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The bacterial Clp protease is a highly conserved and structurally versatile machine. It has gained a lot of recognition during the last decade as a novel antibacterial drug target with an unprecedented mechanism of action. Due to its complexity, there are distinct means of interfering with its natural functions and several compounds targeting this machine have been identified. In this review, we summarize the current state of knowledge about natural products deregulating Clp proteolysis, a crucial and delicate process within the cell. Among those, acyldepsipeptide antibiotics of the ADEP class (ADEPs) are characterized best. The molecular mechanism of ADEP-mediated deregulation sheds light on the inner workings of the Clp protease.

1 Introduction

Bacterial resistances towards antibiotics pose a huge problem for the treatment of infectious diseases. Until recently, antibacterial development largely relied on synthetic modifications of established antibiotic classes to overcome resistances by compound derivatization. However, this source is running dry and new antibiotic classes with unprecedented core structures are urgently needed to overcome the plethora of resistance mechanisms spreading through the bacterial population. Antibacterial agents with unrelated chemical scaffolds often act by novel mechanisms of bacterial growth inhibition and are less affected by widespread resistance traits. New means of killing multi-drug resistant bacteria must be found.

Microbial natural products are a privileged source of antibacterial lead structures. Being produced by microorganisms themselves and optimized through co-evolution with bacterial competitors for billions of years, they often surpass synthetic comparators with regard to cell entry and complex target interactions. Most antibiotics in therapeutic use to date inhibit essential functions in DNA, RNA, protein or cell wall...
syntheses, whereas daptomycin and polymyxins interfere with membrane integrity, but rarely is a completely unrelated mode of action described for a novel antibacterial agent with good tolerance and promising efficacy in infection models.

During the last decade, a bacterial protease has emerged as an unprecedented antibacterial target in the course of mode of action studies on acyldepsipeptide antibiotics (ADEPs). Isolated from the fermentation broth of *Streptomyces hawaiiensis*, the natural products A54556 A and B (factor A and B) showed good antibiotic activity *in vitro* without mechanism-based cross-resistance to other known antibiotics.\(^6\) Identification of the resistance-mediating mutation within an ADEP-resistant *Escherichia coli* mutant and affinity chromatography with an immobilized ADEP congener led to ClpP as the direct target.\(^6\)

Medicinal chemistry campaigns established the structure–activity relationship and yielded a number of derivatives with enhanced *in vitro* potency and stability.\(^7\)\(^–\)\(^10\) Furthermore, ADEP treatment proved successful in lethal bacterial infections in rodents, including deep-seated biofilm infections, and, in combination with e.g. rifampicin, eradicated persister cells of methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus*.\(^6\)\(^,\)\(^7\)\(^,\)\(^11\)

ClpP is a serine peptidase with active sites shielded within its barrel shaped proteolytic chamber. It can only degrade peptides on its own but is capable of protein degradation when partnering with a cognate Clp/Hsp100 chaperone (Clp-ATPase). As ClpP can act in conjunction with several Clp-ATPases, the resulting proteolytic complexes differ in their respective Hsp100 module. However, as they uniquely contain ClpP as the proteolytic core, we will refer to the complex consisting of ClpP and any corresponding Clp-ATPase as the “Clp protease”. The Clp protease system has a multitude of functions in bacteria, including protein quality control and homeostasis, stress management, virulence factor expression, and regulation of cell differentiation programmes.\(^12\)\(^–\)\(^14\) Its function is also essential for viability in actinobacteria including *Mycobacterium tuberculosis*.\(^15\)

Following the first reports on ADEP, other natural products were recently discovered to modulate, *i.e.* inhibit or activate, either the proteolytic core ClpP or its Hsp100 partners. In this review, while briefly touching on the promising biological activities of these compounds, we will focus on the intriguing mechanistic interaction between the Clp protease system and its natural product modulators. Among those, ADEP is best understood. The interplay between ClpP and ADEP stands representative for a new principle of killing bacteria by targeting and deregulating a protease system. Furthermore, ADEPs are instrumental in understanding the molecular operation mode of the complex Clp protease machinery.

2 ClpP structure and function
2.1 ClpP and AAA+ chaperones regulate protein homeostasis, stress response, cellular differentiation, and virulence

