



A new approach for sustained and efficient H₂ photoproduction by *Chlamydomonas reinhardtii*†

Sergey Kosourov, * Martina Jokel,  Eva-Mari Aro  and Yagut Allahverdiyeva *

Cite this: *Energy Environ. Sci.*, 2018, 11, 1431



Received 6th January 2018,
Accepted 22nd March 2018

DOI: 10.1039/c8ee00054a

rsc.li/ees

Sustained H₂ photoproduction is demonstrated in green algae under a train of strong white light pulses interrupted by longer dark phases. The devised protocol relies on the presence of the [FeFe]-hydrogenase in algal chloroplasts, which is activated within a few seconds after the establishment of anaerobiosis. H₂ photoproduction proceeds for up to 3 days with the maximum rate occurring in the first 6 hours.

Photobiological water splitting to molecular hydrogen (H₂) and oxygen (O₂), also known as direct water biophotolysis, has been considered as one of the most promising and environmentally friendly approaches for generating bulk quantities of clean H₂ biofuel.¹ Many species of cyanobacteria and eukaryotic green algae, including the model organism *Chlamydomonas reinhardtii*, are capable of catalyzing this reaction.^{2,3} In green algae, water biophotolysis proceeds in two steps:



involving the photosystem II (PSII) water-oxidizing complex at step 1 and the [FeFe]-hydrogenase (H₂ase) enzyme at step 2 being interconnected *via* the photosynthetic electron-transport chain (PETC) (Scheme 1, a pathway from A to C).⁴ While the splitting of water in PSII results in the release of O₂, the process catalyzed by the H₂ase is O₂-sensitive.⁵ The O₂ sensitivity issue has been recognized as a major challenge to efficient H₂ production in green algae. Unfortunately, there is no apparent solution allowing the simultaneous production of O₂ and H₂ in algal cultures at full PSII capacity.

H₂ photoproduction in *C. reinhardtii* also occurs through a mechanism independent of water oxidation. In the indirect

Broader context

Molecular hydrogen (H₂) is an ideal energy carrier for a sustainable low-carbon economy. The unicellular green alga *Chlamydomonas reinhardtii* is capable of producing H₂ by splitting water with energy from sunlight. H₂ photoproduction in algal cells is driven by the [FeFe]-hydrogenase enzyme(s), which interacts with the photosynthetic electron transport chain at the level of ferredoxin, thus linking the water-splitting reaction at photosystem II (PSII) to the reduction of protons to H₂. Since [FeFe]-hydrogenases are extremely O₂ sensitive, H₂ photoproduction in green algae is difficult to sustain due to the simultaneous release of O₂ in the PSII oxygen-evolving complex. This article examines a breakthrough protocol for sustaining efficient H₂ photoproduction in algae by transferring the growing cultures from continuous light to a train of strong light pulses superimposed on darkness or low background illumination. This novel protocol represents a way of redirecting the photosynthetic electron flow to the hydrogenase instead of CO₂ fixation and biomass formation, thus increasing the overall H₂ photoproduction yield.


process, the reductants derived from the degradation of stored organic substrates, such as starch and proteins, are incorporated into the PETC by a type II NADPH dehydrogenase (Nda2) at the level of the plastoquinone (PQ) pool,⁶ thus bypassing the water splitting at PSII (Scheme 1, a pathway from B to C). Similar to the direct process, this pathway requires PSI activity to donate electrons to the H₂ase. Since both pathways are linked to the H₂ase *via* PSI and Fd (Scheme 1, the C pathway), their contribution to the overall H₂ production yield in algal cultures may vary depending on physiological conditions.

