As discussed above, low SV resulted in a low performance level, making it difficult to determine the effect of support. In Fig. 4, the shift in the Pt 4f spectra was lower than that previously reported.¹⁸ Similarly, the shift in O 1s in Fig. 5 was slight. Nevertheless, the results of this study suggested that catalytic activity was dependent on support reducibility. All supports used in this study were TiO₂, and their pretreatment conditions and methods were the same. The only differences were in the crystallite size. The activity tests were performed under extremely high SV conditions, therefore, support reducibility had a distinct effect on HCHO oxidation.

Zhang *et al.* reported a reaction model using *in situ* FTIR and argued that differences in catalytic activity varies with certain intermediate products, such as formate.^{12,20} Furthermore, formate was converted to CO when inert helium gas was injected. Kim *et al.* also reported that HCHO was adsorbed into formate and/or CO after formaldehyde flowed onto the catalyst surface, even in the absence of oxygen.¹⁵ The literature shows that formaldehyde was thought to convert into formate or CO species by a catalyst with active oxygen; therefore a discussion of the nature of this active oxygen would be worthwhile. If active oxygen is limited to existing on the catalyst, catalytic activity will decrease with time on stream. In contrast, if active oxygen can be recovered by atmospheric oxygen, catalytic activity will be stable with time on stream. Many previous studies on HCHO oxidation at room temperature over Pt/TiO₂ catalysts showed no decrease in catalytic performance with time on stream during activity tests, therefore, active oxygen in Pt/TiO₂ catalysts was thought to be recovered by atmospheric oxygen, with this phenomenon proceeding at room temperature.^{16–18}

Until now, key factors affecting the activity of Pt/TiO₂ catalysts in HCHO oxidation at room temperature were reported to be the valence of the active metal, and the amount of

chemisorbed oxygen, however, their relationship is still unclear. To investigate the correlation between these two factors, an O₂-reoxidation experiment was performed. When the TCD signal for all catalysts reached a steady state at room temperature, the consumed oxygen peaks were measured by feeding the catalyst with 1.5% O₂/Ar gas.

Fig. 8 shows the consumed oxygen peaks over Pt/TiO₂ catalysts. Oxygen was rapidly consumed after injection into the catalyst, and this effect appeared after oxygen adsorption onto the catalyst. Such oxygen consumption suggests that atmospheric oxygen can be adsorbed onto the Pt/TiO₂ catalysts. Oxygen species adsorbed from the atmosphere can be used as active oxygen, which can participate in the conversion of HCHO into formate or CO. Interestingly, the amount of consumption differed among the different TiO₂ supports. To examine the relationship between catalytic activity and O₂ consumption rate, the area of oxygen consumed by each catalyst was compared with their catalytic activities.

Catalysts with excellent activity had good oxygen accepting abilities, while catalysts with poor activities had poor oxygen accepting abilities (Fig. 9(A)). In our previous study, we reported CO oxidation at room temperature over a reduced Pt/TiO₂ catalyst, which had a high activity level and was rich in Pt²⁺ and Pt⁰ species, showing an excellent oxygen accepting ability, while




Fig. 8 Response profiles of Pt/TiO₂ catalysts in O₂-reoxidation experiments.






Fig. 9 Correlations between (A) catalytic activity and catalyst O₂ consumption rate, and (B) area of oxygen consumed by each catalyst and ratio of metallic Pt/Pt_{total} present in the catalyst.

the oxygen accepting ability of the calcined catalyst, which had a lower activity and was rich in Pt⁴⁺ species, was poor.³¹ This suggested that the adsorption of oxygen depended on the oxidation state of the Pt species. The strong correlation between the amount of oxygen consumed for each catalyst and the ratio of metallic Pt/Pt_{total} in each catalyst is shown in Fig. 9(B). As the area of oxygen consumed increased, the ratio of metallic Pt/Pt_{total} on the catalyst increased linearly. Catalysts with high metallic Pt/Pt_{total} ratios were thought to have more sites for accepting atmospheric oxygen due to them having more oxygen vacancy sites on the catalyst surface. The reduced catalyst was, therefore, thought to be able to adsorb atmospheric oxygen, which could then play a role as active oxygen, resulting in a continuous HCHO oxidation cycle at room temperature.