The Clp protease system is widely conserved within the bacterial domain and is functionally organized in two separate compartments. The Clp/Hsp100 enzymes of the AAA+ super family of chaperones (Clp-ATPases) select substrates for degradation, unfold and thread them into the proteolytically active ClpP in an ATP-dependent fashion. There is a number of different Clp-ATPases that associate with ClpP for protein degradation, e.g. ClpX and ClpA in *E. coli*, ClpX and ClpC in *Staphylococcus aureus*, ClpX and ClpC1 in *Mycobacterium tuberculosis*, to name a few. Each shows distinct substrate specificities and performs different cellular functions, albeit there are some redundancies. In the beginning, the characterization of the Clp protease took place primarily in non-pathogenic *E. coli* and *Bacillus subtilis* strains. In *E. coli*, loss-of-function of ClpP or Clp-ATPases causes only a mild phenotype, as here, the Clp system shares functions in protein homeostasis with the Lon protease.\(^16\)\(^,\)\(^17\) In *B. subtilis*, clpP deletion prevents motility, sporulation and genetic competence.\(^18\) Heat tolerance and stationary phase survival are also reduced and accumulation of the stress regulator Spx in a clpP or clpX mutant is toxic and impairs growth.\(^19\)\(^,\)\(^20\) Trapping experiments with a proteolytically inactive ClpP variant revealed protein substrates with important functions in global stress management, cell division, global transcription regulation, DNA damage repair, and protein synthesis.\(^15\)\(^,\)\(^20\) Meanwhile, the Clp protease is validated as a drug target in pathogenic organisms, as recently reviewed.\(^21\)\(^–\)\(^23\) For instance, in *S. aureus*, the Clp protease is responsible for stress tolerance and involved in virulence regulation.\(^24\)\(^,\)\(^25\) *clpP* and *clpX* deletions were shown to attenuate *S. aureus* in a murine skin abscess model and a *Staphylococcus epidermidis clpP* deletion mutant proved less virulent in a catheter infection in rats.\(^26\)\(^,\)\(^27\) A synthetic β-lactone acting as a covalent suicide inhibitor of ClpP was effective in...
treated staphylococcal skin abscesses in mice, demonstrating druggability of ClpP’s catalytic triad.\textsuperscript{28} clpP deletions further prevented \textit{Streptococcus pneumoniae} from colonizing the nasopharynx and infecting lungs of mice, and reduced survival of \textit{Listeria monocytogenes} within macrophages.\textsuperscript{29,30} While the ClpP-ATPases and the ClpP peptidase work together in general degradation of misfolded and aggregated proteins as well as in directed regulatory proteolysis, ClpP-ATPases also possess chaperone activity independently of the peptidase.\textsuperscript{31,32} They can actively induce structural changes within their substrates altering their biological activity. For instance, one of the first characterized substrates of \textit{E. coli} ClpX was the Mu transposase.\textsuperscript{23} ClpX alters its conformation and thereby initiates the transition from recombination to Mu phage replication without the need of a partner peptidase.\textsuperscript{33,34} Secondly, expression of \textit{spa}, the gene encoding protein A in \textit{S. aureus}, is nearly abolished in a \textit{clpX} mutant but not a \textit{clpP} mutant.\textsuperscript{26} Protein A is a virulence factor expressed in the early growth phase and hampers detection by the host immune system. It is under negative regulatory control of the accessory gene regulator (agr) quorum sensing system, which is activated in the late exponential phase of growth and down-regulates protein A expression by post-transcriptional inhibition.\textsuperscript{35,36} The repression of \textit{spa} in a \textit{clpX} mutant works independently of agr and counteracts the normally occurring derepression in an \textit{agr} negative strain.\textsuperscript{24} ClpC in \textit{S. aureus} plays an important role in acetate catabolism and has been further characterized as a global regulator in late growth phase carbon metabolism.\textsuperscript{37,38} In \textit{M. tuberculosis}, the entire Clp protease system including the chaperones ClpX, ClpC1 and ClpB as well as the two Clp paralogs, ClpP1 and ClpP2, is essential for growth.\textsuperscript{39–42} Compounds targeting the ClpC1 ATPase in mycobacteria display potent antibacterial activity. In Gram-negatives, the Clp protease regulates expression of the type III secretion system and a lack of functional ClpX and ClpP results in severely attenuated or abolished virulence.\textsuperscript{43–45} Reviewed examples and similar reports established the Clp protease as a promising novel drug target.

### 2.2 ClpP forms the proteolytic core of a compartmentalized protease

Fourteen ClpP protomers arrange themselves to form a tetradecameric barrel-shaped complex in a stack of two heptameric rings (Fig. 1a). The catalytic residues are located within the sequestered space of the barrel. Seven hydrophobic pockets on both sides of the barrel serve as anchors for partner ClpP-ATPases during translocation of substrates into the proteolytic chamber of ClpP (Fig. 2).\textsuperscript{46–47} Several crystal structures of ClpP from different species have revealed distinct barrel conformations, namely compressed, compact and extended state.\textsuperscript{48–52} Based on molecular dynamics (MD) simulation experiments, the compact conformation has been speculated to represent a stable intermediate state between extended and compressed, showing a local energy minimum during transitions between extended and compressed conformations.\textsuperscript{48} Here, we focus only on the two end-points of this transition (Fig. 1a). The compressed conformation, about 80 Å in height, is deemed to be inactive because of the arrangement of the active site residues with an increased distance between the serine and the histidine side chain, whereas crystal structures of extended conformations, where the barrel is elongated by approximately 10 Å along the rotational axis, show the active site residues in reduced distance (Fig. 1a).\textsuperscript{49,51–55} In the course of the nucleophilic attack of the active site serine on the electron deficient carbonyl carbon of the peptide bond, the proton of the serine hydroxyl group is abstracted by the histidine imidazole and the positive charge thereby generated at the histidine imidazole is stabilized by the carboxyl function of the aspartate (Fig. 1b). The resulting acyl–ester intermediate then undergoes hydrolysis and the serine side chain is regenerated to undergo the next cycle of catalysis. In the catalytically competent conformation, these three side chains are in the correct distance to form hydrogen bonds (see green dotted lines in Fig. 1b), which strongly enhance nucleophileclicity of the serine. This competent conformation has so far only been observed in crystal structures that captured ClpP in the extended conformation, but never in crystals containing compressed conformations. Notably, other key structural elements show considerable shifts between the two conformations in X-ray crystallography structures. These shifts correlate with predicted residue flexibility from MD simulation experiments.\textsuperscript{55} The most flexible domains of a ClpP protomer are the N-terminal loop and the z5-helix. The latter is associated with the ring–ring interface in the equatorial plane and is also known as the handle region.\textsuperscript{48} The handle of each ClpP subunit adopts a straight orientation in the extended conformation while it is kinked in the compressed structure (Fig. 1a). The physiological significance of these conformations and their regulation are still subject to investigation.\textsuperscript{59,61} A crystal structure of ClpP with an inhibitor covalently bound to the active site displayed a slightly more compacted conformation, corroborating the idea of a functional link between conformation and catalysis.\textsuperscript{59} One model proposes an exit route for peptide products \textit{via} transient equatorial pore openings in the compressed situation with the compression motion being part of a natural cycle of ClpP dynamics.\textsuperscript{57–64} Introducing covalent cross-links between handle regions of neighbouring ClpP subunits resulted in decreased handle flexibility and displayed increased substrate retention times within the ClpP lumen.\textsuperscript{65} This finding strongly supports the idea of an involvement of the handle region in product release. Nonetheless, product release \textit{via} the axial pores or a combination of both cannot be ruled out, yet. While most compressed crystal structures of ClpP show a disordered tip of the z5-helix, two structures from \textit{S. aureus} reveal a kinked orientation.\textsuperscript{49,55} In this state, the handle is stabilized by hydrogen bonds within its own subunit.\textsuperscript{44} In the extended state, a straight z5-helix is involved in a network of hydrogen bonds stabilizing the ring–ring connection between the two heptamers.\textsuperscript{66} This network of hydrogen bonds connects the tip of the extended helix of one ClpP subunit (Fig. 1a, green helix) to amino acid residues in the z6-helix of the opposing ClpP subunit (Fig. 1a, red helix). Mutation studies of these amino acid residues termed “oligomerization sensors” showed defects in oligomeric state formation and catalytic capabilities, stressing the importance of the handle to make contact to the opposing ring.\textsuperscript{51}
Interestingly, MD simulations indicate a tendency towards the compressed state in the absence of the hydrogen bond network due to favourable thermodynamics. Therefore, it can be assumed that control over the conformational state is part of a protection system in the cell that requires the proteolytic extended form to be actively promoted.