Efficient H₂ photoproduction in green algae occurs in the light after a period of dark anaerobic incubation.⁷ The reaction is transient due to a rapid, within seconds, inhibition of H₂ase by O₂, which is co-produced in the water-splitting reaction. One of the approaches to achieve sustained H₂ photoproduction in *C. reinhardtii* cultures is to deprive them of sulfur.⁸ Sulfur-deprivation prevents the efficient repair of the light-damaged D1 reaction center protein of PSII, thus leading to a gradual loss of the water-splitting activity in algal cells over time. As a consequence, the actively respiring algae establish an

Molecular Plant Biology, Department of Biochemistry, University of Turku, Turku, FI-20014, Finland. E-mail: serkos@utu.fi, allahve@utu.fi; Tel: +35 8451577800, +35 8503506181

† Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available: Photochemical activity, additional H₂ and O₂ photoproduction curves and additional MIMS data. See DOI: 10.1039/c8ee00054a





Scheme 1 A schematic representation of the H₂ photoproduction (red arrows) and competing (blue arrows) metabolic pathways in the chloroplasts of green alga *C. reinhardtii*. From A to C: direct water biophotolysis; from B to C: indirect water biophotolysis; D: NADPH production and Calvin–Benson–Bassham cycle; E: light-dependent oxygen reduction. Abbreviations: TM, thylakoid membrane; OEC, oxygen-evolving complex; PSII and PSI, photosystems II and I, respectively; NDA2, type II NADPH dehydrogenase; PQ, plastoquinone; Cyt, cytochrome; PC, plastocyanin; Fd, ferredoxin; [FeFe]-H₂ase, [FeFe]-hydrogenase; FNR, ferredoxin-NADP⁺ reductase; FvA and FvB, flavodiiron protein A and B, respectively; CBB, Calvin–Benson–Bassham; RuBP, ribulose-1,5-bisphosphate; ATPase, ATP synthase.

anaerobic environment in the sealed photobioreactor, induce the H₂ase enzyme(s) and continuously produce H₂ gas for several days.⁹ Although the loss of active PSII centers sustains H₂ photoproduction in algae, it also downregulates the direct, water oxidation-dependent flow of electrons to the H₂ase, resulting in low overall efficiency of the process. Sulfur-deprivation requires extensive and time-consuming centrifugations, which make this protocol difficult for application even in laboratory scale projects (yet a few alternatives have been suggested^{10,11}).

In the current work, we demonstrate that efficient H₂ photoproduction can be sustained in growing *C. reinhardtii* cultures for at least three days by switching the algal suspensions from continuous light to a train of short strong light pulses superimposed on either darkness or permanent low light illumination. The protocol is very simple, non-damaging to algae and reproducible even under strict autotrophic conditions.

Theoretical considerations

At the current state, H₂ photoproduction in algal cultures is only possible *via* a temporal separation of the O₂ evolving and H₂ producing reactions. *C. reinhardtii* cultures, dark-adapted in anoxic conditions, produce H₂ upon exposure to light, before the onset of O₂ evolution (Fig. 1A), while sulfur-deprived cells show the opposite behavior (Fig. 1B). Although the maximum specific H₂ photoproduction activity is higher in dark-adapted cells than in sulfur-deprived algae, the latter produce H₂ much




Fig. 1 Available protocols for the induction of H₂ production in *C. reinhardtii* cultures. (A) The dark adaptation protocol was first introduced by Gaffron and Rubin in 1942 and re-produced in our experimental set-up. (B) The sulfur-deprivation protocol was repeated according to Melis and co-authors.

longer and yield more H₂ gas. For sustaining the H₂ production process in dark-adapted algae, one could suggest the low light/high cell density condition that prevents O₂ accumulation in cultures due to active respiration, but at the expense of efficiency.¹² Alternatively, H₂ production can be driven at high light intensities by funneling photosynthetic electrons to the H₂ase (Scheme 1, the C pathway), instead of the Calvin–Benson–Bassham (CBB) cycle (Scheme 1, the D pathway), with simultaneous control of the intracellular O₂ level. Although Rubisco deficiency has been reported to promote H₂ evolution in green algae, the yield of H₂ in the Rubisco-deficient mutant culture was not particularly high, most probably due to the down-regulation of the photosynthetic electron flow to the H₂ase in this strain.¹³ Nevertheless, the partial inactivation of the CBB cycle did improve the H₂ photoproduction yield.¹⁴

