RSC Advances



View Article Online

View Journal | View Issue

PAPER

Check for updates

Cite this: RSC Adv., 2017, 7, 49875

Insights into the ligand effects of rhodium catalysts toward reductive carbonylation of methanol to ethanol[†]

Yingzan Chen, 🝺 Dianhua Liu 🕩 * and Yi Yu 🕩

Methanol reductive carbonylation to ethanol catalyzed by diphosphine ligand modified Rh-based catalysts has been studied. All the catalysts show ligand effects toward the turnover frequency and selectivity. Four Rh-diphosphine complexes were isolated and single crystals were obtained. These complexes were characterized by X-ray single-crystal diffraction, NMR, FTIR, and XPS. The X-ray crystal structure of $[Rh_2(\mu-I)(\mu-CO)(CO)_2(dppm)_2]^+$ was reported for the first time in this work. Based on the analysis of crystal structures, we unraveled the origin of the ligand effects of rhodium catalysts toward reductive carbonylation of methanol to ethanol. The diphosphine ligand with the appropriate number of methylene groups between two phosphorus atoms can improve the catalytic activity. The steric congestion around the empty coordination site in the Rh–diphosphine complexes led to a preferential reaction of rhodium with H₂, which promoted ethanol/acetaldehyde formation.

Received 28th September 2017 Accepted 18th October 2017

DOI: 10.1039/c7ra10739k

rsc.li/rsc-advances

1. Introduction

Development of ethanol-fuel blends has recently attracted burgeoning interest from both academia and industry, because of the improvement of the fuel combustion efficiency^{1,2} and thus the reduction of the particulate generation and CO emission.^{3,4} Traditionally, ethanol is mainly produced by ethylene hydration and biomass (mainly sugar cane and maize) fermentation.⁵ However, energy efficiency, political uncertainty, and social issues have directed the research efforts into the development of alternative feedstocks/routes for its production.

In recent years, there has been growing interest in the development of alternative syngas-based routes for the production of ethanol. Compared to the direct route (*i.e.*, direct conversion of syngas),^{6,7} the indirect routes including reductive carbonylation of methanol intermediate,^{8,9} hydrogenation of dimethyl oxalate^{10,11} or acetic acid/acetate^{12,13} intermediates generally exhibit higher yield and selectivity to ethanol. In particular, the reductive carbonylation of methanol to ethanol is more attractive owing to the fewer steps involved.

For the reductive carbonylation of methanol to ethanol, although Co-based catalysts appeared to be active (more comments shown in Table S1[†]),¹⁴⁻¹⁸ the harsh reaction conditions (*e.g.*, >25 MPa) hindered its commercialization in large-

scale. Interestingly, several studies showed that Rh–Ru catalysts gave rise to a higher low-pressure (10–12 MPa) activity.^{19,20} Subsequently, Moloy *et al.* found that the addition of diphosphine ligands endowed the Rh–Ru catalysts with the apparently improved selectivity to ethanol/acetaldehyde even under much milder conditions (*e.g.*, 6.9 MPa and 130–140 °C).^{9,21,22} Furthermore, they revealed that the Rh catalyst was responsible for reductive carbonylation of methanol to ethanol, while ruthenium catalyst was for hydrogenation of acetaldehyde to ethanol (Fig. S1[†]).^{21,23} However, the relationship between the ligand type and the catalytic performance has been still unclear.

Herein, the Rh-based catalysts modified with $Ph_2P(CH_2)_{n}$ -PPh₂ (n = 1-4) ligands were tested for reductive carbonylation of methanol to ethanol under relatively mild conditions (130 °C and 6.0 MPa). Four Rh–diphosphine complexes were isolated and recrystallized to obtain the corresponding single crystals for X-ray single-crystal diffraction, NMR (nuclear magnetic resonance), FTIR (Fourier transform infrared spectroscopy) and XPS (X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy) measurements. The ligand effects were investigated based on the catalytic performances and the characterizations of the complexes.

2. Experimental

2.1. Chemicals

Dicarbonyl(2,4-pentanedionato)rhodium(1) $(Rh(CO)_2(acac) 99\%)$ and ruthenium(11) chloride hydrate $(RuCl_3 \cdot xH_2O Ru > 37\%)$ were purchased from Sino-platinum Metals Co CHN. Bis(diphenylphosphino)methane (dppm 99%), 1,2-bis(diphenylphosphino) propane (dppp 99%), 1,4-bis(diphenylphosphino)butane (dppb

State Key Laboratory of Chemical Engineering, East China University of Science and Technology, Shanghai 200237, China. E-mail: dhliu@ecust.edu.cn; Fax: +86-21-6425-2151; Tel: +86-21-6425-2151

[†] Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available. CCDC 1527246. For ESI and crystallographic data in CIF or other electronic format see DOI: 10.1039/c7ra10739k



Scheme 1 Synthetic pathways of Rh-diphosphine complexes via reaction of rhodium precursor with methyl iodide and diphosphine ligands (Ph₂P(CH₂)_nPPh₂, n = 1-4). Byproducts of CO and 4-methoxy-3-penten-2-one are not included for the sake of clarity.

