

Cite this: *Chem. Sci.*, 2017, 8, 5669

N,N-Dimethylation of nitrobenzenes with CO₂ and water by electrocatalysis†

Xiaofu Sun,^{ab} Qinggong Zhu,^a Jiayin Hu,^{ab} Xincheng Kang,^{ab} Jun Ma,^a Huizhen Liu^{ab} and Buxing Han^{ab*}

We have proposed a strategy for the synthesis of *N,N*-dimethylanilines from nitrobenzene and its derivatives, CO₂, and water *via* an electrochemical reaction under ambient conditions. H⁺ generated from H₂O was used as the hydrogen source. Pd/Co–N/carbon, in which the Pd nanoparticles were supported on Co–N/carbon, was designed and used as the electrocatalyst. It was found that the electrocatalyst was very efficient for the reaction in MeCN solution with 1-butyl-3-methylimidazolium bis(trifluoromethylsulfonyl)imide ([Bmim]Tf₂N) as the supporting electrolyte and 1-amino-methylphosphonic acid (AMPA) as the thermal co-catalyst. A series of control experiments showed that Pd/Co–N/carbon and AMPA cooperated very well in accelerating the reaction. This synthetic route has some obvious advantages, such as using CO₂ and water as the reactants, ambient reaction conditions, and high yields of the desired products. This opens up a way to synthesize chemicals by the combination of an electrocatalyst and a thermal catalyst with organic compounds, CO₂, and water as the reactants.

Received 8th March 2017

Accepted 7th June 2017

DOI: 10.1039/c7sc01058c

rsc.li/chemical-science

Introduction

Carbon dioxide (CO₂) is an abundant, inexpensive, harmless and renewable C1 resource.^{1,2} CO₂ chemistry has emerged as one of the most significant branches of chemistry. Owing to its thermodynamic stability and kinetic inertness, a well designed activation of CO₂ and a thermodynamic driving force are required for efficient conversion.^{3–5} The transformation of CO₂ into different chemicals has been reported, such as the direct hydrogenation of CO₂ to organic acids and alcohols.^{6,7} In parallel, the direct introduction of CO₂ into organic substrates is also an attractive goal in modern chemistry,^{8–11} and the exploration of new routes is very interesting.

N-Methylation and *N,N*-dimethylation reactions are very important in the chemical industry, as they can obtain a series of useful intermediates for the synthesis of many valuable products, such as dyes, pesticides and perfumes.^{12–20} Traditionally, they can be synthesized through the methylation of amines with methanol or formaldehyde.^{19,20} Using CO₂ as the C1 resource is a very promising route for the synthesis of *N,N*-dimethylanilines. In general, H₂ or PhSiH₃ has been used as the reducing agent for the *N*-methylation reaction of anilines.^{12–18} Anilines are usually synthesized by the hydrogenation of nitrobenzenes. Recently, the direct *N*-methylation of nitrobenzenes has also been studied

using H₂ as the reducing agent at high temperature and under high pressure (Fig. S1†).¹⁷ Obviously, searching for new reducing agents and performing the methylation reaction of nitrobenzenes under mild conditions are desirable.

The electrochemical method has been used to synthesize organic molecules in the past century.^{21–25} It possesses some obvious advantages, such as mild conditions, high functional group tolerance, and innate scalability and sustainability.²¹ Water is an ideal hydrogen source. H⁺ can be obtained *via* the oxygen evolution reaction (OER) at the anode electrode and transferred to the cathode electrode.²⁶ It is well known that the efficiency and selectivity of electrochemical reactions depend strongly on the properties of the electrodes and electrolytes, and their coupling.²² Therefore, designing a suitable electrocatalyst with abundant active sites and high electrical conductivity is the key to promoting electrocatalytic reactions.

The use of CO₂ and water as the reactants simultaneously in organic reactions is very attractive. Herein, we have developed a strategy for the synthesis of *N,N*-dimethylanilines by the *N,N*-dimethylation of nitrobenzene or its derivatives with CO₂ using H₂O as the hydrogen source. In the reactions, an electrochemical reaction and thermal reaction were combined with Pd/Co–N/carbon as the electrocatalyst and 1-amino-methylphosphonic acid (AMPA) as the thermal catalyst. It was demonstrated that Pd/Co–N/carbon and AMPA had excellent synergistic effects and the reactions proceeded efficiently under ambient conditions and high yields of the desired products could be reached. As far as we know, this is the first work on the synthesis of *N,N*-dimethylanilines using nitrobenzene (or its derivatives), CO₂, and water as the reactants.

