A family of five $[M^{III}_2M^{III}_3]^{n+}$ trigonal bipyramidal cages ($M^{III} = Fe, Cr and Al; M^{II} = Co, Zn and Pd; n = 0$ for 1–3 and $n = 6$ for 4–5) of formulae $[Fe_2Co_3L_6Cl_6]$ (1), $[Fe_2Zn_3L_6Br_6]$ (2), $[Cr_2Zn_3L_6Br_6]$ (3), $[Cr_2Pd_3L_6(dpmp)_{3}]$($OTf$)$_6$ (4) and $[Al_2Pd_3L_6(dpmp)_{3}]$($OTf$)$_6$ (5) (where HL is 1-(4-pyridyl)butane-1,3-dione and dpmp is 1,3-bis(diphenylphosphinopheno)propane) are reported. Neutral cages 1–3 were synthesised using the tritopic $[M^{III}L_3]$ metallocigand in combination with the salts CoICl$_2$ and ZnIIBr$_2$, which both act as tetrahedral linkers. The assembly of the cis-protected $[Pd^{II}dpmp](OTf)$$_3$ with $[M^{III}L_3]$ afforded the anionic cages 4–5 of general formula $[M^{III}_2Pd^{III}_3]$(OTf)$_6$. The metallic skeleton of all cages describes a trigonal bipyramid with the $M^{III}$ ions occupying the two axial sites and the $M^{II}$ ions sitting in the three equatorial positions. Direct current (DC) magnetic susceptibility, magnetisation and heat capacity measurements on 1 reveal weak antiferromagnetic exchange between the Fe$^{II}$ and Co$^{II}$ ions. EPR spectroscopy demonstrates that the distortion imposed on the (MO$_6$) coordination sphere of $[M^{III}L_3]$ by complexation in the $[M^{III}_2M^{III}_3]$ supramolecules results in a small, but measurable, increase of the zero field splitting at M$^{III}$. Complete active space self-consistent field (CASSCF) calculations on the three unique Co$^{II}$ sites of 1 suggest $D_{CO} = -14$ cm$^{-1}$ and $E/D = 0.1$, consistent with the magnetothermal and spectroscopic data.

Introduction

Molecular magnetism relies on the ability of the synthetic chemist to make an enormous breadth of structurally diverse polymetallic cages spanning the d- and f-block of the periodic table. The structural and magnetic characterisation of such species details the magneto-structural relationship and often uncovers fascinating magnetic phenomena which, in turn, feedback into the synthesis of new complexes designed to enhance and improve properties toward application. Synthetic strategies for the design of polymetallic clusters containing multiple paramagnetic metal ions span the range from serendipitous self-assembly in which coordinatively flexible metal ions, that can often exist in multiple oxidation states, are combined with organic ligands capable of bridging in numerous ways to form complexes whose absolute structures are difficult to predict, through to a more ‘supramolecular’ approach whereby metal ions with defined coordination geometries are paired with rigid ligands containing donor atoms with a single, predesigned orientation preference that afford, in most cases, a predicted structure. In the field of molecular magnetism, the latter is perhaps best exemplified by cyanometalate chemistry.

A similar synthetic approach is followed in the metallosupramolecular chemistry of diamagnetic cages and capsules where the combination of directional metal–ligand bonding and rigorously rigid ligands creates cages with permanent internal cavities capable of hosting guest molecules, constructed primarily for potential application in, for example, catalysis, the stabilisation of reactive molecules and photo-chemistry. Due to the difficulties associated with performing solution-based one- and two-dimensional NMR spectroscopy on paramagnetic species, where broad signals and a wide chemical
shift range are commonplace,\textsuperscript{27} it is perhaps not surprising that the majority of metallosupramolecular chemistry has focused on the use of diamagnetic metal centres, albeit with some notable exceptions.\textsuperscript{28}

We recently initiated a project that would enable heterometallic, paramagnetic coordination cages to be accessed in a modular and predictable fashion,\textsuperscript{29} an approach centred around the tritopic metalloligand [M\textsuperscript{III}L\textsubscript{3}] (where HL = 1-(4-pyridyl)butane-1,3-dione), which features a tris(acac) octahedral transition metal core functionalised with three pyridyl)butane-1,3-dione), which features a tris(acac) octahedral transition metal core functionalised with three pyridyl donor groups (Fig. 1).\textsuperscript{30} Combination of the fac-isomer of [M\textsuperscript{III}L\textsubscript{3}] with a square-planar M\textsuperscript{II} connector leads to the formation of [M\textsuperscript{III}M\textsuperscript{II}L\textsubscript{3}]