99%) was purchased from Puyang Huicheng Electronic Material Co CHN. Methanol (CH₃OH 99.5%) and methyl iodide (MeI 98%) were purchased from Sinopharm Chemical Reagent Co CHN. Carbon monoxide (CO 99.99%) was purchased from Foshan Huate Gas Co CHN and hydrogen (H₂ 99.99%) was purchased from Baosteel Gases Co CHN. All of reagents were used without further purification.

2.2. Synthesis of Rh-diphosphine complexes and preparation of single crystals

The Rh–diphosphine complexes were synthesized *via* the reaction of rhodium precursor with methyl iodide and diphosphine ligands in methanol solvent according to the synthetic pathways (Scheme 1), where four types of $Ph_2P(CH_2)_nPPh_2$ (n = 1-4) ligands were used and abbreviated as dppm, dppe, dppp, and dppb, respectively.

Rh(CO)₂(acac) (1.16 mmol), the bisphosphine ligand (2.32 mmol), methyl iodide (40 mmol), RuCl₃·xH₂O (5.8 mmol) and methanol (3.71 mol) were added into a 500 ml titanium alloy magnetically stirred autoclave. The reactor was sealed and purged three times with CO of 1 MPa. Then the autoclave was heated to 100 °C with agitation (350 rpm), and was maintained 1 h for Rh–diphosphine complexes synthesis. After the autoclave was cooled to room temperature, the pressure vented carefully and the crystal clusters were formed in the reaction solution. The single crystals were prepared through recrystallization by slowly cooling saturated methanol solutions of crystal clusters in CO atmosphere.

2.3. Characterization

The single crystals obtained above were characterized by XPS, IR, NMR and X-ray crystallography. XPS measurements were

performed on a VG ESCALAB 250Xi (Thermo Fisher Scientific, UK) electron spectrometer equipped with Al Ka X-ray source. The analyzer was operated in a constant pass energy mode, and operated at 10 mA and 12 kV. All the spectra measurement were corrected by setting the reference binding energy of carbon (1s) at 284.8 eV. The spectra were analyzed and processed using Thermo Avantage v5.903 software (Thermo Fisher Scientific). The peaks were fitted using Lorentzian–Gaussian product function. IR spectra were recorded using a Thermo Nicolet 6700 FT-IR system. All solids were analyzed as KBr disks. NMR spectra were recorded on Bruker Advance III instruments using tetramethylsilane as internal standard. X-ray crystallography data were collected at 130–133 K on a Bruker Smart APEX II CCD diffractometer.

2.4. General procedures

In a typical procedure, after Rh–diphosphine complexes synthesis reaction mentioned above, the autoclave was heated to the 130 $^{\circ}$ C with agitation (350 rpm) and then syngas was charged to 6.0 MPa with the H₂/CO ratio of 2.0. The reaction was monitored by gas uptake. After each 0.5 MPa drop, the reactor was repressurized to 6.0 MPa with syngas. After 12 h, the autoclave was cooled to room temperature, the pressure was vented carefully and the liquid mixture was collected.

2.5. Analytical methods

The liquid products were analyzed using a gas chromatograph (Agilent 7890A) equipped with an HP-5 column (30 m \times 0.32 mm \times 0.25 µm). A flame ionization detector (FID) operation at 150 °C was utilized for analyzing liquid product with nitrogen as carrier gas. The column oven temperature was maintained at 40 °C for 3 min, then increased to 90 °C at a rate of 5 °C min⁻¹, and held for 3 min. The products were qualitatively analyzed by GC/MS using the above analytical methods, and quantified using acetonitrile as the internal standard.

The turnover frequency (TOF) is defined as a number of moles of consumed methanol per mol of introduced rhodium per hour:

TOF (mol per (mol Rh) per h) =

$$\frac{\sum \text{moles(products } x) \times (n)}{(\text{moles of rhodium}) \times (\text{reaction time, h})} \times 100\%$$
(1)

where n is the number of methyl groups originating from methanol and incorporated in product x.