^aBeijing National Laboratory for Molecular Sciences, Key Laboratory of Colloid and Interface and Thermodynamics, Institute of Chemistry, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100190, P. R. China. E-mail: hanbx@iccas.ac.cn

^bUniversity of Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100049, P. R. China

† Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available. See DOI: 10.1039/c7sc01058c



Results and discussion

The H-type reaction cell used was composed of a cathode, a platinum anode, and an Ag/Ag⁺ reference electrode (Fig. S2†), which was similar to that utilized in the previous works for the electroreduction of CO₂.^{27–31} The cathode and anode compartments were separated by a Nafion 117 proton exchange membrane (PEM). H₂SO₄ aqueous solution was used as the anodic electrolyte. In the reaction, H⁺ from water could be transferred from the anode compartment to the cathode compartment through the PEM, which acted as the hydrogen source for the reaction. The detailed description of the apparatus is given in the ESI.†

Pd is an efficient electrocatalyst for the reduction of CO₂ to CO or formate.^{32,33} It is known that nanoporous carbon materials have high specific surface areas, high chemical and thermal stabilities, and good conductivities.^{34,35} Zeolitic imidazolate frameworks (ZIFs) have been reported to be outstanding carbon precursors.^{36,37} They can incorporate N atoms and metal species into the carbon lattice, which can enhance the electric conductivity and electron-donor tendency, and act as the hard template during the carbonization process.³⁶ Meanwhile, the residual metals can also act as the co-catalyst. We have designed a new electrocatalyst, Pd/Co–N/carbon, using a ZIF as the carbon and nitrogen precursor, and the detailed preparation procedures are given in the ESI.† Very briefly, the Co–N/carbon support was first prepared by the carbonization of the Co–ZIF/graphene oxide (GO) at 800 °C under an Ar atmosphere. The Pd_x/Co–N/carbon electrocatalysts were obtained by immobilizing Pd nanoparticles on the support, in which *x* denotes the average size of the Pd particles in nm. The size of the Pd nanoparticles could be controlled by the reduction temperature and the ratio of sodium citrate (stabilizing agent) to PdCl₂. The size distributions of the Pd nanoparticles in different catalysts are shown in Fig. S3,† and were obtained from counting more than 200 particles in the transmission electron microscopy (TEM) images. The support had a specific surface area of 380 m² g^{−1}, which was determined by the N₂ adsorption/desorption method. The elemental analysis of the Co–N/carbon support was conducted by inductively coupled plasma optical emission spectroscopy (ICP-OES). The results showed that the content of Co, C and N elements in the support is 37.69 wt%, 30.73 wt% and 1.05 wt%, respectively. The surface composition of the support detected by X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS) is given in Table S1.†

Fig. 1 shows high-resolution transmission electron microscopy (HR-TEM) images of Pd_{2.2}/Co–N/carbon. It can be seen that the Pd nanoparticles were uniformly deposited on the Co–N/carbon support (Fig. 1A). Elemental distribution mappings also illustrate the co-existence of Pd and Co elements and the Pd nanoparticles were dispersed on the support homogeneously (Fig. 1B). The typical Pd (111) plane with the characteristic lattice spacing of 0.23 nm could be observed (Fig. 1C). XPS spectra (Fig. 1D) show the chemical nature of the catalyst, including the peaks belonging to Pd⁰ (Pd 3d: 340.7 and 335.4 eV), Pd²⁺ (Pd 3d: 343.0 and 336.9 eV), Co–N (Co 2p: 780.1 eV),





Fig. 1 Structural and elemental analysis of Pd_{2.2}/Co–N/carbon. (A) TEM image of Pd_{2.2}/Co–N/carbon. (B) Corresponding elemental mappings of Pd_{2.2}/Co–N/carbon (scale bar: 50 nm). (C) HR-TEM image of Pd_{2.2}/Co–N/carbon. The scale bar in the inset of (C) is 1 nm. (D) XPS spectra of Pd 3d, Co 2p and N 1s orbitals of Pd_{2.2}/Co–N/carbon.