2.3 Effect of chemisorbed oxygen species on HCHO reaction over Pt/TiO₂ catalyst and HCHO reaction mechanism

DRIFTS studies of HCHO adsorption and the effect of chemisorbed oxygen species on adsorbed HCHO were investigated using Pt/TiO₂-S, Pt/TiO₂-G, and Pt/TiO₂-Ar catalysts. Fig. 10 shows changes in the peaks upon injection of HCHO (20 ppm)




Fig. 10 Dynamic changes in *in situ* FT-IR spectra of the Pt/TiO₂ (G), Pt/TiO₂ (S), Pt/TiO₂ (Aa) catalysts as a function of time in a gas flow of HCHO mixed with N₂ at room temperature. Inlet HCHO, 20 ppm.

into the reactor containing the Pt/TiO₂ catalysts at room temperature.

As shown in Fig. 10, after HCHO adsorption on the Pt/TiO₂ catalysts, positive bands were noticeable at 2861, 2842, 2048, 1770, 1577, 1359 cm⁻¹. The band at 2861 cm⁻¹ represented the formate species (HCOO), while the bands at 2842 cm⁻¹ represented the formic acid species (HCOOH).^{20,32–36} The band at 2048 cm⁻¹ was assigned to linearly adsorbed CO on metallic Pt (kink) sites, while the band at 1770 cm⁻¹ was assigned to CO bridged between two Pt metal particles.^{20,37} Peaks at 1570 and 1360 cm⁻¹ indicated the presence of formate, while negative bands were observed at 1635 cm⁻¹ and broad bands were observed in the range 3600–3200 cm⁻¹.³⁶ Generally, the broader peaks at 3200–3600 cm⁻¹ and strong peaks at 1635 cm⁻¹ were assigned to the O–H stretching vibrations of water, –OH groups, and hydrated species on the catalyst surface.^{34,36} Nie *et al.* and Chen *et al.* reported that HCHO adsorbed on the hydroxyl group over the catalyst surface.^{13,38} Therefore, the 1635 cm⁻¹ negative peak was due to the adsorption of HCHO on –OH groups.

The same peaks were observed in all catalysts. These results suggested that the same intermediate product was formed on each catalyst surface. Peak intensities differed with each type of TiO₂, however. In this study, Pt/TiO₂-G, which showed excellent O₂ consumption in Section 2.2, had large formate peak area at 1570 and 1360 cm⁻¹, confirming that a large amount of HCHO was adsorbed and converted to formate by HCHO injection. The peaks at 2048 and 1770 cm⁻¹ were also large, resulting from formation of the CO intermediate product. In contrast, the Pt/TiO₂-Ar catalyst showed low O₂ consumption after HCHO injection, with small peaks at 1570 and 1360 cm⁻¹, therefore, the amount of formate was smaller than that of the Pt/TiO₂-G catalyst, and the CO adsorption peaks at 2048 and 1770 cm⁻¹ were also small. It was consistent with our previous study, which reported that surface CO species were the main intermediates in HCHO oxidation.¹⁵ Zhang and He also reported that differences in catalytic activities were observed because formate



species on the catalyst surface did not easily convert into CO on any of the active metals (Rh, Pd, and Au).²⁰ Therefore, catalyst O₂ consumption, as shown in Fig. 8, was involved in the formation of the formate intermediate from HCHO adsorption. The reaction mechanism will be explained in detail using DRIFTS analysis.

Fig. 11 shows changes in the peaks upon injecting O₂ and N₂ gas into the Pt/TiO₂-G catalysts for 30 min to investigate changes in the adsorption characteristics of the HCHO species adsorbed on the catalyst surface. As a result, under oxygen injection conditions, the formate peaks at 2861, 2842, 1577 and 1359 cm⁻¹ showed no significant fluctuations, meaning that formate species did not react with oxygen in the air and that no oxygen in the air was used in the conversion of formate species to CO. In contrast, the size of peaks at 2048 and 1770 cm⁻¹ for CO adsorbed to metallic Pt were reduced, meaning that CO adsorbed to metallic Pt reacted with oxygen in air, followed by conversion to CO₂ and desorption. This explains the results in Fig. 7(A). Bourane *et al.* examined the adsorption and desorption of CO on the surface of a Pt/Al₂O₃ catalyst *via* FT-IR analysis, reporting that the linear CO species was adsorbed on either metallic Pt or Pt²⁺, while the bridged CO species was adsorbed between two metallic species.³⁹ Representative CO adsorption peaks were observed in this study at 2048 (linear CO on metallic Pt) and 1770 cm⁻¹ (bridged CO on metallic Pt), however, the CO adsorption peak corresponding to Pt²⁺ was not observed. Therefore, conversion of HCHO and CO absorption occurred only at metallic Pt sites.