2.3 The apical side of the ClpP barrel harbours interaction sites for the cognate Clp-ATPases

In the absence of a cognate Clp-ATPase, ClpP can only degrade small peptides. The axial channels are the only opening into the catalytic chamber of ClpP. These channels are bordered by the respective N-termini of the ClpP subunits (Fig. 2). A crystal structure of E. coli ClpP in the apo form displayed an “up”-conformation of the N-terminus at one apical side and a “down”-conformation at the opposite side suggesting a gating functionality of the N-terminus for substrate entry. Although the N-terminal residues of the “down”-conformation are unresolved, it has been proposed that in this conformation, clustering of hydrophobic residues within the axial channel serves as a hydrophobic plug and presents the closed gate of ClpP. Interestingly, the observation that only six out of seven N-terminal loops were in the “up”-conformation led the authors to speculate about a pseudo-6-fold symmetry matching the 6-fold symmetry of the partner Clp-ATPase. Cryo-EM studies with a ClpP tetradecamer bound to a ClpA hexamer at one apical side only, showed an open channel with a diameter of 12 Å at the apical side facing ClpA,
forming a continuous channel with the Clp-ATPase.56 The N-terminal domain of ClpP on the ClpA-free side was blocked. Whether the “up”-conformation observed in the E. coli ClpP crystal (i.e. the state with upraised N-termini yet narrow pore diameter) resembles the open gate in the natural context when bound to Clp-ATPases, is still controversially discussed. Alexopoulos et al. argue that this “up”-conformation might not be identical to the Clp-ATPase-bound open conformation in the substrate feeding process.99 For more details on N-terminal gating, refer to ref. 69. Structural investigations with the help of ADEP activators, which mimic binding of Clp-ATPases by employing the same binding pocket, have been instrumental in addressing the question of how these conformations are related to pore gating (compare Section 3.2).53,69,78 Furthermore, is was suggested that the “up”-conformation stabilizes the intermediate substrate-bound form of ClpP while the “down”-conformation facilitates substrate hydrolysis, thus directly involving the N-terminus in the catalytic cycle of ClpP.71

2.4 Proteolysis by ClpP is tightly regulated

Substrates tagged with a degradation signal like the C-terminal ssrA-tag for incomplete translation of nascent polypeptide chains are recognized and bound by ClpX.73,74 Subsequently, ClpX assembles into hexamers and makes contact with ClpP by binding to the hydrophobic pockets via loops containing highly conserved (L/I/V)-GF tripeptide motifs necessary for association with ClpP and by binding the N-terminal stem loop of ClpP via its pore-2-loops.46,47,74 ATP binding and hydrolysis by ClpX then provides the energy to mechanically unfold and translocate linearized protein into ClpP.75–78 Within the proteolytic chamber, substrate is cleaved into small peptide fragments of around 6–8 residues (Fig. 3).79,80 Thus, substrate specificity is not defined by the amino acid sequence rather than by Clp-ATPases that recognize specific degradation signals and interact with certain adaptor proteins.20,73,81–88 Exposure to the active site residues is sufficient for cleavage with no strict specificity, albeit a preference for certain amino acids at the P1 position exists.84,85

The Clp protease is a paradigm of self-compartmentalized proteases and shares typical architectural features with other compartmentalized proteases like HslUV or the 26S proteasome. The term self-compartmentalized expresses that the active sites reside within a proteolytic chamber (“compartment”), which is shielded from the cytoplasm and inaccessible to potential protein substrates (Fig. 3a). Cleavage is performed in this sequestered space only after active unfolding and translocation of substrate through the narrow axial pores by Clp-ATPases (Fig. 3b). These in turn select substrates by either decisive degradation signals, through contacts mediated by specific adaptor proteins, or a combination of both. Furthermore, binding of Clp-ATPases to ClpP initiates structural reorganizations within ClpP that render substrate cleavage possible.58,60 Findings derived from the interaction of ClpP with ADEPs strongly contributed to our understanding of these reorganizations (see below).53,67,68,76,87 In the case of B. subtilis ClpP, protomers do not assemble to a barrel in vitro unless either ClpX or ClpC is active to bind and deliver substrate.88 Architectural restrictions are common among these proteolytic machines; they prohibit uncontrolled substrate processing and serve as safeguards against potentially harmful self-digest.89

3 Deregulation of the Clp protease by natural products

3.1 Uncontrolled ClpP activity is bactericidal

ADEP mimics binding of Clp-ATPases to the hydrophobic pockets. This event inhibits association of ClpP with Clp-ATPases, and thereby abolishes all natural functions of the Clp protease that require Clp-ATPase mediated degradation (Fig. 3c).90,91 The affinity of ADEP for ClpP with a Kd of approximately 2 μM is much stronger compared to the Clp-ATPases, as a single ADEP molecule is sufficient for displacing a full ClpX hexamer.91 Clp protease function is essential for stress regulation and virulence in firmicutes. But, disturbing the ClpP–ClpX/ATPase interaction under non-stressing in vitro conditions alone does not result in cell death as exemplified by clpP and clpX deletion mutants.12,21,22 Likewise covalent β-lactone inhibitors of ClpP lead to decreased virulence factor excretion in S.
sclerotiamide, has recently been identiﬁed in a screening for natural product activator that is conﬁrmed to target ClpP from a wide variety of organisms.