Co–O (Co 2p: 795.4 eV), pyridinic N (N 1s: 399.5 eV) and graphitic N (N 1s: 402.2 eV).^{36,38} The results provided direct evidence that most of the Pd nanoparticles existed in the form of Pd⁰, and some electrochemical active sites for CO₂ reduction such as the pyridinic N and Co–N_x moieties^{39,40} existed in the support. Furthermore, the actual Pd loadings in all of the catalysts were 18.3 ± 0.6 wt%, as measured by ICP-OES as listed in Table S2.† TEM and HR-TEM images of the other Pd_x/Co–N/carbon catalysts with different Pd sizes are shown in Fig. S4.†

To prepare the electrodes, the Pd_x/Co–N/carbon catalysts were suspended in acetone with Nafion D-521 dispersion to form a homogeneous ink with the aid of ultrasound, which was spread onto carbon paper (CP) to obtain the working electrodes. Ionic liquids (ILs) are efficient supporting electrolytes for the reduction of CO₂.^{27,41} The initial screening was performed for the methylation reaction of nitrobenzene, **1a**, with CO₂ and H⁺ from H₂O over Pd_{2.2}/Co–N/carbon, using MeCN containing 0.5 M 1-butyl-3-methylimidazolium bis(trifluoromethylsulfonyl) imide ([Bmim]Tf₂N) as the electrolyte, in the presence of AMPA. As expected, the reduction potential was crucial for the conversion of **1a** (Table 1, entries 1–6). The most effective potential was −2.3 V vs. Ag/Ag⁺, and afforded the full conversion of **1a** with a 92% yield of *N,N*-dimethylaniline **1d** at 30 °C. Lowering the reaction temperature to 20 °C resulted in a yield of only 65% after 10 h (Table S3†). Increasing the temperature to 40 °C, 50 °C and 60 °C resulted in 81%, 72% and 58% yields of **1d** (Table S3†) due mainly to the lower solubility of CO₂ in the electrolyte. Therefore, 30 °C is an optimal temperature. Table 1 (entries 5 and 7–10) and Fig. S5† indicated that reactant **1a**



Table 1 Electrocatalytic methylation of nitrobenzene with CO₂ and water over Pd_{2.2}/Co–N/carbon^a


Entry	Electrolyte ^b	E ^c /V	t/h	Yield ^d /%
1	[Bmim]Tf ₂ N	−1.9	10	13
2	[Bmim]Tf ₂ N	−2.0	10	36
3	[Bmim]Tf ₂ N	−2.1	10	68
4	[Bmim]Tf ₂ N	−2.2	10	89
5	[Bmim]Tf ₂ N	−2.3	10	92
6	[Bmim]Tf ₂ N	−2.4	10	92
7	[Bmim]Tf ₂ N	−2.3	1	7
8	[Bmim]Tf ₂ N	−2.3	5	56
9	[Bmim]Tf ₂ N	−2.3	8	85
10	[Bmim]Tf ₂ N	−2.3	12	92
11	[Bmim]PF ₆	−2.3	10	81
12	[Bmim]BF ₄	−2.3	10	82
13	[Bmim]TfO	−2.3	10	85
14	[Bmim]ClO ₄	−2.3	10	23
15	[Bmim]NO ₃	−2.3	10	15
16	[Bmim]H ₂ PO ₄	−2.3	10	30



























^a Reaction conditions: nitrobenzene (1.0 mmol), AMPA (0.06 mmol), CO₂ (0.1 MPa) and 30 °C. ^b Electrolyte (30 mL) is CO₂-saturated MeCN, containing 0.5 M IL. ^c All potentials are reported with respect to Ag/Ag⁺. ^d Yields determined by ¹H NMR spectroscopy.

could be converted completely after 10 h. Subsequently, the conversion of **1a** was also studied using MeCN containing other ILs as the supporting electrolytes, but their performances were not as good as that of [Bmim]Tf₂N (Table 1, entries 5 and 11–16). Furthermore, the solutions of [Bmim]Tf₂N with AMPA in DMSO, DMF, MeNO₂ and 1,4-dioxane were also used as the electrolytes, and lower yields of **1d** were obtained (Table S4[†]). The results indicated that [Bmim]Tf₂N in MeCN solution was the best electrolyte.

Fig. S6[†] summarizes the yields of **1d** from the electrochemical conversion of **1a** over the Pd_x/Co–N/carbon catalysts with different Pd particle sizes at different potentials. The electronic properties of the nanoparticles can be tuned by controlling the sizes.^{42,43} Generally, smaller nanoparticles have lower d-band centers, which in turn result in a decrease in their adsorption energies.⁴⁴ With respect to the reduction of CO₂, lowering the d-band center reduces the binding energy of the hypothetical intermediates,⁴⁵ thereby enhancing the rate of CO₂ reduction and the conversion of nitrobenzene.

