

**Showcasing research from Dr Francisco Fernandez-Trillo's laboratory, School of Chemistry, University of Birmingham, United Kingdom.**

Engineering microbial physiology with synthetic polymers: cationic polymers induce biofilm formation in *Vibrio cholerae* and downregulate the expression of virulence genes

We report the first application of non-bactericidal synthetic polymers to modulate the physiology of human pathogen, *Vibrio cholerae*. Using a combination of phenotypic and transcriptional assays, we demonstrate that upon clustering, biofilm production is increased, while key virulence factors such as the cholera toxin are repressed. As a result, these polymers can reduce the pathogenic burden in both in vitro and in vivo models. We anticipate that these findings will be beneficial in the development of novel cost-effective prophylactic or therapeutic polymers, that can engineer microbial responses in unprecedented ways.

## **As featured in:**



See Francisco Fernandez-Trillo, Anne Marie Krachler *et al.*, *Chem. Sci.*, 2017, **8**, 5291.

rsc.li/chemical-science



# **Chemical Science**

# EDGE ARTICLE



Cite this: Chem. Sci., 2017, 8, 5291

Received 9th February 2017 Accepted 10th May 2017

DOI: 10.1039/c7sc00615b

rsc.li/chemical-science

### Introduction

Infectious diseases are one of the greatest medical challenges today, because of the increase in occurrence of antimicrobial resistance in bacterial infections.<sup>1,2</sup> Thus, new approaches to tackle these diseases are needed. In particular novel approaches that can replace or enhance current antimicrobials are highly desired.<sup>3-5</sup> Polymeric materials have often been postulated as alternatives in these areas, either as delivery vehicles for antimicrobials,<sup>6,7</sup> or as novel antimicrobial polymers,<sup>8-11</sup> and materials that can interfere with microbial adhesion.<sup>12</sup>–<sup>15</sup> Polymeric

# Engineering microbial physiology with synthetic polymers: cationic polymers induce biofilm formation in Vibrio cholerae and downregulate the expression of virulence genes†

Nicolas Perez-Soto[,](http://orcid.org/0000-0002-5134-9910) **D**<sup>ab</sup> Lauren Moule,<sup>ab</sup> Daniel N. Crisan, D<sup>bc</sup> Ignacio Insua, D<sup>bc</sup> Leanne M. Taylor-Smith[,](http://orcid.org/0000-0003-0973-4353)<sup>ab</sup> Kerstin Voelz, Dab Francisco Fernandez-Trillo D<sup>\*bc</sup> and Anne Ma[r](http://orcid.org/0000-0002-0936-0016)ie Krachler<sup>D \*abd</sup>

Here we report the first application of non-bactericidal synthetic polymers to modulate the physiology of a bacterial pathogen. Poly(N-[3-(dimethylamino)propyl] methacrylamide) (P1) and poly(N-(3-aminopropyl) methacrylamide) (P2), cationic polymers that bind to the surface of V. cholerae, the infectious agent causing cholera disease, can sequester the pathogen into clusters. Upon clustering, V. cholerae transitions to a sessile lifestyle, characterised by increased biofilm production and the repression of key virulence factors such as the cholera toxin (CTX). Moreover, clustering the pathogen results in the minimisation of adherence and toxicity to intestinal epithelial cells. Our results suggest that the reduction in toxicity is associated with the reduction to the number of free bacteria, but also the downregulation of toxin production. Finally we demonstrate that these polymers can reduce colonisation of zebrafish larvae upon ingestion of water contaminated with V. cholerae. Overall, our results suggest that the physiology of this pathogen can be modulated without the need to genetically manipulate the microorganism and that this modulation is an off-target effect that results from the intrinsic ability of the pathogen to sense and adapt to its environment. We believe these findings pave the way towards a better understanding of the interactions between pathogenic bacteria and polymeric materials and will underpin the development of novel antimicrobial polymers. EDGE ARTICLE<br>
(a) Check for updates<br> **Engineering microbial physiology with synthetic<br>
cover so, 2012 8:201<br>
Cover so and Anne Marie** 

materials are especially attractive in these applications because of their multivalency, ease of manufacturing and the potential to precisely control polymer length and composition.<sup>16</sup>

Despite this vast progress in polymer technology, and the amount of "antimicrobial" polymeric structures described to date, little is known about the effect of these materials on the physiology of the targeted pathogens. However, the ability of pathogenic bacteria to sense and respond to changes in their environment is responsible for their ability to adapt to different lifestyles, and colonise different niches, including new hosts. For instance, the transition from free-living to an adherent state in bacteria is often mediated by a combination of chemical and physical cues. This transition leads to changes in gene expression, and in microbes with pathogenic potential it can result in the upregulation of virulence genes. At present, our understanding of how polymeric materials may be triggering these complex chemical and physical cues is very limited, and this lack of understanding is compromising our ability to develop these materials.

