# **Chemical Science**

# EDGE ARTICLE

Cite this: Chem. Sci., 2017, 8, 4424

Received 6th February 2017 Accepted 10th April 2017 DOI: 10.1039/c7sc00562h

rsc.li/chemical-science

### Introduction

The redox status of intra- and extracellular environments is a vital biomarker for disease, as it provides a collective picture of the concentration of redox-active species, such as thiols, reactive oxygen or nitrogen species, signaling molecules (e.g. NO/  $H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>$ ), and redox-active proteins (*e.g.* superoxide dismutases), which are key participants in cell apoptosis and proliferation.<sup>1</sup> For this reason, the ability to quantitatively interrogate redox environment represents an important challenge. One approach toward this end involves the employment of analytical methods, such as  $HPLC<sup>2</sup>$  and fluorescence spectrometry,<sup>3</sup> to determine the ratio of oxidized to reduced species in an extracted sample. Whereas these procedures provide important information regarding the redox-dependence of cancer-related cell activities in tissues<sup>4</sup> and cultured cells,<sup>5</sup> they nonetheless suffer from key disadvantages in that they require physical withdrawal of

## Ratiometric quantitation of redox status with a molecular Fe<sub>2</sub> magnetic resonance probe $\dagger$

Kang Du[,](http://orcid.org/0000-0001-9947-2320)  $\mathbf{D}^a$  Emily A. Water[s](http://orcid.org/0000-0003-4144-900X)<sup>b</sup> and T. David Harris  $\mathbf{D}^{\star a}$ 

We demonstrate the ability of a molecular Fe<sub>2</sub> complex to enable magnetic resonance (MR)-based ratiometric quantitation of redox status, namely through redox-dependent paramagnetic chemical exchange saturation transfer (PARACEST). Metalation of a tetra(carboxamide) ligand with Fe<sup>II</sup> and/or Fe<sup>III</sup> in the presence of etidronate ion affords analogous  $Fe^{II}_Z$ ,  $Fe^{II}Fe^{III}$ , and  $Fe^{III}_Z$  complexes. Both  $Fe^{II}_Z$  and Fe<sup>II</sup>Fe<sup>III</sup> complexes give highly-shifted, sharp, and non-overlapping NMR spectra, with multiple resonances for each complex corresponding to exchangeable carboxamide protons. These protons can be selectively irradiated to give CEST peaks at 74 and 83 ppm vs. H<sub>2</sub>O for the Fe<sup>II</sup>Fe<sup>III</sup> complex and at 29, 40 and 68 ppm for the  $\mathsf{Fe}_{2}^{\mathsf{H}}$  complex. The CEST spectra obtained from a series of samples containing mixtures of Fe<sup>ii</sup> and Fe<sup>ii</sup>Fe<sup>iii</sup> are correlated with independently-determined open-circuit potentials to construct a Nernstian calibration curve of potential vs. CEST peak intensity ratio. In addition, averaged intensities of phantom images collected on a 9.4 T MRI scanner show analogous Nernstian behavior. Finally, both the  $Fe<sup>II</sup><sub>2</sub>$  and  $Fe<sup>II</sup>$  forms of the complex are stable to millimolar concentrations of  $H_2PO_4^-$ /HPO $_4^2^-$ , CO<sub>3</sub><sup>2-</sup>, SO<sub>4</sub><sup>2-</sup>, CH<sub>3</sub>COO<sup>-</sup>, and Ca<sup>2+</sup> ions, and the Fe<sub>2</sub><sup>II</sup> form is air-stable in aqueous buffer and shows >80% viability in melanoma cells at millimolar concentration. The stability suggests the possible application of this or related complexes for in vivo studies. To our knowledge, this concentration-independent method based on a single Fe<sub>2</sub> probe provides the first example of MR-based ratiometric quantitation of redox environment. **EDGE ARTICLE**<br> **(a)** Check for undates **Ratiometric quantitation of redox status with**<br> **a)** Check for undates **Ratiometric quantitation of redox status with**<br> **a)** and **column Fe<sub>2</sub> magnetic resonance probe**  $\frac{1}{2}$ <br>

a sample and provide only a single spatiotemporal point, rather than global, measurement. Indeed, a non-invasive imaging method for spatiotemporal redox mapping would represent an invaluable tool for both diagnostic and pathological investigations of redox status.

Magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) is a powerful and noninvasive imaging technique, as it utilizes non-ionizing radio radiation that deeply penetrates tissue to provide high spatiotemporal image resolution.<sup>6</sup> As such, MRI represents an ideal modality for redox mapping of tissue. Toward this end, numerous metallic molecular MRI probes have shown the capability to detect  $pO_2/hy poxia$ ,<sup>7</sup> peroxide,<sup>8</sup> thiols,<sup>9</sup> NADH,<sup>10</sup> and redox-active metals.<sup>11</sup> In general, these probes show negligible contrast in one oxidation state but are activated upon oxidation or reduction to generate MRI contrast, with a number of activation mechanisms having been reported. For instance, lanthanide probes can feature redox-active pendent groups on the ligand that cause structural changes upon redox chemistry to turn contrast on or off.<sup>9a,10</sup> In addition, transition metal probes can exploit metal-based redox processes, where a change in oxidation state of the metal center turns contrast on or off.<sup>7c,6</sup>

A key limitation of turn-on or turn-off probes is their inability to provide quantitative information about redox environment, owing to unpredictable, inhomogeneous probe concentration in tissue that results from variable biodistribution patterns. As

YAL SOCIETY<br>**CHEMISTRY** 

a Department of Chemistry, Northwestern University, 2145 Sheridan Road, Evanston, IL, 60208-3113, USA. E-mail: dharris@northwestern.edu