\[ \text{[MIII}_3\text{MII}_3\text{L}_6\text{Br}_6}\] molecular cubes.\textsuperscript{30,31} Herein we show that replacement of the square planar connector with tetrahedral or cis-capped square planar metal salts leads to the formation of trigonal bipyramidal [M\textsuperscript{III}M\textsuperscript{II}L\textsubscript{3}]

\[ \text{[Fe}2\text{Co}_3\text{L}_6\text{Cl}_6\text{]} \] cages,\textsuperscript{13} where M\textsuperscript{II} = Fe, Cr, Al and M\textsuperscript{III} = Co, Zn, Pd. Reports of magnetic clusters based on this skeleton are rare, the only previous examples employing cyano bridging ligands.\textsuperscript{32–34}
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**Fig. 1** The molecular structure of the fac-isomer of the metalloligand [M\textsuperscript{III}L\textsubscript{3}] (M = Fe, Cr, Al). Colour code: M\textsuperscript{III} = green, O = red, N = blue, C = black. Hydrogen atoms have been omitted for clarity.

**Experimental section**

**Syntheses**

1-(4-Pyridyl)butane-1,3-dione (HL) and the metalloligand [Cr\textsuperscript{III}L\textsubscript{3}] were prepared according to previously published procedures.\textsuperscript{29,35} All reactions were performed under aerobic conditions. Solvents and reagents were used as received from commercial suppliers. Elemental analyses were carried by Medac Ltd.

\[ \text{[Fe\textsuperscript{III}L\textsubscript{3}]} \]

FeCl\textsubscript{3} (1 mmol, 0.162 g), 1-(4-pyridyl)butane-1,3-dione (3.5 mmol, 0.57 g) and NaOMe (3.5 mmol, 0.189 g) were dissolved in 100 mL of MeOH/H\textsubscript{2}O (1 : 1 v/v) and left to stir until a red product precipitated (~24 h). The resultant red precipitate was filtered and washed with water. The crude product was extracted with CHCl\textsubscript{3} and dried over anhydrous MgSO\textsubscript{4}. The CHCl\textsubscript{3} was removed under reduced pressure to a solid. Yield (0.46 g, 85%). Elemental analysis (%) calculated (found): C 59.79 (59.53), H 4.46 (4.39), N 7.75 (7.67).

\[ \text{[Al\textsuperscript{III}L\textsubscript{3}]} \]

Al(NO\textsubscript{3})\textsubscript{3}·9H\textsubscript{2}O (1 mmol, 0.375 g), 1-(4-pyridyl)butane-1,3-dione (3.5 mmol, 0.57 g) and NaOMe (3.5 mmol, 0.189 g) were dissolved in 100 mL of MeOH/H\textsubscript{2}O (1 : 1 v/v) and left to stir until a white product precipitated (~1 h). The resultant white precipitate was filtered and washed with water. The crude product was extracted with CHCl\textsubscript{3} and dried over anhydrous MgSO\textsubscript{4}. The CHCl\textsubscript{3} was removed under reduced pressure to afford the product as a white solid. Yield (0.39 g, 76%). Elemental analysis (%) calculated (found): C 63.16 (63.06), H 4.71 (4.53), N 8.18 (8.11).

\[ \text{[Fe\textsubscript{II}Co\textsubscript{III}L\textsubscript{3}] (1)} \]

To a solution of the metalloligand [Fe\textsuperscript{III}L\textsubscript{3}] (108 mg, 0.2 mmol) in 35 mL of acetone, was added CoCl\textsubscript{2} (39 mg, 0.3 mmol). The resultant mixture was stirred for 30 minutes, before being filtered and layered with Et\textsubscript{2}O. Orange, plate-shaped X-ray quality crystals were obtained after 20 days. Yield (98 mg, 67%). Elemental analysis (%) calculated for C\textsubscript{54}H\textsubscript{48}N\textsubscript{6}O\textsubscript{12}Br\textsubscript{6}Fe\textsubscript{2}Co\textsubscript{3}: C 44.00, H 3.28, N 5.70. Found: C 44.12, H 3.39, N 5.77.

\[ \text{[Fe\textsubscript{II}Zn\textsubscript{III}L\textsubscript{3}Br\textsubscript{3}] (2)} \]