As a first approach towards this understanding, in this manuscript we report the effect of  $poly(N-[3-(dimethylamino)$ propyl] methacrylamide) (P1) and poly(N-(3-aminopropyl)

YAL SOCIETY<br>**CHEMISTRY** 

a School of Biosciences, University of Birmingham, Edgbaston, B15 2TT Birmingham, UK

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>b</sup>Institute of Microbiology and Infection, University of Birmingham, Edgbaston, B15 2TT Birmingham, UK. E-mail: f.fernandez-trillo@bham.ac.uk

c School of Chemistry, University of Birmingham, Edgbaston, B15 2TT Birmingham, UK <sup>d</sup>Department of Microbiology and Molecular Genetics, University of Texas McGovern Medical School at Houston, Houston, TX, 77030, USA. E-mail: anne.marie. krachler@uth.tmc.edu

<sup>†</sup> Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available: Experimental details, including polymer synthesis and characterisation, biological assays, and further microscopy images. See DOI: 10.1039/c7sc00615b



Scheme 1 Schematic representation of V. cholerae infection and the effect of the polymers reported in this work. (A) V. cholerae infection is associated with the colonisation of the gut epithelia and the upregulation of biofilm formation and virulence. (B) In the presence of the polymers reported herein, colonisation is minimised by sequestering the pathogen in clusters. Additionally, a repression of virulence is observed.

methacrylamide) (P2) on the human pathogen Vibrio cholerae. We demonstrate that cationic polymeric materials that can sequester this pathogen into clusters and thus have the potential to inhibit its adhesion to the host, result in a complex modulation of virulence factors and gene expression. While biofilm production is increased, other virulence factors are suppressed, including the main causative agent of the cholera disease, the cholera toxin. Finally, we demonstrate that these polymeric materials can indeed inhibit the colonisation by V. cholerae of relevant in vitro and in vivo models (Scheme 1).

#### Results and discussion

V. cholerae is a Gram-negative bacterium responsible for several million incidences of gastrointestinal disease and up to 142 000 deaths every year.17,18 Within the human host, the bacterium initiates a virulence programme including the induction of colonisation factors and toxins, in response to the chemical and

physical cues experienced during colonisation and adhesion. In aquatic environments, *V. cholerae* persists by forming biofilms on the surfaces of phytoplankton, zooplankton and chitin debris.<sup>19,20</sup> Biofilms offer a protective environment both against aquatic predators or in the host environment. Overall, the ability to switch between motile and biofilm lifestyles, along with the carefully controlled induction of virulence factors, is central to the establishment of disease and the emergence of cholera epidemics.<sup>21,22</sup>

Cholera is a particular concern in environments where there is poor sanitation and thus, access to clean water is not available. Therefore, efforts have been made to develop prophylactic approaches to the treatment of cholera, including the development of vaccines,<sup>23</sup> or filtration devices to clean water. Most of these filtration devices simply physically restrict the passage of V. cholerae (and other pathogens) through the pores of a membrane, although recent efforts are being made to develop polymeric materials that can selectively bind to microbial strains.<sup>24,25</sup> Based on our previous work with closely related microorganism Vibrio harveyi,<sup>26,27</sup> we decided to investigate what would be the response of V. cholerae to polymeric materials designed to bind to its surface and sequester the pathogen into clusters. Previously reported microbial sequestrant poly(N-[3- (dimethylamino)propyl] methacrylamide) (P1) 27,28 was synthetised via free radical polymerisation, using 2-mercaptoethanol as a chain-transfer agent (see ESI: Section 4.1, Fig. S1† for experimental details and characterisation). This polymer carrying tertiary amine residues should be mainly protonated at physiological pH providing an overall polycationic charge.<sup>28,29</sup> We anticipated that this cationic polymer should therefore bind to the surface of negatively charged V. cholerae, and sequester the microorganism into clusters. Optical microscopy revealed that cluster formation proceeded via initial nucleation of small layers or sheets of bacteria, which increased in size both by lateral interaction with additional bacteria, as well as stacking of bacteria on existing sheets to form clusters over the first 15 minutes, and then remained stable over the duration of the experiment (Fig. 1A and B). Polymer-induced bacterial clusters were stable and had an extended three-dimensional structure (Fig. 1C and S3†). This capture of bacteria in polymer clusters also compromised motility, as evidenced by the reduced migration in soft agar plates of *V. cholerae* in the presence of P1 (Fig. S4†). This effect was dose dependent, with lower motility Openical Science<br>
Octaviano **Commons Access Article is article in the set of t** 



Fig. 1 Optical micrographs of V. cholerae N16961 suspensions incubated in PBS (pH 7.4) in the absence (A) and in the presence of P1 (B) at a polymer concentration of 50 or 500  $\mu$ g mL<sup>-1</sup> for 15 or 60 min. Area within the square has been expanded (right row) for clarity. (C) Spinning disk fluorescent micrograph of GFP-V. cholerae N16961 incubated in PBS (pH 7.4) in the presence of P1 at a polymer concentration of 500  $\mu$ g mL<sup>-1</sup> for 15 min. Color coding represents z-depth.



Fig. 2 (A) Relative Fluorescent Units (RFU) for GFP expressing V. cholerae N16961 in the absence and presence of increasing amounts of P1. Initial  $OD_{600} = 0.2$ . Results are means  $\pm$  s.e.m. of three independent experiments. (B) Normalised population of V. cholerae N16961 incubated in the absence and presence of P1. Normalised population is presented as the percentage of green (hollow bars, nonpermeable/viable) and red (grey bars, high permeability/dead) cells as measured by flow cytometry. Bacteria were treated with i-PrOH as a negative control. Initial  $OD_{600} = 1$ . Results are means  $\pm$  s.e.m. of three independent experiments.

observed for the higher concentration of polymer. Full motility was restored upon exposure of clusters to high salt concentration (Fig. S4,† bottom), confirming that clustering was driven by electrostatic interactions that could be easily disrupted in the presence of competing electrolytes.