The H₂ase enzyme, induced in algae under dark anaerobic conditions,^{15,16} acts as an alternative electron sink upon illumination and promotes the activity of oxygenic photosynthesis by eliminating the accumulation of excess electrons in PETC.¹⁷ The light activation of the CBB cycle requires time affecting photosynthetic productivity under fluctuating light.¹⁸ We propose that a train of very short light pulses should arrest the algal photosynthesis in the H₂ photoproduction stage, provided the duration of each light pulse is short enough to minimize the electron flow to the CBB cycle and to prevent O₂ accumulation. To test this hypothesis, we subjected *C. reinhardtii* to a train of short (1–5 s) light pulses interrupted by longer (3–9 s) dark phases. These experiments were subsequently repeated under low background illumination (3 μmol photons m⁻² s⁻¹) in place of dark phases.

Materials and methods

All experiments were performed with unstressed, actively growing *C. reinhardtii* cultures either on TAP (photomixotrophic growth) or on a modified TAP medium without acetate (photoautotrophic growth). CC-124, CC-4533 and CC-5128 (*hydEF*) strains were pre-grown under a 14 h photoperiod at 75 μmol photons m⁻² s⁻¹ photosynthetic active radiation (PAR) and 25 °C. H₂ photoproduction was analyzed during the active period of photosynthesis, within 5 to 10 h from the beginning of the photoperiod. No centrifugation steps were applied. Growing algal cultures were



pipetted into a gas-tight 23 mL GC vial equipped with H₂ and O₂ microsensors (H₂-NP and OX-NP, Unisense A/S) connected to an amplifier. The electrodes were pierced inside the vial through a Teflon-coated rubber septum. Cells in the vial were sparged with argon (Ar) for 2–3 min in the dark, followed by incubation in the dark for another 1–5 min. Subsequently, a train of light pulses was applied to the culture and the H₂ and O₂ levels were monitored by the OxyHydrogen software *via* the STM32F103 microcontroller board connected to a high precision 24-bit ADC (ADS1256, Texas Instruments). The white LED light pulses (420 μmol photons m⁻² s⁻¹) were synchronized through the same microcontroller board. The gas exchange was measured by membrane inlet mass spectrometry (MIMS) using a modified DW1 (Hansatech Instruments) electrode chamber as previously described.¹⁹

The long-term H₂ photoproduction experiments were performed with a 10 mL cell suspension in 70 mL gas-tight vials under an Ar atmosphere. The pulses of white light (280 μmol photons m⁻² s⁻¹) interrupted by dark periods or the constant light of the same intensity were provided by the growth chamber (AlgaeTron AG 130-ECO, PSI). The vials were continuously shaken and H₂ production yields were measured using a gas chromatograph (Clarus 500, PerkinElmer) equipped with a thermal conductivity detector and a molecular sieve 5A column (60/80 mesh). The total Chl content and hydrogenase activity were measured as described previously.⁹

The average energy of the incident light in the PAR (400–700 nm) region was determined at the surface of the liquid with the STS-VIS spectrometer (Ocean Optics, Inc.). Light energy to hydrogen energy conversion efficiency (LHCE) was calculated using eqn (1), which considers the partial pressure of H₂ gas in the vial headspace at the moment of calculation:²⁰

$$\eta (\%) = 100 \frac{\left(\Delta G^\circ - RT \ln \left(\frac{P^\circ}{P} \right) \right) V_H}{E_s t A} \quad (1)$$

where ΔG° is the change of the standard Gibb's free energy for the water-splitting reaction (237 200 J mol⁻¹ at 25 °C and 1 atm), R is the universal gas constant, T is the absolute temperature,

P° and P are the standard and observed H₂ pressures (atm), V_H is the amount of H₂ photoproduced (mol), E_s is the energy of the incident light radiation (J m⁻² s⁻¹), A is the illuminated surface area (m²) and t is the sum of the illumination periods (s).