To a solution of the metalloligand [Fe\textsuperscript{III}L\textsubscript{3}] (108 mg, 0.2 mmol) in 35 mL of dichloromethane, was added ZnBr\textsubscript{2} (67 mg, 0.3 mmol). The solution was stirred for 30 minutes, before being evaporated to dryness. The dark-red product was re-dissolved in nitromethane, filtered and allowed to stand. Dark-red, prism-shaped X-ray quality crystals were obtained after room temperature evaporation of the mother liquor after 10 days. Yield (133 mg, 76%). Elemental analysis (%) calculated for C\textsubscript{54}H\textsubscript{48}N\textsubscript{6}O\textsubscript{12}Br\textsubscript{6}Fe\textsubscript{2}Zn\textsubscript{3}: C 36.85, H 2.75, N 4.77. Found: C 36.97, H 2.87, N 4.91.

\[ \text{[Cr\textsubscript{II}Zn\textsubscript{III}L\textsubscript{3}Br\textsubscript{3}] (3)} \]

To a solution of the metalloligand [Cr\textsuperscript{III}L\textsubscript{3}] (108 mg, 0.2 mmol) in 35 mL of dichloromethane, was added ZnBr\textsubscript{2} (67 mg, 0.3 mmol). After 1 hour of reaction a precipitate appeared. The dark-red solid product was isolated by filtration, re-dissolved in DMF and layered with MeOH. Dark-red, prism-shaped X-ray quality crystals were obtained after 10 days. Yield (142 mg, 81%). Elemental analysis (%) calculated for C\textsubscript{54}H\textsubscript{48}N\textsubscript{6}O\textsubscript{12}Br\textsubscript{6}Cr\textsubscript{2}Zn\textsubscript{3}: C 37.01, H 2.76, N 4.80. Found: C 36.92, H 2.67, N 4.67.

\[ \text{[Cr\textsubscript{II}Pd\textsubscript{III}L\textsubscript{3}dppp\textsubscript{3}](OTf)}\textsubscript{6} (4)} \]

To a solution of the metalloligand [Cr\textsuperscript{III}L\textsubscript{3}] (108 mg, 0.2 mmol) in 35 mL of methanol, was added [Pd(dppp)(CF\textsubscript{3}SO\textsubscript{3})\textsubscript{2}] (245 mg, 0.3 mmol; dppp is 1,3-bis(diphenylphosphino)propane). The solution was stirred for 30 minutes, before being filtered and allowed to stand. Orange, rod-shaped X-ray quality crystals were obtained after room temperature evaporation of the mother liquor after 5 days. Yield (275 mg, 78%). Elemental analysis (%) calculated for C\textsubscript{14}H\textsubscript{12}N\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{16}P\textsubscript{2}S\textsubscript{6}Cr\textsubscript{2}Pd\textsubscript{3}: C 48.00, H 3.60, N 2.38. Found: C 47.89, H 3.47, N 2.27.
[Al2Pd3L6(dppp)3]OTf6 (5)

To a solution of the metalloligand [AlIII(L)3] (103 mg, 0.2 mmol) in 35 mL of acetonitrile was added [Pd(dppp)(CF3SO3)2] (245 mg, 0.3 mmol). The solution was stirred for 15 hours at 50 °C, before being filtered and layered with diethyl ether. Colourless, rod-shaped X-ray quality crystals were obtained after 5 days. Yield (288 mg, 83%).

1H NMR (500 MHz, CD3CN): δ 8.61 (bs, 12H, Py-H), 7.79–7.67 (m, 12H, dppp-ArH), 7.48–7.44 (m, 12H, dppp-ArH), 7.42–7.39 (m, 12H, dppp-ArH), 7.26–7.22 (m, 12H, dppp-ArH), 7.18 (d, J = 6.5 Hz, 12H, Py-H), 6.13 (s, 6H, COC), 3.28–3.11 (m, 6H, dppp-CH2).

13C NMR (126 MHz, CD3CN): δ 198.79, 177.61, 151.26, 147.79, 134.91–134.82, 132.99 (m, 3 signals), 130.60–130.51 (m, 2 signals), 130.42–130.33 (m, 2 signals), 127.58–126.88 (m, 12m), 125.52–124.82 (m), 124.37, 122.06 (q, J = 321.0 Hz), 99.58, 28.10, 22.25–21.92 (m), 18.30 ppm.

13C NMR (126 MHz, CD3CN): δ 21.92 (m), 18.30 ppm.

31P NMR (202 MHz, CD3CN): δ 9.97 ppm.

19F NMR (471 MHz, CD3CN) 79.05 ppm.

Electrostatic vector field calculations were performed for compounds 1, 2 and 3 single-crystal X-ray diffraction data were collected on a Rigaku Oxford Diffraction SuperNova diffractometer using Cu radiation at T = 120 K. The CrysalisPro software package was used for instrument control, unit cell determination and data reduction. Unit cell parameters in all cases were refined against all data. Crystal structures were solved using the charge flipping method implemented in SUPERFLIP (1, 2, and 3), or by direct methods with ShelXS (4 and 5). All structures were refined on F2 by full-matrix least-squares refinements using ShelXL within the OLEX2 suite.