Having identified that  $P1$  was able to sequester *V. cholerae* into clusters, we then evaluated the viability of the pathogen in the presence of this polymer. Cationic polymers are commonly reported as bactericidal materials,<sup>8-11</sup> although small changes in structure and dose can result in signicant differences in activity and toxicity. This effect is often microbe specific, and in particular P1 has been reported to have a minimum inhibitory concentration of 10-25  $\mu$ g mL<sup>-1</sup> for *Escherichia coli*,<sup>30</sup> while showing no effect on V. harveyi's viability and growth at concentrations as high as 500  $\mu$ g mL $^{-1}$ .<sup>27</sup> Viability in *V. cholerae* was therefore analysed by monitoring the effect of P1 in bacterial proliferation and viability under physiological conditions. Bacterial proliferation is often measured by monitoring the effect of a substance over the optical density at 600 nm  $(OD<sub>600</sub>)$  of a culture of bacteria, since the optical density of the sample can be correlated to the number of bacterial cells in the culture.<sup>31</sup> However, OD<sub>600</sub> can be affected by clustering. The presence of clusters can result in an increase in the optical density of the culture due to the bigger size and slower diffusion of these clusters, or a reduction of  $OD_{600}$ , due to coaccervation of the clusters once they reach a critical size.<sup>24</sup> Due to this limitation, we monitored production of green fluorescent protein (GFP) as a proxy of bacterial growth. Co-incubation of GFP expressing V. cholerae with different concentrations of P1 was followed by monitoring GFP fluorescence over 25 hours which showed that bacterial proliferation was not significantly affected (Fig. 2A). However, it was unclear from these experiments whether there could be cellular damage commonly observed with highly charged cationic polymers. Flow cytometry of bacterial samples exposed to polymers and LIVE/DEAD® cell viability stains allowed us to determine membrane integrity of V. cholerae sequestered in clusters at the experimental endpoint Edge Article.<br>
Published on 16 May 2017. The most of the published on 16 May 2017. Downloaded on 16 May 2017. The most of the state of the state is likely and the state of the state is likely and the state of the state is



Fig. 3 (A) Absorbance at 595 nm for V. cholerae N16961 cultures in the absence and presence of P1. Analysis of variance (ANOVA), followed by Tukey's post hoc test, was used to test for significance. Statistical significance was defined as  $p < 0.05$  (\*),  $p < 0.01$  (\*\*) or  $p <$ 0.001 (\*\*\*). Confocal fluorescence micrographs of GFP expressing V. cholerae N16961 cultures in the absence (B) and presence of 500 µg  $mL^{-1}$  P1 (C). Scale bar, 50  $\mu$ m. Area within the square has been expanded (bottom row) for clarity.

(Fig. 2B). Again, membrane integrity was largely unaffected even following overnight incubation  $(\sim 20$  h).

Having identified that P1 was non-toxic to *V. cholerae*, and that it was able to induce clustering of this pathogen, we then decided to investigate whether the physiology of the pathogen was being affected following this clustering. Visual inspection by optical microscopy suggested similarities between V. cholerae biofilms and these polymer-induced clusters (Fig. 1). Interestingly, infectious V. cholerae are often taken up as small biofilms,



Fig. 4 (A) Schematic depiction of the reporter plasmid (pRW50-oriT) and promoter regions of aphA, toxT, ctxA and tcpA cloned as transcriptional fusions to lacZ in pRW50-oriT. Numbering refers to base number relative to the transcriptional start site and promoter binding sites for HapR, ToxR and ToxT are indicated. (B) Promoter activities of aphA-, toxT-, ctxAB- and tcpA-lacZ fusions following infection of Caco-2 cells for 7 hours in the absence (black) or presence of 500 µg  $mL^{-1}$  P1 (hollow). Student's paired t-test was used to test for significance. Statistical significance was defined as  $p < 0.05$  (\*),  $p < 0.01$  (\*\*),  $p$  $> 0.001$  (\*\*\*), or  $p > 0.0001$  (\*\*\*\*).

from which bacteria escape to colonise the epithelium. Once bound to host cells, bacteria initiate microcolony formation, before eventually exiting the host's gastrointestinal tract, often following re-organisation into biofilms, $32,33$  to cause environmental dispersal and onward-transmission (Scheme 1A). The ability to transition between motile and sessile states is thus key to V. cholerae's virulence regulation. With our polymers bacterial motility was largely abolished, by physical deposition into polymer-based clusters. We therefore investigated whether polymer-induced clustering would also affect in vitro biofilm formation. *V. cholerae* biofilms are mainly composed of *Vibrio* exopolysaccharide  $(VPS)^{22,34}$  which can be stained using crystal violet,<sup>35,36</sup> a chromogenic probe that interacts with negatively charged biopolymers. UV-Vis analysis of these polymer-induced clusters revealed an increased retention of the dye in the presence of P1, suggesting higher levels of extracellular exopolysaccharides (Fig. 3A). Additionally, staining of these cultures with Hoechst revealed increased amounts of extracellular DNA (eDNA) in the presence of P1 (Fig. 3C). eDNA is another common component of *V. cholerae* biofilms, $22$  and in our case, patches of diffuse staining could be seen around the polymerinduced clusters. In an attempt to quantify the levels of eDNA, the intensity of the blue channel was measured (Fig. S6A†). We observed an increase in the intensity of this blue channel for some of the samples incubated with P1, although the variability in the samples prevented quantification of this increase. Interestingly, treatment of polymer-induced biofilms with Chemical Science<br>
from which bacteria escape to colonies the ephbelium. One Torket a both<br>the article in the colonies are corrections to the reduced under the common common common common common common common common common