3.2 ClpP in complex with ADEP adopts an “open-gate”-conformation

The ClpP/ADEP complex adopts a proteolytically active conformation strongly resembling the extended form of apo-ClpP, yet distinct, because of an increased diameter of the axial pores (Fig. 2).51,70 The crystal structure of E. coli ClpP in complex with ADEPs shows the N-terminal loop pointing upwards, similar to the “up”-conformation of apo ClpP, but with a widened axial pore of 20 Å in diameter, henceforth referred to as the “open gate”-conformation (Fig. 2b).78 It is not yet known, if such an increased diameter which was not observed in the cryo-EM structure of the E. coli ClpP/ClpA complex (‘ClpAP’) might present a special case exclusive to the ClpP/ADEP complex or if it occurs in a similar form also during the ClpP/Clp-ATPase interaction. In contrast to the structured terminal β-hairpins of the E. coli ClpP/ADEP crystals, the axial pores seemed unstructured in ClpP crystals from B. subtilis in complex with ADEP. This difference was attributed to tight packing of the B. subtilis ClpP/ADEP complexes in the crystals, while the E. coli crystals showed less tight packing in the N-terminal region. Thus, it was proposed that the N-terminal loops of ClpP/ADEP indeed form a structured channel (Fig. 2b).66,68 Bound ADEP molecules provide additional hydrophobic anchor points for the N-terminal tail of ClpP.67 The whole ClpP/ADEP complex

**Fig. 3** Operation mode of the Clp protease system and deregulation by ADEP. (a) In the dormant, inactive state the ClpP barrel is either not assembled or, if already assembled, the axial pores are closed. Proteins are not degraded by ClpP when on its own. (b) In the natural context, substrates are recognized by the Clp-ATPase via speciﬁc degradation tags and with the aid of adaptor proteins. The Clp-ATPase docks to the “hydrophobic pockets” of ClpP via surface loops presenting a conserved tripeptide signal, thereby initiating assembly of the ClpP tetradecamer in a conformation competent for catalysis. Furthermore, the Clp-ATPase actively unfolds the protein substrate using ATP hydrolysis and threads it into the entry pores of the catalytic chamber. (c) ADEP binding to the “hydrophobic pockets” of ClpP also assembles the ClpP barrel in a catalytically competent state. By steric hindrance, ADEP efﬁciently prevents the interaction of ClpP with the Clp-ATPases. As one consequence, none of the natural protein substrates can be degraded any more. As a second consequence, ClpP pores open and some protein substrates and nascent polypeptide chains necessary for bacterial growth and survival are now degraded (dual mechanism). Degradation tags are not required for ADEP-mediated protein degradation.

**aureus** but show no growth inhibition in in vitro assays for antibacterial activity.82

The ADEP mode of killing in ﬁrmicutes is uncontrolled proteolysis by the ClpP/ADEP complex, i.e. proteins that are not tagged for degradation or recognized by adaptor proteins are still unspeciﬁcally targeted by ClpP. ADEP binding circumvents the above mentioned safeguards and initiates structural shifts that enable ClpP to degrade the loosely folded model substrate casein and nascent polypeptide chains in an unregulated fashion.1,17,70 The overactivated ClpP causes degradation of bacterial cell division protein FtsZ, as demonstrated in B. subtilis, S. aureus, and Wolbachia sp., resulting in cell division inhibition and eventually cell death.93,94 Furthermore, Conlon et al. performed proteomic analysis of non-replicating methicillin-resistant S. aureus after long-term exposure to ADEP and identiﬁed decreased abundance in 417 proteins compared to a non-treated control.11

Besides acyldepsipeptide antibiotics, a number of compounds have been described to target the Clp protease (Fig. 4). A non-peptide-based natural product activator of ClpP, sclerotiamide, has recently been identiﬁed in a screening for β-casein degradation.95 As of yet, there is no available data on neither the mechanism of ClpP binding nor antibacterial activity of this compound. In comparison to the natural product ADEP1, casein degradation was slow and rather high concentrations of sclerotiamide were required. Furthermore, sclerotiamide activity was restricted to ClpP from E. coli and ClpP from B. subtilis could not be activated. So far, ADEP is the only natural product activator that is conﬁrmed to target ClpP from a wide variety of organisms.
displays reduced structural flexibility, as demonstrated in hydrogen/deuterium exchange experiments. In this study, ClpP was incubated in a deuterated solution both in absence and in presence of ADEP1. Hydrogen/deuterium exchange as a measure of flexibility occurred to a lesser extent in the presence of ADEP1, especially in the equatorial plane where the α5-helices are located. This finding underlines the allosteric nature of the ClpP/ADEP interaction. Structural dynamics in regions of the ClpP macromolecule distant from the ADEP binding site are affected. For some years, pore gating has been considered to be the only structural determinant of ADEP-mediated activation. However, recent data reveal additional activating rearrangements (see below).