The selectivity to ethanol/acetaldehyde is calculated in the usual way,^{9,21} as seen in eqn (2).

$$S_{\text{EtOH/AcH}} (\%) = \frac{\text{moles}[\text{MeOEt} + \text{Et}_2\text{O} \times 2 + \text{EtOH} + \text{EtOAc} + \text{MeC}(\text{MeO})_2\text{H}]}{\text{moles}[\text{MeOEt} + \text{Et}_2\text{O} \times 2 + \text{EtOH} + \text{EtOAc} \times 2 + \text{MeOAc} + \text{AcOH} + \text{MeC}(\text{MeO})_2\text{H}]} \times 100\%$$
(2)

The MeOEt, Et₂O, EtOH, EtOAc, MeOAc, AcOH, and MeC(MeO)₂H represented, respectively, ethyl methyl ether, diethyl ether, ethanol, ethyl acetate, methyl acetate, acetic acid, and 1,1-dimethoxyethane.

3. Results and discussion

3.1. X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy

Fig. 1 shows the Rh 3d XPS spectra of Rh-dppm complex, Rh-dppe complex, Rh-dppp complex and Rh-dppb complex, respectively. It was observed that the Rh 3d XPS spectrum of Rh-dppm complex (Fig. 1a) consisted of two major peaks at 313.0 and 308.2 eV, corresponding to Rh 3d_{3/2} and Rh 3d_{5/2} binding energies, respectively, being indicative of the sole presence of Rh¹⁺ in the complex.^{24,25} In contrast, the chemical states of Rh in Rh-dppe complex, Rh-dppp complex, and Rh-dppb complex existed as Rh3+,25-27 associated with the Rh 3d_{5/2} XPS signals at ca. 309.0-309.2 eV, respectively (Fig. 1b-d). Moreover, there was a shoulder peak appearing around 307.5 eV in these three complexes (Fig. 1b-d), indicating that a part of the rhodium complexes underwent reductive elimination to form Rh¹⁺ species during the XPS analysis process.

3.2. FTIR spectroscopy

Fig. 2 depicts FTIR spectra of the four Rh-diphosphine complexes. Obviously, the Rh-dppm complex exhibits two



Fig. 2 FTIR spectra of Rh-dppm complex, Rh-dppe complex, Rhdppp complex and Rh-dppb complex for ν (CO) regions.

characteristic absorption bands of the terminal and bridging carbonyl groups. In contrast, the other three complexes presented similar characteristic absorption bands around 1700 cm⁻¹, which is attributed to the acetyl carbonyl group. The result indicates that there is an acetyl carbonyl group in the Rh-dppe complex, Rhdppp complex and Rh-dppp complex, respectively.



Fig. 1 XPS spectra of various Rh-diphosphine complex (a) Rh-dppm complex, (b) Rh-dppe complex, (c) Rh-dppp complex, (d) Rh-dppb complex.

| BΥ-NC

6

Paper



Fig. 3 Molecular structures of Rh–dppm complex. Thermal ellipsoids are drawn at the 50% probability level.

3.3. X-ray single-crystal diffraction

The four complexes were characterized by single-crystal X-ray crystallography. The molecular structures of these complexes were obtained, which were $[Rh_2(\mu-I)(\mu-CO)(CO)_2(dppm)_2]^+$, $[Rh(COCH_3)I_2(dppe)]$, $[Rh(COCH_3)I_2(dppp)]$ and $[Rh(COCH_3)I_2(dppb)]$, respectively. Through searching the structure in the Cambridge Structural Database (CSD), it was found that the $[Rh_2(\mu-I)(\mu-CO)(CO)_2(dppm)_2]^+$ was a new cation and firstly reported in this work, whereas the other three rhodium acetyl complexes had been reported previously.^{23,28-30} Fig. 3 shows the ORTEP (Oak Ridge Thermal Ellipsoid Plots) structure of $[Rh_2(\mu-I)(\mu-CO)(CO)_2(dppm)_2]^+$ with thermal ellipsoids drawn at the 50% probability level. The important bond lengths and angles

Table 1 Selected interatomic distances (Å) and bond angles (deg) for Rh–dppm complex