DNAse I abolished the additional blue fluorescence, confirming the released substance was indeed eDNA (Fig. S6A,† grey dots).

In the environment, transition of V. cholerae from planktonic to biofilm-associated growth leads to suppression of virulencespecific genes. Since sequestration into polymer-induced clusters promoted a sessile state, similar to biofilm-associated growth, we investigated what impact this clustering would have on the transcriptional regulation of key virulence factors. We were particularly interested in the regulation of cholera toxin (CTX), which is the main causative agent of cholera disease. For this purpose, we created a series of transcriptional reporter strains, by introducing, via conjugation, a variant of the pRW50 plasmid containing oriT into V. cholerae (Fig. 4A). Thus, we could follow transcription from V. cholerae promoters using  $\beta$ -galactosidase assays. An infection model with Caco-2 intestinal epithelial cells was used because contact with cultured epithelial cells has been described as a strong activating cue for expression of virulence factors.<sup>37</sup>

The viability of this cell line in the presence of the polymer was assessed first, using a lactate dehydrogenase release (LDH) assay to probe cellular membrane integrity (Fig. S9†). Not surprisingly, P1 compromised membrane integrity of the mammalian cells at the highest concentrations tested ( $\geq$ 50 µg  $mL^{-1}$ ), in agreement with the reported toxicity of cationic materials.<sup>8-11</sup> However, we anticipated that this toxicity could be reduced following incubation with bacteria, as the cationic charge will not be exposed following interaction with the pathogen's negatively charged membrane. This was indeed the



Fig. 5 Optical micrographs of V. cholerae N16961 suspensions incubated in PBS (pH 7.4) in the absence (A) and in the presence of P2 (B) at a polymer concentration of 50 or 500  $\mu$ g mL<sup>-1</sup> for 15 or 60 min. Area within the square has been expanded (right row) for clarity. (C) Spinning disk fluorescent microscopy of GFP-V. cholerae N16961 incubated in PBS (pH 7.4) in the presence of P2 at a polymer concentration of 500  $\mu$ g mL<sup>-1</sup> for 15 min. (D) Normalised population of V. cholerae N16961 incubated in the absence and presence of P2. Normalised population is presented as the percentage of green (hollow bars, non-permeable/viable) and red (grey bars, high permeability/dead) cells as measured by flow cytometry. Bacteria were treated with i-PrOH as a negative control. Initial  $OD_{600} = 1$ . Results are means  $\pm$  s.e.m. of three independent experiments. (E) Absorbance at 595 nm for V. cholerae N16961 cultures in the absence and presence of P2. Analysis of variance (ANOVA), followed by Tukey's post hoc test, was used to test for significance. Statistical significance was defined as  $p < 0.05$  (\*),  $p < 0.01$  (\*\*) or  $p < 0.001$  (\*\*\*). Confocal fluorescence micrographs of GFP expressing V. cholerae N16961 cultures in the absence (F) and presence of 50 µg mL<sup>-1</sup> P2 (G). Scale bar, 50 µm. Area within the square has been expanded (bottom row) for clarity. (H) Promoter activities of toxT- and ctxAB-lacZ fusions following infection of Caco-2 cells for 7 hours in the absence (black) or presence of 50  $\mu$ g mL<sup>-1</sup> P2 (hollow). Student's paired t-test was used to test for significance. Statistical significance was defined as  $p < 0.05$  (\*) or  $p < 0.001$  (\*\*\*).

case when we evaluated the potential of this polymer to inhibit colonisation by V. cholerae of this intestinal epithelial cell line (Fig. 6C). No additional toxicity was observed when compared to that of V. cholerae alone, suggesting that incubation of P1 with the bacteria resulted in a reduction of this polymer's toxicity.

Then, we investigated expression of a series of regulators of virulence (Fig. 4A). AphA is a master regulator of virulence that is required for the activation of tcpP.<sup>38</sup> TcpP, in turn, activates toxT, which activates downstream virulence regulators, including those responsible for the production of cholera toxin  $(ctxAB)$  and the toxin-coregulated pilus  $(tcpA)$ . In our experiments, *V. cholerae* was first incubated with the polymer, to induce clustering, and these clusters where then used to colonise Caco-2 monolayers. Cells were then incubated for 7 hours, a time we determined to yield a maximum level of adherence and cytotoxicity.<sup>39</sup> It was evident from these experiments that both toxT and ctxAB promoters were significantly repressed in the presence of P1, with up to 85% and 75% reduction respectively (Fig. 4B). Overall, neither *aphA* and *tcpA* were significantly activated, when compared to a promoterless strain (Fig. S7†).

