Exchange-bias quantum tunnelling in a CO$_2$-based Dy$_4$-single molecule magnet†
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Carbamate formation in green-plants through the RuBisCO enzyme continuously plays a pivotal role in the conversion of CO$_2$ from the atmosphere into biomass. With this in mind, carbamate formation from CO$_2$ by a lanthanide source in the presence of a secondary amine is herein explored leading to a lanthanide carbamate cage with the formula [Dy$_4$(O$_2$CNiPr$_2$)$_{12}$]. Magnetic studies show slow relaxation leading to the observation of hysteresis loops; the tetranuclear cage being a single molecule magnet. Detailed interpretation of the data reveals: (i) the presence of two different exchange interactions, ferromagnetic and antiferromagnetic and (ii) the observation of exchange-bias quantum tunnelling with two distinct sets of loops, attributable to ferromagnetic interactions between dysprosium ions at longer distances and antiferromagnetic exchange between dysprosium ions at shorter distances. The results clearly demonstrate that the [Dy$_4$(O$_2$CNiPr$_2$)$_{12}$] cage acts as a quantum magnet which in turn could be at the heart of hybrid spintronic devices after having implemented CO$_2$ as a feedstock.

Introduction

Carbamylation is the most important chemical process in the fixation, activation and capture of CO$_2$ in nature, where CO$_2$ is inserted into an M–N amide bond of a Mg$^{2+}$ centre and an ε-amino residue of a lysine amino acid (Lys) resulting in the formation of a carbamate$^1$ (Fig. 1a). The enzyme responsible for this process is ribulose-1,5-bisphosphate carboxylase/oxygenase, better known as RuBisCO, the most abundant protein in the world and the major contributor to the conversion of atmospheric CO$_2$ into biomass.$^2$ Despite the efficient role of RuBisCO, in the last 150 years the concentration levels of the most abundant greenhouse gas, CO$_2$, have dramatically increased from 280 ppm to 400 ppm (ref. 3 and 4) due to growing industrial activity. However, due to the renewable character of CO$_2$ it could, if well managed, in principle serve as a valuable feedstock source for the synthesis and production of added value materials.$^5$–$^7$

Reminiscent of enzymatic CO$_2$ activation, where an oxophilic Mg$^{2+}$ centre is involved, transition metals have demonstrated that they can be versatile catalytic entities to activate and interconvert CO$_2$ in organic compounds.$^8$–$^{10}$ Moreover, they have been shown to be successful in coordinating to CO$_2$, forming metal complexes.$^{10}$–$^{13}$ Recently Long et al. have studied the cooperative insertion of CO$_2$ into diamine-appended metal–organic frameworks (MOFs) where the removal of CO$_2$ from solution can be directly achieved through the insertion of CO$_2$ into amine groups leading to a carbamate-containing MOF.$^{14}$

In this regard, lanthanide metal ions seem to be promising agents for CO$_2$ capture due to their strong oxophilic

---

†Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available: Synthesis, crystallographic and magnetic measurements and shape analysis details and figures. CCDC 1483884. For ESI and crystallographic data in CIF or other electronic format see DOI: 10.1039/c6sc03184f

