Chemical Science

EDGE ARTICLE



Cite this: Chem. Sci., 2017, 8, 1238

A new approach to the asymmetric Mannich reaction catalyzed by chiral *N*,*N*[′]-dioxide-metal complexes[†]

Xiangjin Lian, Lili Lin, Kai Fu, Baiwei Ma, Xiaohua Liu and Xiaoming Feng*

Received 31st August 2016 Accepted 2nd October 2016

DOI: 10.1039/c6sc03902b

www.rsc.org/chemicalscience

A highly efficient asymmetric Mannich-type reaction between α -tetralone-derived β -keto esters/amides and 1,3,5-triaryl-1,3,5-triazinanes was realized in the presence of chiral *N*,*N'*-dioxide–Ni(II) or Mg(II) complex. A variety of optically active β -amino compounds with all-carbon quaternary stereocenters were obtained in good yields with excellent enantioselectivities. A possible transition state was proposed based on these experiments and previous reports.

Because the resulting nitrogen-containing compounds are widely distributed in nature and include many biologically important molecules,¹ the Mannich reaction has received a lot of attention since its discovery in the early 20th century (Scheme 1a).² It has become one of the most efficient methods to construct C–C bonds.³ Despite its important synthetic value, the development of the classical intermolecular



Scheme 1 Classical Mannich-type reaction and the new approach.

Mannich reaction has been plagued by a number of serious disadvantages such as the undesired side products formed in many cases, and the ability to control the regio- and stereo-selectivity is generally unsatisfactory.⁴ The first catalytic enantioselective approach was reported by Kobayashi using a novel chiral zirconium catalyst in 1997.⁵ To overcome the drawbacks of the classical Mannich reaction, preformed Mannich reagents such as imines and iminium salts have been developed (Scheme 1b).⁶ Subsequently, the catalytic asymmetric Mannich reaction has received a certain amount of development.⁷ However, such preformed Mannich reagents also have some defects such as low activity, sensitivity to moisture and instability, and therefore the development of new Mannich reagents is desirable.

CHEMISTRY

View Article Online

View Journal | View Issue

1,3,5-Triaryl-1,3,5-triazinanes, which are conveniently prepared through the condensation of paraformaldehyde and aromatic amines,8 can generate the corresponding imines in solvent, which can be used as Mannich reagents. Very recently, Krische reported investigations on the hydroaminomethylation of allenes and 1,3-dienes with 1,3,5-triaryl-1,3,5-triazinanes catalyzed by ruthenium.9 Inspired by Krische's work, we think that the in situ generated imines from 1,3,5-triaryl-1,3,5-triazinanes might be used as Mannich reagents. On the other hand, all-carbon quaternary stereocenters are widely present in natural products and to build such structures is still a challenge, especially in a catalytic enantioselective manner.¹⁰ In recent years, our group has been committed to utilizing N,N'dioxide-metal complexes as catalysts and has achieved a series of catalytic asymmetric reactions, including the construction of compounds with chiral all-carbon quaternary stereocenters.¹¹ Herein, we report the first asymmetric Mannich reaction employing 1,3,5-triaryl-1,3,5-triazinanes as new Mannich reagents catalyzed by N,N'-dioxide-metal complexes, and a variety of optically active β -amino compounds, each with an all-carbon quaternary stereocenter, were obtained.

Key Laboratory of Green Chemistry & Technology, Ministry of Education, College of Chemistry, Sichuan University, Chengdu 610064, P. R. China. E-mail: xmfeng@scu. edu.cn; Fax: +86 28 85418249; Tel: +86 28 85418249

[†] Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available. CCDC 1448521 and 1480808.For ESI and crystallographic data in CIF or other electronic format see DOI: 10.1039/c6sc03902b