Repression of ctxAB was very intriguing because V. cholerae uses quorum sensing regulation to repress virulence factor production and biofilm formation at high cell densities.<sup>40,41</sup> However, virulence regulation is a complex phenomenum, where not only quorum sensing is involved, but complex chemical and physical cues are integrated to determine phenotypic expression.42,43 Based on this we decided to investigate whether this regulation was unique for P1, or other cationic polymer could trigger the same response in V. *cholerae*. Primary amine containing polymer  $P2^{30}$  (Fig. 5) was thus prepared from commercially available N-(3-aminopropyl)methacrylamide hydrochloride, using a similar experimental procedure (see ESI: Section 4.2, Fig. S2† for experimental details and characterisation). Overall, the effect of P2 in V. cholerae was very similar to that of P1. Clustering (Fig. 5A–C), reduced motility (Fig. S4†) and a similar modulation of virulence was observed (Fig. 5E–H), although the presence of a primary amine in this polymer made it more toxic to both the pathogen (Fig. 5D and S3†) and the host cells (Fig. S9†). Thus, for functional experiments, we focused on investigating the effects of the highest effective, non-toxic to



Fig. 6 (A) Number of colony forming units per mL (CFU mL<sup>-1</sup>) of GFP expressing V. cholerae N16961 from Caco-2 cells incubated in the absence and presence of polymer treated GFP expressing V. cholerae N16961 cultures, following washing and lysis of Caco-2 cells. (B) Confocal fluorescence micrographs of Caco-2 cells incubated in the absence and presence of polymer treated GFP expressing V. cholerae N16961 cultures. V. cholerae (green), DNA (blue), and F-actin (red). (C) Percentage of extracellular LDH in Caco-2 cultures incubated in the absence and presence of polymer treated V. cholerae N16961 cultures. Results were normalised to untreated Caco-2 cells (0%) and cells lysed with Triton X-100 (100%). (D) Levels of cAMP production in Caco-2 cells in the absence and presence of polymer treated V. cholerae N16961 cultures. V. cholerae cultures were adjusted to an MOI of 10, and incubated in the absence or presence of polymers for 1 h prior to infection of cultured Caco-2 intestinal epithelial cells for 7 h. Results are means  $\pm$  s.e.m. of three independent experiments. Analysis of variance (ANOVA), followed by Tukey's post hoc test, was used to test for significance. Statistical significance was defined as  $p < 0.05$  (\*),  $p < 0.01$  (\*\*) or  $p < 0.0001$  (\*\*\*\*).

V. cholerae concentration of both polymers, *i.e.* 500  $\mu$ g mL<sup>-1</sup> for **P1** and 50  $\mu$ g mL<sup>-1</sup> for **P2.** 

Since sequestration of V. cholerae into polymer-induced clusters repressed the transcription of key virulence factors during infection of Caco-2 cells, we asked what impact this clustering would have on the colonisation and toxicity by V. cholerae to these intestinal epithelial cells. In principle, sequestration should minimise the amount of free pathogen, thus minimising its potential to colonise this cell line. Repression of CTX under these conditions should give the additional benefit of reducing the toxicity of these bacteria to the cells. As expected, incubation of V. cholerae with both polymers prior to infection led to a significant reduction in bacterial attachment to host cells, as determined by dilution plating per CFU counts, and the effect of P2 on this colonisation was much more pronounced than for P1 (Fig. 6A). Imaging of Caco-2 cells infected with either planktonic (Fig. 6B, no polymer) or clustered *V. cholerae* (Fig. 6B, 5–500  $\mu$ g mL $^{-1}$ ) also revealed a decrease in V. cholerae mediated toxicity as a result of bacterial clustering. Host cells infected with clustered bacteria showed more intact cell–cell junctions than cells infected with planktonic bacteria, in particular at low concentrations where no significant toxicity of the polymers to Caco-2 cells was observed (Fig. S9†). This protective effect of the polymers was also observed when cytotoxicity was measured by LDH release assays, following infection with planktonic (Fig. 6C, 0  $\mu\mathrm{g\,mL}^{-1})$ or clustered *V. cholerae* (Fig. 6C, 0.05-500  $\mu$ g mL<sup>-1</sup>). As described before, there was a cumulative effect, and the toxicity of polymer treated bacteria was lower than that of the individual components. This observation is in agreement with polymers sequestering bacteria and minimising the pathogenic burden, but conversely, bacteria "sequestering" the polymers and minimising the amount of cationic moieties exposed. Thus, the Openical Science<br>
Y. Colorse concentration of both polymes, i.e. 500 pg m1<sup>-1</sup> (or P1 oneill oncint, aditive mixed to the right of the field of articles. Colorse are not polyest anticles by the state of the components are

overall toxicity is reduced below that of the individual component. Additionally, we tested the specific effect of clustering on CTX activity, by measuring cAMP activity in infected cells (Fig. 6D). Elevated cAMP production due to CTX activity is the main hallmark of cholera infection, and is responsible for diarrhea in humans. While cAMP levels were signicantly elevated in *V. cholerae* infected Caco-2 cells (Fig. 6D, 0  $\mu$ g mL<sup>-1</sup>), clustering of bacteria with both polymers decreased cAMP levels during infection, in agreement with the reduction in ctxAB transcription observed before (Fig. 4B and 5H).

