

Cite this: *Chem. Sci.*, 2017, 8, 6645Received 24th June 2017
Accepted 20th July 2017DOI: 10.1039/c7sc02809a
rsc.li/chemical-science

Catalytic asymmetric hydroxylative dearomatization of 2-naphthols: synthesis of lacinilene derivatives†

Yu Zhang,^{ID} Yuting Liao,^{ID} Xiaohua Liu,^{ID} Xi Xu,^{ID}* Xi Xu, Lili Lin^{ID} and Xiaoming Feng^{ID}*

An enantioselective hydroxylative dearomatization of 2-naphthols with oxaziridines has been accomplished using a *N,N'*-dioxide–scandium(III) complex catalyst. Various substituted *ortho*-quinols could be obtained in high yields (up to 99%) and enantioselectivities (up to 95 : 5 er). This methodology could be applied in the synthesis of bioactive lacinilenes in a gram-scale reaction. Based on the experimental investigations and previous work, a possible catalytic model was proposed.

Introduction

Substituted *ortho*-quinols are essential structural motifs in a number of natural products and pharmaceuticals.¹ For instance, chiral lacinilene derivatives (Fig. 1), a series of phytoalexins isolated from cotton plants, have been utilized for inhibiting the growth of cotton bacterial pathogens, such as *Xanthomonas campestris* or *malvacearum*.² Studies have showed that the (*S*)-enantiomer of lacinilene C is more active than the (*R*)-enantiomer.^{2c} While these biological activities provide a justification for the development of approaches to the synthesis of enantiomerically enriched lacinilene derivatives, novel catalytic enantioselective methods remain limited.^{2b,d}

Optically active lacinilene derivatives in nature were proposed to be produced enzymically from the oxidation of dihydroxycadalenes, thus it is of practical interest to discover a catalytic asymmetric oxidative dearomatization route to the synthesis of these cadinanes.³ Compared with other successful dearomatization events of phenols or naphthols,^{4,5} controlling the chemo-, regio- and enantioselectivity of the asymmetric

hydroxylative dearomatization is more difficult,⁶ as there might be serious side reactions in the presence of oxidants including overoxidation of alkene functions, competitive *para*-oxidation and homocoupling.^{6c,e} Additionally, the *ortho*-quinol product could undergo an unexpected α -ketol rearrangement, which enhances the difficulty of controlling the reactivity and selectivity.^{6a,7} In this respect, only a few reports related to asymmetric hydroxylative dearomatization of phenols or naphthols have been reported. Asymmetric oxidative dearomatization of phenolate mediated by copper–sparteine–dioxide complexes followed a [4 + 2] dimerization cascade, giving bicyclo[2.2.2] octenones as the final products.^{6a} Several chiral hypervalent organoiodine compounds were developed for the asymmetric hydroxylative dearomatization of phenols and 1-naphthols.^{6b–e} Taking these examples into account, we want to engage in discovering new enantioselective strategies for the synthesis of *ortho*-quinol moieties with improved efficiency and selectivity. Here, we present an efficient asymmetric hydroxylative dearomatization of 2-naphthols catalyzed by a chiral *N,N'*-dioxide–scandium(III) complex catalyst.⁸ The process could be applied to the synthesis of various 1-hydroxy-1-alkyl-naphthalen-2-one derivatives including lacinilene C methyl ether and lacinilene D, in high to excellent yields and good enantioselectivities under mild reaction conditions (Scheme 1).

Results and discussion

We selected the hydroxylative dearomatization of 1-methylnaphthalen-2-ol **1a** as the model substrate using 3-phenyl-2-tosyl-1,2-oxaziridine **2a** as the oxidant which was proven to be chemoselective as a phase-transfer-catalyst under basic conditions (Table 1).^{7a} Initially, the catalytic asymmetric reaction was performed with 10 mol% of chiral *N,N'*-dioxide **L-PiPr₂-Sc(OTf)₃** complex in DCM at 30 °C, and the desired product **3a** could be obtained dominantly with 80 : 20 er while the α -ketol rearrangement byproduct **4a** was isolated in around one-fourth of





Fig. 1 Representative active lacinilene derivatives bearing *ortho*-quinol structures.

Key Laboratory of Green Chemistry & Technology, Ministry of Education, College of Chemistry, Sichuan University, Chengdu 610064, China. E-mail: liuxh@scu.edu.cn; xmjeng@scu.edu.cn; Fax: +86 28 85418249; Tel: +86 28 85418249


† Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available. CCDC 1536822. For ESI and crystallographic data in CIF or other electronic format see DOI: 10.1039/c7sc02809a



Previous work: Asymmetric hydroxylative dearomatization of phenols and 1-naphthols




This work: Asymmetric hydroxylative dearomatization of 2-naphthols



Scheme 1 Catalytic asymmetric hydroxylative dearomatization of phenols and naphthols.