For protein analysis, cells were harvested and rapidly frozen in lysis buffer (50 mM Tris pH 8, 2% SDS, 10 mM EDTA, protease inhibitors from Sigma). After thawing, the total protein fraction was isolated and separated in a 12% SDS-PAGE without urea, transferred to a polyvinylidene difluoride membrane (Millipore) and blocked with a 5% blotting grade blocker (Bio-Rad). The samples were loaded on an equal protein basis as determined using a Direct Detect[®] infrared spectrometer (Merck) and visualized as control with Coomassie Brilliant Blue (Bio-Rad). The accumulation of HydA1/A2 was analyzed by using a specific antibody (Agriseria). As a secondary antibody, anti-rabbit horseradish peroxidase was used in 1 : 10 000 dilution and HydA1/A2 was visualized with ECL.

Results and discussion

A train of light pulses sustains H₂ production in algal cultures

As shown in Fig. 2, a train of 1 s light pulses interrupted by 9 s dark periods induces continuous H₂ photoproduction in algal cultures. The procedure shows reproducibility even at a very low cell density (6–7 mg total Chl L⁻¹) and in the absence of acetate (Fig. 3) but requires pre-established anaerobic conditions. Trace quantities of H₂ could be observed almost immediately after starting the light pulse illumination of anaerobic cultures, and thereafter the H₂ level gradually increased with time. The experiments performed in the DW1/AD electrode chamber (Hansatech Instruments) but under high light intensity (~800 μmol photons m⁻² s⁻¹) pulses produced similar results. The H₂ase-deficient *hydEF* mutant did not show the presence of H₂ gas throughout the experiment (Fig. 2A, magenta line), as expected. H₂ photoproduction also occurs in algae exposed to pulses superimposed on low background illumination (Fig. 2A, green line).

During the H₂ production phase, no net O₂ evolution could be detected by either the O₂ electrode (Fig. 2A) or MIMS (Fig. 2C).




Fig. 2 Induction of H₂ photoproduction in *C. reinhardtii* cultures by a train of light pulses. (A) The cultures were flushed with Ar for 3 min in the dark, and H₂ photoproduction was initiated by a train of 1 s light pulses interrupted by 9 s dark periods. (B) A typical trace of H₂ photoproduction shown at higher magnification. (C) Simultaneous monitoring of H₂, O₂ and CO₂ exchange in algal cultures by MIMS. The sawtooth wave could not be seen in the MIMS due to background noise. Green line (panel A) shows H₂ photoproduction in algae, where 3 μmol photons m⁻² s⁻¹ of white light was applied in the background instead of darkness. The kinetics of H₂ diffusion out of the medium (panels A and B, black line) and the suspension of the H₂ase-deficient *hydEF* mutant (panels A and B, magenta line) are shown after the injection of a few μL H₂-saturated medium into the chamber. Downward arrows indicate the injection points.






Fig. 3 H₂ photoproduction in *C. reinhardtii* cultures requires anaerobiosis. (A) Accumulation of H₂ under a train of light pulses occurred only after the establishment of anaerobiosis in algal cultures. The pulse-illumination protocol was initiated at a very low O₂ level in the medium, allowing the spontaneous establishment of anaerobiosis in autotrophic algal cultures exposed to a train of light pulses. (B) The activity assay showed an induction of H₂ase in algal cells under pulse-illumination, but the HydA1/A2 protein level did not change within 15 min. (C) Long-term incubation of autotrophic algae under pulse-illumination led to the accumulation of H₂ in the vial headspace (as detected with GC) and HydA1/A2 proteins in cells, but not under continuous light that caused degradation of H₂ase enzymes in cells due to the accumulation of O₂ in the vials (not shown). Immunoblots were performed using anti-HydA antibody (Agrisera) that recognizes both H₂ase proteins in *C. reinhardtii* cells.