While these results suggested that the polymers were able to reduce the pathogenic burden towards Caco-2 cells, with the corresponding decrease in colonisation and toxicity, at this stage, it was unclear if this was a consequence of the sequestration into clusters, or a cumulative effect was being observed, where the repression of virulence, in particular of ctxAB, was contributing to this lower toxicity. Thus, we performed experiments with a quorum sensing mutant (BH1651), that is locked in a low-density phenotype, and thus is unable to switch off the production of CTX toxin at the high-cell densities seen in bio films.<sup>42,44,45</sup> Interestingly the effect of clustering on the colonisation of Caco-2 cells by this quorum sensing mutant was very similar to that of the wild type (Fig. S8†). However, the ability of the polymers to reduce the toxicity of this mutant was significantly compromised (Fig. S10 and S11†), suggesting that the repression of virulence is a signicant contributor to the reduced toxicity observed with the wild type.

These results were very encouraging, and reflected that sequestration of this pathogen into clusters can result in a reduction of the pathogenic burden, with lower colonisation and toxicity. We thus decided to evaluate the potential of these polymers to prevent colonisation by V. cholerae upon ingestion by an animal model. Zebrafish (Danio rerio) have been



Fig. 7 (A) Number of colony forming units per mL (CFU mL<sup>-1</sup>) of GFP expressing V. cholerae N16961 from zebrafish larvae incubated in the absence and presence of polymer treated GFP expressing V. cholerae N16961 cultures, following euthanisation and homogenisation of the zebrafish larvae Zebrafish larvae ( $n=10$  per experimental condition). [P1]  $=500$  µg mL $^{-1}$ , [P2]  $=50$  µg mL $^{-1}$ . Analysis of variance (ANOVA), followed by Tukey's post hoc test, was used to test for significance. Statistical significance was defined as  $p < 0.001$  (\*\*\*). (B) Overlay of fluorescence and optical micrographs of zebrafish larvae left uninfected (panel i), infected with GFP-V. cholerae (ii), V. cholerae clustered with P1 (iv) or P2 (iii), or the remaining decanted supernatant following removal of clustered V. cholerae with P1 (vi) or P2 (v). [P1]  $= 500 \ \mu g$  mL<sup>-1</sup>, [P2]  $= 50 \ \mu g$  mL<sup>-1</sup> .

established as an aquatic host which can be colonised and infected by V. cholerae in a concentration dependent manner, and infection eventually leads to mortality.<sup>46-48</sup> Zebrafish are a suitable natural host model for V. cholerae colonisation and transmission as their gastrointestinal development and physiology closely mimics that of mammalian organisms.<sup>49</sup> Additionally, ease of propagation and live imaging made them a good choice of host for our in vivo studies, in particular at this early stage. Zebrafish larvae exposed to media containing  $10^7$  CFU mL<sup>-1</sup> of GFP-expressing *V. cholerae* for 6 hours were first imaged and then sacrificed, and intestinal V. cholerae were extracted from the tissue and enumerated by dilution plating on selective TCBS agar (Fig. 7A). Images of infected fish showed that GFP-expressing V. cholerae had specifically colonised the gastrointestinal tract, with the majority of bacteria attached to the mid-intestine (Fig. 7B). Treating the V. cholerae contaminated media with polymers significantly reduced the ability of ingested clustered bacteria to colonise zebrafish  $(\sim 1000\text{-}fold)$  when compared to untreated bacteria (Fig. 7A, top). Ingestion of the residual liquid following removal of the clusters reduced bacterial burdens by only  $\sim$ 100-fold (Fig. 7A, bottom). Edge Article<br>
Interest Open Conferent on the columnistic and **Acknowledgements**<br>
Interest Open Conferent on the common and construction of the social article is article into the social and the social and the social and the

#### **Conclusions**

Here, we have demonstrated that cationic polymers P1 and P2, designed to sequester the human pathogen V. cholerae into clusters, can modulate the pathogen's physiology and induce a transition towards a non-virulent sessile lifestyle. Using a combination of phenotypic and transcriptional assays, we demonstrate that upon clustering, biofilm production is increased, while key virulence factors such as the cholera toxin are repressed. As a result, these polymers can reduce the pathogenic burden in both in vitro and in vivo models. Sequestration into clusters minimises the ability of the pathogen to colonise both Caco-2 cells and zebrafish, while a reduction in the toxicity of V. cholerae to Caco-2 is observed in the presence of the polymers. We anticipate that these findings will be beneficial in the development of novel costeffective prophylactic or therapeutic polymers, that can engineer microbial responses in unprecedented ways. However, further understanding of the physical and chemical cues that these materials may trigger will need to be developed. Our efforts in this area, as well as in the synthesis of materials with decreased toxicities and higher specificities for the pathogen will be reported in due course.

### Author contributions

All authors contributed to the experimental set-up and discussed the results. A. M. K., F. F.-T. and K. V. secure funding. N. P.-S., L. M., I. I. and D. N. C. synthesised and characterised the polymers. N. P.-S., L. M., K. V., A. M. K. performed the biological assays. N. P.-S., K. V., A. M. K. and F. F.-T. analysed the data, and N. P.-S., A. M. K. and F. F.-T. wrote the manuscript, with all other authors contributing to its final version.