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>b</sup>Center for Advanced Molecular Imaging, Northwestern University, 2145 Sheridan Road, Evanston, IL, 60208-3113, USA

<sup>†</sup> Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available: Experimental details and additional physical data for  $1, 2, 3$ , and crystallographic information file (CIF) for LFe<sub>2</sub>(etidronate) 7H<sub>2</sub>O. CCDC 1531010. For ESI and crystallographic data in CIF or other electronic format see DOI: 10.1039/c7sc00562h

an alternative, one can envision use of a single molecule that features two individually addressable "on" oxidation states, with the ratio of the two signals giving a concentrationindependent measureable that can be used to quantitate solution redox environment. Toward this end, PARACEST represents a promising method for ratiometric redox quantitation.<sup>12</sup> This technique employs paramagnetic probes with highlyshifted exchangeable protons that, upon selective irradiation, are delivered to bulk  $H<sub>2</sub>O$  to generate contrast. In principle, for a probe accessible in two CEST-active oxidation states, the ratio between the CEST effects of the redox states would provide a concentration-independent measure of solution redox environment.

In order for a molecule to display the PARACEST effect in two oxidation states, the metal center must first be paramagnetic in both states. One such scenario is high-spin Fe<sup>II</sup> and high-spin Fe<sup>III</sup>. However, the electronic relaxation time  $(\tau_s)$ of high-spin Fe<sup>III</sup> is usually too long (ca.  $10^{-10}$  s) to give sharp <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectra,<sup>13</sup> another requirement for PARACEST. Alternatively, moving to a redox-active molecule with multiple metal centers offers a more straightforward and general strategy toward realizing multiple oxidation states with short  $\tau_s$ . Recently, we reported a dinucleating, tetra(carboxamide) ligand (HL; see Fig. 1) that gives rise to a  $Cu<sub>2</sub>$  PARACEST agent.<sup>14</sup> Herein, we report the  $Fe<sub>2</sub>$  analogue and demonstrate



Fig. 1 Reaction of  $L^-$ , etidronate tetraanion,  $Fe^{3+}$ , and  $Fe^{2+}$  to form LFe<sub>2</sub>(etidronate), as observed in LFe<sub>2</sub>(etidronate) $\cdot$ 7H<sub>2</sub>O. Orange, purple, blue, red, and gray spheres represent Fe, P, N, O, and C atoms, respectively; H atoms are omitted for clarity.

PARACEST activity in both the  $Fe^{II}Fe^{III}$  and  $Fe^{II}Fe^{II}$  form that enables ratiometric quantitation of solution open-circuit potential. To our knowledge, this study provides the first example of ratiometric quantitation of solution redox environment using an MR probe.

### Results and discussion

The synthesis of the anionic complex  $[LE_2[etidronate]]^$ was carried out through successive additions of two equivalents of  $[Fe(H<sub>2</sub>O)<sub>6</sub>]<sup>2+</sup>$  and one equivalent of etidronic acid, in the presence of NMe4OH, to a solution of HL in methanol, to give the compound  $(NMe<sub>4</sub>)[LFe<sub>2</sub><sup>II</sup>(etidronate)]·2.7H<sub>2</sub>O~THF (1)$ as a light yellow solid. The analogous mixed-valence  $Fe^{II}Fe^{III}$ and univalence  $\text{Fe}_{2}^{\text{III}}$  complexes were prepared similarly, but with  $1:1$   $[Fe(H<sub>2</sub>O)<sub>6</sub>]<sup>2+</sup>: [Fe(H<sub>2</sub>O)<sub>6</sub>]$ and exclusively  $[Fe(H<sub>2</sub>O)<sub>6</sub>]<sup>3+</sup>$ , respectively, to afford the red compounds LFe<sub>2</sub>(etidronate) $\cdot$ 0.7H<sub>2</sub>O $\cdot$ 0.2THF (2) and  $[LFe<sub>2</sub>(etidronate)](NO<sub>3</sub>) · 0.9H<sub>2</sub>O · 1.5THF (3).$  The ancillary ligand etidronate improves the aqueous solubility of the neutral molecule  $LFe<sub>2</sub>(etidronate)$  relative to pyrophosphate, which was employed in the  $Cu<sub>2</sub>$  analogue.<sup>14</sup> Equision is a strained on the strained on 19 April 2017. The trained on the strained on the strained under the strained under

Slow diffusion of THF vapor into a concentrated solution of 2 in  $H_2O$  afforded plate-shaped single crystals of  $LFe<sub>2</sub>(etidronate)·H<sub>2</sub>O that were suitable for X-ray structural$ analysis. The structure features two distinct Fe centers, each in a distorted octahedral coordination environment comprising two carboxamide O atoms, one µ-phenoxo O atom, and one N atom from  $L^-$ , along with two O atoms from a  $\mu^2- \kappa^4$  etidronate bridging ligand (see Fig. 1). The mean Fe–O bond distances for the two Fe centers are distinct at 1.992(5) and 2.125(6)  $\AA$ , indicative of valence-localized high-spin  $Fe^{III}$  and  $Fe^{II}$ , respectively. The Fe–O<sub>L</sub>–Fe angle of 118.6(3)° and Fe $\cdots$ Fe distance of 3.547(2)  $\AA$  are consistent with related mixedvalence  $Fe<sub>2</sub>$  complexes.<sup>15</sup>



Fig. 2 Cyclic voltammogram of 2 in solution containing 100 mM NaCl, 100 mM HEPES buffered at pH 7.4; scan rate  $=$  50 mV s<sup>-1</sup>. Roman numerals represent Fe oxidation states.

