



Cite this: *Integr. Biol.*, 2017,
9, 892

DOI: 10.1039/c7ib90031g

rsc.li/integrative-biology

Correction: A bioenergetic mechanism for amoeboid-like cell motility profiles tested in a microfluidic electrotaxis assay

Hagit Peretz-Soroka,^{*a} Reuven Tirosh,^b Jolly Hipolito,^a Erwin Huebner,^c
Murray Alexander,^d Jason Fiege^a and Francis Lin^{*ac}

Correction for 'A bioenergetic mechanism for amoeboid-like cell motility profiles tested in a microfluidic electrotaxis assay' by Hagit Peretz-Soroka *et al.*, *Integr. Biol.*, 2017, DOI: 10.1039/c7ib00086c.

There was an error in Fig. 1D. The heading in the first row and 3rd column of the table was incorrectly shown as 2 Volt/cm instead of 0 Volt/cm. The correct version of this figure is shown below.

The Royal Society of Chemistry apologises for these errors and any consequent inconvenience to authors and readers.

^a Department of Physics and Astronomy, University of Manitoba, Winnipeg, Manitoba, Canada. E-mail: flin@physics.umanitoba.ca; Tel: +1-204-474-9895

^b School of Chemistry, the Raymond and Beverly Sackler Faculty of Exact Sciences, Tel Aviv University, Tel Aviv, Israel

^c Department of Biological Sciences, University of Manitoba, Winnipeg, Manitoba, Canada

^d Department of Physics, University of Winnipeg, Winnipeg, Manitoba, Canada






Fig. 1 Illustration of the iPC-CS model. (A) Illustration of the electro-hydrodynamic model (also see Movie 1, ESI†); (B) prediction of cell behavior by the iPC-CS model. The black arrow below the cell indicates the electrotactic force towards the cathode of the EF and the red arrow below the cell indicates the electrophoretic force towards the anode of the EF; (C) 3D view of electrotaxis microscopy; (D) predictions of phase-dependent electrotaxis by the iPC-CS model.