All non-hydrogen atoms were refined with anisotropic displacement parameters, and all hydrogen atoms were added at calculated positions and refined using a riding model with isotropic displacement parameters based on the equivalent isotropic displacement parameter (Ueq) of the parent atom. All five structures contain accessible voids and channels that are filled with diffuse electron density belonging to uncoordinated solvent, and CF3SO3− anions in the case of compounds 4–5. The SQUEEZE routine of PLATON was used to remove remaining electron density corresponding to solvent and anions not reported in the calculated formula. Crystallographic summary and structure refinement details are presented in Table 1.

Physical measurements

Magnetisation measurements were carried out on a Quantum Design SQUID MPMS-XL magnetometer, operating between 1.8 and 300 K for DC applied magnetic fields ranging from 0 to 5 T. Microcrystalline samples were dispersed in eicosane in order to avoid torquing of the crystallites. Heat capacity measurements were carried out for temperatures down to ca. 0.3 K by using a Quantum Design 9T-PPMS, equipped with a 3He cryostat. The experiments were performed on thin pressed pellets (ca. 1 mg) of a polycrystalline sample, thermalised by ca. 0.2 mg of Apiezon N grease, whose contribution was subtracted by using a phenomenological expression. X- and Q-band EPR spectra were collected on powdered microcrystalline samples of [FeL3] and compounds 1–4 at the UK National EPR Facility in Manchester.

Results and discussion

Solution self-assembly and structure

It could be reasonably expected that reaction of the mettalloligand [AlIII(L)3] with a cis-protected square planar complex should yield a trigonal bipyramid. However, in the case of the archetypal 90° acceptor complex [[(en)Pd(NO2)2]4], it had previously been shown that instead, displacement of the bidentate ethylene diamine ligand occurs to yield the [AlPd3]12+ cube. We were thus pleased to find that when we switched to the more strongly coordinating bis(diphenylphosphino)propane (dppp), we were able to isolate the [AlPd3]+ trigonal bipyramidal complex, 5, in 83% yield following reaction overnight at 50 °C between [AlIII(L)3] and [Pd(dppp)(OTf)2] in acetonitrile. All the spectroscopic data indicate that the structure of 5, confirmed by X-ray crystallography (see below), is preserved in solution. As well as ESI-MS, which reveals the 3+ charge state corresponding to [3–3OTf]+ matching the expected isotopic distribution (see ESI†), the 4H NMR spectrum of the product (Fig. 2b) shows just a single set of signals. The 4H DOSY spectrum also indicates that all the resonances possess the same diffusion coefficient, which corresponds to a hydrodynamic radius of 9.9 Å, closely matching the data obtained by XRD.

It is also interesting to note that the starting metalloligand [AlIII(L)3] exists as a mixture of the mer and fac configurations, clearly evidenced by the multiplet for the acac CH and CH3 signals in the 1H NMR spectrum (Fig. 2c, resonances shown in blue and magenta), which is replaced by a singlet in the crude reaction mixture (Fig. 2d). This indicates that under the conditions of the reaction, [AlIII(L)3] is configurationally dynamic, and that the self-assembly process amplifies the proportion of the fac configuration through the formation of 5. While mer tris(bidentate) octahedral complexes are also known to generate discrete metallosupramolecular cages, the divergent disposition of the pendant donor groups create larger closed systems, which with a dynamic system such as this will rapidly rearrange...
Table 1  X-ray data collection and refinement details