The exposure of algae to a similar train of light pulses in DUAL-PAM, but at a background of measuring light, demonstrated a slight decline of the PSII photochemical efficiency in the course of the experiment (Fig. S1, ESI[†]). The exposure of the pulse-illuminated cells to continuous light induced O₂ evolution, occurring with some delay (Fig. S2, ESI[†]). The accumulation of O₂ could also be observed on shortening the dark phase to ≤ 3 s between the light pulses (Fig. S3, ESI[†]), thus confirming the presence of functional PSII in algal cells under the illumination system applied here.


Gas exchange measurements performed with MIMS showed no signs of CO₂ fixation upon a standard train of light pulses (Fig. 2C). CO₂ fixation occurred only upon accumulation of O₂ in the cultures as a consequence of shortening the dark phase between light pulses (Fig. S3, ESI[†]). This provides compelling evidence that in the newly established protocol, the algal cells function as a biocatalyst funneling photosynthetic electrons directly to the H₂ase without the activation of the CBB cycle.

Pulse-illumination shows the presence of H₂ uptake in algae

As shown in Fig. 2B, transient H₂ production peaks regularly appear upon pulse-illumination of *C. reinhardtii*, whilst noticeable H₂ consumption takes place between the light pulses. The amplitude of the sawtooth wave, which occurs both in photoheterotrophic (Fig. 2B) and photoautotrophic (Fig. 3A, inset) cultures, became more pronounced in the course of H₂ accumulation in the system. This behavior can be explained by the dependence of the H₂ uptake reaction on the H₂ partial pressure,²¹ as well as by the gradual induction of the H₂ase activity in cells (Fig. 3B). The involvement of passive processes in the overall H₂ uptake, such as a leak of H₂ from the system or H₂ consumption by the microsensor, seems to be very minor since (i) the H₂ trace in cell-free media declines much slower (Fig. 2A, black line) and (ii) the active H₂ consumption does not occur in the *hydEF* mutant with disrupted H₂ase (Fig. 2A, magenta line). The H₂ uptake reaction in the dark is very strong, and at the current state we do not know whether H₂ uptake occurs simultaneously with H₂ release in the light.

A switch of pulse-illumination to continuous low light, however, did not lead to any noticeable H₂ consumption in the cultures (Fig. 2A, green line).

Since the reaction balance catalyzed by the reversible H₂ase is shifted towards H₂ release in the course of pulse-illumination, the involvement of the oxyhydrogen reaction in H₂ uptake is very unlikely or its contribution to the process is minor. A similar conclusion could be applied also to H₂ uptake during the dark phase after the period of pulse illumination (Fig. 2 and 3). Otherwise, flavodiiron proteins might be involved in the oxyhydrogen reaction by donating electrons to O₂ under illumination (Scheme 1, the E pathway).^{22,23} In principle, H₂ uptake in algae may occur without O₂ consumption:



Yet, in such a case, neither the final electron acceptor nor any intermediate players are known. The occurrence of H₂ uptake in the green alga, *Scenedesmus* sp. was first demonstrated more than 70 years ago.²⁴ Since that time only a little follow-up progress has been made in resolving the metabolic pathways participating in the H₂ uptake reaction. H₂ oxidation has been proposed to provide reducing equivalents for CO₂ fixation, but the reaction requires either a very low level of O₂ (up to 1%) or light illumination in complete anaerobiosis for ATP re-generation.²⁵

The absence of CO₂ fixation either during a train of light pulses or during the dark phase after termination of the protocol (Fig. 2C) suggests that H₂ uptake in algae exposed to pulse-illumination and thereafter is not linked to CO₂ reduction. The presence of the H₂ consumption pathway was also confirmed in sulfur-deprived *C. reinhardtii* cells,^{21,26} harbouring the inactivated Rubisco enzyme.^{13,27} Since H₂ uptake in both cases occurs upon a shift to darkness, the process seems to be driven by the same catabolic pathway. It is clear that more research is needed to completely understand the mechanism(s) of H₂ consumption in green algae, yet the elimination of this process should dramatically improve the H₂ photoproduction yield in algal cultures.