Fig. 12 shows changes in peaks upon injecting H₂O and N₂ gas into Pt/TiO₂-G catalysts for 30 min to investigate the changes in adsorption characteristics of the HCHO species on the catalyst surface. The peak at 2842 cm⁻¹, originating from HCOOH species after injecting moisture, decreased rapidly, with no peak observed after 3 min. After injecting moisture, the formate peaks at 2661, 1577, and 1359 cm⁻¹ also decreased sharply. No peaks were observed at 2861 and 1359 cm⁻¹ after




Fig. 12 Dynamic changes in *in situ* FT-IR spectra of the H₂O reaction after HCHO adsorbance over Pt/TiO₂ (G) catalyst as a function of time in a gas flow of H₂O/N₂ at room temperature. Inlet H₂O = 55% relative humidity.

4 min, while the size of the peak at 1577 cm⁻¹ reduced. In contrast, the peak at 2048 cm⁻¹, originating from CO linearly adsorbed on metallic Pt sites, continued to increase up to 3 min but decreased after 3 min. The bridged CO peak (1770 cm⁻¹) increased up to 4 min after injecting moisture. HCOOH species and formate species had formed on the catalyst surface after the injection of HCHO, which were converted to CO, which then desorbed as CO₂ after reaction.

Using DRIFTS analysis, the room temperature HCHO oxidation mechanism was identified, as shown in Scheme 1. First, HCHO was converted and absorbed as HCOOH by a reaction with oxygen at the catalyst surface (eqn (1))





Fig. 11 Dynamic changes in *in situ* FT-IR spectra of the O₂ reaction after HCHO adsorbance over Pt/TiO₂ (G) catalyst as a function of time in a gas flow of 21% O₂/N₂ at room temperature.




Scheme 1 Schematic model of HCHO oxidation over Pt/TiO₂.





This HCOOH was converted to adsorbed CO (eqn (2) and (3)).



Adsorbed CO species were converted into CO₂ by the reaction with oxygen in air, and desorbed (eqn (4)).




Fig. 13 shows the changes in peaks upon injecting HCHO/H₂O/O₂/N₂ mixed gas into the Pt/TiO₂-G catalysts for 30 min to investigate the changes in adsorption characteristics of the HCHO species on the catalyst surface. Formate peaks at 2861, 1577, and 1359 cm⁻¹ were observed after gas injection and CO species adsorbed on metallic Pt were observed at 2048 and 1770 cm⁻¹. The HCOOH adsorption peak at 2842 cm⁻¹ was not observed, as shown in Fig. 10. This lack of adsorption peak for HCOOH in the HCHO reaction by DRIFTS analysis suggested that the conversion of adsorbed HCOOH to HCOO and CO species was rapid (eqn (2) and (3)). The peak at 2048 cm⁻¹, generated by CO linearly adsorbed on metallic Pt sites, grew slowly after a certain response time. This result (eqn (4)) indicated a slower response speed (eqn (2) and (3)), therefore, two catalyst factors were identified that affected the response speed in the room temperature oxidation of HCHO. First, adsorbed surface oxygen in the catalyst formed HCOOH intermediate species on the catalyst surface (eqn (1)). This result coincided with excellent HCHO reaction activity for catalysts, for which the HCOOH peak was large. Surface oxygen was replenished by oxygen in the air, therefore, the same result of excellent HCHO reaction activity was achieved by catalysts with excellent

O₂-consumption. The second factor was the Pt valence state and Pt dispersion, which determined the response speed (eqn (4)). The room temperature oxidation response of HCHO was confirmed in Fig. 10, as it was converted and adsorbed to metallic Pt as CO species and responded to O₂. Therefore, an increase in the metallic Pt ratio, which was the active site, and high catalyst dispersion, increased the final response speed (eqn (4)) to show excellent activity.