Rh-dppm complex						
Rh1–I1	2.8317(7)	Rh2–I1	2.8116(7)			
Rh1–P1	2.3391(19)	Rh2-P2	2.3513(19)			
Rh1–P3	2.330(2)	Rh2–P4	2.3444(19)			
Rh1-C1	2.075(7)	Rh2-C1	2.025(7)			
Rh1–C2	1.822(7)	Rh2–C3	1.850(8)			
Rh1–Rh2	2.8207(8)	O1-C1	1.169(8)			
O2-C2	1.158(8)	O3-C3	1.157(8)			
P1-P2	3.047(7)	P3-P4	3.046(3)			
P3-Rh1-Rh2	93.19(5)	P1–Rh1–Rh2	94.12(5)			
P3-Rh1-P1	169.12(7)	P4-Rh2-P2	175.88(6)			
P4-Rh2-Rh1	92.32(5)	P2-Rh2-Rh1	91.30(5)			
C5-P3-Rh1	113.5(2)	C4-P2-Rh2	113.1(2)			
C5-P4-Rh2	113.0(2)	C4-P1-Rh1	112.4(2)			
P3-C5-P4	112.8(4)	P2-C4-P1	112.8(3)			
C1-Rh1-I1	105.46(18)	C1-Rh2-I1	107.6(2)			
Rh2–I1–Rh1	59.977(18)	Rh2-C1-Rh1	86.9(3)			
C2-Rh1-I1	143.2(3)	C3-Rh2-I1	138.9(2)			
C2-Rh1-C1	111.3(3)	C3-Rh2-C1	113.5(3)			
C2-Rh1-P3	86.8(2)	C3-Rh2-P4	87.9(2)			
C2-Rh1-P1	89.6(2)	C3-Rh2-P2	89.3(2)			
O2-C2-Rh1	172.8(7)	O3-C3-Rh2	172.5(6)			

were provided in Table 1. The other three structures of complexes are shown in Fig. 4 with selected bond lengths and angles in Table 2. All of the crystallographic data are listed in Table S2.[†]

As shown in Fig. 3, the $[Rh_2(\mu-I)(\mu-CO)(CO)_2(dppm)_2]^+$ possesses an "A-frame" structure. In this cation, a bridging iodide ligand, a bridging carbonyl ligand, two bridging *trans* dppm ligand and a terminal carbonyl ligand are bonded to each rhodium atom. Moreover, the Rh–Rh distance of 2.82 Å is indicative of the presence of a single bond between the two rhodium atoms. The structure of $[Rh_2(\mu-I)(\mu-CO)(CO)_2(dppm)_2]^+$ is similar to that of rhodium cations reported previously,^{31,32} but the synthetic route and preparation method significantly differ from those cations.

Compared to the "A-frame" structure of $[Rh_2(\mu-I)(\mu-CO)(CO)_2(dppm)_2]^+$, the complexes $[Rh(COCH_3)I_2(dppe)]$, $[Rh(COCH_3)I_2(dppp)]$ and $[Rh(COCH_3)I_2(dppb)]$ possess distorted square-based pyramidal geometries. Although the crystal structures of these rhodium acetyl complexes had been reported previously,^{23,28-30} the bond lengths and bond angles in the reported X-ray diffraction data were distinctly different from each other. As an example, the comparison of the selected bond lengths and bond angles for $[Rh(COCH_3)I_2(dppp)]$ was shown in Table S3.† It was found that the bond lengths of each Rh–I and Rh–P in Panthi's work³⁰ were almost same, but were dramatically different in Moloy's work.²³

Inspection of the single crystal preparation conditions and the X-ray diffraction data collection process, we noticed that Panthi prepared the single crystal in methylene chloride solvent and collected the X-ray diffraction data in 110 K. While, Moloy prepared it in methanol and collected the data in 295 K. This observation indicated that the different solvent and the X-ray diffraction data collection temperature influence both bond lengths and bond angles of the crystal structures. To minimize the deviation caused by solvent and data collection temperature on the following structure analysis, all of the single crystals mentioned below were prepared in methanol and the X-ray diffraction date were collected at 130–133 K.

Moreover, it was found that the shortest distance between Rh and H of acetyl group were 2.76 Å and 2.77 Å in Rh–dppe complex and Rh–dppb complex, suggestive of a bonding interaction between Rh and acetyl hydrogen (Fig. 4a and c). Previous agostic interactions between acetyl and metal center were always accompanied by significant angular distortions of the M–C(O)–C bond angles.³³ The Rh–C(O)–C angles in Rh–dppe complex and Rh–dppb complex didn't show significant distortions, so these bonding interactions were attributed to pseudoagostic interaction.³⁴

Previous studies demonstrated that $[Rh(COCH_3)I_2(dppp)]$ was a key intermediate in methanol reductive carbonylation, and the reaction of $[Rh(COCH_3)I_2(dppp)]$ with CO or H₂ determined both reaction rate and product selectivity^{21,23,29} (Fig. S1†). Inspection of the structure indicates that $[Rh(COCH_3)I_2(dppe)]$ and $[Rh(COCH_3)I_2(dppb)]$ are analogues to $[Rh(COCH_3)I_2(dppe)]$ $I_2(dppp)]$, which implies that these two complexes are also the intermediates in methanol reductive carbonylation process. Moreover, because the complex $[Rh(COCH_3)I_2(dppm)]$ (Fig. S2†)

This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial 3.0 Unported Licence



Fig. 4 Molecular structures of Rh-dppe complex (a), Rh-dppp complex (b) and Rh-dppb complex (c). Thermal ellipsoids are drawn at the 50% probability level.