Pulse-illumination demonstrates a fast activation of [FeFe]-hydrogenase by anaerobiosis

Recently, Liran and co-authors²⁸ concluded that the entire pool of cellular H₂ase remains active in air-grown cells, thus allowing algae to produce H₂ even under aerobic conditions, and in particular, on switch from low to high light conditions. On the other hand, there is extensive literature showing the extreme sensitivity of algal H₂ase to molecular O₂. For resolving this contradiction, Liran and co-authors suggested the existence of anaerobic niches inside the cells with a high rate of local respiration that protects the H₂ase from O₂ inactivation.

Our experimental data show that the activation of the H₂ase enzyme in air-grown cells and the production of H₂ (Fig. 3) occur only after the establishment of anaerobiosis in the culture. As shown in Fig. 3A, the photoautotrophic *C. reinhardtii* culture is capable of spontaneous establishment of anaerobiosis in the medium under the pulse-illumination if the initial level of O₂ is lowered to below 10 μmol L⁻¹ by Ar purging. Algae start producing H₂ almost immediately after consuming the residual O₂ in the chamber. The reaction, thus, requires strong anaerobiosis and it does not occur in an aerobic environment. The cells pre-grown in air contain HydA1/A2 proteins and the amount does not increase within 15 min of the pulse-illumination (Fig. 3B, inset). Nevertheless, the H₂ase activity (measured in the presence of reduced methyl viologen) rises gradually during this time (Fig. 3B) and correlates with the induction of H₂ photoproduction in the cells (Fig. 3A). The amount of the HydA1/A2 proteins increases later (Fig. 3C, inset). During the long-term cultivation under the train of light pulses, we could detect the rise of H₂ase in the cells, but continuous high light causes the opposite effect (Fig. 3C). In the latter case, no H₂ production is observed. These experimental data prove that algae express H₂ase during aerobic growth under moderate light. H₂ase activation, however, requires strong anaerobiosis, which contradicts the suggestion of Liran and co-authors²⁸ about the functional [FeFe]-H₂ase enzyme in an aerobic environment.

A pulse-illumination protocol sustains H₂ production for at least 70 hours and proves the competition between H₂ photoproduction and CO₂ fixation

Long-term experiments performed with *C. reinhardtii* cultures in small anaerobic vials demonstrated that pulse-illuminated algae are capable of producing H₂ continuously for at least 3 days (Fig. 4). The reaction occurs in the absence of acetate and at an extremely low cell density (Fig. 4A), indicating that the self-shading in the suspension is not a reason for the induction of H₂ production in algal cells. *C. reinhardtii* produces H₂ more efficiently during the first 6 h after which the rate gradually declines. The maximum specific rate exceeds the rate of H₂ photoproduction in sulfur-deprived algae^{21,29} and in the best case reaches up to 25 μmol H₂ (mg Chl h)⁻¹. Under light conditions typical for the original sulfur-deprivation protocol (~200 μmol photons m⁻² s⁻¹),^{8,27} pulse-illuminated cultures yield above 3 mmol H₂ L⁻¹ during the first 48 h (Fig. S4, ESI[†]),




Fig. 4 Long-term H₂ photoproduction by photoautotrophic (A) and photoheterotrophic (B) *C. reinhardtii* cultures. The cultures were exposed to the pulse-illumination protocol under an Ar atmosphere. Algae did not produce H₂ in continuous light (blue lines). The initial total Chl contents were 1 μg mL⁻¹ in (A) and 8.9 μg mL⁻¹ in (B). The cultures did not grow under pulse-illumination (8.8 μg mL⁻¹; C) but increased the biomass content under continuous light (26 μg mL⁻¹; D).