To probe the redox chemistry of the  $Fe<sub>2</sub>$  complex, a cyclic voltammogram was collected for an aqueous solution of 2 in HEPES buffer at pH 7.4. The voltammogram features two reversible processes at potentials of  $E_{1/2} = -187$  and 209 mV vs. the Normal Hydrogen Electrode (NHE) (see Fig. 2). These processes are assigned to the Fe<sup>II</sup>Fe<sup>II</sup>/Fe<sup>III</sup>Fe<sup>III</sup> and Fe<sup>II</sup>Fe<sup>III</sup>/Fe<sup>III</sup>Fe<sup>III</sup> couples, respectively. The potential separation of  $\Delta E_{1/2} = 396$  mV corresponds to a comproportionation constant of  $K_c = 5.00 \times 10^6$ for the reaction  $[LE_2[etidronate]]^+ + [LE_2[etidronate]]^- \rightarrow$  $2LF_{2}$ (etidronate), indicating that the mixed-valence complex is stable towards disproportionation. Similarly, a solution of 1 gave an identical voltammogram, albeit with a different open-circuit potential (see Fig. S1†). Importantly, the redox window observed here is wide and biologically relevant, consistent with the electrochemical potentials of intra- and extracellular environments, spanning from  $-300$  to 0 mV vs. NHE.<sup>12g</sup> Further, note that the broad library of bisphosphonate ligands offers the possibility to tune the potential window to target specific redox environments.

Mössbauer spectra were collected to investigate the nature of mixed-valency in 2. At 80 K, the compound displays two quadrupole doublets with isomer shifts of  $\delta = 1.23(1)$  and 0.480(5) mm s<sup>-1</sup> and quadrupole splittings of  $\Delta E_{\rm O} = 2.65(2)$  and 0.485(8)  $\rm{mm~s^{-1},}$  that we respectively assign to high-spin Fe $\rm{^{II}}$  and highspin Fe $^{III}$  (see Fig. S2†).<sup>16</sup> The areal ratio between the two spectral components of 1 : 1.1(1) indicates a valence-trapped Fe<sup>II</sup>Fe<sup>III</sup> electronic structure, in agreement with crystallographic analysis.<sup>17</sup> In contrast, the spectrum for 1 at 80 K is best modeled with two doublets  $(\delta = 1.325(8) \text{ and } 1.158(7) \text{ mm s}^{-1},$  $\Delta E_{\rm Q} = 2.871(7)$  and 2.874(6) mm s<sup>-1</sup>) that correspond to two similar but inequivalent high-spin  $Fe^{II}$  centers (see Fig. S3 $\dagger$ ).

A solution of 2 in neutral  $D_2O$  exhibits features at 21,277  $\text{cm}^{-1}\, (\varepsilon = 861 \, \text{M}^{-1} \, \text{cm}^{-1})$  and 7318  $\text{cm}^{-1}\, (\varepsilon = 83 \, \text{M}^{-1} \, \text{cm}^{-1})$  (see Fig. S4†), which we assign to ligand-to-metal charge-transfer (LMCT) and intervalence charge transfer (IVCT) bands, respectively, in accord with similar mixed-valence  $Fe<sub>2</sub>$  complexes.<sup>18</sup> Polar solvents often forestall electron transfer, and as such, the observation of IVCT in  $D_2O$  is notable and highlights the stability and rigidity of the  $Fe<sub>2</sub>$  complex. The IVCT full-width at half-maximum of  $\Delta v_{1/2} = 3043$  cm<sup>-1</sup> is lower than the theoretical linewidth of  $\Delta v_{1/2}^0 = (2310(v_{\text{max}}))^{1/2} = 4112 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ , suggesting some degree of electron detrapping in 2.<sup>19</sup> Moreover, a linewidth analysis using the crystallographic Fe $\cdots$ Fe distance provides an estimate of the 298 K electron transfer rate as 6.7(1)  $\times$  10<sup>10</sup> s<sup>-1</sup> (see ESI† for details).<sup>16a,20</sup> The solution spectrum closely resembles that of the solid-state diffuse reflectance (see Fig. S5†), suggesting that the crystallographic structure is retained in solution. In contrast to 2, only a shoulder at 22,000  $\text{cm}^{-1}$  ( $\varepsilon = 48 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ cm}^{-1}$ ) is present in the spectrum for 1 (see Fig. S4†). This observation is consistent with a univalence Fe $_2^{\rm II}$  configuration and agrees with literature examples. $^{21}$ 

To assess magnetic interactions in the  $Fe<sub>2</sub>$  complexes, variable-temperature magnetic susceptibility measurements were carried out for solid-state samples of 1 and 2 (see Fig. S6†). At 300 K,  $\chi_M T = 7.17$  cm<sup>3</sup> K mol<sup>-1</sup> for 1, consistent with two non-interacting high-spin Fe<sup>II</sup> centers. For 2,  $\chi_M T = 6.87$  cm<sup>3</sup> K  $mol^{-1}$  at 300 K, consistent with high-spin Fe<sup>III</sup> and Fe<sup>II</sup>. For both compounds,  $\chi_M T$  decreases with decreasing temperature, albeit more rapidly for 2, indicative of antiferromagnetic superexchange. These interactions were modeled with the spin Hamiltonian  $\hat{H} = -2J(\hat{S}_{\text{Fe1}} \cdot \hat{S}_{\text{Fe2}})^{22}$  to give exchange coupling constants  $J = -0.8(3)$  cm<sup>-1</sup> for 1 and  $J = -3.6(5)$  cm<sup>-1</sup> for 2. The stronger coupling in 2 is likely due to the shorter  $Fe<sup>III</sup>$ –O bond relative to the  $Fe^{II}$ –O bond in 1. These values are comparable to those previously reported for structurally-similar  $Fe<sub>2</sub>$ complexes.<sup>15</sup> Solution magnetic moments for 1, 2 and 3 were measured as  $\chi_M T = 7.3(3)$ , 7.0(6) and 8.9(3) cm<sup>3</sup> K mol<sup>-1</sup> at 310 K, respectively, consistent with the solid-state magnetic data and the presence of exclusively high-spin  $Fe<sup>H</sup>$  and  $Fe<sup>H</sup>$ . The larger than expected  $\chi_M T$  for 1 is likely due to the anisotropic  $Fe<sup>H</sup>$ , as evidenced by the g value (see magnetic experimental section in ESI†).