Table 2	Selected interatomic	distances (Å) and	d bond angles	(deg) for Rh	n–dppe complex,	, Rh–dppp	complex and R	Rh-dppb complex
---------	----------------------	-------------------	---------------	--------------	-----------------	-----------	---------------	-----------------

Rh–dppe complex		Rh–dppp complex	Rh–dppp complex		Rh–dppb complex	
Rh1–I1	2.6956(5)	Rh1–I1	2.6763(3)	Rh1–I2	2.6907(6)	
Rh1–I2	2.6998(5)	Rh1–I2	2.7228(3)	Rh1–I1	2.7024(7)	
Rh1–P1	2.2781(11)	Rh1–P1	2.2743(6)	Rh1–P1	2.3094(16)	
Rh1–P2	2.2547(11)	Rh1–P2	2.2927(6)	Rh1–P2	2.3126(17)	
Rh1-C27	1.981(4)	Rh1-C28	1.982(3)	Rh1–C29	1.979(6)	
O1-C27	1.200(5)	O1-C28	1.192(3)	O1-C29	1.187(8)	
C27-C28	1.504(5)	C28-C29	1.511(3)	C29-C30	1.487(9)	
P1-P2	3.051(2)	P1-P2	3.244(9)	P1-P2	3.539(4)	
C27-Rh1-P1	92.68(13)	C28-Rh1-P1	94.81(7)	C29-Rh1-P1	87.62(18)	
C27-Rh1-P2	91.17(12)	C28-Rh1-P2	89.29(7)	C29-Rh1-P2	88.17(19)	
C27-Rh1-I1	103.19(12)	C28-Rh1-I1	90.41(7)	C29-Rh1-I1	105.06(19)	
C27-Rh1-I2	99.09(12)	C28-Rh1-I2	108.43(7)	C29-Rh1-I2	102.07(18)	
P2-Rh1-P1	84.63(4)	P1-Rh1-P2	90.54(2)	P1-Rh1-P2	99.90(6)	
P1-Rh1-I1	90.56(3)	P1-Rh1-I1	90.185(16)	P1-Rh1-I1	85.87(4)	
P1-Rh1-I2	167.13(3)	P1-Rh1-I2	156.753(18)	P1-Rh1-I2	169.12(5)	
P2-Rh1-I1	165.07(3)	P2-Rh1-I1	179.240(18)	P2-Rh1-I1	165.86(5)	
P2-Rh1-I2	89.93(3)	P2-Rh1-I2	90.243(16)	P2-Rh1-I2	85.51(4)	
I1-Rh1-I2	91.776(15)	I1-Rh1-I2	89.189(7)	I2-Rh1-I1	86.81(2)	
C28-C27-Rh1	112.3(3)	C29-C28-Rh1	112.56(17)	C30-C29-Rh1	113.6(5)	
C28-H…Rh1	88.67(5)	C29–H…Rh1	75.74(6)	C30–H…Rh1	88.63(2)	
O1-C27-C28	122.1(4)	O1-C28-C29	122.5(2)	O1-C29-C30	121.5(6)	
O1-C27-Rh1	125.6(3)	O1-C28-Rh1	124.95(19)	O1-C29-Rh1	124.9(5)	

was synthesized through the reaction of $[Rh_2(COCH_3)_2 (CO)_2I_6]^{2-}$ with dppm,³⁵ it should exist in the catalytic cycle although it was not obtained in our study. Compared with the five-membered ring cation $[Rh_2(\mu-I)(\mu-CO)(CO)_2(dppm)_2]^+$, the stability of the four-membered ring complex $[Rh(COCH_3)I_2(dppm)]$ is seen to be weaker. Additionally, the complex $[Rh(COCH_3)I_2(dppm)]$ can further react with CO and forming $[Rh_2(\mu-I)(\mu-CO)(CO)_2(dppm)_2]^+$ under the reaction condition, which may be the reason why the complex $[Rh(COCH_3)I_2(dppm)]$ can't be obtained in our reaction system. Thus, as same as the other three $[Rh(COCH_3)I_2(dppm)]$ was also an intermediate in the reaction cycle. Therefore, the inherent nature of the four $[Rh(COCH_3)I_2(diphosphine)]$ complexes may play a key role in tuning the selectivity.