The methylation of various substituted nitrobenzenes over Pd_{2.2}/Co–N/carbon was investigated (Table 2). The electron-donating methyl, methoxy and methylthio groups in the 4-position of the aromatic ring of **1a** reduced the reactivity giving yields of 75% (**2d**), 74% (**3d**) and 71% (**4d**), respectively (Table 2, entries 1–3). For halogen-substituted nitrobenzenes, the desired products were obtained in 82–90% yields (Table 2, entries 4–8). When 4-nitrobiphenyl **10a** and 2-nitrobiphenyl **11a** were used, the corresponding products were generated in

Table 2 Electrocatalytic methylation of substituted nitrobenzenes with CO₂ and water over Pd_{2.2}/Co–N/carbon^a

Entry	Substrates	Products	Yield ^b /%
1	 2a	 2d	75
2	 3a	 3d	74
3	 4a	 4d	71
4	 5a	 5d	90
5	 6a	 6d	87
6	 7a	 7d	82
7	 8a	 8d	82
8	 9a	 9d	86
9	 10a	 10d	78
10	 11a	 11d	76
11	 12a	 12d	78
12	 13a	 13d	82
13	 14a	 14d	75

^a Reaction conditions: substituted nitrobenzene (1.0 mmol), AMPA (0.06 mmol), CO₂ (0.1 MPa), electrolyte (30 mL, CO₂-saturated MeCN containing 0.5 M [Bmim]Tf₂N), −2.3 V vs. Ag/Ag⁺, 30 °C and 10 h. ^b Yields determined by ¹H NMR spectroscopy.

78% (**10d**) and 76% (**11d**) yields, respectively (Table 2, entries 9 and 10). Di-substituted nitrobenzenes such as 5-nitro-*m*-xylene (**12a**), 2,6-dimethylnitrobenzene (**13a**) and 3-methyl-4-nitroanisole (**14a**) also showed high reactivity, which gave the desired products in 75–82% yields (Table 2, entries 11–13). To our delight, the *N,N*-dimethylation of benzonitrile and its derivatives could also be carried out with moderate yields (Table S5[†]).



Some control experiments were conducted in order to obtain some evidence to study the mechanism. Fig. S7† shows that a very small amount of AMPA could promote the reaction to produce *N,N*-dimethylaniline efficiently. However, only aniline was formed in the absence of AMPA (Fig. S8†), and aniline could not be further converted even with extending the reaction time (Table S6†). These results indicate that AMPA is necessary and acts as a co-catalyst. To further understand the role of AMPA, NMR analysis was performed on aniline and its mixture with AMPA (Fig. S9†). It was found that the ^1H signal of N–H in aniline shifted downfield from 4.88 to 5.11 ppm due to mixing with AMPA. The shift of the ^1H signal of N–H in aniline was also affected by the amount of AMPA and the result was consistent with the reaction result that the yield of the product increased with an increase in the amount of AMPA from 0 to 0.06 mmol (Fig. S7†). From these results, it can be concluded that AMPA as a Brønsted base could activate the proton on aniline to promote the formation of *N,N*-dimethylaniline. In this way, the C atom on the intermediates obtained from the CO_2 electroreduction could promote an easier electrophilic attack to the N atom on aniline, *i.e.* AMPA acted as the co-catalyst to promote the reaction of aniline with the intermediate from the CO_2 electroreduction to form *N*-phenylformamide or *N*-methyl-*N*-phenylformamide. As a result, the next reaction in which *N*-methyl-*N*-phenylformamide reacted with H^+ from water to synthesize *N,N*-dimethylaniline could proceed successfully. More details will be discussed in the mechanism part below.

On the basis of the experimental results and the related knowledge in the literature, we propose a speculative mechanism for the *N,N*-dimethylation of nitrobenzene, which is shown schematically in Fig. 2. Two parallel processes, CO_2 and nitrobenzene hydrogenation, occur in the cathode compartment in the first step. For CO_2 activation and reduction, a $[\text{Bmim}-\text{CO}_2]^+$ complex can form quickly *via* the hydrogen bonding interaction between CO_2 and the IL cation,⁴⁶ which can reduce the reaction barrier for the electron transfer to CO_2 .²⁷ $[\text{Bmim}-\text{CO}_2]^+$ can be adsorbed on the electrode surface and CO_2 is reduced to $\text{CO}_2^{\cdot-}$, which forms CO_{ads} after receiving the second electron. The Pd nanoparticles facilitate these processes.³² After accepting an electron and proton, CO_{ads} can be adsorbed on the support, and the pyridinic N as well as the