Neutral aqueous solutions of 1 and 2 gave sharp, wellresolved  ${}^{1}$ H NMR spectra. The spectrum for 1 features 20 paramagnetically shifted resonances that range from  $-50$  to 150 ppm (see Fig. S7†), with exchangeable protons appearing at  $-9.5$ , 8.5, 29, 40 and 68 ppm established by comparing the spectra obtained in  $D_2O$  and  $H_2O$ . These five resonances are assigned to four structurally inequivalent carboxamide groups and the etidronate hydroxyl group. In comparison, 14 paramagnetically shifted resonances are present in the spectrum for 2, ranging from  $-10$  to 320 ppm (see Fig. S8†). The resonances at 74 and 83 ppm are assigned to exchangeable protons on the carboxamide groups, as evidenced by their disappearance in the presence of  $D_2O$ . The full width at half maximum for the resonances of 1 and 2 are 65–820 Hz and 44–620 Hz, respectively. The similarity in linewidth suggests that the smaller number of observed paramagnetic resonances in 2 relative to 1 likely arises from peak-averaging caused by a fast electron transfer rate of  $6.7(1) \times 10^{10} \text{ s}^{-1}$ , rather than from peak-broadening caused by nuclear relaxation. Furthermore, spin-lattice relaxation times  $(T_1)$  for H<sub>2</sub>O, in samples containing 4.9 mM of 1 or 2 buffered at  $pH$  7.4, are 1.30(1) and 1.14(1) s, respectively. The similar resonance linewidth and  $T_1$  profiles suggest a shortening of  $\tau_s$  in  $Fe<sup>III</sup>$ , which otherwise would have imposed significant nuclear relaxation and thus severe line broadening. Such shortening of  $\tau_{\rm s}$  is likely due to the magnetic coupling to a fast-relaxing  ${\rm Fe^{II}, 12}$ as well as fast electron-transfer between the two Fe centers. In sum, the significantly different but sharp carboxamide resonances in 1 and 2 suggests the possibility to observe the CEST effect for the  $Fe<sub>2</sub>$  probe in both oxidation states. Openical Science<br>
To probable reads a chemical y of the Fig. complex, a cyclic more rapidly for 2, indicative on a method of article. Complexes Article. The method of the excellent with more first the excellent with the s

> To investigate the possibility of CEST,  $^{1} \mathrm{H}$  NMR spectra were collected for aqueous 3.4 mM solutions of 1 or 2 with 100 mM HEPES and 100 mM NaCl buffered at pH 7.4, using presaturation at frequencies ranging from  $-100$  to 100 ppm referenced to  $H<sub>2</sub>O$ . The CEST spectrum, or Z-spectrum, shows the extent of H2O signal intensity reduction with respect to the saturation frequency, or frequency offset. In the spectrum for 1, three CEST peaks appear at 29, 40 and 68 ppm with 8.8, 10 and  $\leq 5\%$  H<sub>2</sub>O signal reduction, respectively (see Fig. S9, top†). Note that any CEST effect stemming from the two upfield labile protons at  $-9.5$  and 8.5 ppm are likely masked by direct saturation of H<sub>2</sub>O. In comparison, two CEST peaks are present in the CEST spectrum for 2, centered at 74 and 83 ppm with 21 and 22%  $H<sub>2</sub>O$ signal reduction, respectively (see Fig. S9, bottom†). Using the



Fig. 3 CEST spectra collected at  $37^{\circ}$ C for 4.9 mM aqueous solutions of 1 and 2 at pH 7.4, with ratios of  $1:2$  from  $9:1$  (blue) to  $1:9$  (red). The legend gives the independently obtained OCP of each sample (mV vs. NHE). Inset: expanded view of the relevant CEST peaks.

omega plot method,<sup>23</sup> the proton exchange rates were estimated as 6.5(8)  $\times$  10<sup>2</sup> (29 ppm) and 5.0(8)  $\times$  10<sup>2</sup> (40 ppm) s<sup>-1</sup> for 1, and 6.8(9)  $\times$  10<sup>2</sup> (74 ppm) and 7.0(8)  $\times$  10<sup>2</sup> (83 ppm) s<sup>-1</sup> for 2, respectively (see Fig. S10 and S11†), in agreement with rates reported in mononuclear Fe<sup>II</sup> carboxamide PARACEST agents.<sup>24</sup> Most importantly, the orthogonality of CEST peaks for 1 and 2 suggests the possibility for ratiometric measurements.