3 Experimental

3.1 Catalyst preparation

A TiO₂-supported Pt catalyst was prepared with the wet impregnation method, using various commercially available TiO₂ supports. Ten types of commercial TiO₂ supports were purchased from Millennium Chemical (types G, Gs, and D), Ishihara (type S), Hombikat (type U), Sigma-Aldrich (types Aa and Ar), Degussa (type P), Wako (type W), and Nano (type N). The content of TiO₂ platinum was calculated by weight ratio, and then platinum chloride (PtCl₄; Aldrich Co.) was dissolved in distilled water at 333 K. The calculated amount of target TiO₂ was added to the solution. The resultant slurry was stirred for over 1 h, and then evaporated at 343 K using an evaporator (N-N series, Eyela Co.). The product was dried at 376 K overnight. To remove residual chlorine, the sample was reduced in a tubular furnace at 673 K under 30% hydrogen gas. Finally, the sample was calcined at 673 K under an air-pressure atmosphere, and then reduced using hydrogen gas at 873 K for 1 h to create the final catalyst.¹⁴

3.2 Test of catalytic activity

The fixed bed reactor had a part for gas injection part, an oxidation column, a reactor, and a part for analyzing the reaction gas. An impinger containing paraformaldehyde was placed in a constant-temperature tank, maintained at 295 K, and HCHO was put into the reactor by vaporization of the paraformaldehyde, supplying a constant amount of carrier gas to the impinger.^{14,15} The temperature of the impinger was kept so that the HCHO concentration in the generated gas was 24 ppm. Moisture was supplied by injecting moist air using a bubbler. To maintain a constant supply of moist air, water was circulated at a constant temperature (298 K) using a circulator, in the form of a double jacket, outside the bubbler. The reactor used a continuous flow fixed bed reactor with a quartz tube (inner diameter 8 mm). Reactor temperature was controlled by filling the outer reactor with water, with a K-type thermocouple installed to measure temperature.

To confirm initial and product concentrations, CO₂ & CO were analyzed using a non-dispersive infrared (NDIR) analyzer (ZKJ-2, Fuji Electric Co.). To measure the concentration of CO₂ converted from injected HCHO, a catalytic oxidation reactor was used. 1 mole of CO₂ was produced by 1 oxidized mole of HCHO. The oxidation tower operated at 300 °C, which can completely oxidize the injected HCHO to CO₂, confirmed by measuring unreacted HCHO at the tail end of the fixed bed reactor using a total hydrocarbon (THC) analyzer (Thermo 55 °C) and detector




Fig. 13 Dynamic changes in *in situ* FT-IR spectra of HCHO + O₂ + H₂O reaction over Pt/TiO₂ (G) catalyst as a function of time in a gas flow of HCHO/O₂/H₂O/N₂ at room temperature. Inlet HCHO, 20 ppm; inlet H₂O = 55% relative humidity.



tube (CO, HCHO, MeOH, HCHO, and HCOO). Gases other than CO₂ were not detected.

The inlet CO₂ concentration was measured using a NDIR analyzer after the paraformaldehyde was vaporized by the oxidation catalyst tower. The outlet concentration of CO₂ was measured after passing through each filled Pt/TiO₂ catalyst bed reactor at 298 K. The outlet concentration was analyzed after gases were at a steady state.

3.3 Catalyst characterizations

The surface areas of the Pt/TiO₂ catalysts were measured using an ASAP 2010C instrument (Micromeritics), and calculated by using the Brunauer–Emmett–Teller (BET) equation. Each sample was analyzed after being degassed under vacuum at 383 K for 3 h.

To observe the crystal structure of the Pt/TiO₂ catalysts, X-ray diffraction (XRD) analysis was conducted. Cu K α was used as the radiation source ($\lambda = 0.1506$ nm). The catalyst measurements were made in the 2 θ range of 20–90°, at a scanning velocity of 4° min⁻¹.

X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS) was performed using an ESCALAB 210 spectrometer (VG Scientific), with AlK α monochromate (1486.6 eV) as an excitation source. After the catalyst was dried for 24 hours at 373 K and the moisture was completely removed, the catalyst was analyzed without surface sputtering and etching to maintain the vacuum of the XPS apparatus at 10–12 mmHg. The bond energies and intensities of Pt, O, Ti, and C in the sample were analyzed with the wide scanning spectrum.

To evaluate the reoxidation abilities of the catalysts, we conducted an O₂-reoxidation experiment. The filled catalyst was activated for 10 minutes at 393 K with 50 cm³ min⁻¹ Ar. The catalyst was cooled to room temperature (306 K), the thermal conduction detector (TCD) signal was stabilized, and a continuous supply of 1.5% O₂/N₂ was provided to obtain O₂ concentration TCD.¹⁹

Field emission-transmission electron microscopy (FE-TEM) were performed on a JEM-2100F microscope (JEOL Co.) operated at 200 kV. The FE-TEM sample was prepared by suspending the sonicated catalyst powder in ethanol and this suspension was dropped into a Cu grid.