$\text{Co}-\text{N}_x$ moieties can further drive CO_{ads} to generate CHO_{ads} .^{31,39,40} The next step is the insertion of CHO_{ads} into ArNH_2Pd^* to form adsorbed *N*-phenylformamide in the presence of the Brønsted base, AMPA. ArNH_2Pd^* can be obtained through the pathway of nitrobenzene \rightarrow nitrosobenzene \rightarrow *N*-phenylhydroxylamine \rightarrow aniline on the electrode surface. It is usually observed in the electrochemical reduction of nitrobenzene to aniline.⁴⁷ The adsorbed *N*-phenylformamide can be quickly hydrogenated into *N*-methylaniline. Following this, *N*-methylaniline can be adsorbed onto the electrode surface and reacted with CHO_{ads} to form the adsorbed *N*-methyl-*N*-phenylformamide. Finally, the protonation of the adsorbed *N*-methyl-*N*-phenylformamide leads to the formation of *N,N*-dimethylaniline.

To further verify the reaction mechanism proposed, we conducted the experiments using some intermediates in Fig. 2 as the starting reactants, including aniline, *N*-phenylformamide, *N*-methylaniline and *N*-methyl-*N*-phenylformamide (Fig. S10†). 92% *N,N*-dimethylaniline, 5% *N*-methylaniline, a small amount of aniline, *N*-phenylformamide and *N*-methyl-*N*-phenylformamide can be detected in reaction (I). In reaction (II), the products contained 93% *N,N*-dimethylaniline, about 3% *N*-methylaniline as well as a small amount of *N*-phenylformamide and *N*-methyl-*N*-phenylformamide. The results were similar to those in reaction (I), suggesting that nitrobenzene was first converted to aniline completely in a short time. In reactions (III) and (V), when *N*-phenylformamide or *N*-methyl-*N*-phenylformamide was used as the starting reactant, the desired products *N*-methylaniline or *N,N*-dimethylaniline could be obtained. At the same time, most *N*-methylaniline could be transformed to *N,N*-dimethylaniline with the aid of AMPA in reaction (IV). In addition, a small amount of *N*-phenylformamide or *N*-methyl-*N*-phenylformamide as the reaction intermediates could also be detected in reactions (III) and (IV). All of this evidence supports the proposed reaction mechanism.

Conclusions

In conclusion, *N,N*-dimethylanilines can be synthesized by the methylation of nitrobenzene (or substituted nitrobenzenes) with CO_2 and water. A Pd/Co–N/carbon electrode and an electrolyte composed of MeCN, $[\text{Bmim}]\text{Tf}_2\text{N}$, and AMPA is a very efficient electrolysis system under ambient conditions. The *N,N*-dimethylation of a range of substrates, including nitrobenzene, substituted nitrobenzene and benzonitrile, can proceed smoothly with satisfactory yields. In the reaction, Pd/Co–N/carbon catalyzes the reduction of nitrobenzene to aniline quickly, which is further converted to *N,N*-dimethylaniline, catalyzed cooperatively by the electrocatalyst Pd/Co–N/carbon and the thermal catalyst AMPA. We believe that this route has potential application for producing *N,N*-dimethylanilines due to some obvious advantages. In addition, the combination of electrocatalysts and thermal catalysts can realize other reactions that cannot be conducted with only electrocatalysts or thermal catalysts.




Fig. 2 Possible pathway for the electrochemical reaction of nitrobenzene with CO_2 and water to form *N,N*-dimethylaniline over Pd/Co–N/carbon.



Experimental section

Preparation of Co-ZIF/GO

In this work, GO was prepared by the modified Hummers' method according to ref. 48. 1.2 mmol of $\text{Co}(\text{NO}_3)_2 \cdot 6\text{H}_2\text{O}$ and 1 mmol of 2-methylimidazole were dissolved in 12 mL and 20 mL of methanol, respectively. A $\text{Co}(\text{NO}_3)_2$ solution was added to the 2-methylimidazole solution to obtain a clear purple solution under continuous stirring. 10 mL of a GO dispersion (10 mg of GO in 10 mL of methanol/water, v/v, 4 : 1) was then immediately added to the above purple solution. After stirring for 3 h, the precipitate was collected by centrifugation and washing with methanol, followed by drying at 50 °C for 10 h. Co-ZIF/GO was obtained.