3.3 Disruption of the functional ClpP–Clp-ATPase interaction kills mycobacteria

3.3.1 Cyclomarine A. A non-ribosomal cyclic heptapeptide called cyclomarin A (CymA, Fig. 4) was isolated from a marine streptomycete. It was later found to show potent bactericidal activity against a panel of multidrug-resistant M. tuberculosis strains suggesting a novel mechanism of action. Subjecting non-replicating persisters to 2.5 μM of CymA killed 90% of the initial inoculum within 5 days. The resistance frequency was below 10^{-9} and attempts to select for a resistant mutant failed. The antibacterial activity of CymA was specific to mycobacteria whereas five other strains, Gram-positive and Gram-negative
alike, were non-susceptible. Affinity chromatography with an immobilized cyclomycin A derivative was performed and revealed the mycobacterial Clp/Hsp100 chaperone ClpC1 as the molecular target. Expression of selective domains of ClpC1 showed that CymA binds to the N-terminal domain.99 In B. subtilis ClpC, substrate specificity and recognition is mediated by either adaptor proteins like MecA or arginine phosphorylation of substrate by MsClB.88,100-102 Both MecA and phosphate-marked substrates bind to the N-terminal domain of ClpC. A requirement for adaptor-mediated substrate delivery has not been reported for mycobacterial ClpC1, so far, and ClpP1P2 is capable of in vitro casein degradation with the help of ClpC1 alone. There is evidence, however, that PknB-mediated phosphorylation is a determinant of substrate binding by ClpC1 in mycobacteria.103 Crystal structures demonstrate that CymA binding to ClpC1 occurs close to a region that corresponds to the MecA interacting site of ClpC from B. subtilis.99,104 The precise mode of action is still to be investigated, but an involvement of CymA in ClpC1 substrate recognition is discussed. Vasudevan et al. proposed that CymA binding decreases flexibility in the ClpC1 N-terminal domains, rendering its substrate entry pore more accessible.99 They furthermore interpreted a decreased GFP signal in Mycobacterium smegmatis upon treatment with CymA as an increased in vivo GFP degradation activity of the CymA stimulated Clp protease.96 On a cautionary note, decrease of GFP fluorescence has also been observed in vitro as a result of E. coli Clp-ATPase mediated unfolding activity, independent of degradation.61,105 Therefore, it has been rightly stated that uncoupling the Clp-ATPase from its requirement for certain N-blocked agonist peptides for activation of ClpP1P2 with both ClpC1 and ClpX significantly accounted for ADEP activity about twofold.94 Although some contribution of efflux cannot be disregarded, impaired uptake through the mycobacterial cell envelope and a different mode of action of ADEP in mycobacteria compared to firmicutes might more strongly account for the difference in potency. A conditional clpP1P2 knockdown strain in Mycobacterium bovis abolished an increased susceptibility to ADEP at reduced ClpP1P2 levels.111 This behavior is in stark contrast to B. subtilis, where down-regulation of ClpP leads to increased resistance, and indicates that ADEP acts through inhibition of Clp protease function in mycobacteria.113 Furthermore, while ADEP was able to activate mycobacterial ClpP1P2 to degrade casein in vitro, this was only possible when agonist peptides, such as carboxybenezyl-leucyl-leucine (Z-LL), were also present, and ADEP was inferior to ClpC1 in activating ClpP1P2. Mycobacterial ClpP1P2 is special in its requirement for certain N-blocked agonist peptides for activation in vitro.97,111 It was suggested that ClpP1P2 activation within the mycobacterial cell requires binding of the partner Clp-ATPase in combination with active delivery of protein substrate.111 In accordance, ADEP induced in vitro casein digestion by ClpP1P2 only when the substrate mimetic Z-LL was also present and could not activate ClpP1P2 independently.111 In contrast, ADEP alone efficiently suppressed association of ClpP1P2 with both ClpC1 and ClpX in vitro.87,112 Unlike firmicutes, Clp protease activity is essential for growth of mycobacteria under all conditions and proteomic studies in a ClpP1P2 knockout strain showed the accumulation of the toxic transcription factor WhiB.114 Based on the mode of action data available, ADEP kills mycobacteria by abrogating the communication of ClpP1P2 with its partnering Clp-ATPases and consequently by inhibiting the natural functions of the Clp protease system. ClpC1 binders like ecumin or lassomycin also disturb communication between ClpC1 and ClpP1P2, but they additionally affect ATPase activity of ClpC1 which probably also perturbs ClpP1P2-independent functions of the chaperone. The
higher potency of these compounds might, at least in part, be attributed to this additional mechanistic effect. In addition, increased uptake might occur.

4 Molecular interaction between ADEP and ClpP

4.1 ADEP structure–activity-relationship (SAR)

4.1.1 ADEP. The main component of the acyldepsipeptide A54556 natural product complex termed ADEP1 (A54556 factor A, Fig. 5) consists of a peptidolactone macrocyclic core coupled to an N-acylphenylalanine moiety via an amide bond.\textsuperscript{\textdegree}\textsuperscript{\textcircled{\textdegree}} Importantly, the structure suggested in the original patent from 1985 was not correct as it indicated a methyl group at the proline moiety which is coloured in black in Fig. 5.\textsuperscript{\textdegree}\textsuperscript{\textcircled{\textdegree}} Fig. 4 and 5 depict the structure that was later revised, carrying the methyl group at the other proline residue (blue in Fig. 5).\textsuperscript{\textdegree}\textsuperscript{\textcircled{\textdegree}} ADEP1 showed already good antibacterial activity \textit{in vitro} against enterococci, including vancomycin-resistant strains (VRE), streptococci, including penicillin-resistant \textit{Streptococcus pneumoniae} (PRSP), as well as moderate activity against staphylococci, including methicillin-resistant \textit{S. aureus} (MRSA).\textsuperscript{\textdegree}\textsuperscript{\textcircled{\textdegree}} Limitations in potency and chemical as well as metabolic stability were addressed in a derivatization program.\textsuperscript{\textdegree}\textsuperscript{\textcircled{\textdegree}} In the course of this medicinal chemistry optimization, several structural requirements were revealed: the aliphatic side chain (red in Fig. 5) does not tolerate polar substituents, the $\alpha,\beta$ double bond is crucial for good activity and has to be in trans-configuration, the C$\alpha$ stereocenter of the phenylalanine moiety (green in Fig. 5) must be S-configured and the alanine (purple in Fig. 5) requires an N-terminally attached methyl group. Furthermore, 3,5-bisfluorination of the phenyl moiety and introduction of pipecolate at the alanine (purple) for increased rigidity led to enhanced potency. The methyl group of the methylproline residue (blue) is also important for potency (Fig. 5). Substitutions at the phenylalanine benzene ring showed a very tight SAR. Fluorination in position 3 improved activity somewhat and 3,3-bisfluorination led to a strong improvement of MIC values against staphylococci, streptococci and enterococci. However, introduction of an additional fluorine substituent in position 4 (yielding 3,4,5-fluorination) was detrimental.\textsuperscript{\textdegree}\textsuperscript{\textcircled{\textdegree}} This is in accordance with the spatial limitations of the narrow binding groove occupied by the benzene ring where 4-fluorination possibly clashes sterically. 3,5-Bisfluorination on the other hand is well accommodated by a polar environment of aspartic acid and threonine side chains within the ClpP binding pocket.\textsuperscript{\textdegree}\textsuperscript{\textcircled{\textdegree}} The poly-unsaturated aliphatic side chain of ADEP1 was susceptible to temperature and light exposure. Removal of the triene functionality led to increased chemical stability while length and hydrophobicity of the alkyl side chain are important for potency. A heptenoyl moiety proved to be ideal in length for activity against staphylococci.\textsuperscript{\textdegree}\textsuperscript{\textcircled{\textdegree}} Applying these optimizations led to the synthesis of ADEP4, an improved congener with antibacterial \textit{in vitro} and \textit{in vivo} activity superior to natural product ADEP1, and in the range of antibiotics currently in clinical use.\textsuperscript{\textdegree}\textsuperscript{\textcircled{\textdegree}}
4.1.2 ADEP4 N-acylphenylalanine moiety. To dissect the relevance of ADEP sub-structures, several fragments were synthesized. Interestingly, the N-acylphenylalanine portion by itself is necessary and sufficient for in vitro activity even though it is not very potent.115 Enzymatic assays showed cooperativity similar to full-size ADEP congeners suggesting a similar binding mechanism. The peptidolactone macrocycle adds to potency but is inactive on its own. Thus, the acylphenylalanine part poses the minimal structural requirement for the ADEP effect.116 Compounds including parts of the macrocyclic core show increased apparent binding constants compared to the mere N-acylphenylalanine moiety.115 By providing additional contacts to the hydrophobic pocket the macrocycle improves affinity to achieve higher potency.