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2·2MeNO₂</th>
<th>3·2MeOH</th>
<th>4·17MeOH</th>
<th>5·6CH₃CN</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Formula</strong></td>
<td>C₃₆H₴₈N₆O₁₂</td>
<td>C₃₆H₴₈Br₆Fe₂</td>
<td>C₃₆H₴₈Br₆Cr₂</td>
<td>C₃₆H₴₈Br₆Cr₂Fe₂</td>
<td>C₃₆H₴₈Br₆Cr₂Fe₂</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[Cl₆Fe₂O₃]</td>
<td>N₂O₄Zn₃</td>
<td>N₂O₄Zn₃</td>
<td>N₂O₄Zn₃</td>
<td>N₂O₄Zn₃</td>
<td>N₂O₄Zn₃</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>MWT [g mol⁻¹]</strong></td>
<td>1474.17</td>
<td>1876.29</td>
<td>1816.57</td>
<td>4072.56</td>
<td>3724.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>T [°C]</strong></td>
<td>200</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>120</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Space group</strong></td>
<td>P₃₃₁</td>
<td>P₃₃₁</td>
<td>P₃₃₁</td>
<td>P₃₃₁</td>
<td>P₃₃₁</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Volume [Å³]</strong></td>
<td>5519.5(5)</td>
<td>5520.9(16)</td>
<td>5829.1(10)</td>
<td>9907.3(8)</td>
<td>83666.3(4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Density (calculated) [g cm⁻³]</strong></td>
<td>1.333</td>
<td>1.693</td>
<td>1.546</td>
<td>1.365</td>
<td>1.183</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>μ [mm⁻¹]</strong></td>
<td>1.318</td>
<td>4.668</td>
<td>4.322</td>
<td>4.843</td>
<td>3.770</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reflections collected</strong></td>
<td>21773</td>
<td>32005</td>
<td>8827</td>
<td>66752</td>
<td>498156</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Independent reflections</strong></td>
<td>8331</td>
<td>6717</td>
<td>8827</td>
<td>12021</td>
<td>14480</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Rint</strong></td>
<td>0.1233</td>
<td>0.0627</td>
<td>0.0356</td>
<td>0.0871</td>
<td>0.0934</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Final R indices [F² &gt; 2σ(F²)]</strong></td>
<td>0.0732</td>
<td>0.0379</td>
<td>0.0512</td>
<td>0.0806</td>
<td>0.0869</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>R indices (all data)</strong></td>
<td>0.0887</td>
<td>0.0409</td>
<td>0.0605</td>
<td>0.1050</td>
<td>0.0897</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Fig. 2  Partial ¹H NMR spectra (CD₃CN, 500 MHz, 300 K) of (a) [Pd(dppp)(CF₅SO₂)₂] ; (b) cage 5 (re-dissolved crystalline material) (c) [Al₃L₃] ; (d) the crude self-assembly reaction between a slight excess of [Pd(dppp)(CF₅SO₂)₂] and [Al₃L₃] in CD₃CN (signals for excess [Pd(dppp)(CF₅SO₂)₂] marked *). Colour code: o-Py, green; m-Py, red; dppp ArH, turquoise; acac CH, blue; dppp-CH₂, brown and pale blue; acac-CH₃, magenta.

to give the entropically more favourable trigonal bipyramid. A comparison of the ¹H NMR spectra of the re-dissolved crystalline sample of 5 (Fig. 2b) and the crude reaction solution, obtained by treating a slight excess of [Pd(dppp)(OTf)₂] with [Al₃L₃] in CD₃CN (Fig. 2d), shows that this amplification is not a solid-state packing effect, rather a solution-based effect. The single set of signals in the ¹H NMR spectrum of the product (Fig. 2b/d) also indicates that 5 is formed with complete diastereoselectivity. This represents a second tier of self-sorting, which, unusually, involves Pd-mediated heterochiral recognition of and between Al₃L₃ enantiomers (see below).

**Solid-state structure descriptions**

The heterometallic trigonal bipyramid cages [Fe₂Co₃L₆Cl₆](1), [Fe₂Zn₃L₆Br₆](2), [Cr₂Zn₃L₆Br₆](3), [Cr₂Pd₃L₆(dppp)₃](OTf)₆ (4) and [Al₂Pd₃L₆(dppp)₃](OTf)₆ (5) (Fig. 3 and 4) were all synthesised in a similar manner, by addition of either tetrahedral or cis-protected square planar M₃⁺ compounds to the metallo-ligand [M₃L₃] (M₃⁺ = Fe, Cr or Al) in acetone, methanol, acetonitrile or a mixed solvent system, with crystals isolated from slow evaporation of the mother liquor, or diffusion of Et₂O or MeOH (see the Experimental section for full details). The metallic skeletons of the cages in 1–5 describe a trigonal bipyramid with the M₃⁺ ions situated on the axial positions and the M⁺ ions on the equatorial sites. The approximate dimensions of the [M₃L₃]⁺ metallo-skeletal geometry are M₃⁺−M⁺ (8.77–8.99 Å), M₃⁺−M⁺ (11.72–12.80 Å) and M₃⁺−M⁺ (10.75–11.20 Å).