The open-circuit potential (OCP) of an electrochemical cell provides an experimental measure of the reducing or oxidizing nature of the solution environment. For a system at equilibrium, the OCP represents a collective measure of the ratio between the oxidized and reduced forms of each redox-active species and follows the Nernst equation. Therefore, we constructed a ratiometric calibration curve over a range of OCPs centered around the  $Fe^{II}Fe^{II}/Fe^{II}Fe^{III}$  redox couple. Specifically, we collected CEST spectra for a series of solutions containing 100 mM HEPES, 100 mM NaCl, and selected ratios of 1 : 2 (total  $[Fe_2] = 4.9$  mM) in the range 9 : 1 to 1 : 9, and then correlated these spectral ratios to OCPs obtained independently using a potentiostat (see Fig. 3). Importantly, note that the OCP values stabilized within a variation of  $\leq$ 1 mV after seconds, suggesting relatively fast kinetics toward reaching equilibrium (see Fig. S12–S15†). As the OCP becomes more reducing (*i.e.* a higher fraction of 1), the CEST intensity at 74 and 83 ppm monotonically decreases with a concomitant increase in intensity at 29 and 40 ppm. To construct a calibration curve, the ratio of % CEST effect at 83 and 40 ppm (CEST<sub>83 ppm</sub>/CEST<sub>40 ppm</sub>) was plotted as a function of OCP (see Fig. 4). The corresponding data follow Nernstian behavior, and can therefore be fit to the following equation where OCP varies linearly with the semilog of  $CEST_{83\text{ ppm}}/CEST_{40\text{ ppm}}$ :

OCP (mV) ¼ 40.1 ln(CEST83 ppm/CEST40 ppm) 208 (1)

The effectiveness of eqn (1) to quantitate OCP in the presence of potassium superoxide and cysteine was examined. First,



Fig. 4 Open-circuit potential (OCP) of aqueous Fe<sub>2</sub> samples vs. ratios of CEST peak intensities from presaturation at 83 and 40 ppm. Inset: semilog form of the plot. Black circles represent experimental data; red lines represent fits.

a solution containing 4 mM of 1 was incubated with 1 mM of  $KO<sub>2</sub>$  in pH 7.4 buffer. The resulting CEST spectrum exhibits peaks at 74 and 83 ppm, in addition to those from 1, arising from the oxidation product LFe<sub>2</sub>(etidronate) (see Fig. S16†). The % CEST at 83 and 40 ppm is 7.1 and 5.3, respectively, resulting in a calculated OCP of  $-219$  mV based on eqn  $(1)$ , which is in excellent agreement with the experimentally determined OCP of  $-225$  mV. In another sample, 4 mM of 2 was incubated with 200 mM of cysteine in pH 7.4 buffer. The calculated OCP based on % CEST at 83 and 40 ppm and eqn  $(1)$  was  $-187$  mV, which is in good agreement with the experimental OCP of  $-204$  mV (see Fig. S17†). Both reactions exhibit reasonably fast kinetics, with the  $KO<sub>2</sub>$  oxidation reaching equilibrium in 10 minutes and the cysteine reduction in 50 minutes, as evidenced by the temporal stabilization of OCP (see Fig. S18†). These experiments demonstrate the responsiveness of the  $Fe<sub>2</sub>$  probe towards thiol and superoxide, as well as the ability of eqn (1) to quantitate solution OCP dictated by thiol-based reductants and reactive oxygen species-based oxidants.

We next sought to determine how factors such as pH and temperature affect this calibration curve, as these factors exhibit slight heterogeneity in physiological conditions. Most notably, pH affects the exchange rate of CEST-active protons, which leads to changes in CEST peak intensities. However, such changes can be partially compensated by taking the ratio of two CEST peaks in the event that both are altered to similar degree. To investigate pH effects, two series of solutions, buffered at pH 7.3 and 7.5, respectively, were prepared analogously to those at pH 7.4. Fits of the obtained OCPs as a function of  $CEST_{83\text{ ppm}}/$  $CEST_{40\ ppm}$  for the two series gave the following two Nernstian equations (see Fig. S19–S22†):

pH 7.3: OCP (mV) = 36.4  $ln(CEST_{83 ppm}/CEST_{40 ppm}) - 218$  (2)

pH 7.5: OCP (mV) = 41.5 ln(CEST<sub>83</sub> ppm/CEST<sub>40</sub> ppm) – 216 (3)

Eqn  $(1)$ – $(3)$  are summarized in Fig. S23.† For a given  $ln(CEST_{83\text{ ppm}}/CEST_{40\text{ ppm}})$  value, the maximum deviation in OCP over the entire range of potentials was found to be ca. 20 mV. This value represents the maximum expected error introduced into the calibration curve by pH inhomogeneity of 7.3–7.5.

In addition to pH, temperature can also alter the intensity and frequency offset of the CEST peak, owing to increased proton exchange rate and the temperature dependence of hyperfine shift.<sup>12</sup> To investigate effects from temperature variation, the data collected at pH 7.5, which feature slightly more significant CEST effects due to base-catalyzed proton exchange mechanism, were examined at 35 and 39  $\degree$ C, respectively. Note that the CEST peak at 83 ppm at 37  $^{\circ}$ C shifted to 84 and 82 ppm at 35 and 39  $\degree$ C, respectively, while the variable-temperature shift in the CEST peak at 40 ppm was insignificant (see Fig. S24–S27†). Fits of the OCP vs. CEST<sub>83</sub> ppm/CEST<sub>40</sub> ppm plots for data obtained at 35 and 39  $^{\circ}$ C gave the following two Nernstian equations (see Fig. S24–S27†):

35 °C: OCP (mV) = 59.9 ln(CEST<sub>83 ppm</sub>/CEST<sub>40 ppm</sub>) – 246 (4)

$$
39 \,^{\circ}\text{C: OCP (mV)} = 48.6 \, \ln(\text{CEST}_{83 \, \text{ppm}}/\text{CEST}_{40 \, \text{ppm}}) - 211 \, \text{ (5)}
$$

Using the same analysis used in the pH series, for a given  $ln(CEST_{83\ ppm}/CEST_{40\ ppm})$  value, the largest deviation in the OCP readout was found to be ca. 40 mV (see Fig. S28†).