Fig. 1 CO$_2$ fixation in RuBisCO and synthesis of [Dy$_4$(O$_2$CNiPr$_2$)$_{12}$]. (a) Schematic representation of RuBisCO’s active site, the Mg$^{2+}$ centre, after activation and capture of CO$_2$ molecules and with the bound substrate ribulose-1,5-bisphosphate. (b) Synthetic scheme of complex 1 showing CO$_2$ activation, capture and complexion by the oxophilic lanthanide centres.
characteristics which allow the concerted insertion of CO₂ as a carbamate⁵–⁸ or as carbonate-containing compounds with high lanthanide/CO₂ ratios.¹⁹,²⁰ Several polymeric carbonate-containing systems have been described, showing not just interesting structural motifs but also bewildering physical properties.²⁰ Similarly, lanthanides are milestone entities in terms of their magnetism, and have also shown fascinating physical behaviours such as nuclear spin detection and manipulation²¹–²³ blocking of magnetisation at high temperatures²⁴–²⁶ magnetic memory in chiral systems with a non-magnetic ground state²⁷ and, very recently, blocking magnetisation at the atomic level.²⁸ These properties have led researchers to envisage a diverse range of possible applications for lanthanide-based molecules, including their potential to act as qubits for quantum information technologies and prototype quantum spintronic devices such as molecular spin valves and transistors.²³,²⁹,³⁰ Thus, the quantum correlations observed in lanthanide molecules (e.g. superposition, entanglement, coherence, etc.) are important resources for quantum communication and processing, particularly in quantum computing.³¹

Based on these two points, i.e. (i) CO₂ abundance and (ii) the quantum physical properties of lanthanide units, we explore the physical implementation of CO₂ as a feedstock for the synthesis of lanthanide molecular nanomagnets. Herein, we firstly explore the CO₂ fixation of an amine in the presence of dysprosium chloride to form a complex with the formula [Dy₄(O₂CNiPr₂)₁₂] (1) (O₂CNPr₂ = diisopropylcarbamate) (Fig. 1b and 2). Moreover, we explore the magnetic properties of such a material, which in turn could shed light on its possible applicability in quantum spintronic devices or quantum computing. Herein, through a combination of alternating current SQUID measurements, and μ-SQUID measurements along with a simple electrostatic analysis, we show that the [Dy₄(O₂CNiPr₂)₁₂] cage exhibits both ferro- and antiferromagnetic interactions, that can be associated with the intra-molecular interactions between the Ising-like dysprosium ions within the compound, exhibiting exchange-bias quantum tunnelling, where the two different exchange interactions could, in principle, be employed in four-qubit quantum gate operations;³² these characteristics highlight the importance of our proposal to employ CO₂ as a C₁ building block to form added-value materials.

Results and discussion
Synthesis and structure
The reaction of anhydrous dysprosium chloride, with a six-fold excess of di-isopropylamine and CO₂ in dry toluene, followed by crystallisation in toluene at 5 °C gave colourless block-shaped X-ray quality crystals in yields of 70%. Single crystal studies revealed the compound to be a neutral tetracnuclear lanthanide–carbamate cage with the molecular formula [Dy₄(O₂CNPr₂)₁₂]·2C₇H₈ (1) (Fig. 2). Infrared analysis of 1 shows the typical carbamate stretching modes between 1300 and 1700 cm⁻¹ (Fig. S1†). The molecule crystallises in the monoclinic C2/c space group with half of the molecule in the asymmetric unit (Table S1†).

The neutral homoleptic tetramer 1 is composed of four Dy(III) ions and twelve carbamate groups resulting from the capture of twelve CO₂ molecules under mild synthetic conditions. Two Dy(III) ions are found in the asymmetric unit, interrelated by a two-fold axis and both ions, i.e. Dy(1) and Dy(2) are hepta-coordinated featuring a capped trigonal prism geometry (Table S3†). The Dy(1)⋯Dy(2) and Dy(1)′⋯Dy(2)′ distances are 3.8381(6) Å whilst the Dy(1)⋯Dy(2)′ and Dy(1)′⋯Dy(2) distances are 4.6090(7) Å. The Dy⋯D distances are in the range of 2.238(5) to 2.404(4) Å. The versatility of carbamates as coordinating groups is evidenced with the three distinct coordination modes present in the cage, 1.11, 2.11 and 3.21 (ref. 34) (Fig. S2†). All carbamates are rather regular with C⋯N distances ranging between 1.33(1)–1.372(8) Å and C⋯O in the range of 1.25(1)–1.30(1) Å (Table S2†). The closest intermolecular Dy⋯Dy distance is 12.8530(8) Å.