Table 1 Optimization of the reaction conditions^a



Entry	Metal salt	L*	Yield ^b (%)	Ratio (3a/4a) ^c	er (3a) ^c
1	Sc(OTf) ₃	L-PiPr ₂	96	73 : 27	80 : 20
2	Sc(OTf) ₃	L-PrPr ₂	99	79 : 21	63 : 37
3	Sc(OTf) ₃	L-RaPr ₂	99	75 : 25	53.5 : 46.5
4	Sc(OTf) ₃	L-PiMe ₂	90	>95 : 5	60 : 40
5	Sc(OTf) ₃	L-PiPr ₃	96	89 : 11	73 : 27
6	Sc(NTf ₂) ₃	L-PiPr ₂	99	>95 : 5	92 : 8
7 ^d	Sc(NTf ₂) ₃	L-PiPr ₂	99	>95 : 5	95 : 5
8 ^e	Sc(NTf ₂) ₃	L-PiPr ₂	86	>95 : 5	93.5 : 6.5
9 ^{d,f}	Sc(NTf ₂) ₃	L-PiPr ₂	99	>95 : 5	94.5 : 5.5


^a Unless otherwise noted, the reactions were performed with L*/Sc(m) (1 : 1, 10 mol%), **1a** (0.10 mmol) and **2a** (2.0 equiv.) in DCM (1.0 mL) under N₂ at 30 °C for 3 h. ^b Isolated yield by silica gel chromatography. ^c Determined by chiral HPLC analysis. ^d 5 mol% catalyst loading at 0 °C. ^e 1 mol% catalyst loading at 0 °C for 4 h. ^f **2a** (1.5 equiv.) was used.

a 96% total yield (Table 1, entry 1). The evaluation of the structure of the *N,N'*-dioxides showed that L-PiPr₂ was the optimal ligand in terms of the enantioselectivity albeit ligand L-PiMe₂ and L-PiPr₃ improved the yield of the desired product **3a** (entries 2–5). Fortunately, changing the counterion of the scandium salt from ⁻OTf to ⁻NTf₂ could suppress the α -ketol rearrangement, delivering the quinol **3a** in a 99% yield with

92 : 8 er (Table 1, entry 6). Further optimization of the reaction conditions, such as decreasing the temperature and the catalyst loading to 5 mol%, resulted in slightly improved enantioselectivity with maintained efficiency (entry 7). Lowering the catalyst loading to 1 mol% or the amount of the oxidant **2a** decreased either the yield or the selectivity a little (entries 8 and 9). We therefore chose the reaction conditions in Table 1, entry 7 for further studies.

We next explored the substrate scope of 2-naphthols (Table 2). The introduction of bromo or methoxy groups at the C6-position of 2-naphthols had no obvious effect on the result. The 6-aryl substituted 2-naphthol derivatives **1d–1l** tethering various electron-donating and electron-withdrawing substituents could undergo the transformations smoothly, providing the products **3d–3l** in 95–99% yield and 93.5 : 6.5–95 : 5 er. It was noteworthy that 6-alkenyl and alkynyl substituted substrates **1m–1q** were compatible with the reaction conditions, and no aminohydroxylation of the unsaturated carbon–carbon bond occurred, giving the hydroxylative dearomatization products **3m–3q** in good to excellent yields and enantioselectivities.⁹

Table 2 Substrate scope for 2-naphthols^a



^a Reaction conditions: the same as entry 7 in Table 1. ^b 10 mol% catalyst loading. ^c L-PiEt₂-Sc(OTf)₃ (1 : 1, 5 mol%). ^d Total yield of **3ab** and **4ab**, **3ab/4ab** = 87 : 13.





Additionally, 6-alkyl substituted 2-naphthols **3r–3w** bearing methyl, ethyl, and butyl groups were well tolerated, accomplishing the asymmetric hydroxylative reaction with the outcomes of 95–99% yield and 94 : 6–95 : 5 er. The installation of substituents to the 5- and 7-positions did not influence the reaction efficiency (**3x–3z**). The MOM-protected substrate **1z** could deliver the desired product **3z** with good results without any deprotection process occurring under the reaction conditions. However, the increase of steric hindrance at the *ortho*-position of 2-naphthol was harmful as a consequence (**3aa** and **3ab**).