## Acknowledgements

We thank D. Grainger and his group for their advice on the construction of transcriptional reporter plasmids. We thank B. Bassler for sharing strain BH1651. We thank members of the Krachler and Fernandez-Trillo labs for critical reading and comments on the manuscript. This work was supported by University of Birmingham Fellowships (to A. M. K. and F. F.-T.), Wellcome Trust grant 177ISSFPP (to A. M. K. and F. F.-T.), BBSRC grants BB/M021513/1 (to K. V. and A. M. K.) and BB/ L007916/1 (to A. M. K.), BBSRC MIBTP studentships (to L. M.) and a CONICYT fellowship (to N. P.-S.).

## References

- 1 World Health Organization, Antimicrobial resistance: global report on surveillance, World Health Organization, 2014.
- 2 European Antimicrobial Resistance Surveillance Network, Antimicrobial resistance surveillance in Europe 2014, 2015.
- 3 K. Bush, P. Courvalin, G. Dantas, J. Davies, B. Eisenstein, P. Huovinen, G. A. Jacoby, R. Kishony, B. N. Kreiswirth, E. Kutter, S. A. Lerner, S. Levy, K. Lewis, O. Lomovskaya, J. H. Miller, S. Mobashery, L. J. V. Piddock, S. Projan, C. M. Thomas, A. Tomasz, P. M. Tulkens, T. R. Walsh, J. D. Watson, J. Witkowski, W. Witte, G. Wright, P. Yeh and H. I. Zgurskaya, Nat. Rev. Microbiol., 2011, 9, 894–896.
- 4 C. Nathan, Sci. Transl. Med., 2012, 4, 140.
- 5 J. O'Neill, The Wellcome Trust and HM Government, Tackling Drug-resistant Infections Globally: Final Report And Recommendations, 2016, pp. 1–84.
- 6 M.-H. Xiong, Y. Bao, X.-Z. Yang, Y.-H. Zhu and J. Wang, Adv. Drug Delivery Rev., 2014, 78, 63–76.
- 7 W. Gao, S. Thamphiwatana, P. Angsantikul and L. Zhang, Wiley Interdiscip. Rev.: Nanomed. Nanobiotechnol., 2014, 6, 532–547.
- 8 A. Som, S. Vemparala, I. Ivanov and G. N. Tew, Biopolymers, 2008, 90, 83–93.
- 9 R. W. Scott, W. F. Degrado and G. N. Tew, Curr. Opin. Biotechnol., 2008, 19, 620–627.
- 10 K. Kuroda and G. A. Caputo, Wiley Interdiscip. Rev.: Nanomed. Nanobiotechnol., 2013, 5, 49–66.
- 11 J. Chen, F. Wang, Q. Liu and J. Du, Chem. Commun., 2014, 50, 14482–14493.
- 12 A. M. Krachler and K. Orth, Virulence, 2013, 4, 284–294.
- 13 S. Bhatia, M. Dimde and R. Haag, MedChemComm, 2014, 5, 862–878.
- 14 A. Bernardi, J. Jiménez-Barbero, A. Casnati, C. De Castro, T. Darbre, F. Fieschi, J. Finne, H. Funken, K.-E. Jaeger, M. Lahmann, T. K. Lindhorst, M. Marradi, P. Messner, A. Molinaro, P. V. Murphy, C. Nativi, S. Oscarson, S. Penadés, F. Peri, R. J. Pieters, O. Renaudet, J.-L. Reymond, B. Richichi, J. Rojo, F. Sansone, C. Schäffer, W. B. Turnbull, T. Velasco-Torrijos, S. Vidal, S. Vincent, T. Wennekes, H. Zuilhof and A. Imberty, Chem. Soc. Rev., 2013, 42, 4709–4727.
- 15 E. P. Magennis, A. L. Hook, M. C. Davies, C. Alexander, P. Williams and M. R. Alexander, Acta Biomater., 2016, 34, 84–92.
- 16 Controlled and Living Polymerizations, ed. A. H. E. Müller and K. K. Matyjaszewski, Wiley-VCH Verlag GmbH & Co. KGaA, Weinheim, Germany, 2009.
- 17 World Health Organization, Weekly Epidemiological Record, 2014, vol. 89, pp. 345–356.
- 18 M. Ali, A. R. Nelson, A. L. Lopez and D. A. Sack, PLoS Neglected Trop. Dis., 2015, 9, e0003832.
- 19 M. L. Tamplin, A. L. Gauzens, A. Huq, D. A. Sack and R. R. Colwell, Appl. Environ. Microbiol., 1990, 56, 1977–1980.
- 20 T. K. Rawlings, G. M. Ruiz and R. R. Colwell, Appl. Environ. Microbiol., 2007, 73, 7926–7933.
- 21 S. M. Butler and A. Camilli, Nat. Rev. Microbiol., 2005, 3, 611– 620.
- 22 J. K. Teschler, D. Zamorano-Sánchez, A. S. Utada, C. J. A. Warner, G. C. L. Wong, R. G. Linington and F. H. Yildiz, Nat. Rev. Microbiol., 2015, 13, 255–268.