which is very close to the H₂ yield in sulfur-deprived algae.⁹ However, due to a much shorter illumination time (Fig. S4, ESI[†]), the pulse-illuminated cultures produce H₂ more efficiently than the sulfur-deprived cells (0.5% vs. 0.24%,³⁰ respectively). Sulfur-deprived algae also need an extra 24–48 h (without H₂ production) for PSII inactivation, which is not considered in LHCE calculations. Moreover, the pulse-illuminated algae are capable of producing H₂ at a maximum conversion efficiency of 1.6–1.7% (2–2.2% if the upper H₂ gas combustion energy is assumed) during the first 8 h.

It is important to note that algae do not accumulate biomass under pulse-illumination (Fig. 4C), in contrast to continuous light (Fig. 4D). The inhibition of biomass accumulation under a train of light pulses suggests the successful diversion of photosynthetic reductants from carbon fixation to H₂ photoproduction. These experimental data, thus, bring additional evidence that the re-direction of the photosynthetic electron flow to the [FeFe]-H₂ase enzyme does improve the H₂ photoproduction activity in algal cells.³¹

Conclusions

This research demonstrates that H₂ photoproduction in green algae can be sustained by a simple shift in the light conditions of growing algal cultures from continuous illumination to a train of light pulses interrupted by longer dark phases. In a low O₂ environment, such pulse-illuminated algae can spontaneously establish anaerobiosis and produce H₂ for up to three days. The appearance of H₂ gas in the cultures, almost immediately after the establishment of anaerobiosis, points to an important role of the [FeFe]-H₂ase enzyme(s) in algal energy metabolism under anaerobic conditions. In addition, the pulse illumination protocol provides strong evidence that CO₂ fixation competes with the [FeFe]-H₂ase enzyme for the photosynthetic electrons and demonstrates a direct means of eliminating this competition. All the findings together provide new opportunities for metabolic engineering and construction of efficient cell factories with a capacity to re-direct photosynthetic electrons to targeted metabolic pathways and biofuel products, instead of biomass.



Conflicts of interest

There are no conflicts to declare.

Acknowledgements

The authors acknowledge financial support from the Kone Foundation, the NordForsk NCoE program "NordAqua" (project # 82845) and the Academy of Finland FCoE program (# 307335).