To show the synthetic utility of the current catalyst system, asymmetric synthesis of bioactive lacinilenes was carried out (Scheme 2). Initially, the direct deprotection of the product **3z** under acidic conditions formed the optically active lacinilene D, but an aromatization side product 1-ethyl-5-methylnaphthalene-2,6-diol was obtained.^{24,10} It was anticipated that the TBS protecting group could be easily removed under neutral conditions, which might avoid the occurrence of the aromatization process. As expected, the TBS-substituted 2-naphthol **1ae** could be easily synthesized from **9** in 66% yield after 3 steps, which was further enantioselectively oxidized into the product **3ae** in quantitative yield and 95 : 5 er, even when it was performed at the gram scale. The absolute configuration of **3ae** from **L-PiPr₂**-Sc(NTf₂)₃ complex catalysis was determined to be (*R*) by X-ray crystal diffraction analysis.¹¹ For the benefit of the further differential biological activity study on


each enantiomer of the chiral lacinilenes,^{2c} (*S*)-lacinilene D was synthesized using an *ent*-**L-PiPr₂**-Sc(NTf₂)₃ complex with a comparable result of 99% yield and 95 : 5 er. Next, the synthesis of optically active lacinilene C methyl ether was explored. The synthetic route began from 1,2-dihydronaphthalene **12**, which could be easily accessed from 2-methoxytoluene through a four-step protocol.^{2d} Subsequent two-step oxidation could afford the 2-naphthol derivative **1y** in 49% yield, which underwent hydroxylative dearomatization catalyzed by 0.1 mol% of the Sc(NTf₂)₃/*rac*-**L-PiPr₂** complex to produce racemic lacinilene **3y** in 90% yield.^{2d} After trimethylsilylation and copper catalyzed 1,4-addition/aromatization, 2-naphthol **1af** could be attained in 45% yield after two steps. By treatment with oxaziridine **2a** in the presence of Sc(OTf)₃/**L-RaPr₂**, chiral lacinilene C methyl ether could be obtained in quantitative yield and 83 : 17 er, which could further transform to lacinilene C according to the literature.^{2b}

To elucidate the stereochemical course of the oxidation process, some control experiments were conducted (Scheme 3). The optically pure oxaziridine (*S*)-**2a** reacted with 2-naphthol **1a** in the presence of the Sc(NTf₂)₃/**L-PiPr₂** complex, affording the (*R*)-quinol **3a** in 49% yield and 95.5 : 4.5 er with the recovered oxaziridine (*S*)-**2a** in 45% yield.^{12d} Using *ent*-**L-PiPr₂** as the ligand, (*S*)-quinol **3a** was obtained in 46% yield and 90 : 10 er with the recovered oxaziridine (*S*)-**2a** in 52% yield. This indicates that the chiral matched and mis-matched effect between chiral ligand and chiral oxaziridine was not obvious in this case compared to previous reports,¹² and there might be negligible interaction between the chiral catalyst and oxaziridine.

To probe into the interaction between the catalysts and 2-naphthol, ¹H NMR analysis of the mixture of components was carried out (see ESI† for details). The chemical shift of 1-methyl 2-naphthol **1a** remained nearly unchanged after Sc(NTf₂)₃ was added. There was an obvious high-field shift for most signals of **1a** after mixing with the Sc(NTf₂)₃/**L-PiPr₂** catalyst. This indicates that the chiral catalyst makes the 2-naphthol reactive for hydroxylative reactions. Based on these results and our previous study on the chiral *N,N'*-dioxide–metal complex catalysts,^{8,13} we suggested an enantioselective catalytic model as shown in Fig. 2. The ligand **L-PiPr₂** binds to the scandium(III) center *via* four oxygens to form a polycyclic octahedral metal complex catalyst. The 2-naphthol coordinates to the metal center at one of the vacant sites, with its *Re*-face shielded by one amide unit of the ligand. Therefore, **2a** preferably attacked the α -position of 2-naphthol from the *Si*-face to generate the corresponding *R*-configured product **3ae** and imine byproduct. If a substituent was introduced into the C3 or C4 positions of 2-naphthol, the steric hindrance discrimination between the two sides of the



Scheme 2 Concise synthesis of chiral lacinilene C methyl ether, (–)-lacinilene D and (+)-lacinilene D.



Scheme 3 Control experiments.






Fig. 2 Proposed enantioselective catalytic model.

hydroxyl group decreases, thus it is difficult to control the face-selection. As a result, the enantioselectivity for the generation of product **3aa** and lacinilene C methyl ether is lower than that for the others.