Preparation of Co-N/carbon support

The resulting Co-ZIF/GO precursor was heated in the tube furnace at 10 °C min^{-1} in an argon (Ar) medium to 800 °C and kept at this temperature for 3 h. The composites were then immersed in 2 M HCl aqueous solution for 24 h. The precipitate was collected by centrifugation and washing with water, followed by drying at 80 °C for 24 h. The Co-N/carbon support was obtained, of which the elemental composition is given in Table S1.†

Preparation of $\text{Pd}_x/\text{Co-N/carbon}$ catalysts

The $\text{Pd}/\text{Co-N/carbon}$ catalysts were synthesized with sodium borohydride as a reductive agent, sodium citrate as a stabilizing agent and Co-N/carbon as a support. The sizes of the Pd nanoparticles in the catalysts were controlled by varying the ratio of sodium citrate to PdCl_2 as well as the reduction temperature.³² The average particle size was determined by counting more than 200 particles from the TEM images. Herein, the preparation of $\text{Pd}_{2,2}/\text{Co-N/carbon}$ is described in detail. 0.5 mmol of PdCl_2 in 0.1 M HCl solution and 4 mmol of sodium citrate were dissolved in 200 mL of water under continuous stirring for 30 min. 200 mg of the as-prepared Co-N/carbon support was then added to the mixture with sonication for 1 h. 50 mL of 0.1 M sodium borohydride aqueous solution was then added to the above suspension dropwise at 0 °C under vigorous stirring to reduce the Pd^{2+} . After stirring for 8 h, the black precipitate was collected by centrifugation and washing with water 3 times, and $\text{Pd}_{2,2}/\text{Co-N/carbon}$ was obtained after freeze drying.

The other $\text{Pd}/\text{Co-N/carbon}$ catalysts were prepared by controlling the reduction temperature and adjusting the ratio of sodium citrate (stabilizing agent) to PdCl_2 . For $\text{Pd}_{3,6}/\text{Co-N/carbon}$ and $\text{Pd}_{4,5}/\text{Co-N/carbon}$, the temperatures for reducing Pd^{2+} were 25 °C and 60 °C, respectively, and the ratio of sodium citrate to PdCl_2 was the same as that for preparing $\text{Pd}_{2,2}/\text{Co-N/carbon}$. For $\text{Pd}_{6,4}/\text{Co-N/carbon}$ and $\text{Pd}_{7,9}/\text{Co-N/carbon}$, the ratios of sodium citrate to PdCl_2 were 2 and 0, respectively, and the reduction temperature was 25 °C. $\text{Pd}_{10,2}/\text{Co-N/carbon}$ was obtained by bubbling $\text{Pd}_{3,6}/\text{Co-N/carbon}$ with H_2 for 12 h in 0.1 M HCl aqueous solution at 25 °C.

Materials characterization

XPS analysis was performed on the Thermo Scientific ESCALab 250Xi using 200 W monochromatic Al K α radiation. The 500 μm X-ray spot was used. The base pressure in the analysis chamber was about 3×10^{-10} mbar. Typically, the hydrocarbon C 1s line at 284.8 eV from the adventitious carbon was used for energy referencing. The actual loading of Pd in the catalysts was determined by ICP-OES (Vista-MPX). The N_2 adsorption/desorption isotherms of the Co-N/carbon nanosheets were determined using a Quadrasorb SI-MP system. The microstructures of the catalysts were studied using JEOL-2100F HR-TEM operated at 200 kV.

Preparation of the electrode and electrochemical reaction

To prepare an electrode, the corresponding $\text{Pd}_x/\text{Co-N/carbon}$ catalyst was suspended in acetone with Nafion D-521 dispersion to form a homogeneous ink with the aid of ultrasound, which was spread onto carbon paper (CP: $1 \times 1 \text{ cm}^{-2}$) to obtain the working electrodes. The loading of the catalyst was $2.0 \pm 0.1 \text{ mg cm}^{-2}$. Before the experiment, all of the auxiliary electrodes were sonicated in acetone for 3 min and then washed with water and ethanol, followed by drying under a N_2 atmosphere.