In our preliminary screening, the α -tetralone-derived β -keto ester 1a and 1,3,5-triphenyl-1,3,5-triazinane 3a were chosen as the model substrates to optimize the reaction conditions (Table 1). Initially, the performance of various metal salts was evaluated when combined with the chiral N,N'-dioxide ligand L-PrPh, which is derived from L-proline, and the reactions were performed in CH₂Cl₂ at 30 °C (Table 1, entries 1-5). Lanthanides, the N,N'-dioxide complexes of which have proved to be efficient catalysts for many reactions,11 can only provide the desired product 4a with low ee values or as a racemate, although the yields were good (Table 1, entries 1-3). The complex of Mg(OTf)₂ could give the desired product in 85% yield but with only 18% ee (Table 1, entry 4). To our delight, the complex of $Ni(ClO_4)_2$ -·6H₂O provided 4a with a better ee value (44% ee, Table 1, entry 5 versus entries 1-4). Increasing the steric hindrance of the amide substituents on the chiral N,N'-dioxide ligand further improved the enantioselectivity. Chiral N,N'-dioxide L-PrPr2 with a more sterically hindered *i*-Pr at the ortho-positions of aniline improved the enantioselectivity to 53% ee (Table 1, entry 6 versus entry 5). Then we investigated the effect of the chiral

 Table 1
 Optimization of the reaction conditions



Entry ^a	Substrate	Metal salt	Ligand	$\operatorname{Yield}^{b}(\%)$	ee ^c (%)
1	1a	Sc(OTf) ₃	L-PrPh	83	0
2	1a	Yb(OTf) ₃	L-PrPh	84	0
3	1a	$La(OTf)_3$	L-PrPh	90	13
4	1a	$Mg(OTf)_2$	L-PrPh	85	18
5	1a	$Ni(ClO_4)_2 \cdot 6H_2O$	L-PrPh	97	44
6	1a	$Ni(ClO_4)_2 \cdot 6H_2O$	L-PrPr ₂	67	53
7	1a	$Ni(ClO_4)_2 \cdot 6H_2O$	L-RaPr ₂	87	87
8	1a	$Ni(ClO_4)_2 \cdot 6H_2O$	L-PiPr ₂	94	96
9^d	1a	$Ni(ClO_4)_2 \cdot 6H_2O$	L-PiPr ₂	87	99
$10^{d,e}$	1a	$Ni(ClO_4)_2 \cdot 6H_2O$	L-PiPr ₂	97	99
$11^{d,e}$	2a	$Ni(ClO_4)_2 \cdot 6H_2O$	L-PiPr ₂	95	61
$12^{d,f}$	2a	$Mg(OTf)_2$	L-PiPr ₂	98	97

^{*a*} Unless otherwise noted, the reactions were performed with **1a** or **2a** (0.10 mmol), **3a** (0.034 mmol), ligand (0.01 mmol), and metal salt (0.01 mmol) in 1.0 mL CH₂Cl₂ at 30 °C for 8 h. ^{*b*} Isolated yield of the product. ^{*c*} Determined by HPLC analysis on a chiral stationary phase. ^{*d*} The reaction was performed at 0 °C for 12 h. ^{*e*} 5 mol% **L-PiPr**₂ (0.005 mmol) and 5 mol% Ni(ClO₄)₂ · 6H₂O (0.005 mmol) were used. ^{*f*} The reaction was performed with **L-PiPr**₂ (0.005 mmol) and Mg(OTf)₂ (0.005 mmol).

backbone moiety, the (*S*)-pipecolic acid derived *N*,*N'*-dioxide **L**-**PiPr**₂ (Table 1, entry 8) was superior to L-proline derived **L-PrPr**₂ and L-ramipril-derived **L-RaPr**₂ (Table 1, entries 6 and 7), giving the product in 94% yield with 96% ee. In addition, lowering the temperature to 0 °C improved the enantioselectivity to 99% ee albeit with a lower yield (Table 1, entry 9). Remarkably, upon reducing the catalyst loading to 5 mol% the yield improved to 97% with the enantioselectivity maintained (Table 1, entry 10). When the α -tetralone-derived β -keto amide **2a** was employed in this reaction instead of **1a**, the desired product **5a** was obtained in good yield but with unsatisfactory enantioselectivity (Table 1, entry 11). Then we replaced the metal salt with Mg(OTf)₂ and got comparable results (Table 1, entry 12).

With the optimized reaction conditions in hand, we firstly investigated the scope of the reactions between α -tetralonederived β -keto esters and 1,3,5-triaryl-1,3,5-triazinanes (Table 2). Delightfully, the electronic nature and the positions of the substituents on the β -keto esters had little influence on both the yields and enantioselectivities (83–98% yield, 81–99% ee; **4a–4f**). Next, the 1,3,5-triaryl-1,3,5-triazinanes were varied. As it shown in Table 2 (**4g–4k**), the positions of the substituents have a certain influence on the yields, but the enantioselectivities were good in all cases. Generally, the 2-substituted 1,3,5-triaryl-



^{*a*} The reactions were performed with **1** (0.10 mmol), **3** (0.034 mmol), **L**-**PiPr**₂ (0.005 mmol), and Ni(ClO₄)₂ \cdot 6H₂O (0.005 mmol) in 1.0 mL CH₂Cl₂ at 0 °C for 12 h. ^{*b*} Isolated yield of the product. ^{*c*} Determined by HPLC analysis on a chiral stationary phase.