Magnetism DC and AC measurements
The magnetic behaviour of 1 was investigated under an applied direct current (DC) field of 1 kOe (Fig. 3). The room temperature χM(T) value for 1 (56.3 cm³ K mol⁻¹) is close to that expected for four non-interacting Dy(III) ions (56.7 cm³ K mol⁻¹) and upon cooling declines smoothly to ca. 30 K where it sharply drops to 24.3 cm³ K mol⁻¹ due to depopulation of the Stark levels of the crystal field (CF) and antiferromagnetic interactions (vide infra). The molar magnetisation M(H) for 1 does not reach full saturation at 2 K (inset Fig. 3a).

To probe the dynamic behaviour of compound 1, alternating current (AC) susceptibility measurements under an oscillating field of 3.5 Oe were undertaken. Compound 1 shows clear signatures of a Single Molecule Magnet (SMM) at zero field, i.e. strong frequency dependent magnetic behaviour with a maximum shifting upon frequency change and temperature. At zero field the out-of-phase component is observed in the χ′′∥M(T) below 12 K, with a broad maximum at about 3.8 K for a frequency of 1.5 kHz. The maximum shifts towards lower temperatures with decreasing frequency. In the χ′′∥M(ω) a single broad process is observed which can be fitted using a generalised Debye model. The Arrhenius plot is based on AC results and was fitted to data between 7.5 and 10 K yielding an energy barrier of Ueff = 25.7(1) K, a τ₀ = 6.7(1) × 10⁻⁶ s, with α varying from 0.07 to 0.45 from high to low temperature indicating a wide distribution of relaxation times, which could be associated with the two slightly distinct dysprosium ions in 1 (Fig. 3b–d and S3†).

Given that the Ueff at zero field is small, the investigation of an optimal field was carried out to try to reduce the quantum tunnelling rate. An optimal field of 1.5 kOe was obtained and under this condition 1 was reinvestigated (Fig. S4–S6†). Likewise at zero field, upon application of the optimal field, the out-of-phase component in the temperature dependence χ′′∥M(T) begins from 12 K, with a very broad maximum at 6 K at the highest frequency (1.5 kHz) whilst the χ′′∥M(ω) shows just a single broad process, which can be fitted to a simple Debye model. Arrhenius treatment of the data above 7.5 K yields Ueff = 31.1(1) K, τ₀ = 2.55(1) × 10⁻⁶ s, and 0.008 < α < 0.015 showing a narrower distribution of relaxation times but not considerable
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improvement in the $U_{\text{eff}}$. As evidenced, application of the optimal DC field does not completely slow down the fast tunnelling of 1 highlighting that the quantum tunnelling of the magnetisation (QTM) is not efficiently suppressed. This can be attributed to transverse anisotropy and to dipolar/exchange and/or hyperfine interactions. The linear dependence of $\ln(\tau)$ at high temperatures indicates an Orbach relaxation mechanism, whilst the data at lower temperatures is markedly nonlinear at zero and under application of the optimal field, suggesting the onset of competing Orbach and/or Raman processes. The anisotropic nature of compound 1 does not allow fitting of the $\chi_m T(T)$ and $M(H)$ without advanced and costly ab initio calculations.

\section*{\textit{m}-SQUID measurements}

We have further explored the magnetic behaviour of 1 by employing a $\mu$-SQUID array on an oriented crystal, with the field applied along and transverse to the easy-axis, from 0.03–2 K, and at different magnetic field scan rates. The opening of the hysteresis loops starts below 2 K and their coercivity fields widen with increasing sweep rates and with decreasing temperature, a signature of SMM (Fig. 4). Interestingly, two marked distinct magnetic behaviours are detected depending on the direction of the applied magnetic field: either (i) antiferromagnetic interactions, thus exhibiting double S-shaped loops and no significant QTM at zero field attributable to exchange biasing of antiferromagnetically coupled dimers and/or spin-canting (Fig. 4a and c); or (ii) ferromagnetic interactions with well opened loops (Fig. 4b and d). Below 1 K the loops display step-like features, indicating that resonant quantum tunnelling occurs below this temperature. Along the antiferromagnetic projection two sharp tunnelling steps at ±0.35 T are found; whilst in the ferromagnetic projection the