The *in situ* DRIFTS analysis used in this study was carried out with a FT-IR spectrometer (Nicolet IS 10, Thermo Fisher, USA), and a diffuse reflectance (DR) 400 accessory was used for solid reflectance analysis. The spectrum contained 30 cumulative scans with a resolution (4 cm⁻¹) by a mercury-cadmium-telluride (MCT) detector. To exclude the influence of moisture and impurities, samples were pre-processed under Ar at 423 K for 1 h, and then maintained in a vacuum state using a vacuum pump. HCHO was injected by using vaporized paraformaldehyde, obtained by injecting N₂-containing HCHO vapor through the paraformaldehyde, and N₂ gas to the impinger.

CO chemisorption analysis was performed at 298 K to analyze the crystal size to aid dispersion of the catalyst. The catalyst sample, which was pretreated in a hydrogen flow for 30

minutes at 573 K, was cooled down to 298 K and then saturated with the injection of a CO pulse.

Reaction rates were obtained using the below equation:

$$r = -\frac{F_{\text{HCHO}}}{m_{\text{cat}}} \ln(1 - X)$$

where r is the HCHO reaction rate (mol s⁻¹ g_{cat}⁻¹), F_{HCHO} is the total HCHO flow rate (mol s⁻¹), m_{cat} is the weight of the catalyst (g), and X is the HCHO conversion.^{40,41} These results, along with metal dispersion measurements, were used to calculate HCHO turnover frequency (TOF), obtained as amount of moles of HCHO converted per surface active metal atom per second (s⁻¹):

$$\text{TOF} = \frac{r_{\text{HCHO}} \times \text{AB}_M}{DX_M},$$

where AB_M represents the atomic weight of active metal M, X_M is the active metal content (g_{met} g_{cat}⁻¹), and D is the active metal dispersion.⁸

4 Conclusions

In this study, different Pt/TiO₂ catalysts prepared by various TiO₂ showed different catalytic activities. XPS analysis demonstrated that the catalytic activities were related to Pt valence states and chemisorbed oxygen species on the Pt/TiO₂ catalysts, and these characteristics were dependent on the type of TiO₂. O₂ reoxidation experiments confirmed that the areas of oxygen consumed and metallic Pt species on the catalyst surface were strongly correlated. DRIFTS analysis showed that the chemisorbed oxygen species were involved in the formation of formate species. These formate species reacted with moisture, converting to linear CO species on metallic Pt sites. Finally, the linear CO species reacted with gaseous oxygen to desorb as CO₂, completing the mechanism.

Conflicts of interest

There are no conflicts to declare.

Acknowledgements

This research was supported by Basic Science Research Program through the National Research Foundation of Korea (NRF) funded by the Ministry of Education (No. 2014R1A1A2059082). This research was supported by Korea Ministry of Environment as Advanced Technology Program for Environmental Industry (2016000140004).

Notes and references

- 1 P. Chin, L. P. Yang and D. F. Ollis, *J. Catal.*, 2006, **237**, 29–37.
- 2 J. S. Tepper, V. C. Moser, D. L. Costa and M. A. Mason, *Am. Ind. Hyg. Assoc. J.*, 1995, **56**, 158–170.
- 3 World Health Organization (WHO), *Air quality guidelines-2nd edition*, WHO Regional Office for Europe, 2001.
- 4 M. H. Garrett, M. A. Hooper, B. M. Hooper, P. R. Rayment and M. J. Abramson, *Allregy*, 1999, **54**, 330–337.