3.4. NMR spectrum

Furthermore, ¹H and ³¹P NMR measurements of the four Rhdiphosphine complexes in CDCl3 were carried out to probe whether the identified molecular structures mentioned above still persist in the solution, and the results are provided in ESI.[†] Briefly, the ¹H NMR spectrum of the Rh-dppm complex shows signals at δ 4.37–4.52 (m, 4H) as well as those at 7.16 (s, 5H), 7.28-7.32 (m, 7H), 7.40-7.44 (m, 12H) and 7.60-7.75 (m, 16H), which are assigned to two methylene groups and eight phenyl groups of two dppm ligands in Fig. 3, respectively. In contrast, the ¹H NMR spectra of the three complexes show the signals of the acetyl group hydrogen in addition to the similar characteristic signals of a diphosphine ligand in Fig. 4, *i.e.*, the δ 2.77 (s, 3H) for the Rh-dppe complex, δ 3.06 (s, 3H) for the Rh-dppp complex and δ 2.73 (s, 3H) for the Rh-dppb complex. Notably, the chemical shifts of acetyl group hydrogen atoms from Rhdppe complex and Rh-dppb complex are shifted to the higher field than that from Rh-dppp complex by about 0.3 ppm, which indicates that the chemical environment of the acetyl group hydrogens in Rh-dppp complex is different from that in the other catalysts. This observation further confirms the existence of pseudo-agostic interactions in Rh-dppe and Rh-dppb complexes.

3.5. The effects of ligands on catalytic performance

The effects of ligands on catalytic performances are shown in Fig. 5, more detailed product distribution was provided in Table S4.† In the absence of diphosphine ligand, the TOF and the selectivity to ethanol/acetaldehyde of Rh-based catalyst are only 71.1 h⁻¹ and 26.6%, respectively. In contrast, the TOF and selectivity to ethanol/acetaldehyde of Rh(dppm)-based catalyst (dppm modified Rh-based catalyst) were decreased, while those of the other three catalysts were enhanced except the Rh(dppb)-based catalyst with lower selectivity to ethanol/acetaldehyde. Notably, the TOF of Rh(dppp)-based catalyst was increased by ~50 percent and the selectivity of ethanol/acetaldehyde was increased by two-folds over the Rh-based catalyst. These results strongly indicate ligand effects of Rh catalysts toward reductive carbonylation of methanol to ethanol.



Fig. 5 TOF and selectivity to ethanol/acetaldehyde of Rh-based catalyst, Rh(dppm)-based catalyst, Rh(dppe)-based catalyst, Rh(dppp)-based catalyst and Rh(dppb)-based catalyst. Reaction conditions: 3.71 mol methanol, 1.16 mmol Rh(CO)₂acac, 2.32 mmol ligand, 5.8 mmol RuCl₃·xH₂O, 40 mmol methyl iodide, 6.0 MPa, H₂/CO = 2.0, 130 °C and 12 h. Each result was estimated based on the average of three experiments.

Previous studies reported that the diphosphine ligand modified catalysts possess chelate ring structure that is composed of ligand and center metal atom.^{36,37} In this case, the structures of Rh(dppm)-based catalyst, Rh(dppe)-based catalyst, Rh(dppp)-based catalyst and Rh(dppb)-based catalyst involves four-membered, five-membered, six-membered and seven-membered chelate ring, respectively. The structures of the five-membered ring and six-membered ring are always more stable than the other-membered rings due to their smaller tension of angle. Meanwhile, the Rh(dppe)-based catalyst and Rh(dppp)-based catalyst with stable chelate ring structure exhibit higher TOF. This observation implies that the ligand with proper carbon chain between two phosphorus atoms improves the stability of Rh catalyst, which accounts for the enhanced reactivity.

3.6. The steric effect on the reactivity of [Rh(COCH₃) I₂(diphosphine)] complexes

The structural analysis shows that all of $[Rh(COCH_3)I_2-(diphosphine)]$ complexes possess square-based pyramidal geometries. Such structure places a vacant coordination site available for H₂ activation or CO coordination in a position *trans* to the acetyl group. Therefore, the steric congestion around the vacant coordination site can influence the reaction of Rh with H₂/CO. Such steric congestion was obviously created by the disposition of "axial" phenyl groups (where the equatorial plane is defined as that containing the rhodium atom and the two phosphorus atoms). The steric congestion of phenyl groups is always expressed according to a quadrant diagram.^{29,36,38} The quadrants with edge-exposed phenyl groups are more hindered than that with face-exposed phenyl groups.³⁶ The quadrant diagrams for $[Rh(COCH_3)I_2(dppm)]$ (a),

 $[Rh(COCH_3)I_2(dppe)]$ (b), $[Rh(COCH_3)I_2(dppp)]$ (c) and $[Rh(COCH_3)I_2(dppb)]$ (d) are shown in Fig. 6, and the shaded squares of the quadrants represent the hindered edge-exposed phenyl groups.