Each of the three M⁺ metal ions is coordinated by two N donors from the pyridyl groups of [MIIIL₃]. The N−M−N angle of the tetrahedral Co₃Cl₆/ZnN₂Br₂ moiety for compounds 1–3 lies in the range 90.63–103.57°; in 4–5 the equivalent N−Pd−N angle is in the range 84.40–85.39°. Each [M₃L₃]⁺ corner unit consists of a six-coordinate M⁺ ion with regular {MO₆} octahedral geometry. For the three different [M₃L₃]⁺ metalloligands used in the synthesis the M⁺−O distances and angles are: Fe−O 1.98–
2.02 Å, Fe–O cis/trans angles 83.48°–95.17° and 169.99°–178.40°, respectively; Cr–O 1.91–1.98 Å, Cr–O cis/trans angles 86.82°–94.04° and 176.35°–179.85°, respectively; Al–O 1.86–1.89 Å, Al–O cis/trans angles 88.84°–91.43° and 179.03°–179.54°, respectively. The CoII and ZnII ions lie in distorted tetrahedral environments with bond distances in the range 2.05–2.35 Å (Co–Cl ∼ 2.23 Å, Co–N ∼ 2.05 Å, Zn–Br ∼ 2.35 Å and Zn–N ∼ 2.06 Å) and bond angles around the metal centres ranging from 90.62° to 120.08°. In compounds 4 and 5, the PdII ion is 90° cis-blocked through the use of the dppp ligand (Pd–P bond distance ∼ 2.27 Å). The coordination of Pd to [MIIIIL3] through the use of Pd–N bonds (ranging from 2.08–2.14 Å) creates a distorted square planar geometry around the Pd centre with cis/trans bond angles in the range 84.40°–93.50° and 165.49°–178.57°, respectively. While complexes 1–3 are neutral, charge balance is maintained in 4 and 5 through the presence of a total of six CF3SO3− counteranions, lying outside the cage.

While the intrametallic distances of the five trigonal bipyramids are similar, there is nonetheless a distinct diastereomeric difference between structures 1–3 and 4–5. Whereas 1–3 are all homochiral racemates in which each intact capsule features two [MIIIIL3] units that possess the same Δ or Δ chirality, in contrast structures 4 and 5 are both the achiral heterodiastereomer. While sorting of chiral octahedral metal motifs has been frequently observed in metallosupramolecular assembly reactions, for the vast majority homochiral assemblies are energetically preferred.7 The commonality of the [Pd(dppp)] unit in both 4 and 5 that feature different [MIIIIL3] metalloligands would suggest that either the small change in angle between pyridine donors at each MII connector and/or the interactions of the dppp protecting ligand with these donors cause the change in diastereomeric preference. Solution studies with 5 would also indicate this is not simply due to selective crystallization from a complex mixture (see above). Outwith cyanometalate...
chemistry, \(^{32-34}\) compounds 1–4 represent the first examples of trigonal bipyramids built with paramagnetic metal ions, and join a small family of analogous compounds containing diamagnetic metal ions.\(^{48-52}\)

**SQUID magnetometry**

The dc (direct current) molar magnetic susceptibility, \(\chi\), of a polycrystalline sample of 1 was measured in an applied magnetic field, \(B\), of 0.1 T, over the 2–300 K temperature, \(T\), range. The experimental results are shown in Fig. 5 in the form of the \(\chi T\) product, where \(\chi = MB\), and \(M\) is the magnetisation of the sample. At room temperature, the \(\chi T\) product of 1 has a value of 14.4 cm\(^3\) K mol\(^{-1}\), in good agreement with the sum of Curie constants for a [Fe\(^{III}\)Co\(^{II}\)] unit (14.375 cm\(^3\) K mol\(^{-1}\), \(\xi_{\text{Fe}} = \xi_{\text{Co}} = 2.0\)). Note that the estimation of the \(g\)-value of the Co\(^{II}\) ions here is an approximation and subject to error (e.g. lattice solvent lost upon sample drying will result in a variation of the samples diamagnetism), and a better measure comes from the EPR spectroscopy, which is consistent with \(\xi_{\text{Co}} = 2.3\) (vide infra).

Upon cooling, the \(\chi T\) product of 1 remains essentially constant down to approximately 100 K, wherefrom it decreases upon further cooling to 9.5 cm\(^3\) K mol\(^{-1}\) at 2 K. Given that the anisotropy of Fe\(^{III}\) is negligible, this behaviour is consistent with a relatively large single-ion magnetic anisotropy for the Co\(^{II}\) centres and/or an antiferromagnetic exchange interaction between the Fe\(^{III}\) and Co\(^{II}\) centres. To better define the low-temperature magnetic properties of 1, low temperature variable-temperature-and-variable-field (VTVB) magnetisation data were measured in the temperature and magnetic field ranges \(T = 2–12\) K and \(B = 0–5\) T (Fig. 5). At the highest investigated field (5 T) and the lowest investigated temperature (2 K), the magnetisation of 1 is of 13.7 \(\mu_B\) (\(\mu_B\) is the Bohr magneton).