4.1.3 B315. Rigidifying the N-methylalanine region of the macrocycle by incorporating pipolic acid enhanced potency significantly, as exemplified by the ADEP4 congener (Fig. 5). The search for more rigid compounds becomes self-evident when taking into account that, in principle, a reduced entropic cost of the binding event leads to increased potency. Analogues of ADEP4 with further modifications of the peptidolate moiety were also synthesized.6 The 4-methylpiperolate congener B315, for instance, showed potent in vitro activity against VRE and MRSA.8 Additional modifications in this position led to 4-isopropylpiperolate (derivative not shown) and the serine residue within the macrocycle was exchanged with allo-threonine.8 In general, rigidifying the peptidolactone backbone in these positions improved antibacterial activity and allowed for stronger ClpP activation with the exception of modifications that challenged the ClpP binding pocket sterically (4-isopropylpiperolate). Interestingly, introduction of a methylpiperolate showed slightly decreased activity against S. pneumoniae and E. faecalis compared to piperolate (compound 1c compared to 1b in ref. 9), but, in combination with the allo-threonine, a synergistic effect was reported (compound 1g compared to 1f in ref. 9).9 Within the context of congener series compared directly to each other, exchange of the serine residue with allo-threonine and 4-methylpolation of the piperolate moiety proved to be the macrocycle rigidifications most beneficial for MIC values against staphylococci, streptococci and enterococci as well as for ClpP activation.9 The resulting ADEP B315 (compound 1g in ref. 9) was later tested in vivo and proved effective in mice infected with methicillin-susceptible as well as methicillin-resistant S. aureus with desmethyl-ADEP4 and vancomycin as benchmarks.116 These findings confirm that rigidification as a pharmacological principle can indeed be applied to the ADEP peptidolactone macrocycle for improved ClpP binding. However, it is noteworthy, that Carney et al., who had presented ADEP B315 as the ADEP derivative with strongest in vitro activity by then, had not compared it side-by-side to the ADEP4 congener.9 A more recent study including ADEP4 (compound 7 in ref. 10) and ADEP B315 (compound 8 in ref. 10) shows ADEP4 to be superior in activity against a MRSA strain and inferior against a VRE strain.118 A B315 congener including the activity-relevant methyl-proline (compound 22 in ref. 10) was also part of this study and did not add to the in vitro activity of ADEP B315.

4.1.4 Compound 26. In an attempt to optimize the ADEP structure for activity against Gram-negative bacteria, compound 26 was synthesized, which includes the allo-threonine, the methyl-proline, the piperolate acid modification, and an octanoyl aliphatic side chain containing a diene functionality also present in the natural product factor D.26 This diene functionality improved stability compared to the natural product triene of factor A at ambient conditions. The increase in length to eight carbons with respect to ADEP4 raises the question whether the spatial limitations for the aliphatic side chain might be related to firmcutes. Compound 26 achieved increased activity compared to ADEP4 against chloramphenicol-resistant Neisseria gonorrhoeae and activity against an E. coli mutant with a compromised outer membrane but not the wild type.

4.2 ADEP - biological activity

Synthetic ADEP congeners, including ADEP4, B315 and compound 26 (Fig. 4) have MIC values in the low nanomolar range against a broad panel of Gram-positive pathogens.6,9,10 ADEP4 cured mice with lethal systemic S. aureus infections where ADEP1 failed due to limited antibacterial activity, poor chemical stability and high metabolic clearance.5,7 ADEP4 also outmatched marketed linezolid in murine lethal systemic infections caused by S. aureus, S. pneumoniae or E. faecalis and B315 was more effective than vancomycin in reducing the bacterial load of S. aureus in livers and kidneys of mice.5,10 Furthermore, ADEP4 showed exceptional activity against persister cells of S. aureus. In side-by-side experiments with stationary cells, where ciprofloxacin, linezolid, rifampicin and vancomycin were literally inactive, ADEP4 reduced the number of colony forming units of S. aureus by 4 log units.11 When ADEP4 was combined with either ciprofloxacin, or linezolid, or rifampicin, bacteria were eradicated to the level of detection.11 A combination treatment of ADEP4 and rifampicin also eradicated S. aureus from a biofilm that had developed during a deep-seated thigh infection in neutropenic mice.11 ADEP was also effective in killing a persisting Enterococcus faecium strain isolated from a neutropenic patient.117 ADEP4 at 0.2 μM eradicated this clinical isolate even in a preformed biofilm, whereas vancomycin and daptomycin failed at 256 and 50 μg ml−1, respectively.117 The antibacterial potency of ADEPs proves the potential of ClpP’s hydrophobic pocket as a druggable target site, where bactericidal and anti-persister activity can be achieved covering a broad spectrum of bacteria. ClpP mutations, which were observed in firmcutes during ADEP treatment under moderate growth conditions in vitro, should play less of a role under the stressed conditions of the infection process, where ClpP is essential for virulence and fitness.5,24,25,113 Nonetheless, combination therapy is probably the therapeutic application strategy for ADEP, also considering the observed synergy against persisters.

Specificity of ADEPs for procaryotes is high and eukaryotic cells are not affected up to the micromolar concentration range.5,118 Recently, a first organ histology study was published.116 Histological analyses of liver and kidney sections from healthy mice treated with 50 mg kg−1 B315 did not indicate any
tissue toxicity, whereas vancomycin, which had been run in parallel, showed pronounced kidney toxicity as obvious from vacuolization of kidney cells and protein accumulation. Despite these promising preliminary studies on in vivo efficacy, the current leads require further improvement, e.g. with regard to solubility (for intravenous application), metabolism, and chemical stability. When considering combination therapy, pharmacokinetic and pharmacodynamics parameters of the partners must also be compatible and drug–drug interaction must be avoided. Efforts concerning pharmacological optimization are underway.