Notes and references

- W. Lubitz, E. J. Reijerse and J. Messinger, *Energy Environ. Sci.*, 2008, **1**, 15–31.
- V. A. Boichenko, E. Greenbaum and M. Seibert, in *Molecular to Global Photosynthesis: Photoconversion of Solar Energy*, ed. J. Barber and M. D. Archer, Imperial College Press, London, 2004, pp. 397–451.
- M. Timmins, S. R. Thomas-Hall, A. Darling, E. Zhang, B. Hankamer, U. C. Marx and P. M. Schenk, *J. Exp. Bot.*, 2009, **60**, 1691–1702.
- Y. Allahverdiyeva, E. M. Aro and S. N. Kosourov, in *Bioenergy Research: Advances and Applications*, ed. F. X. Vijai, K. Gupta, M. Tuohy, C. P. Kubicek and J. Saddler, Elsevier, Amsterdam, 2014, pp. 367–387.
- M. L. Ghirardi, A. Dubini, J. Yu and P.-C. Maness, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 2009, **38**, 52–61.
- F. Jans, E. Mignolet, P.-A. Houyoux, P. Cardol, B. Ghysels, S. Cuiné, L. Cournac, G. Peltier, C. Remacle and F. Franck, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.*, 2008, **105**, 20546–20551.
- H. Gaffron and J. Rubin, *J. Gen. Physiol.*, 1942, **26**, 219–240.
- A. Melis, L. Zhang, M. Forestier, M. L. Ghirardi and M. Seibert, *Plant Physiol.*, 2000, **122**, 127–136.
- S. Kosourov, A. Tsygankov, M. Seibert and M. L. Ghirardi, *Biotechnol. Bioeng.*, 2002, **78**, 731–740.
- T. V. Laurinavichene, I. V. Tolstygina, R. R. Galiulina, M. L. Ghirardi, M. Seibert and A. A. Tsygankov, *Int. J. Hydrogen Energy*, 2002, **27**, 1245–1249.
- P. Lindberg and A. Melis, *Planta*, 2008, **228**, 951–961.
- A. Scoma, L. Durante, L. Bertin and F. Fava, *New Phytol.*, 2014, **204**, 890–900.
- A. Hemschemeier, S. Fouchard, L. Cournac, G. Peltier and T. Happe, *Planta*, 2008, **227**, 397–407.
- T. S. Pinto, F. X. Malcata, J. D. Arrabaça, J. M. Silva, R. J. Spreitzer and M. G. Esquivel, *Appl. Microbiol. Biotechnol.*, 2013, **97**, 5635–5643.
- T. Happe and A. Kaminski, *Eur. J. Biochem.*, 2002, **269**, 1022–1032.
- M. Forestier, P. King, L. Zhang, M. Posewitz, S. Schwarzer, T. Happe, M. L. Ghirardi and M. Seibert, *Eur. J. Biochem.*, 2003, **270**, 2750–2758.
- B. Ghysels, D. Godaux, R. F. Matagne, P. Cardol and F. Franck, *PLoS One*, 2013, **8**, e64161.
- P. J. Graham, B. Nguyen, T. Burdyny and D. Sinton, *Sci. Rep.*, 2017, **7**, 1–11.
- H. Mustila, P. Paananen, N. Battchikova, A. Santana-Sanchez, D. Muth-Pawlak, M. Hagemann, E. M. Aro and Y. Allahverdiyeva, *Plant Cell Physiol.*, 2016, **57**, 1468–1483.
- S. Kosourov, G. Murukesan, M. Seibert and Y. Allahverdiyeva, *Algal Res.*, 2017, **28**, 253–263.
- S. N. Kosourov, K. A. Batyrova, E. P. Petushkova, A. A. Tsygankov, M. L. Ghirardi and M. Seibert, *Int. J. Hydrogen Energy*, 2012, **37**, 8850–8858.
- Y. Allahverdiyeva, M. Suorsa, M. Tikkanen and E. M. Aro, *J. Exp. Bot.*, 2015, **66**, 2427–2436.
- M. Jokel, S. Kosourov, N. Battchikova, A. A. Tsygankov, E. M. Aro and Y. Allahverdiyeva, *Plant Cell Physiol.*, 2015, **56**, 1598–1607.
- H. Gaffron, *J. Gen. Physiol.*, 1942, **26**, 241–267.
- C. Catalanotti, W. Yang, M. C. Posewitz and A. R. Grossman, *Front. Plant Sci.*, 2013, **4**, 1–17.
- A. Scoma and A. Hemschemeier, *Algal Res.*, 2017, **26**, 341–347.
- L. Zhang, T. Happe and A. Melis, *Planta*, 2002, **214**, 552–561.
- O. Liran, R. Semyatich, Y. Milrad, H. Eilenberg, I. Weiner and I. Yacoby, *Plant Physiol.*, 2016, **172**, 264–271.
- L. Giannelli, A. Scoma and G. Torzillo, *Biotechnol. Bioeng.*, 2009, **104**, 76–90.
- M. L. Ghirardi, *Indian J. Biochem. Biophys.*, 2006, **43**, 201–210.
- S. Rumpel, J. Siebel, C. Farès, J. Duan, E. Reijerse, T. Happe, W. Lubitz and M. Winkler, *Energy Environ. Sci.*, 2014, 1–10.