Fig. 2 Crystal structure and magnetic axes of $[\text{Dy}_4(\text{O}_2\text{CNiPr}_2)_12]\cdot 2\text{C}_7\text{H}_8$ (1). (a) Crystal structure of 1 obtained through single crystal X-ray analysis. The zoomed region shows the capped trigonal prism coordination geometry around the Dy(III) metal ion featuring the carbamate groups connecting the cage. (b) Representation of the tetrnnuclear (Dy$_4$) core indicating the Dy···Dy distances observed through crystallographic analysis of 1. (c) Scheme of the crystal alignment along with the orientation of the applied magnetic fields on the $\mu$-SQUID array. The zoomed region of (c) represents the orientation of the principal magnetic axes of the Dy(III) ions obtained through electrostatic calculations (green arrow) and the dashed yellow lines represent the exchange pathways employed in the simulation of the Zeeman diagrams. Colour scheme: Dy = blue; N = cyan; O = red; C = grey. 
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zero-field resonance loop is shifted to $\mu_0 H = \pm 0.22$ T and is then followed by three successive steps located at $\pm 0.32$, $\pm 0.42$ and $\pm 0.62$ T. These observations corroborate the SMM behaviour of 1 allowing now a more judicious analysis of the low temperature energy barrier ($U_{\text{eff}}$) employing the data obtained from the magnetisation decay measurements from the $\mu$-SQUID data; a linear fit in the temperature range of 0.45–1.5 K leads to a $U_{\text{eff}}$ value of 7.0 K with the quantum regime reached below 0.2 K and a $t_\tau = 3.1 \times 10^{-4}$ s (inset of Fig. 3b).

Magnetic axes

A great deal of understanding of the magnetic behaviour of lanthanide-based SMMs has been achieved through multi-configurational complete active space self-consistent field (CASSCF) $ab$ initio calculations, however these are very cost-ineffective methods often requiring expert theoretical knowledge and advanced computational power. Recently, a more intuitive and much less demanding electrostatic approach was described for the elucidation of the anisotropic axes of dysprosium complexes based on the charge distribution of ligands around the Dy(III) ions and the electrostatic optimisation of the oblate $m_J = \pm 15/2$ electron density. The SMM behaviour observed in dysprosium-based systems is associated with a well-defined $m_J = \pm 15/2$ ground state doublet and greatly axial $g$-tensors (ideally $g_{xx} = g_{yy} = 0$; $g_{zz} = 20$) of the $6H_{15/2}$ manifold and has been well tested in a variety of complexes with very good agreement with the CASSCF calculations. Given the SMM behaviour clearly exhibited by 1 we employ the electrostatic calculation to obtain its anisotropic magnetic axes simply utilising the crystallographic coordinates of 1 and the partial charges of the carbamates and Dy(III) ions. As expected, due to crystallographic considerations, two slightly distinct sets of axes are found with a tilting angle of around $\sim 140^\circ$ between Dy(1) and Dy(2) and Dy(1)' and Dy(2)' (Fig. 2c), consistent with the observation of spin-canting in the $\mu$-SQUID experiments (Fig. 2c (inset) and 4). The projections of the anisotropy axes for each Dy(III) ion are better observed in terms of Euler rotations of the $YZ'Z''$ scheme (Table S4†).