The kinetic and thermodynamic properties of 1 and 2 towards ions, air and reductants were examined by comparison of electronic absorption and NMR spectra. In the presence of 4 mM solutions of the ions  $H_2PO_4^-/HPO_4^{\ 2^-}$ ,  $CO_3^{\ 2^-}$ ,  $SO_4^{\ 2^-}$ , CH<sub>3</sub>COO<sup>-</sup>, or Ca<sup>2+</sup>, incubated at 37 °C for 12 h, 4 mM of 1 or 2 in solutions buffered at pH 7.4 or  $D_2O$  show identical NMR spectra to solutions containing the respective  $Fe<sub>2</sub>$  complex with no added ions (see Fig. S29–S36†). The experiments demonstrate the high stability of the  $Fe<sub>2</sub>$  complexes towards physiological ions of millimolar concentrations.<sup>25</sup> Finally, the observation of CEST arising from  $1$  and  $2$  was confirmed in bovine blood plasma (see Fig. S37†). While the baseline is broader than in the spectra obtained in buffer solution, presumably due to the presence of additional exchangeable protons from proteins in the plasma, the CEST peaks from 1 and 2 can be unambiguously observed and are comparable to those obtained in buffers.

While ions do not introduce interference to the stability of 1 and 2, the  $Fe^{II}Fe^{III}/Fe^{III}Fe^{III}$  redox couple (209 mV vs. NHE) makes oxidation of 2 in air a concern, which was studied by electronic absorption spectroscopy. A solution buffered at pH 7.4 containing 0.4 mM of 2 was prepared in a nitrogen glove box and exposed to air while a UV-Vis-NIR spectrum was recorded at 2 h intervals (see Fig. S38,† bottom). Over the course of 40 h, the absorption at 801 nm gradually disappeared, while the absorption at 470 nm shifted to  $ca.$  460 nm and decreased in intensity. These spectral changes proceed through an isosbestic point at 445 nm, suggesting a clean conversion to a single, new species. Indeed, a similarly buffered solution containing 0.4 mM of 3 showed an identical UV-Vis-NIR spectrum to that of the 40 h oxidation product of 2 (see Fig. S38†), demonstrating



Fig. 5 Phantom images of solutions containing 10 mM of Fe<sub>2</sub> with 1 : 2 ratios ranging from 9 : 1 to 1 : 9 (A–F). Top and middle rows of images represent CEST effects with 14  $\mu$ T presaturation at 40 and 83 ppm, respectively. The bars along the bottom row represent the ratio of average % CEST for presaturation at 83 and 40 ppm.

that 2 is cleanly oxidized to the stable 3 in air. Moreover, the reversibility of this oxidation was demonstrated by in situ reduction of 3 by glutathione, as monitored by NMR spectroscopy (see Fig. S39 and S40†). This redox reversibility suggests the potential utilization of 3 as a probe precursor, which is stable in air and could undergo reduction to the CEST-active  $Fe^{II}Fe^{III}$  upon introduction into the reducing extracellular environment of tissue.

To further examine the possibility of using 3 as a probe precursor, we carried out preliminary cell viability experiments using melanoma B16F10 cells as a model. After incubating the cells with media containing various concentrations of 3, the percentages of viable cells were recorded (see Fig. S41†). In the presence of 8.2 mM of 3, ca. 80% of cells are viable, and this percentage increased up to ca. 90% for samples containing lower concentrations of 3. Overall >80% viability within millimolar probe concentration range is quite promising, as this is the concentration in which PARACEST probes show optimal contrast.

Finally, we sought to investigate whether the favorable CEST properties of the Fe<sub>2</sub> probe observed on a 9.4 T NMR spectrometer could also be realized on phantom images from a 9.4 T preclinical MRI scanner. A series of solutions containing overall 10 mM Fe<sub>2</sub> with  $1:2$  ratio ranging from  $9:1$  to  $1:9$  were prepared similarly to those in the NMR study. For each sample, two images were acquired with a  $14 \mu T$  presaturation pulse applied at frequencies of 40 and 83 ppm from the  $H_2O$  signal (see Fig. 5). Control images were acquired at the respective presaturation frequencies with 0  $\mu$ T power. Presaturation at 40 and 83 ppm reduced the  $H_2O$  intensity up to *ca*. 4 and 8%, respectively, demonstrating that CEST effects from both  $\mathrm{Fe}^\mathrm{II}_2$  and  $\mathrm{Fe}^\mathrm{II}\mathrm{Fe}^\mathrm{III}$  redox states can be observed on a MRI scanner. However, the inhomogeneity of phantom intensities, likely stemming from weak CEST effects and therefore a noisy background, makes the contrast across phantoms virtually indistinguishable. Despite the ambiguous visualization of trend in redox status, the OCPs independently measured by

Table 1 Comparison of OCP values obtained by Nernstian equation from CEST imaging vs. those obtained by potentiostat

OCP $(\nu s. \text{ NHE})$ A		в	C	D	F
CEST imaging Potentiostat	$-222$		$-263$ $-213$ $-188$ $-161$ $-133$ $-201$ $-188$ $-170$ $-135$		$-107$ $-101$

a potentiostat can be plotted against the ratios between averaged phantom intensities from 83 and 40 ppm ( $CEST_{83\text{ ppm}}/$ CEST  $_{40\text{ ppm}}$ ) to give a Nernstian fit resembling eqn (1)-(5) (see Fig. S42†). Furthermore, the OCPs calculated from the calibration, using intensities from phantom, fall in relatively good agreement with the OCPs measured by a potentiostat (see Table 1). Future efforts will aim to improve homogeneity of phantom images by increasing CEST through combination of chemical and pulse sequence optimizations.