Furthermore, when the VTVB data of 1 are plotted against the reduced quantity \(\mu_B B/kT\), little nesting of the VTVB data is observed. This observation indicates that the part of the energy spectrum of 1 probed under these experimental conditions does not present significant anisotropy splitting with respect to the temperature of measurement at zero magnetic field.

For the quantitative interpretation of the magnetisation data, we used spin-Hamiltonian (1)

\[
\hat{H} = \mu_B B \sum_i g_i \hat{S}_i - 2 \sum_{i<j} J_{ij} \hat{S}_i \cdot \hat{S}_j + \sum_i D_i \left( \hat{S}_{iz}^2 - \frac{S_i(S_i+1)}{3} \right)
\]

where the summation indexes \(i,j\) run through the constitutive metal centres, \(g_i\) is the \(g\)-factor of the \(i\)th centre, \(\hat{S}\) is a spin operator, \(J\) is the isotropic exchange interaction parameter, \(D\) is the uniaxial anisotropy parameter and \(S\) is the total spin.

In our spin-Hamiltonian model, we assume for simplicity that all \(g\)-factors are equal to 2, \(S_{\text{Fe}} = S_{\text{Co}} = 3/2\). The magnetic ground state was fitted to spin-Hamiltonian (1) by full matrix numerical diagonalisation of the spin-Hamiltonian of the full system of dimension 2304 by 2304, through use of the Levenberg-Marquardt algorithm.\(^{53}\) This resulted in the best-fit parameter \(J_{\text{Fe-Co}} = -0.04\) cm\(^{-1}\). In order to verify the validity of our model, \(J_{\text{Fe-Co}}\) was fixed to the determined best-fit value, and \(D_{\text{Co}}\) was maintained fixed at \(-14\) cm\(^{-1}\). At this point our model contains no free parameters. Thereafter, the VTVB data of 1 were simulated by use of spin-Hamiltonian (1). The simulated curves are shown as solid red lines in Fig. 5. With these parameters, the energy spectrum of 1 consists of four groups of densely packed states, each separated by approximately \(2D_{\text{Co}}\) (Fig. 6). It is interesting to note that multiple ground level crossings simultaneously occur at approximately 0.47 T when the magnetic field is applied parallel to the quantisation axis.

**Heat capacity**

Fig. 7 shows the collected heat capacity data, normalised to the gas constant, \(c_p/R\) of 1 as a function of temperature (between ca. 0.3 K and 30 K) for zero-applied magnetic field. As is typical for molecular magnetic materials,\(^{54}\) lattice vibrations contribute predominantly to \(c_p\) as a rapid increase above liquid-helium temperature. The lattice contribution can be described by the Debye model (dotted line in Fig. 7), which simplifies to \(c_p/R = aT^3\) dependence at the lowest temperatures, where \(a = 7.6 \times 10^{-3}\) K\(^{-3}\) for 1.

For \(T < ca. 3\) K, the zero-field \(c_p\) shows a wide bump-like anomaly, which we attribute to the splitting of the spin levels
by zero-field splitting and magnetic interactions. At such low temperatures, the magnetic measurements are very sensitive to the applied magnetic field, as seen in the experimental behaviour for fields of 3 T and higher (inset of Fig. 7). Such large intensities of the applied magnetic field are sufficient for promoting full decoupling between the individual spin centres (we recall that the exchange interaction is as small as $J_{\text{Fe-Co}} = -0.04 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ on the basis of the fit of the magnetometry data). Therefore, the temperature and field dependence of the $c_p$ data in Fig. 7 (inset), collected for $B \geq 3 \text{ T}$, are particularly suitable for probing the influence of crystal fields on the solid, down to temperatures significantly lower than the ones obtained in the magnetisation measurements.

The solid lines in Fig. 7 are the curves calculated for Hamiltonian (1), using the best-fit parameters from the magnetothermal and spectroscopic data and theoretical calculations, i.e., $D_{\text{Co}} = -14 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ and the here-negligible $J_{\text{Fe-Co}} = -0.04 \text{ cm}^{-1}$. The agreement with the experimental data is good, though not outstanding. Anticipating the discussion on the EPR spectra (vide infra), we have checked that adding a zero-field splitting (ZFS) of $D_{\text{Fe}} = -0.2 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ at the Fe$^{\text{III}}$ sites does not improve the fit. The discrepancy is most evident below ca. 1 K, where the experimental data have lower values than the calculated ones. This behaviour can be explained by a wider broadening of the low-lying energy spectrum, likely induced by higher-order anisotropy terms, which are not taken into account in Hamiltonian (1).