An electrochemical workstation (CHI 6081E, Shanghai CH Instruments Co., China) was used in all of the experiments. The electrolysis experiments were conducted in a typical H-type cell that was similar to that used in previous works,^{28,29} and is schematically shown in Fig. S2.† It consisted of a cathode (working electrode), an anode (platinum gauze auxiliary electrode), and an Ag/Ag⁺ reference electrode. The cathode and anode compartments were separated by a Nafion 117 proton exchange membrane. A 0.5 M H_2SO_4 aqueous solution was used as the anodic electrolyte. H^+ can be transferred from the anode compartment to the cathode compartment through the Nafion 117 proton exchange membrane, which is the hydrogen source. Under continuous stirring, CO_2 was bubbled through the catholyte (2 mL min^{-1}) for 30 min before electrolysis. The electrolysis experiments were then carried out with CO_2 bubbling (2 mL min^{-1}). In a typical reaction procedure, 1.0 mmol of nitrobenzene and 0.06 mmol of AMPA were added to 30 mL of the electrolyte (CO_2 -saturated MeCN containing 0.5 M [Bmim]Tf₂N). The reaction was performed at 30 °C for the desired time under magnetic stirring. After the reaction, MeCN in the system was removed by rotary evaporation and the IL was extracted using ether. The isolated product was obtained and purified by column chromatography using petroleum ether/ethyl acetate (150 : 1).

Product analysis

The gaseous product of the electrochemical experiments was collected and analyzed by gas chromatography (GC, HP 4890D), which was equipped with FID and TCD detectors, using helium as the internal standard. For the methylation of nitrobenzene, the liquid product was analyzed using ¹H NMR (Bruker Avance III 400 HD spectrometer) in chloroform-d, with TMS as an internal standard.



Acknowledgements

The authors thank the National Natural Science Foundation of China (21533011, 21403253, 21673248), National Key Research and Development Program of China (2017YFA0403100), and the Chinese Academy of Sciences (QYZDY-SSW-SLH013).