As shown in Fig. 6, the quadrants 3 and 4 in $[Rh(COCH_3)]$ $I_2(dppm)$], the quadrants 1 and 3 in [Rh(COCH₃)I₂(dppe)] and the quadrants 1 and 2 in [Rh(COCH₃)I₂(dppp)] are indicative of larger steric hindrance. In contrast, all of the phenyl groups in [Rh(COCH₃)I₂(dppb)] are close to face-exposed phenyl groups, implying less steric crowding in the four quadrants. Obviously, the steric congestions around the empty coordination site are from quadrants 1 and 2 in these three Rh acetyl complexes. Therefore, the steric congestions around the empty coordination site of the complexes are in such order: [Rh(COCH₃) $I_2(dppp)$] > [Rh(COCH₃)I₂(dppe)] > [Rh(COCH₃)I₂(dppm)] \approx $[Rh(COCH_3)I_2(dppb)]$. It is noted that such order is consistent with the order of selectivity to ethanol/acetaldehyde, indicating that the steric congestion can improve the ethanol/acetaldehyde selectivity. The distances between the outside hydrogen atoms of the edge-exposed phenyl groups and iodide atoms are shown in Fig. 7.

As depicted in Fig. 7, the distances among iodide atoms and the outside hydrogen atoms are from 2.96 Å to 4.41 Å. It was found that most of the distances were smaller than the molecular diameter of CO (3.76 Å), but all of them were larger than H₂ (2.9 Å). The observation signifies that the ligand atoms adjacent to the empty coordination site create a steric congestion around rhodium, which gives rise to preferential reaction of rhodium



Fig. 7 Distances between the outside hydrogen atoms of the edge-exposed phenyl groups and iodide atoms, (a) $[Rh(COCH_3)I_2(dppe)]$, (b) $[Rh(COCH_3)I_2(dppp)]$.

with H_2 because that the CO is partly hindered. Therefore, the various ethanol/acetaldehyde selectivity of ligand modified Rhbased catalyst is ascribed to the different steric congestion in the position *trans* to acetyl group of the corresponding Rhacetyl complex.



Fig. 6 Quadrant diagram for [Rh(COCH₃)I₂(dppm)] (a), [Rh(COCH₃)I₂(dppe)] (b), [Rh(COCH₃)I₂(dppp)] (c) and [Rh(COCH₃)I₂(dppb)] (d). Hydrogen atoms are not included for the sake of clarity.

4. Conclusions

In summary, the reactivity of diphosphine modified Rh-based catalyst was studied, and the corresponding single crystals of Rh complexes were prepared. By combining catalytic measurements with multiple characterization techniques on the obtained complexes, we have established a structure-catalytic performance relationship and elucidated the nature of ligand effects in the ligand modified Rh-based catalysts for reductive carbonylation of methanol to ethanol. The diphosphine ligand with the appropriate number of methylene between two phosphorus atoms can enhance the catalyst stability and thus improve the TOF. The edge-exposed phenyl groups of diphosphine ligand create the steric congestions in the position trans to the acetyl group, which favors the reaction of rhodium with H₂ and thus promotes the ethanol/acetaldehyde formation. The insights revealed here could open a new avenue for rational selection of ligand to obtain the Rh-based catalysts with the enhanced formation of ethanol.

Conflicts of interest

There are no conflicts to declare.

Acknowledgements

This work was supported by the Shanghai Pujiang Program (14PJD011) and the Fundamental Research Funds for the Central Universities (222201717013). We wishes to thank Dr Xuezhi Duan for helpful advice.

References

- 1 D. P. Ho, H. H. Ngo and W. Guo, *Bioresour. Technol.*, 2014, **169**, 742–749.
- 2 T. Serra, D. Zilberman, J. M. Gil and B. K. Goodwin, in *Handbook of Bioenergy Economics and Policy*, ed. M. Khanna, J. Scheffran and D. Zilberman, Springer, NY, 2010, pp. 55–72.
- 3 H. Bayraktar, Renewable Energy, 2005, 30, 1733-1747.
- 4 J. M. Storey, T. Barone, K. Norman and S. Lewis, *SAE Int. J. Fuels Lubr.*, 2010, 3, 650–659.
- 5 A. E. Farrell, R. J. Plevin, B. T. Turner, A. D. Jones, M. O'Hare and D. M. Kammen, *Science*, 2006, **311**, 506–508.
- 6 M. A. Haider, M. R. Gogate and R. J. Davis, *J. Catal.*, 2009, **261**, 9–16.
- 7 M. Gupta, M. L. Smith and J. J. Spivey, ACS Catal., 2011, 1, 641–656.
- 8 M. J. Chen, H. M. Feder and J. W. Rathke, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1982, **104**, 7346–7347.
- 9 K. G. Moloy and R. W. Wegman, J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun., 1988, 820–821.
- 10 S. Zhao, H. Yue, Y. Zhao, B. Wang, Y. Geng, J. Lv, S. Wang, J. Gong and X. Ma, *J. Catal.*, 2013, 297, 142–150.