Discussion

Detailed analysis of the $\mu$-SQUID data additionally shows that within the cage two exchange interactions could be present, ferromagnetic and antiferromagnetic; this is consistent with the electrostatic analysis. $\mu$-SQUID analysis of the ferromagnetic projection (Fig. 4b and d) suggests possible quantum tunnelling between the ferromagnetic ground state and excited states at
In order to understand these two distinct behaviours at the first stage, we invoke spin effective formalism\(^{38}\) \((S_{\text{eff}} = 1/2)\) employing pure axial \(g\)-tensors e.g. \(g_{xx} = g_{yy} = 0; g_{zz} = 20\) and the projection angles of the \(m_J = \pm 15/2\) state for each Dy(III) ion obtained from the electrostatic analysis. Unfortunately, \(S_{\text{eff}} = 1/2\) can be, at first order, mixed very quickly by transverse fields leading to huge tunnelling splitting, not representative of the system. This would not be the case with a Dy(III) spin given that the \(m_J = \pm 15/2\) levels only weakly mix by a transverse field (mixing in 15th order only). Unfortunately, due to the huge Hilbert space of the four Dy(III) ions \((2S + 1)^n = 65 536\) and all the parameters involved \(i.e.\) ligand field splittings (LFS), \(g\)-values, Euler angles and exchange interaction(s), we cannot employ a \(J = 15/2\) to understand the \(\mu\)-SQUID data. To circumvent this problem, we therefore employ a fictitious \(S = 3/2\) with

\[\pm 0.22, \pm 0.32, \pm 0.42 \text{ and } \pm 0.62 \text{ T whilst a crossover is found in the antiferromagnetic projection at } \pm 0.35 \text{ T (Fig. 4a and c), suggesting antiferromagnetic interactions.}\]

Fig. 4 \(\mu\)-SQUID measurements and Zeeman diagram for 1. Hysteresis loop measurements performed on a single crystal of 1; red and blue arrows represent the direction of the applied field with respect to the molecule’s orientation within the crystal lattice. Temperature dependence at a fixed sweep rate of 0.14 T s\(^{-1}\) with the field applied transverse (a) and parallel (b) to the average direction. Field sweep rate studies at a fixed temperature of 0.03 K with the fields transverse (c) and parallel (d) to the average direction. Panels (a) and (c) show the antiferromagnetic behaviour with a typical double S-shape whilst panels (b) and (d) show the ferromagnetic behaviour. Zeeman diagrams simulated using eqn (1) along the antiferromagnetic projection (e) and the ferromagnetic projection (f). Insets of the panels show the avoided level crossings observed alongside the antiferromagnetic projection at \(+0.35\) T and along the ferromagnetic projection at \(+0.22\) T. The spin structure in panels (e) and (f) (green arrows) represent the ground and excited states involved in the quantum tunnelling events (see text).
an arbitrarily big LFS (D) of $-100$ cm$^{-1}$, given that this spin value is less prone to mixing by transverse field, g-Values were kept isotropic ($g_{xx} = g_{yy} = g_{zz} = 20/3$) and while the LFS were rotated employing the Euler angles obtained from the electrostatic analysis.\textsuperscript{39} The Hamiltonian describing our system is then given in eqn (1):

$$
\begin{align*}
\hat{H} &= -2J_1 (\hat{S}_1 \cdot \hat{S}_2 + \hat{S}_3 \cdot \hat{S}_4) - 2J_2 (\hat{S}_3 \cdot \hat{S}_4 + \hat{S}_1 \cdot \hat{S}_4) \\
&+ \sum_{i=1}^{4} g_{\text{hf}}h\cdot \hat{S}_i \quad (1)
\end{align*}
$$

in (1) the $(-2J_1 (\hat{S}_1 \cdot \hat{S}_2 + \hat{S}_3 \cdot \hat{S}_4))$ is the exchange interaction along the shortest edge of the complex, i.e. Dy(1)–Dy(2) and Dy(1)–Dy(3)–Dy(2) at 3.8381(6) Å; whilst $(-2J_2 (\hat{S}_3 \cdot \hat{S}_4 + \hat{S}_1 \cdot \hat{S}_4))$ accounts for the longest edge Dy(2)–Dy(1) and Dy(1)–Dy(2) at 4.6090(7) Å.