- 23 A. Saha, A. Rosewell, A. Hayen, C. R. MacIntyre and F. Qadri, Expert Rev. Vaccines, 2016, 1–14.
- 24 E. P. Magennis, F. Fernandez-Trillo, C. Sui, S. G. Spain, D. J. Bradshaw, D. Churchley, G. Mantovani, K. Winzer and C. Alexander, Nat. Mater., 2014, 13, 748–755.
- 25 A. L. Bole and P. Manesiotis, Adv. Mater., 2016, 28, 5349–5366.
- 26 X. Xue, G. Pasparakis, N. Halliday, K. Winzer, S. M. Howdle, C. J. Cramphorn, N. R. Cameron, P. M. Gardner, B. G. Davis, F. Fernandez-Trillo and C. Alexander, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2011, 50, 9852–9856. Openical Science<br>
16 Controlled on Licence Article. Published on 16 AG. Now, Northern Access Article is licensed on 16 AM. This article is licensed under the article is licensed to the state of the state of the state is l
	- 27 L. T. Lui, X. Xue, C. Sui, A. Brown, D. I. Pritchard, N. Halliday, K. Winzer, S. M. Howdle, F. Fernandez-Trillo, N. Krasnogor and C. Alexander, Nat. Chem., 2013, 5, 1058–1065.
	- 28 I. Louzao, C. Sui, K. Winzer, F. Fernandez-Trillo and C. Alexander, Eur. J. Pharm. Biopharm., 2015, 95, 47–62.
	- 29 E. F. Palermo and K. Kuroda, Biomacromolecules, 2009, 10, 1416–1428.
	- 30 L. C. Paslay, B. A. Abel, T. D. Brown, V. Koul, V. Choudhary, C. L. McCormick and S. E. Morgan, Biomacromolecules, 2012, 13, 2472–2482.
	- 31 J. M. Willey, L. Sherwood and C. J. Woolverton, in Prescotts Principles of Microbiology, Applied Energy, 2008, pp. 126–152.
	- 32 S. M. Faruque, K. Biswas, S. M. N. Udden, Q. S. Ahmad, D. A. Sack, G. B. Nair and J. J. Mekalanos, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A., 2006, 103, 6350–6355.
- 33 E. J. Nelson, A. Chowdhury, J. B. Harris, Y. A. Begum, F. Chowdhury, A. I. Khan, R. C. Larocque, A. L. Bishop, E. T. Ryan, A. Camilli, F. Qadri and S. B. Calderwood, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A., 2007, 104, 19091–19096.
- 34 C. Reichhardt, J. C. N. Fong, F. Yildiz and L. Cegelski, Biochim. Biophys. Acta, 2015, 1848, 378–383.
- 35 J. Zhu, M. B. Miller, R. E. Vance, M. Dziejman, B. L. Bassler and J. J. Mekalanos, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A., 2002, 99, 3129–3134.
- 36 J. H. Merritt, D. E. Kadouri and G. A. O'Toole, in Current Protocols in Microbiology, John Wiley & Sons, Inc., 2011, pp. 1–18.
- 37 A. K. Dey, A. Bhagat and R. Chowdhury, J. Bacteriol., 2013, 195, 2004–2010.
- 38 K. Skorupski and R. K. Taylor, Mol. Microbiol., 1999, 31, 763– 771.
- 39 J. S´anchez, G. Medina, T. Buhse, J. Holmgren and G. Soberón-Chavez, *J. Bacteriol.*, 2004, 186, 1355-1361.
- 40 B. K. Hammer and B. L. Bassler, Mol. Microbiol., 2003, 50, 101–104.
- 41 D. A. Higgins, M. E. Pomianek, C. M. Kraml, R. K. Taylor, M. F. Semmelhack and B. L. Bassler, Nature, 2007, 450, 883–886.
- 42 J. S. Matson, J. H. Withey and V. J. DiRita, Infect. Immun., 2007, 75, 5542–5549.
- 43 A. J. Silva and J. A. Benitez, PLoS Neglected Trop. Dis., 2016, 10, e0004330.
- 44 M. Cámara, A. Hardman, P. Williams and D. Milton, Nat. Genet., 2002, 32, 217–218.
- 45 W.-L. Ng, L. Perez, J. Cong, M. F. Semmelhack and B. L. Bassler, PLoS Pathog., 2012, 8, e1002767.
- 46 H. Wang, S. Chen, J. Zhang, F. P. Rothenbacher, T. Jiang, B. Kan, Z. Zhong and J. Zhu, PLoS One, 2012, 7, e53383.
- 47 H. M. Rowe, J. H. Withey and M. N. Neely, Dev. Comp. Immunol., 2014, 46, 96–107.
- 48 D. L. Runft, K. C. Mitchell, B. H. Abuaita, J. P. Allen, S. Bajer, K. Ginsburg, M. N. Neely and J. H. Withey, Appl. Environ. Microbiol., 2014, 80, 1710–1717.
- 49 A. N. Y. Ng, T. A. de Jong-Curtain, D. J. Mawdsley, S. J. White, J. Shin, B. Appel, P. D. S. Dong, D. Y. R. Stainier and J. K. Heath, Dev. Biol., 2005, 286, 114–135.