#### Conclusions

The foregoing results demonstrate the feasibility of using the Nernst equation to correlate OCP with the ratio of CEST effects from a Fe<sub>2</sub> PARACEST probe, in a range spanning  $ca. -120$  to 230 mV vs. NHE. To our knowledge, this study provides the first demonstration of ratiometric quantitation of solution redox status through NMR/MRI measurables. The CEST-active mixed-valence compound 2 is enabled by the presence of fast electron transfer and magnetic coupling to the neighboring fast-relaxing  $Fe<sup>H</sup>$  center, as evidenced by NMR and electronic absorption studies. The potential applicability of the  $Fe<sub>2</sub>$  probe is further highlighted by the potential utilization of the airstable  $[{\rm Fe}_{2}^{\rm III}]^{+}$  complex as a one-electron oxidized probe precursor, which shows low cell-toxicity and excellent redox reversibility. Finally, a Nernstian calibration curve was constructed using averaged CEST effects from phantom images, and OCPs obtained from this curve are in good agreement with those obtained from a potentiostat. Equivale and CCP values of the internal equivale in the three states and conducted on the internet on the common are the transfer and the internet on the common are the internet on the internet on the internet on the inte

Whereas the current  $Fe<sub>2</sub>$  probe provides a promising proof-ofconcept for quantitation of redox status, perhaps most exciting is that the dinucleating ligand scaffold provides an excellent platform for chemically tuning the  $Fe^{II}Fe^{II}/Fe^{II}Fe^{III}$  redox couple. Toward this end, preliminary experiments show that the  $Fe<sup>H</sup>Fe<sup>H</sup>/$ Fe<sup>II</sup>Fe<sup>III</sup> redox couple can be varied over a 120 mV range through either introduction of other bisphosphonate derivatives or chemical modification of the dinucleating ligand. Current work is geared toward tailoring members of this family of molecules to target optimal redox properties and proton exchange properties for *in vivo* applications.

#### Acknowledgements

This research was funded by the Air Force Research laboratory under agreement number FA8650-15-5518, the Chemistry of Life Processes Institute, and Northwestern University. T. D. H. thanks the Alfred P. Sloan Foundation. The U. S. Government is authorized to reproduce and distribute reprints for governmental purposes notwithstanding any copyright notation

thereon. The views and conclusions contained herein are those of the authors and should not be interpreted as necessarily representing the official policies or endorsements, either expressed or implied, of AFRL or the U. S. Government. We thank Drs J. M. Zadrozny and L. Liu for helpful discussions, Ms. A. T. Gallagher and Mr J. A. DeGayner for experimental assistance, and Prof. T. J. Meade for generous donation of B16F10 cell supplies and use of his HPLC system and laminar flow hood.