$$
\left( \sum_{i=1}^{4} \hat{S}_i \cdot \hat{D}_i \cdot \hat{S}_i \right)
$$

is the ligand field splitting, and the last term is the Zeeman term ($g_{\text{hf}}h\sum_{i=1}^{4} \hat{S}_i$). For easiness, simulations of the Zeeman diagrams employing a single exchange interaction, i.e. $J_1 = J_2$ were performed, however no good agreement was obtained with this approach. For the antiferromagnetic projection the best agreement was found with $J_1 = -0.55$ K, (Fig. 4e) while for the ferromagnetic projection the best agreement was found by employing anisotropic exchange $J_{2x} = J_{2y} = +0.98$ K and $J_{2z} = +0.32$ K (Fig. 4f). At the experimental temperature of collection most of the excited spin states are not populated and can be neglected, thus Fig. 4e and f show only the low-lying states involved in the magnetisation reversal at very low temperatures. We calculated the dipolar couplings ($j_{\text{dip}}^{jj}$) for the Dy(m) pairs, leading to very anisotropic matrices (see Section E of the ESI†). Attempts to simulate the Zeeman diagram employing solely $j_{\text{dip}}^{jj}$ yield no agreement (Fig. S8†), highlighting that these are not uniquely responsible for the μ-SQUID loops obtained for 1. Interestingly, $j_{\text{dip}}^{jj}$ contains antiferromagnetic and ferromagnetic components, due to spin canting of the Dy(m) ion in 1 which, although weak, can account for the ferro- and antiferromagnetic exchange observed.

As observed in Fig. 4e, when the field is applied perpendicular to the $a$-crystallographic axis the ground state is a singlet. Upon sweeping the field only one quantum tunnelling event is present, in the experimental field range, at ±0.35 T, consistent with the observation in the μ-SQUID data (Fig. 4a, c and e). This event is associated with tunnelling between the ground state and nearest excited state which, at zero field, lies at ca. 1.6 K and involves a single spin flip. Conversely, when the field is applied parallel to the $a$-axis the situation leads to a well-defined ferromagnetic ground state with three close excited states lying at 3.3 K, 3.8 K and 3.9 K. Application of a negative magnetic field leads to a ground state characterised by $\psi_1 = c_1 | \uparrow \uparrow \downarrow \downarrow \rangle$ (Fig. 4b, d and f). Upon field sweeping the first crossing point occurs at 0 T, where the possibility of tunnelling from $\psi_1$ to $\psi_2 = c_1 | \uparrow \uparrow \uparrow \uparrow \rangle$ is negligible since it would require all the spins to tunnel simultaneously. At +0.25 T and +0.27 T two crossovers are observed, involving $\psi_1$ and the first and second excited states with $\psi_3 = c_3 | \uparrow \uparrow \downarrow \rangle$ and $\psi_4 = c_4 | \uparrow \uparrow \uparrow \rangle$. At these crossovers a tunnelling event occurs, due to the admixture of wavefunctions, which leads to a single spin flip. The third crossover is then observed at +0.42 T, occurring due to quantum tunnelling of $\psi_1$ with a diamagnetic excited state with wavefunctions $\psi_5 = c_5 | \downarrow \downarrow \downarrow \rangle$, involving a double spin flip. These events are in good agreement with the crossings observed in the μ-SQUID loops, i.e. ±0.22, ±0.32 and ±0.42 T. Our model does not account for the crossings at ±0.62 T, which occur twice during the field sweep from −1 T to +1 T, which are not present in our simulation even after inclusion of off-diagonal dipolar terms, highlighting the weakness of our assumptions. Despite this, however, the simulations reproduce the ferromagnetic and antiferromagnetic behaviours and most of the tunnelling events observed in the μ-SQUID loops quite well. Several other crossing points at higher field occur, however due to the temperature of the characterisation and the applied field, the population of the $\psi_1$ state at these crossing points is expected to be negligible.