4.3 Interaction of ADEP with the hydrophobic pocket of ClpP

The ADEP binding pocket ranges over two neighboring ClpP subunits. In accordance with the minimal structural requirement for ADEP to take effect, the aliphatic side chain and the benzene ring of the phenylalanine are buried deeply within the hydrophobic pocket of ClpP (Fig. 6b). The relevance of this part of the molecule is represented by the number of its hydrophobic interactions (Fig. 6a). As each ADEP molecule establishes direct contacts with two neighboring ClpP subunits within the same ring, the stabilizing effects within the heptameric ring are obvious. When studying the surface model of B. subtilis ClpP with bound ADEP1, the structural conﬁnements of the hydrophobic side-chain in terms of length as well as sterical limitations for substituents at the benzene ring become apparent. Modelling of a superimposition of the Helicobacter pylori ClpX LGF-loop extracted from a HpClpX crystal structure with an ADEP structure from an ADEP-bound E. coli ClpP crystal, suggests that the ADEP phenyl moiety and aliphatic side chain mimic binding of the LGF motif (corresponding consensus sequence in E. coli: IGF). Both the N-acylphenylalanine moiety of ADEP1 and the LGF motif overlap closely (Fig. 7c). The LGF leucine side chain extends into the channel that is otherwise occupied by the ADEP aliphatic side chain. The phenylalanine moiety of ADEP1 closely overlaps with the LGF phenylalanine. This is especially remarkable considering that the N-acylphenylalanine moiety, which closely resembles the (L/ I)-GF-motif of ClpX, is also the minimal structural requirement for ADEP activity (see Section 4.1). Modifications in solvent-exposed regions of the macrocycle are promising for further compound optimization in terms of physiochemistry and ADME, whereas the potential for improvement of the N-acylphenylalanine part of ADEP seems limited due to the strict SAR and space limitations of the binding pocket. The ADEP binding pocket presents a hot spot for ClpP modulation and serves as a model target for protein–protein-interaction modulators. Efforts to screen for potential alternative ClpP binders have been undertaken with first positive results.

Recently, discovery of a gain-of-function ClpP mutant of S. aureus provided new insight into the architectural properties of the ADEP binding site. The Tyr62 residue of B. subtilis ClpP had already been shown to form two hydrogen bonds and also hydrophobic interactions with ADEP1 (Fig. 6a). The H-bonds are formed between the tyrosine residue and the macrocycle backbone as well as the proximal part of the aliphatic chain.

Hydrophobic interactions with the benzene ring of the ADEP phenylalanine residue further anchor the molecule. Upon closer look, Ni et al. found that said tyrosine residue was rotated by approximately 90° in the ADEP-bound structures of BsClpP, EcClpP, and MtClpP1P2/agonist when compared to the apo forms of the respective ClpPs. They argue that the energy barrier for adopting this rotated conformation is probably too high in the apo form of ClpP. Mutating Tyr63 in S. aureus, the corresponding
tyrosine residue according to SaClpP nomenclature, to an alanine, however, led to a rotated peptide backbone even in the absence of activator and bestowed β-casein and even FtsZ degradation capability onto ClpP alone. This marked an important step in the elucidation of the ADEP pocket organization and possibly revealed the key switch for turning on the protease.

4.4 ADEP exerts conformational control over the entire ClpP barrel

ADEPs provide an elegant means for investigating the implications of “filling” the hydrophobic binding pocket of ClpP (Fig. 7). N-Terminal gate closure serves as a safeguard against uncontrolled digestion of proteins. A gated pore mechanism has long been presumed to be the critical factor in ClpP control. The available data however reveal a more global regulatory principle that includes additional safety measures.

Cumulative evidence indicates that the conformational control of ClpP by ADEP reaches beyond the N-terminal region lining the central entrance pore. Thermal shift assays revealed that ADEP binding increases the overall folding stability of the S. aureus ClpP complex. In contrast to wild type ClpP from S. aureus, the catalytic site mutant D172N showed no residual peptidase activity in in vitro degradation assays but could be successfully activated by addition of either ADEPs or ClpX to degrade full-length protein and small peptides. Small angle X-ray scattering analysis showed a more compacted conformation for the D172N mutant with no difference in oligomeric state. ADEPs promote the extended conformation in this mutant that is otherwise only observed in a compacted conformation. Hydrogen–deuterium exchange experiments using E. coli ClpP showed a remarkable increase in rigidity of the handle region after addition of ADEP. The concept that “filling” the hydrophobic pocket stabilizes the handle in an extended conformation and the catalytic site in a competent conformation is in line with the crystallographic data. This is remarkable insofar as the hydrophobic pocket is connected via intramolecular relays not just to the N-terminal region but also the more distant handle region. Recent insights into the workings of M. tuberculosis ClpP are particularly interesting in this respect. M. tuberculosis encodes two ClpP paralogs on a single operon, namely clpP1 and clpP2. In the presence of an agonist peptide, ClpP1 and ClpP2 arrange into heterotetradecameric ClpP1P2 complexes in vitro, composed of two homoheptameric ClpP1 and ClpP2 rings, respectively. This heterotetradecameric interacts asymmetrically with cognate Clp-ATPases ClpX and ClpC1 which bind only to ClpP2. Remarkably, pore opening was still triggered at the ClpP1 ring. Thus, the conformation rearrangement that ADEP binding sets in motion must propagate from the hydrophobic pockets of ClpP2 via the ring–ring interface to the N-termini of ClpP1 over a distance of approximately 90 Å. This finding implies a long-distance relay within the complex that extends beyond single subunits.