### EPR spectroscopy

We previously reported EPR spectra of $[\text{CrL}_3]$, giving the ZFS of the Cr$^{\text{III}}$, $s = 3/2$ ion as $D = -0.55 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ with a small rhombicity of $|E/D| = 0.045$. Q-Band spectra of 3 and 4 are similar to that of $[\text{CrL}_3]$, and give $D = -0.64$ and $-0.61 \text{ cm}^{-1}$, respectively (Fig. S11;† $|E/D| = 0.03-0.04$). Hence, the distortion imposed on the $\{\text{CrO}_6\}$ coordination sphere of $[\text{CrL}_3]$ by complexation in the $\{\text{CrO}_6\overline{\text{M}}_3\}$ supramolecules results in a small, but measurable, increase of the ZFS at Cr$^{\text{III}}$. The $\{\text{CrO}_6\}$ metric parameters do not appear to be very different.

Such an increase in $D$ is also found for the Fe$^{\text{III}}$ ($s = 5/2$) systems. X- and Q-band EPR spectra of $[\text{FeL}_3]$ reveal a rather small ZFS of $D = 0.08 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ with $|E/D| = 1/3$ (Fig. 8 and S12;† note the sign of $D$ has no significance with a fully rhombic D-tensor). These values are similar to those reported for $[\text{Fe(acac)}_3]$ ($|D| = 0.16 \text{ cm}^{-1}$, $E/D = 0.3$) and $[\text{Fe(dpm)}_3]$ (dpm = dipivaloylmethane; $D = -0.20 \text{ cm}^{-1}$, $|E/D| = 0.25$). On incorporation into the $\{\text{FeO}_6\overline{\text{Zn}}_3\}$ complex 2, a much richer spectrum is observed (Fig. 8 and S12), giving $D = 0.20 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ ($|E/D| = 1/3$). Angular overlap model studies on $[\text{Fe(acac)}_3]$ and $[\text{Fe(dpm)}_3]$ show $D$ to be very sensitive to the trigonal distortion at Fe$^{\text{III}}$, and there is a more significant structural difference in the $\{\text{FeO}_6\overline{\text{Zn}}_3\}$ coordination spheres when bound in $\{\text{FeO}_6\overline{\text{Zn}}_3\}$, with longer Fe–O bonds and wider O–Fe–O angles in the $\{\text{py}_3\}$ face, than in the equivalent Cr$^{\text{III}}$ systems.

The $\{\text{FeO}_6\overline{\text{Co}}_3\}$ complex 1 gives Q-band EPR spectra with very broad features at ca. 5, 9 and 12 kG that line up with the main features of the spectrum of the $\{\text{FeO}_6\overline{\text{Zn}}_3\}$ complex 2. Hence, the ZFS at Fe$^{\text{III}}$ must be similar. The very large ZFS at Co$^{\text{II}}$ means that only transitions within the ground Kramers doublet of this ion are observed (the microwave energy, $hv \ll |D|$), and there must be a significant rhombicity in order for these transitions to
calculations on the three unique CoII sites of 1, see the SI for details. The results suggest $D_{\text{Co}} = -14\, \text{cm}^{-1}$, $E/D = 0.1$ (Table S1†) which is entirely consistent with the magnetometry and heat capacity data. The calculations also suggest that the principal axes of the local ZFS tensors are oriented roughly perpendicular to the FeII–FeIII axis and canted approximately 120° with respect to one another in the plane (Fig. 9). Accounting for the non-collinearity in spin-Hamiltonian (1) did not improve the quality of the fits to the magnetometry or heat capacity data.
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Complexes 1–5 represent a novel, and unusual family of trigonal bipyramidal cage complexes, built with the tris(tricarbonyl)methallopentane coordination sphere, featuring a tris(acac) octahedral transition metal core functionalised with three $p$-pyridyl donor groups, and a series of transition metal salts. Outwith cyanometalate chemistry, compound 1 represents the first example of such a cage containing paramagnetic metal ions. Complementary studies investigating the dianisotropic variants using $^1$H NMR spectroscopy reveal some interesting features about the solution self-assembly process. Firstly, the $[\text{M}^{\text{III}}\text{L}_3]$ metallocyclid represents the first example of such a compound containing paramagnetic metal ions. Complementary studies investigating the diamagnetic variants using $^1$H NMR spectroscopy reveal some interesting features about the solution self-assembly process. Furthermore, the $[\text{M}^{\text{III}}\text{L}_3]$ metallocyclid is a highly dynamic trigonal building block as evidenced by $\text{fac}$ configuration.
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