1,3,5-triazinanes showed a slight decrease in yield compared with the 4-substituted ones. What's more, 1-adamantanol substituted β -keto ester **11** was also a suitable substrate for this reaction and the corresponding product **41** was obtained in 99% yield with 93% ee (Table 2, **41**). Additionally, the absolute configuration of **4a** was determined to be *R* by X-ray crystallography¹² and the configurations of the others were determined to be *R* by circular dichroism (for details see the ESI†).

Subsequently, we turned our attention to investigate the substrate scope of the reactions between α -tetralone-derived β -keto amides and 1,3,5-triaryl-1,3,5-triazinanes (Table 3). To our delight, a variety of β -keto amides with different substituents were tolerated and gave the corresponding products with excellent enantioselectivities (Table 3, 93–98% ee; **5a–5f**). Then the scope of 1,3,5-triaryl-1,3,5-triazinanes was examined. The results are different from the results for the reactions of the β -keto esters, and both 2- and 4-substituted 1,3,5-triaryl-1,3,5-triazinanes afforded the corresponding products in excellent yields and enantioselectivities (95–99% yields, 95–99% ee, **5g**, **5i** and **5j**) except the 4-MeO substituted 1,3,5-tris(4-methoxyphenyl)-1,3,5-triazinane, which gave the corresponding product in 84% ee. Besides this, five- and seven-membered



^{*a*} The reactions were performed with **2** (0.10 mmol), **3** (0.034 mmol), **L**-**PiPr**₂ (0.005 mmol), and Mg(OTf)₂ (0.005 mmol) in 1.0 mL CH₂Cl₂ at 0 °C for 12 h. ^{*b*} Isolated yield of the product. ^{*c*} Determined by HPLC analysis on a chiral stationary phase.

β-keto amide substrates were also examined. Unfortunately, the five-membered β-keto amide gave the corresponding product **5k** with only 55% ee, while the seven-membered β-keto amide gave a racemic product **5l** though the yields were excellent under the standard conditions. A cyclohexanone-derived β-keto amide was also tested under the standard reaction conditions, but the reaction didn't occur. Meanwhile, the absolute configuration of **5a** was determined to be *R* by X-ray crystallography analysis¹² and configurations of the others were also determined to be *R* by circular dichroism (for details see the ESI[†]).

To evaluate the synthetic value of this catalytic system, gramscale reactions were performed (Scheme 2). In the presence of the **L-PiPr**₂–Ni(ClO₄)₂·6H₂O complex (5 mol%), the starting material **1a** (4.0 mmol) reacted with **3a** (1.3 mmol, 1.0 equivalent) smoothly, and the corresponding product **4a** was obtained in 92% yield with 99% ee (Scheme 2a). In the system of α -tetralonederived β -keto amides and 1,3,5-triaryl-1,3,5-triazinanes, the reaction between 0.98 g **2a** and 0.42 g **3a** was performed under the optimized reaction conditions, affording 1.34 g (95% yield) of the corresponding product **5a** with 97% ee (Scheme 2b).

On the other hand, the product **4a** could be efficiently converted into useful β -hydroxyl ester **6** through reduction using NaBH₄ as a reducing agent (Scheme 3). The diastereomer of the product **6** was determined to be *trans*- using NOESY spectra (see the ESI† for details). The product **4h** could be converted into *N*-Boc- β -amino ester **7** by deprotection with cerium ammonium nitrate (CAN) followed by Boc protection of the amino group with Boc₂O (see the ESI† for details).