Simulation of the $M(H)$ data utilising parameters from the simulations of the Zeeman diagram is also possible by employing eqn (1) and the $J_1$ and $J_2$ (see the inset of Fig. 3a). The simulation is slightly higher than the experimental data, which could account for smaller $g$-values and some rhombicity on the Dy(m) ions. In order to compare our results with a similar structure, we obtained the isotropic analogue i.e. $[\text{Gd}_4(\text{O}_2\text{C} \cdot \text{CN}\text{Pr}_2)_{12}]^{16+}$ (2) and interestingly simultaneous fitting of the $\chi_mT$ and $M(H)$ data yields both ferromagnetic and antiferromagnetic interactions, as also observed in 1, highlighting the possible coexistence of two exchange pathways (see Fig. S7 and explanation therein†).

The average interactions projected onto the $m_N = 15/2$ states are found to be $J_1 = -0.022$ K and $J_2 = +0.030$ K, and are very weak as expected for lanthanide ions. To compare the magnitude of the exchange interaction observed from our analysis, the exchange and $g$-values for compound 1 were re-scaled to the most commonly used spin effective ($S_{\text{eff}} = 1/2$) formalism: leading to $J_1 = -4.95$ K and $J_{2x} = +6.75$ K, thus scaled to the magnitude of the exchange within the range of exchange observed in other reported Dy(m)-based SMMs.\textsuperscript{24,40,41}

At this stage, we can understand why the application of an optimal field during the AC data collection had very little effect on the $U_{\text{eff}}$ of compound 1. As observed in Fig. 4, due to the two exchange pathways in 1, the application of DC fields also induces many other level crossings, where the magnetisation can tunnel. Many other steps can be present upon application of the applied field in different directions of the molecule, therefore leading to the diminished SMM behaviour observed in the AC measurements of the powdered sample. Moreover, compound 1 shows clear exchange-biased quantum tunneling,\textsuperscript{42} resulting from the magnetic exchange between neighbouring Dy(m)-ions and non-collinear arrangement of the anisotropic axes. Interestingly, two very different magnetic anisotropies, ferro- vs. antiferromagnetic, can be clearly addressed by controlling the direction of the applied magnetic field along the crystal.
Conclusions

In summary, herein we report a concept where a molecular quantum magnet has been achieved by the capture and fixation of CO₂. In the formed [Dy₄] cage, 1, the four metal ions are held together by carboxamates, arising from the activation and capture of twelve CO₂ molecules per cage molecule. The CO₂ activation pathway found in [Dy₄] cage has been shown to lead to suppression of QTM at zero field. The coexistence of two applied field direction dependent exchange pathway characteristics and the interesting dynamic magnetic behaviour observed in 1 could be of potential use in spintronic devices and/or quantum computing. In particular, facile tuning of the quantum tunneling transitions upon manipulation of the field direction and/or the resonance fields, would signify that the molecule could be exploited in four-qubit quantum computing algorithms, paving the way for higher-level quantum gates. These results clearly demonstrate that from a simple green concept mimicking the capture and conversion of CO₂, technological nanoscopic materials can be prepared generating added-value materials of cutting edge technological interest.
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† Crystal data for 1 [Dy₄N₂O₄H₂O]: Mᵣ = 2656.69, monoclinic, T = 180.15(1) K, a = 28.1117(11), b = 20.1279(6), c = 22.8674(10) Å, β = 105.985(3)°, V = 12 438.78(8) Å³, Z = 4, r = 1.419 g cm⁻³; total data = 41 630, independent reflections 11 718 (Rint = 0.0723), μ = 2.442 mm⁻¹, 699 parameters, R₁ = 0.0723 for l ≤ 20(β) and wR₂ = 0.1870. The data was collected employing a STOE StadiVar 25 diffractometer with a Pilatus 300 K detector using GenIX 3D HF micro focus with MoKα radiation (λ = 0.71073 Å).