To determine whether ADEP binding also affects the catalytic centers of ClpP, known inhibitors have been deployed in combination with ADEP treatment. β-Lactones are covalent inhibitors of the ClpP peptidase (suicide inhibitors). Instead of a peptide bond, the active site serine attacks the carbonyl atom of the β-lactone ring. This event opens the ring to establish an acyl–ester linkage with the inhibitor which is much more stable than the acyl–ester intermediate in polypeptide degradation. The following hydrolysis reaction regenerating the active site serine is substantially slowed (see catalysis mechanism of serine proteases in Fig. 1b). When ClpP was exposed to ADEP and β-lactone in combination, the catalytic efficiency of the first step, i.e. β-lactone binding, was two-fold accelerated for all β-lactone inhibitors employed despite varying side-chains. Even more striking was the stimulating effect of ADEP on the β-lactone hydrolysis reaction. Hydrolysis rates of the bound inhibitors were stimulated from two-fold to approximately 20-fold depending on the β-lactone structure tested. Notably, ADEPs affect the hydrolysis activity independently of the open state of the axial pores and stimulate catalysis as allosteric activators.
In conclusion, the hydrophobic pocket serves as a sort of “master switch” to turn the whole complex into an “on”-state. Turning the switch leads to the formation of an active extended conformation of ClpP, accelerated substrate turnover by the catalytic sites, widened axial pores, and an overall more stable and structurally less flexible machine. Taking a closer look at the geometry of the ADEP binding pocket provides a clue as to how ADEPs may achieve this transition. Modeling of ADEP1 (as well as ADEP derivatives) into the binding pocket of the inactive compressed ClpP structure from B. subtilis predicts a sterical clash of the aliphatic side chain (Fig. 7a). Thus, ADEPs can be regarded as sterical locks fixing ClpP in the active extended form and restricting transition to the inactive compressed form. This idea is further supported by the complete lack of compressed structures that have been co-crystallized with ADEP. It has been established that ClpP is a highly dynamic macromolecule. We propose a model in which ClpP resides in an equilibrium between an active and inactive state and transiently adopts all of the above mentioned states. However, ADEP binding strongly shifts this equilibrium towards the active state via conformational control (Fig. 8). ClpP functions like a clockwork where each cogwheel is tightly interconnected. Mutation of a single amino acid residue can alter the structural organization of the whole macromolecule, for instance the active site mutation D172N, which results in a more compacted structure. Mutation of the Y63 to alanine enables an otherwise tightly controlled peptidase to degrade a folded protein substrate. Therefore, structural shifts at one position are accompanied by a cascade of structural rearrangements that span the whole macromolecule. This structural interdependency is most vividly demonstrated by the observation that ADEP binding to the ClpP2 ring leads to pore opening in the opposing ClpP1 ring of the mycobacterial ClpP1P2 complex. According to this model, ADEP binding is the equivalent to blocking one cogwheel with an iron rod and, as a consequence, bringing the whole clockwork to a halt.

4.5 Comparison between ADEP-mediated and Clp-ATPase-mediated activation of ClpP

To this day, no co-crystallization of ClpP with any partner Clp-ATPase has been achieved. ADEPs have served as a tool to investigate the interaction between ClpP and its cognate unfoldases. Some of the findings gained by investigating the interaction between ADEP and ClpP have been transferred to Clp-ATPase/ClpP interaction. But, there are differences. Clp-ATPases actively unfold and thread the unfolded protein strand into the catalytic space of ClpP. In cryo-EM structures with Clp-ATPases, the axial gate of ClpP appeared smaller than in the “open-gate”-conformation of the ClpP/ADEP crystal structures. The α5-helices of ClpP can adopt an unstructured

Fig. 8 Current model of ClpP conformational control by ADEPs. ClpP resides in a natural equilibrium between the inactive compressed and the active extended states. None of these states are proteolytically competent because the digestion chamber is inaccessible for proteins. ADEP binding shifts this equilibrium towards the active extended state, opens the axial entry pores and, in addition, enhances catalytic efficiency.
conformation without destroying the oligomeric state and several studies suggest ClpP dynamics to be crucial in the interaction with Clp-ATPases.\textsuperscript{38} While this motion of ClpP has been suggested to be part of a natural cycle required for catalysis and product release, dynamics of ADEP-mediated activity might be different.

In hydrogen exchange experiments, ADEP binding has been shown to induce a rigidification of the α5-helices in the equatorial plane.\textsuperscript{66} As ADEPs binds to the hydrophobic pockets of ClpP with high affinity, it can be assumed that many, if not all, hydrophobic pockets are occupied by ADEP molecules at the same time. Clp-ATPase binding is comparatively weak and one Clp-ATPase hexamer can never fill more than six hydrophobic pockets at once. In accordance with the notion that “filling” the hydrophobic pocket enforces the active extended state, weak and partial binding by Clp-ATPases might have evolved to ensure ClpP dynamics important for natural functions. Here, we propose that the tight ADEP binding event shifts the dynamic equilibrium of ClpP more strongly towards the active extended conformation than binding of Clp-ATPases does. In that case, equatorial pore opening would occur to a smaller extent due to predominantly extended handles. The widened axial pore of the ClpP/ADEP complex then could not only allow for substrate entry but also, at least partially, accommodate product exit, thus altering the presumed operation mode of the structurally versatile ClpP.

## 5 Outlook

The bacterial Clp protease is a novel potential drug target that had not included in the large antibacterial screening campaigns of pharma companies in the 1990s/2000s due to its inessentiality for growth of most pathogens under moderate conditions and due to the fact that mechanism-wise only inhibition but not activation and deregulation of a target were envisioned. It took the study of ADEP as a natural product to understand the elaborate mechanism by which a protease machine can be taken out of its natural context and misdirected to kill multi-resistant and persisting bacterial pathogens. Bacterial Clp protease activity can be deregulated in a multi-faceted way with two different major consequences. Abrogating the interaction or productive enzymatic cycle between the proteolytic core ClpP and its cognate Clp-ATPases prevents all natural functions of the protease in general and regulated proteolysis. This conclusion is achieved by known modulators blocking either the hydrophobic pocket of ClpP (ADEP) or by binding to the N-terminus of the mycobacterial Clp-ATPase ClpC1 (lissomyacin, ecumycin, and potentially cyclomarin A). In addition, extensive conformational control of ClpP by ADEP leads to unregulated proteolysis and the degradation of vital bacterial proteins. Notably, it is the latter aspect by which ClpP as a non-essential target in firmicutes leads to bactericidal activity. Since the first report of ClpP as the target of ADEP about ten years ago, four additional and structurally unrelated natural product classes (Fig. 4) have been discovered to act on the Clp protease by either binding to ClpP or the cognate Clp-ATPase partner. It is likely that further natural product modulators of the Clp system remain to be found.
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