### References

- 1 (a) Redox in Biochemistry, ed. R. Banerjee, John Wiley & Sons, Hoboken, 2008; (b) L. Chaiswing and T. D. Oberley, Antioxid. Redox Signaling, 2010, 13, 449; (c) R. Banerjee, J. Biol. Chem., 2012, 287, 4397.
- 2 (a) D. P. Jones, J. L. Carlson, V. C. Mody Jr, J. Cai, M. J. Lynn and P. Sternberg Jr, Free Radical Biol. Med., 2000, 28, 625; (b) Y.-M. Go and D. P. Jones, Methods Enzymol., 2010, 474, 165.
- 3 Z. Lou, P. Li and K. Han, Acc. Chem. Res., 2015, 48, 1358.
- 4 Selected examples: (a) S. Jiang, S. E. Moriarty-Craige, M. Orr, J. Cai, P. Sternberg Jr and D. P. Jones, Invest. Ophthalmol. Visual Sci., 2005, 46, 1054; (b) L. Chaiswing, W. Zhong, J. J. Cullen, L. W. Oberley and T. D. Oberley, Cancer Res., 2008, 68, 5820; (c) L. Chaiswing, W. Zhong, Y. Liang, D. P. Jones and T. D. Oberley, Free Radical Biol. Med., 2012, 52, 452; (d) S. S. Iyer, A. M. Ramirez, J. D. Ritzenthaler, E. Torres-Gonzalez, S. Roser-Page, A. L. Mora, K. L. Brigham, D. P. Jones, J. Roman and M. Rojas, Am. J. Physiol.: Lung Cell. Mol. Physiol., 2009, 296, 37.
- 5 B. Wang, P. Li, F. Yu, J. Chen, Z. Qu and K. Han, Chem. Commun., 2013, 49, 5790.
- 6 (a) R. B. Lauffer, Chem. Rev., 1987, 87, 901; (b) P. Caravan, J. J. Ellison, T. J. McMurry and R. B. Lauffer, Chem. Rev., 1999, 99, 2293; (c) P. Caravan, Chem. Soc. Rev., 2006, 35, 512.
- 7 (a) S. Aime, M. Botta, E. Gianolio and E. Terreno, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2000, 39, 747; (b) K. A. Krohn, J. M. Link and R. P. Mason, J. Nucl. Med., 2008, 49, 129S; (c) P. B. Tsitovich, J. A. Spernyak and J. R. Morrow, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2013, 52, 13997; (d) L. A. Ekanger, L. A. Polin, Y. Shen, E. M. Haacke, P. D. Martin and M. J. Allen, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2015, 54, 14398; (e) D. Xie, T. L. King, A. Banerjee, V. Kohli and E. L. Que, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2016, 138, 2937.
- 8 M. Yu, S. L. Ambrose, Z. L. Whaley, S. Fan, J. D. Gorden, R. J. Beyers, D. D. Schwartz and C. R. Goldsmith, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2014, 136, 12836.
- 9 (a) B. Jagadish, G. P. Guntle, D. Zhao, V. Gokhale, T. J. Ozumerzifon, A. M. Ahad, E. A. Mash and N. Raghunand, J. Med. Chem., 2012, 55, 10378; (b) G. S. Loving, S. Mukherjee and P. Caravan, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2013, 135, 4620.
- 10 S. J. Ratnakar, S. Viswanathan, Z. Kovacs, A. K. Jindal, K. N. Green and A. D. Sherry, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2012, 134, 5798.
- 11 E. L. Que and C. J. Chang, Chem. Soc. Rev., 2010, 39, 51.
- 12 (a) S. Zhang, P. Winter, K. Wu and A. D. Sherry, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2001, 123, 1517; (b) S. Zhang, M. Merritt, D. E. Woessner, R. E. Lenkinski and A. D. Sherry, Acc. Chem. Res., 2003, 36, 783; (c) J. Zhou and P. C. M. van Zijl, Prog. Nucl. Magn. Reson. Spectrosc., 2006, 48, 109; (d) M. M. Ali, G. Liu, T. Shah, C. A. Flask and M. D. Pagel, Acc. Chem. Res., 2009, 42, 915; (e) S. Viswanathan, Z. Kovacs, K. N. Green, S. J. Ratnakar and A. D. Sherry, Chem. Rev., 2010, 110, 2960; (f) E. Terreno, D. D. Castelli, A. Viale and S. Aime, Chem. Rev., 2010, 110, 3019; (g) P. B. Tsitovich, P. J. Burns, A. M. McKay and J. R. Morrow, *J. Inorg.* Biochem., 2014, 133, 143. Openical Science<br>
12 (a) 5. Zhang, P. Windish, P. Windish, P. Windish, P. B. Windish, P. J. Windish, P. J. Windish, P. J. Windish, C. A. Shang, P. Shan
	- 13 (a) I. Bertini and C. Luchinat, NMR of Paramagnetic Molecules in Biological Systems, The Benjamin/Cummings Publishing Company, Inc., Menlo Park, 1986; (b) I. Bertini and C. Luchinat, Coord. Chem. Rev., 1996, 150, 1; (c) I. Bertini, C. Luchinat and G. Parigi, Solution NMR of Paramagnetic Molecules: Applications to Metallobiomolecules and Models; Elsevier Science B. V., Amsterdam, 2001.
	- 14 K. Du and T. D. Harris, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2016, 138, 7804.
	- 15 M. S. Mashuta, R. J. Webb, K. J. Oberhausen, J. F. Richardson, R. M. Buchanan and D. N. Hendrickson, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1989, 111, 2745.
	- 16  $(a)$  A. S. Borovik, V. Papaefthymiou, L. F. Taylor, O. P. Anderson and L. Que Jr, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1989, 111, 6183; (b) E. Lambert, B. Chabut, S. Chardon-Noblat, A. Deronzier, G. Chottard, A. Bousseksou, J.-P. Tuchagues, J. Laugier, M. Bardet and J.-M. Latour, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1997, 119, 9424; (c) E. Gouré, M. Carboni, A. Troussier, C. Lebrun, J. Pécaut, J.-F. Jacquot, P. Dubourdeaux, M. Clémancey, G. Blondin and J.-M. Latour, Chem.-Eur. J.,
- 2015, 21, 8064; (d) M. Mashuta, R. J. Webb, J. K. McCusker, E. A. Schmitt, K. J. Oberhausen, J. F. Richardson, R. M. Buchanan and D. N. Hendrickson, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1992, 114, 3815.
- 17 B. Fultz, Characterization of Material, ed. E. Kaufmann, John Wiley, New York, 2011, pp. 1–21.
- 18 A. S. Borovik and L. Que Jr, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1988, 110, 2345.
- 19 N. S. Hush, Prog. Inorg. Chem., 1967, 8, 391.
- 20 (a) N. R. Kestner, J. Logan and J. Jortner, J. Phys. Chem., 1974, 78, 2148; (b) J. J. Hopfield, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A., 1974, 71, 3640; (c) T. J. Meyer, Chem. Phys. Lett., 1979, 64, 417.
- 21 B. P. Murch, F. C. Bradley and L. Que Jr, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1986, 108, 5027.
- $22$  (*a*) Magnetic susceptibility data were simulated using the program MagProp: P. L. W. Tregenna-Piggott, MagProp (part of the NIST DAVE software suite), version  $2.0$ ,  $2008$ , http://www.ncnr.nist.gov/dave; (b) R. T. Azuah, L. R. Kneller, Y. M. Qiu, P. L. W. Tregenna-Piggott, C. M. Brown, J. R. D. Copley and R. M. Dimeoo, J. Res. Natl. Inst. Stand. Technol., 2009, 114, 341.
- 23 W. T. Dixon, J. Ren, A. J. M. Lubag, J. Ratnakar, E. Vinogradov, I. Hancu, R. E. Lenkinski and A. D. Sherry, Magn. Reson. Med., 2010, 63, 625.
- 24 (a) P. B. Tsitovich and J. R. Morrow, Inorg. Chim. Acta, 2012, 393, 3; (b) S. J. Dorazio and J. R. Morrow, Inorg. Chem., 2012, 51, 7448; (c) A. O. Olatunde, C. J. Bond, S. J. Dorazio, J. M. Cox, J. B. Benedict, M. D. Daddario, J. A. Spernyak and J. R. Morrow, Chem.–Eur. J., 2015, 21, 18290.
- 25 Q. J. Wan, P. Kubáň, J. Tanyanyiwa, A. Rainelli and P. C. Hauser, Anal. Chim. Acta, 2004, 525, 11.