Notes and references

- 1 J. Klankermayer, S. Wesselbaum, K. Beydoun and W. Leitner, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2016, **55**, 7296.
- 2 M. He, Y. Sun and B. Han, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2013, **52**, 9620.
- 3 P. G. Jessop, T. Ikariya and R. Noyori, *Chem. Rev.*, 1995, **95**, 259.
- 4 T. Sakakura, J. C. Choi and H. Yasuda, *Chem. Rev.*, 2007, **107**, 2365.
- 5 J. Qiao, Y. Liu, F. Hong and J. Zhang, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 2014, **43**, 631.
- 6 M. Cui, Q. Qian, Z. He, Z. Zhang, J. Ma, T. Wu, G. Yang and B. Han, *Chem. Sci.*, 2016, **7**, 5200.
- 7 Q. Qian, J. Zhang, M. Cui and B. Han, *Nat. Commun.*, 2016, **7**, 11481.
- 8 Z. Z. Yang, L. N. He, J. Gao, A. H. Liu and B. Yu, *Energy Environ. Sci.*, 2012, **5**, 6602.
- 9 J. Hu, J. Ma, Q. Zhu, Z. Zhang, C. Wu and B. Han, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2015, **54**, 5399.
- 10 M. Cui, Q. Qian, Z. He, J. Ma, X. Kang, J. Hu, Z. Liu and B. Han, *Chem.–Eur. J.*, 2015, **21**, 15924.
- 11 Q. Liu, L. Wu, R. Jackstell and M. Beller, *Nat. Commun.*, 2015, **6**, 5933.
- 12 K. Beydoun, T. vom Stein, J. Klankermayer and W. Leitner, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2013, **52**, 9554.
- 13 Y. Li, X. Fang, K. Junge and M. Beller, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2013, **52**, 9568.
- 14 Y. Li, I. Sorribes, T. Yan, K. Junge and M. Beller, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2013, **52**, 12156.
- 15 I. Sorribes, J. R. Cabrero-Antonino, C. Vicent, K. Junge and M. Beller, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2015, **137**, 13580.
- 16 E. Blondiaux, J. Pouessel and T. Cantat, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2014, **53**, 12186.
- 17 X. Cui, X. Dai, Y. Zhang, Y. Deng and F. Shi, *Chem. Sci.*, 2014, **5**, 649.
- 18 O. Jacquet, X. Frogneux, C. Das Neves Gomes and T. Cantat, *Chem. Sci.*, 2013, **4**, 2127.
- 19 P. S. Niphadkar, P. N. Joshi, H. R. Gurav, S. S. Deshpande and V. V. Bokade, *Catal. Lett.*, 2009, **133**, 175.
- 20 F. M. Bautista, J. M. Campelo, A. Garcia, D. Luna, J. M. Marinas, A. A. Romero and M. R. Urbano, *J. Catal.*, 1997, **172**, 103.
- 21 E. J. Horn, B. R. Rosen and P. S. Baran, *ACS Cent. Sci.*, 2016, **2**, 302.
- 22 J.-i. Yoshida, K. Kataoka, R. Horcajada and A. Nagaki, *Chem. Rev.*, 2008, **108**, 2265.
- 23 T. Morofuji, A. Shimizu and J.-i. Yoshida, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2015, **137**, 9816.
- 24 M. Rafiee, K. C. Miles and S. S. Stahl, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2015, **137**, 14751.
- 25 H. C. Xu and K. D. Moeller, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2010, **132**, 2839.
- 26 D. D. Zhu, J. L. Liu and S. Z. Qiao, *Adv. Mater.*, 2016, **28**, 3423.
- 27 B. A. Rosen, A. Salehi-Khojin, M. R. Thorson, W. Zhu, D. T. Whipple, P. J. A. Kenis and R. I. Masel, *Science*, 2011, **334**, 643.
- 28 X. Sun, Q. Zhu, X. Kang, H. Liu, Q. Qian, Z. Zhang and B. Han, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2016, **55**, 6771.
- 29 Q. Zhu, J. Ma, X. Kang, X. Sun, H. Liu, J. Hu, Z. Liu and B. Han, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2016, **55**, 9012.
- 30 S. Zhang, P. Kang, S. Ubnoske, M. K. Brennaman, N. Song, R. L. House, J. T. Glass and T. J. Meyer, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2014, **136**, 7845.
- 31 J. L. DiMeglio and J. Rosenthal, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2013, **135**, 8798.
- 32 D. Gao, H. Zhou, J. Wang, S. Miao, F. Yang, G. Wang, J. Wang and X. Bao, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2015, **137**, 4288.
- 33 X. Min and M. W. Kanan, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2015, **137**, 4701.
- 34 X. Kang, X. Sun and B. Han, *Adv. Mater.*, 2016, **28**, 1011.
- 35 X. Sun, X. Kang, Q. Zhu, J. Ma, G. Yang, Z. Liu and B. Han, *Chem. Sci.*, 2016, **7**, 2883.
- 36 J. Wei, Y. Hu, Y. Liang, B. Kong, J. Zhang, J. Song, Q. Bao, G. P. Simon, S. P. Jiang and H. Wang, *Adv. Funct. Mater.*, 2015, **25**, 5768.
- 37 Q. Yang, Q. Xu, S.-H. Yu and H.-L. Jiang, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2016, **55**, 3685.
- 38 R. Nie, J. Shi, W. Du and Z. Hou, *Appl. Catal., A*, 2014, **473**, 1.
- 39 A. S. Varela, N. Ranjbar Sahraie, J. Steinberg, W. Ju, H.-S. Oh and P. Strasser, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2015, **54**, 10758.
- 40 J. Shen, R. Kortlever, R. Kas, Y. Y. Birdja, O. Diaz-Morales, Y. Kwon, I. Ledezma-Yanez, K. J. P. Schouten, G. Mul and M. T. M. Koper, *Nat. Commun.*, 2015, **6**, 8177.
- 41 G. P. S. Lau, M. Schreier, D. Vasilyev, R. Scopelliti, M. Grätzel and P. J. Dyson, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2016, **138**, 7820.
- 42 H. Mistry, R. Reske, Z. Zeng, Z.-J. Zhao, J. Greeley, P. Strasser and B. R. Cuenya, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2014, **136**, 16473.
- 43 M. Hou, Q. Mei and B. Han, *J. Colloid Interface Sci.*, 2015, **449**, 488.
- 44 W. P. Zhou, A. Lewera, R. Larsen, R. I. Masel, P. S. Bagus and A. Wieckowski, *J. Phys. Chem. B*, 2006, **110**, 13393.
- 45 A. Chen and C. Ostrom, *Chem. Rev.*, 2015, **115**, 11999.
- 46 C. Cadena, J. L. Anthony, J. K. Shah, T. I. Morrow, J. F. Brennecke and E. J. Maginn, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2004, **126**, 5300.
- 47 C. Lagrost, L. Preda, E. Volanschi and P. Hapiot, *J. Electroanal. Chem.*, 2005, **585**, 1.
- 48 W. S. Hummers and R. E. Offeman, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1958, **80**, 1339.