Conclusions

In summary, we have described a highly chemo- and enantioselective hydroxylative dearomatization of 2-naphthol derivatives with oxaziridine catalyzed by a chiral N,N' -dioxide-Sc(NTf₂)₃ complex catalyst. The desired substituted *ortho*-quinols with one quaternary carbon stereogenic center were afforded with high enantioselectivities and reactivity (up to 99% yield and 95 : 5 er). The α -ketol rearrangement byproducts were efficiently suppressed. This new procedure has been successfully applied to the catalytic asymmetric synthesis of the phytoalexins lacinilenes. The application of the N,N' -dioxide/metal catalyst system in the synthesis of other bioactive molecules will be explored.

Acknowledgements


The study was funded by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (No. 21290182, 21432006 and 21625205).

Notes and references

- (a) D. Magdziak, S. J. Meek and T. R. R. Pettus, *Chem. Rev.*, 2004, **104**, 1383; (b) S. P. Roche and J. A. Porco Jr, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2011, **50**, 4068.
- (a) P. W. Jeffs and D. G. Lynn, *J. Org. Chem.*, 1975, **40**, 2958; (b) J. P. McCormick, T. Shinmyozu, J. P. Pachlatko, T. R. Schafer and J. W. Gardner, *J. Org. Chem.*, 1984, **49**, 34; (c) R. D. Stipanovic, J. P. McCormick, E. O. Schlemper, B. C. Hamper, T. Shinmyozu and W. H. Pirkle, *J. Org. Chem.*, 1986, **51**, 2500; (d) K. Krohn and G. Zimmermann, *J. Org. Chem.*, 1998, **63**, 4140.
- For selected examples of asymmetric oxidative dearomatization in the biosynthesis of natural products: (a) A. Bérubé, I. Drutu and J. L. Wood, *Org. Lett.*, 2006, **8**, 5421; (b) L. H. Mejorado and T. R. R. Pettus, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2006, **128**, 15625; (c) S. P. Cook, A. Polara and S. J. Danishefsky, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2006, **128**, 16440; (d) J. Gagnepain, F. Castet and S. Quideau, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2007, **46**, 1533; (e) A. Rudolph, P. H. Bos, A. Meetsma, A. J. Minnaard and B. L. Feringa, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2011, **50**, 5834.
- For selected reviews on dearomatization reactions of phenols and naphthols: (a) C.-X. Zhuo, C. Zheng and S.-L. You, *Acc. Chem. Res.*, 2014, **47**, 2558; (b) W.-T. Wu, L. Zhang and S.-L. You, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 2016, **45**, 1570; (c) W. Sun, G. Li, L. Hong and R. Wang, *Org. Biomol. Chem.*, 2016, **14**, 2164. For selected recent examples, see: (d) Q. Yin, S.-G. Wang, X.-W. Liang, D.-W. Gao, J. Zheng and S.-L. You, *Chem. Sci.*, 2015, **6**, 4179; (e) S.-G. Wang, Q. Yin, C.-X. Zhuo and S.-L. You, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2015, **54**, 647; (f) D. Yang, L. Wang, F. Han, D. Li, D. Zhao and R. Wang, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2015, **54**, 2185; (g) J. Nan, J. Liu, H. Zheng, Z. Zuo, L. Hou, H. Hu, Y. Wang and X. Luan, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2015, **54**, 2356; (h) D. Yang, L. Wang, M. Kai, D. Li, X. Yao and R. Wang, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2015, **54**, 9523; (i) S.-G. Wang, X.-J. Liu, Q.-C. Zhao, C. Zheng, S.-B. Wang and S.-L. You, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2015, **54**, 14929; (j) L. Yang, H. Zheng, L. Luo, J. Nan, J. Liu, Y. Wang and X. Luan, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2015, **137**, 4876; (k) J. Zheng, S.-B. Wang, C. Zheng and S.-L. You, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2015, **137**, 4880; (l) Q. Cheng, Y. Wang and S.-L. You, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2016, **55**, 3496; (m) H.-F. Tu, C. Zheng, R.-Q. Xu, X.-J. Liu and S.-L. You, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2017, **56**, 3237; (n) D. Shen, Q. Chen, P. Yan, X. Zeng and G. Zhong, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2017, **56**, 3242.
- For selected reviews on recent asymmetric oxidative dearomatization of phenols and naphthols: (a) M. Uyanik and K. Ishihara, *Asymmetric Oxidative Dearomatization Reaction*, in *Asymmetric Dearomatization Reactions*, ed. S.-L. You, Wiley-VCH, Weinheim, 2016, ch. 6, pp. 129–152. For examples: (b) T. Dohi, A. Maruyama, N. Takenaga, K. Senami, Y. Minamitsuji, H. Fujioka, S. B. Caemmerer and Y. Kita, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2008, **47**, 3787; (c) M. Uyanik, T. Yasui and K. Ishihara, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2010, **49**, 2175; (d) M. Uyanik, T. Yasui and K. Ishihara, *Tetrahedron*, 2010, **66**, 5841; (e) T. Oguma and T. Katsuki, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2012, **134**, 20017; (f) T. Dohi, N. Takenaga, T. Nakae, Y. Toyoda, M. Yamasaki, M. Shiro, H. Fujioka, A. Maruyama and Y. Kita, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2013, **135**, 4558; (g) M. Uyanik, T. Yasui and K. Ishihara, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2013, **52**, 9215; (h) T. Oguma and T. Katsuki, *Chem. Commun.*, 2014, **50**, 5053; (i) S. J. Murray and H. Ibrahim, *Chem. Commun.*, 2015, **51**, 2376; (j) D.-Y. Zhang, L. Xu, H. Wu and L.-Z. Gong, *Chem.-Eur. J.*, 2015, **21**, 10314; (k) N. Jain, S. Xu and M. A. Ciufolini, *Chem.-Eur. J.*, 2017, **23**, 4542.
- For selected examples of asymmetric hydroxylative dearomatization: (a) S. Dong, J. Zhu and J. A. Porco Jr, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2008, **130**, 2738; (b) J. K. Boppisetti and V. B. Birman, *Org. Lett.*, 2009, **11**, 1221; (c) S. Quideau, G. Lyvinec, M. Marguerit, K. Bathany, A. Ozanne-Beaudenon, T. Buffeteau, D. Cavagnat and A. Chénéde, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2009, **48**, 4605; (d) K. A. Volp and A. M. Harned, *Chem. Commun.*, 2013, **49**, 3001; (e) C. Bosset, R. Coffinier, P. A. Peixoto, M. El Assal,