- 5 A. Guenther, C. N. Hewitt, D. Erickson, R. Fall, C. Geron, T. Graedel, P. Harley, L. Klinger, M. Lerdau, W. A. McKay, T. Pierce, B. Scholes, R. Steinbrecher, R. Tallamraju, J. Taylor and P. Zimmerman, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 1995, **100**, 8873–8892.
- 6 J. Peng, X. Wu and S. Wang, *RSC Adv.*, 2017, **7**, 13536–13542.
- 7 L. Nie, J. Wang and J. Yu, *RSC Adv.*, 2017, **7**, 21389–21397.
- 8 X. Zhu, B. Cheng, J. Yu and W. Ho, *Appl. Surf. Sci.*, 2016, **364**, 808–814.
- 9 W. Cui, D. Xue, X. Yuan, B. Zheng, M. Jia and W. Zhang, *Appl. Surf. Sci.*, 2017, **411**, 105–112.
- 10 L. Nie, J. Yu, M. Jaronec and F. F. Tao, *Catal. Sci. Technol.*, 2016, **6**, 3649–3669.
- 11 L. Qi, W. Ho, J. Wang, P. Zhang and J. Yu, *Catal. Sci. Technol.*, 2015, **5**, 2366–2377.
- 12 C. Zhang, H. He and K. Tanaka, *Appl. Catal., B*, 2006, **65**, 37–43.
- 13 L. Nie, J. Yu, X. Li, B. Cheng, G. Liu and M. Jaronec, *Environ. Sci. Technol.*, 2013, **47**, 2777–2783.
- 14 X. Tang, J. Chen, X. Huang, Y. Xu and W. Shen, *Appl. Catal., B*, 2008, **81**, 115–121.
- 15 S. S. Kim, K. H. Park and S. C. Hong, *Appl. Catal., A*, 2011, **398**, 96–103.
- 16 H. Huang and D. Y. C. Leung, *ACS Catal.*, 2011, **1**, 348–354.
- 17 H. Huang, D. Y. C. Leung and D. Ye, *J. Mater. Chem.*, 2011, **21**, 9647–9652.
- 18 H. Huang and D. Y. C. Leung, *J. Catal.*, 2011, **280**, 60–67.
- 19 C. Zhang, H. He and K. Tanaka, *Catal. Commun.*, 2005, **6**, 211–214.
- 20 C. Zhang and H. He, *Catal. Today*, 2007, **126**, 345–350.
- 21 S. S. Kim, H. H. Lee and S. C. Hong, *Appl. Catal., A*, 2012, **423–424**, 100–107.
- 22 S. S. Kim, H. H. Lee and S. C. Hong, *Appl. Catal., B*, 2012, **119–120**, 100–108.
- 23 S. S. Kim, K. H. Park and S. C. Hong, *Fuel Process. Technol.*, 2013, **108**, 47–54.
- 24 E. Baumgarten, A. Fiebes, A. Stumpe, F. Ronkel and J. W. Schultze, *J. Mol. Catal. A: Chem.*, 1996, **113**, 469–477.
- 25 J. F. Moulder, W. F. Stickle, P. E. Sobol and K. D. Bomben, *Handbook of X-ray Photoelectron Spectroscopy*, Perkin Elmer Corporation, USA, 1992.
- 26 O. S. Alexeev, S. Y. Chin, M. H. Engelhard, L. Oritz-Soto and M. D. Amiridis, *J. Phys. Chem. B*, 2005, **109**, 23430–23443.
- 27 Q. Li, H. Yang, F. Qiu and X. Zhang, *J. Hazard. Mater.*, 2011, **192**, 915–921.
- 28 L. Qi, B. Cheng, J. Yu and W. Ho, *J. Hazard. Mater.*, 2016, **301**, 522–530.
- 29 P. Panagiotopoulou and D. I. Kondarides, *J. Catal.*, 2004, **225**, 327–336.
- 30 U. Diebold, *Surf. Sci. Rep.*, 2003, **48**, 53–229.
- 31 P. W. Seo, H. J. Choi, S. I. Hong and S. C. Hong, *J. Hazard. Mater.*, 2010, **178**, 917–925.
- 32 J. Raskó, T. Kecskés and J. Kiss, *J. Catal.*, 2004, **224**, 261–268.
- 33 T. Kecskés, J. Raskó and J. Kiss, *Appl. Catal., A*, 2004, **273**, 55–62.
- 34 T. Liu, F. Li and X. Li, *J. Hazard. Mater.*, 2008, **152**, 347–355.
- 35 J. Zhu, J. G. van Ommen and L. Lefferts, *Catal. Today*, 2006, **117**, 163–167.
- 36 D. W. Kwon, P. W. Seo, G. J. Kim and S. C. Hong, *Appl. Catal., B*, 2015, **163**, 436–443.
- 37 G. J. Kim, D. W. Kwon and S. C. Hong, *J. Phys. Chem. C*, 2016, **120**, 17996–18004.
- 38 F. Chen, F. Wang, Q. Li, C. Cao, X. Zhang, H. Ma and Y. Guo, *Catal. Commun.*, 2017, **99**, 39–42.
- 39 A. Bourane and D. Bianchi, *J. Catal.*, 2001, **202**, 34–44.
- 40 M. Shen, C. Li, J. Wang, L. Xu, W. Wang and J. Wang, *RSC Adv.*, 2015, **5**, 35155–35165.
- 41 I. Ellmers, R. P. Velez, U. Bentrup, W. Schwieger, A. Bruckner and W. Grunert, *Catal. Today*, 2015, **258**, 337–346.