- 11 Y. Zhu, X. Kong, X. Li, G. Ding, Y. Zhu and Y. Li, *ACS Catal.*, 2014, 4, 3612–3620.
- 12 Z. Wang, G. Li, X. Liu, Y. Huang, A. Wang, W. Chu, X. Wang and N. Li, *Catal. Commun.*, 2014, **43**, 38–41.
- 13 K. Zhang, H. Zhang, H. Ma, W. Ying and D. Fang, *Catal. Lett.*, 2014, **144**, 691–701.
- 14 I. Wender, R. Friedel and M. Orchin, Science, 1951, 113, 206.
- 15 J. Berty and L. Marko, Chem. Technol., 1956, 8, 260.
- 16 M. Röper, H. Loevenich and J. Korff, *J. Mol. Catal.*, 1982, **17**, 315–322.
- 17 Y. Sugi, K.-i. Bando and Y. Takami, *Chem. Lett.*, 1981, **10**, 63–64.
- 18 G. Doyle, J. Mol. Catal., 1983, 18, 251-258.
- 19 M. Halttunen, M. Niemelä, A. Krause and A. Vuori, *J. Mol. Catal. A: Chem.*, 1996, **109**, 209–217.
- 20 J. Pursiainen, K. Karjalainen and T. A. Pakkanen, J. Organomet. Chem., 1986, 314, 227–230.
- 21 K. G. Moloy and R. W. Wegman, *Organometallics*, 1989, 8, 2883–2892.
- 22 K. G. Moloy and R. W. Wegman, *Adv. Chem. Ser.*, 1992, 230, 323–336.
- 23 K. G. Moloy and J. L. Petersen, Organometallics, 1995, 14, 2931–2936.
- 24 F. Porta, S. Tollari, C. Bianchi and S. Recchia, *Inorg. Chim. Acta*, 1996, **249**, 79–83.
- 25 P. G. Gassman, D. W. Macomber and S. M. Willging, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1985, **107**, 2380–2388.
- 26 G. Gallaher, J. G. Goodwin, C.-S. Huang and M. Houalla, *J. Catal.*, 1991, **127**, 719–731.
- 27 D. Clark, I. Woolsey, S. Robinson, K. Laing and J. Wingfield, *Inorg. Chem.*, 1977, 16, 1201–1206.
- 28 G. Lamb, M. Clarke, A. M. Slawin, B. Williams and L. Key, Dalton Trans., 2007, 5582–5589.
- 29 L. Gonsalvi, H. Adams, G. J. Sunley, E. Ditzel and A. Haynes, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2002, **124**, 13597–13612.
- 30 B. D. Panthi, S. L. Gipson and A. Franken, *Inorg. Chim. Acta*, 2015, **425**, 176–181.
- 31 C. P. Kubiak, C. Woodcock and R. Eisenberg, *Inorg. Chem.*, 1982, **21**, 2119–2129.
- 32 M. M. Olmstead, C. H. Lindsay, L. S. Benner and A. L. Balch, J. Organomet. Chem., 1979, 179, 289–300.
- 33 L. Contreras, A. Monge, A. Pizzano, C. Ruiz, L. Sanchez and E. Carmona, *Organometallics*, 1992, 11, 3971–3980.
- 34 D. Braga, F. Grepioni, E. Tedesco, K. Biradha and G. R. Desiraju, *Organometallics*, 1997, **16**, 1846–1856.
- 35 H. Adams, N. A. Bailey, B. E. Mann and C. P. Manuel, *Inorg. Chim. Acta*, 1992, **198–200**, 111–118.
- 36 J. A. Gillespie, E. Zuidema, P. W. van Leeuwen and P. C. Kamer, *Phosphorus (III) Ligands in Homogeneous Catalysis: Design and Synthesis*, 2012, pp. 1–26.
- 37 L. Cavallo and M. Solà, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2001, 123, 12294– 12302.
- 38 W. S. Knowles, Acc. Chem. Res., 1983, 16, 106-112.