To gain insight into the mechanism, the relationship between the ee value of the ligand **L-PiPr**₂ and that of **4a** was investigated under the optimal reaction conditions.¹³ A linear effect was observed (see the ESI[†] for details), which suggested that a monomeric catalyst may be the main catalytically active species in the reaction system. Based on the experiments and our previous work¹¹ as well as the absolute configuration of the products, a possible transition state model is proposed in Fig. 1 to elucidate the origin of the asymmetric induction. In the transition state, the oxygens of the *N*,*N*'-dioxides and the amide oxygens coordinate to Ni(π) in a tetradentate manner. The β keto ester **1a** could be activated after coordinating to the nickel atom in a bidentate fashion. The *Si*-face of β -keto ester **1a** is effectively shielded by the amide moiety and the piperidine ring on the underside of the ligand **L-PiPr**₂. In contrast, the *Re*-face is



Scheme 2 Gram-scale version of the reaction.

8

Scheme 3 Transformations of the product 4 into other derivatives; reaction conditions: (a) NaBH₄ and MeOH/CH₂Cl₂ (1 : 1), 0 °C (4a: Ar = Ph, 99% ee); (b) CAN, CH₃CN/H₂O; then Et₃N and Boc₂O (4h: Ar = 4-MeOC₆H₄, 94% ee). Boc = *tert*-butyloxycarbonyl.



Fig. 1 Proposed transition state and the absolute configuration of 4a.

located in a relatively open space. The highly selective approach of the *in situ* generated *N*-methyleneaniline toward the *Re*-face of the bidentate-coordinated β -keto ester leads to the desired product with an *R* configuration, which is consistent with the observed absolute configuration of the product.

Conclusions

In summary, a highly enantioselective Mannich-type reaction between α -tetralone-derived β -keto esters/amides and 1,3,5-triaryl-1,3,5-triazinanes was realized. In the presence of chiral *N*,*N'*-dioxide–Ni(II) or *N*,*N'*-dioxide–Mg(II) complex, a variety of corresponding β -amino compounds each with an all-carbon quaternary stereocenter were obtained in good to excellent enantioselectivities (up to 99% ee) and good to excellent yields (up to 99%). In particular, this is the first time that 1,3,5-triaryl-1,3,5-triazinanes were used as electrophilic reagents in the catalytic asymmetric Mannich reaction. Further studies focused on the reactions of 1,3,5-triaryl-1,3,5-triazinanes are under way.

Acknowledgements

We thank the National Natural Science Foundation of China (No. 21372162, 21432006 and 21321061) for financial support.