- K. Miqueu, J.-M. Sotiropoulos, L. Pouységou and S. Quideau, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2014, **53**, 9860.
- 7 (a) C. Grandclaudon and P. Y. Toullec, *Eur. J. Org. Chem.*, 2016, 260; (b) M. Uyanik, T. Mutsuga and K. Ishihara, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2017, **56**, 3956.
- 8 For reviews on chiral *N,N'*-dioxides: (a) X. H. Liu, L. L. Lin and X. M. Feng, *Acc. Chem. Res.*, 2011, **44**, 574; (b) X. H. Liu, L. L. Lin and X. M. Feng, *Org. Chem. Front.*, 2014, **1**, 298; for recent examples: (c) Q. Yao, Y. T. Liao, L. L. Lin, X. B. Lin, J. Ji, X. H. Liu and X. M. Feng, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2016, **55**, 1859; (d) Y. Xia, L. L. Lin, F. Z. Chang, Y. T. Liao, X. H. Liu and X. M. Feng, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2016, **55**, 12228; (e) Y. Zhang, Y. T. Liao, X. H. Liu, Q. Yao, Y. H. Zhou, L. L. Lin and X. M. Feng, *Chem.-Eur. J.*, 2016, **22**, 15119; (f) Y. T. Liao, X. H. Liu, Y. Zhang, Y. L. Xu, Y. Xia, L. L. Lin and X. M. Feng, *Chem. Sci.*, 2016, **7**, 3775.
- 9 (a) D. J. Michaelis, C. J. Shaffer and T. P. Yoon, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2007, **129**, 1866; (b) D. J. Michaelis, M. A. Ischay and T. P. Yoon, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2008, **130**, 6610.
- 10 We tested HCl, AlCl₃/NaI, BBr₃, and TMSBr to remove the MOM-protecting group, but the corresponding lacinilene D was not observed. The reactions proceeded as follows:



see ref. 2d for details.

- 11 CCDC 1536822 (**3ae**) contains the ESI crystallographic data for this paper.†
- 12 (a) P.-L. Shao, X.-Y. Chen and S. Ye, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2010, **49**, 8412; (b) S. X. Dong, X. H. Liu, Y. Zhu, P. He, L. L. Lin and X. M. Feng, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2013, **135**, 10026; (c) K. S. Williamson, J. W. Sawicki and T. P. Yoon, *Chem. Sci.*, 2014, **5**, 3524; (d) X. B. Lin, S. Ruan, Q. Yao, C. K. Yin, L. L. Lin, X. M. Feng and X. H. Liu, *Org. Lett.*, 2016, **18**, 3602.
- 13 X-ray single-crystal structure of *N,N'*-dioxide-Sc(III): Y. L. Liu, D. J. Shang, X. Zhou, X. H. Liu and X. M. Feng, *Chem.-Eur. J.*, 2009, **15**, 2055.