Notes and references

1 M. Arend, B. Westermann and N. Rish, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 1998, **37**, 1044.

- 2 C. Mannich and W. Krosche, Arch. Pharm., 1912, 250, 647.
- 3 M. Tramontini and L. Angiolini, Tetrahedron, 1990, 46, 1791.
- 4 For reviews, see: (a) M. Tramontini and L. Angiolini, Mannich-Bases, Chemistry and Uses, CRC, F. L. Boca Raton, 1994; (b) M. Tramontini, L. Angiolini and N. Ghedeni, Polymer, 1988, **29**, 771; (c) M. Tramontini, Synthesis, 1973, 703; (d) H. Hellmann and G. Opitz, α -Aminoalkylierung, Verlag Chemie, Weinheim, 1960; (e) B. Reichert, Die Mannich reaktion, Springer, Berlin, 1959; (f) F. F. Blicke, Org. React., 1942, **1**, 303.
- 5 (*a*) H. Ishitani, M. Ueno and S. Kobayashi, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1997, **119**, 7153; (*b*) For an early review, see: S. Kobayashi and H. Ishitani, *Chem. Rev.*, 1999, **99**, 1069.
- 6 For examples, see: (a) H. J. Ha and Y. G. Ahn, Synth. Commun., 1995, 25, 969; (b) A. R. Katritzky, S. Rachwal and G. J. Hitchings, Tetrahedron, 1991, 47, 2683; (c) S. Kobayashi, H. Ishitani, S. Komiyama, D. C. Oniciu and A. R. Katritzky, Tetrahedron Lett., 1996, 37, 3731; (d) P. C. B. Page, S. M. Allin, E. W. Collington and R. A. E. Carr, J. Org. Chem., 1993, 58, 6902; (e) A. R. Katritzky, N. Shobana and P. A. Harris, Tetrahedron Lett., 1990, 31, 3999; (f) A. R. Katritzky and P. A. Harris, Tetrahedron, 1990, 46, 987; (g) D. Enders, D. Ward, J. Adam and G. Raabe, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 1996, 35, 981; (h) U. Jahn and W. Schroth, Tetrahedron Lett., 1993, 34, 5863.
- 7 For reviews of asymmetric Mannich reactions, see: (a)
 A. Cordova, Acc. Chem. Res., 2004, 37, 102; (b) A. G. Wenzel and E. N. Jacobsen, Enantioselective Synthesis of β-Amino Acids, ed. E. Juaristi and V. Soloshonok, Wiley-VCH, New York, 2005, ch. 4; (c) A. Ting and S. E. Schaus, Eur. J. Org. Chem., 2007, 2007, 5797; (d) J. M. M. Verkade, L. J. C. von Hemert, P. J. L. M. Quaedflieg and F. P. J. T. Rutjes, Chem. Soc. Rev., 2008, 37, 29; (e) B. Weiner, W. Szymanski, D. B. Janssen, A. J. Minnaard and B. L. Feringa, Chem. Soc. Rev., 2010, 39, 1656; (f) B. Karimi, D. Enders and E. Jafari, Synthesis, 2013, 45, 2769.
- 8 (a) C. A. Bischoff and F. Reinfeld, *Chem. Ber.*, 1903, 36, 41; (b)
 A. G. Giumanini, G. Verardo, E. Zangrando and L. Lassiani, *J. Prakt. Chem.*, 1987, 329, 1087; (c) A. G. Giumanini,
 N. Toniutti, G. Verardo and M. Merli, *Eur. J. Org. Chem.*, 1999, 141; (d) H. J. Ha, C. J. Choi and W. K. Lee, *Synth. Commun.*, 2002, 32, 1495; (e) M. I. P. Reis, G. A. Romeiro,
 R. Damasceno, F. de C. da Silva and V. F. Ferreira, *Rev. Virtual Quim.*, 2013, 5, 283; (f) G. O. Jones, J. M. García,
 H. W. Horn and J. L. Hedrick, *Org. Lett.*, 2014, 16, 5502.
- 9 (a) S. Oda, B. Sam and M. J. Krische, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2015, 54, 8525; (b) S. Oda, J. Franke and M. J. Krische, Chem. Sci., 2016, 7, 136.
- 10 (a) K. Fuji, Chem. Rev., 1993, 93, 2037; (b) E. J. Corey and A. Guzman-Perez, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 1998, 37, 388; (c) J. Christoffers and A. Mann, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2001, 40, 4591; (d) C. J. Douglas and L. E. Overman, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A., 2004, 101, 5363; (e) J. Christoffers and A. Baro, Adv. Synth. Catal., 2005, 347, 1473; (f) B. M. Trost and C. Jiang, Synthesis, 2006, 369; (g) M. Bella and T. Gasperi, Synthesis, 2009, 1583; (h) C. Hawner and

A. Alexakis, *Chem. Commun.*, 2010, **46**, 7295; (*i*) J. P. Das and I. Marek, *Chem. Commun.*, 2011, **47**, 4593.

11 For reviews of N,N'-dioxide-metal complexes in asymmetric reactions, see: (a) X. H. Liu, L. L. Lin and X. M. Feng, Acc. Chem. Res., 2011, 44, 574; (b) K. Zheng, L. L. Lin and X. M. Feng, Acta Chim. Sin., 2012, 70, 1785; (c) "Chiral Scandium Complexes in Catalytic Asymmetric Reactions": X. M. Feng and X. H. Liu, Scandium: Compounds, Productions and Applications, ed. V. A. Greene, Nova Science, New York, 2011, p. 1; (d) X. H. Liu, L. L. Lin and X. M. Feng, Org. Chem. Front., 2014, 1, 298. For recent examples, see: ; (e) W. Li, F. Tan, X. Y. Hao, G. Wang,

Y. Tang, X. H. Liu, L. L. Lin and X. M. Feng, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2015, **54**, 1608; (f) J. L. Zhang, Y. L. Zhang, L. L. Lin, Q. Yao, X. H. Liu and X. M. Feng, *Chem. Commun.*, 2015, **51**, 10554.

- 12 CCDC 1448521 (4a) and CCDC 1480808 (5a) contain the supplementary crystallographic data for this paper.
- 13 (a) T. Satyanarayana, S. Abraham and H. B. Kagan, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2009, 48, 456; (b) D. Guillaneux, S. H. Zhao,
 O. Samuel, D. Rainford and H. B. Kagan, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1994, 116, 9430; (c) C. Girard and H. B. Kagan, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 1998, 37, 2922.