Environmental Science Water Research & Technology

PAPER

Cite this: Environ. Sci.: Water Res. Technol., 2017, 3, 947

MFCs treating swine manure with different electrode materials†

Long-term assessment of six-stacked scaled-up

A. Vilajeliu-Po[ns,](http://orcid.org/0000-0001-6231-2163) D^a S. Puig, D^{*a} [I.](http://orcid.org/0000-0002-6000-069X) [S](http://orcid.org/0000-0002-6000-069X)alcedo-Dávila.^b M. D. Balaguer \mathbf{D}^a and J. Colprim \mathbf{D}^a

Microbial fuel cell (MFC) technology is a bio-approach to remove organic matter and nitrogen from wastewater with concomitant production of renewable electricity. Nowadays, there exists clear interest in moving MFCs towards application. This study aims to demonstrate the feasibility of MFC technology for treating swine manure. A couple of 6-stacked MFCs presenting a total volume of 115 L were designed and operated to treat swine manure at 50 L d⁻¹ for more than 6 months. Two different electrodes were tested, one for each stacked MFC: granular graphite (GG-MFC) and stainless steel mesh (SS-MFC). Organic matter was oxidised in the anode compartments, ammonium was oxidized to nitrate in an external aerated reactor, and nitrate was reduced to dinitrogen gas in the biocathodes. GG and SS-MFCs reached similar organic matter and nitrogen removal rates (1.9 ± 0.3 kg COD m⁻³ d⁻¹; 0.35 ± 0.02 kg N m⁻³ d⁻¹) with power densities between 2-4 W m⁻³, the central units being the most electroactive. However, the GG-MFC performance declined over time due to electrode crushing and the clogging of granular graphite which reduced its applicability in comparison with stainless steel. The application of the stacked SS-MFC with a mixed electric circuit is a feasible strategy to maintain or even improve treatment efficiencies and power densities when scaling-up MFCs. **PAPER**
 CONDENSE CONSULTS AND CONDENSE THE CONDENSE CONDENSE CONDENSE CONDENSE CONDENSE CONDENSE CONDENSE CONDE

Received 9th March 2017, Accepted 11th July 2017

DOI: 10.1039/c7ew00079k

rsc.li/es-water

Water impact

The development of microbial fuel cells (MFCs) able to remove organic matter and nitrogen from wastewater concomitant with electricity production requires studies focused on scaled pilot plants for their future real-world implementation. In this paper, the real potential of a stacked configuration scaled-up MFC for swine manure treatment towards application was evaluated, revealing that the use of a granular graphite electrode material was not appropriate for long-term operation.

1. Introduction

Microbial fuel cells (MFCs) are a technology to treat wastewater while recovering bioenergy.¹ MFCs utilize exoelectrogenic microorganisms as catalysts to convert chemical energy of organic substrates into electricity in their anode compartment.^{2,3} The protons and electrons generated migrate to the cathode where reduction reactions take place (either chemically or biologically catalysed).⁴

This technology has been widely tested to treat a great variety of pollutants including industrial wastewater in mL-

Fax: +34 972418150; Tel: +34 972418182

^b Abengoa Water SLU, Dos Hermanas, Sevilla, Spain

† Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available. See DOI: 10.1039/ c7ew00079k

scale reactors, obtaining relevant knowledge about MFC fundamentals. $5-7$ The ability of MFCs is not limited to the treatment of organic matter. Several authors demonstrated simultaneous treatment of multiple pollutants (i.e. carbon and nitrogen sources) using both anode and cathode compartments.^{8,9} MFCs could become a sustainable wastewater treatment alternative technology with potential advantages over other technologies (i.e. anaerobic digestion). Nowadays, both technologies could not be directly comparable due to their differences in the development levels, but MFCs could entail several advantages with respect to anaerobic digestion, including treatment of multiple pollutants, lower energy consumption, directly obtaining energy, smaller environmental footprint and lower sludge generation.¹⁰

The small prototypes must be scaled-up to generate enough electricity for practical applications and future implementations. However, there are some drawbacks in the practical feasibility of scaled-up MFCs, especially with respect

^a Laboratory of Chemical and Environmental Engineering (LEQUiA), Institute of the Environment, C/Maria Aurèlia Capmany, 69, Facultat de Ciències, University of Girona, E-17003 Girona, Spain. E-mail: sebastia@lequia.udg.cat;

to cost, system development and energy recovery.¹¹ The first attempts to scale-up MFCs started a few years ago and they have been developed until today, representing 22% of the total MFC publications in the Web of Science. 12 The initial problematic point was the "underdesigned" scaled-up reactors in terms of the total electrode surface area or electrode spacing. Liu et al. demonstrated that the power density could be maintained during reactor scale-up, increasing the anode surface area. 13 A new challenge of BES scale-up was the reactor design, and for this reason successive studies were focused on different configurations and media employed. A 2.5 L square-MFC treating acetate was used to demonstrate the viability of the technology with 70% of the acetate removed, but achieving a low power density (2.3 W m^{-3} NAC) for the high ohmic cell resistance (1.4–1.7 m Ω m⁻³ NAC) of the $MFC.¹⁴$ Zhang *et al.* evaluated 2 L tubular-MFCs treating acetate enriched wastewater and urban wastewater with bioelectricity production. Usually, the nitrogen in wastewater is in the form of ammonium. It requires a previous oxidation step to nitrate before being removed by a MFC simultaneously with the organic matter. A couple of cathode electron acceptor (oxygen and nitrate) configurations were used to determine their effect on organic matter removal rates and power production.^{15,16} The organic matter removal efficiencies were maintained over 60% treating urban wastewater and current production was almost 7 times higher (15 W m^{-3} NAC) than in the previous study. Once nitrogen (in the form of nitrate) was incorporated into the medium, 76% of the nitrogen was removed in the cathode but the energy production substantially decreased (8 W m^{-3} NAC) because of the occurrence of heterotrophic denitrification of the remaining anodic organic matter. Paper

to cost, system development and energy recovery,²¹ The first in these cases, alternative parallelacries connections were alternative by the system of the system of the system of the system are simple in origin an

In spite of these promising attempts, the energy recovery and the volumetric capacities in scaled-up MFC reactors with single units were insufficient. Multiple stacked MFCs started to be tested in order to improve the systems. Different electrical configurations (i.e. series or parallel) were tested in stacked MFCs to achieve higher voltage or current.¹⁷ However, the series connection can suffer from voltage reversal, contact voltage losses and erratic operation, while in the parallel connection internal losses increase, which reduces the total power production.¹⁸

The first attempts at stacked MFCs were performed on 1 L-scale MFCs, including a 12 pair cassette-electrode MFC, obtaining high organic matter removal rates (5.4 kg COD m−³ d⁻¹) and power production (129 W m⁻³ NAC).¹⁹ It was reported that a MFC consisting of 4-stacked MFC reactors with a total volume of 20 L, maintained its power density (140 W m⁻³ NAC) with respect to mL-reactors.²⁰ Jiang et al. also operated a stacked MFC of 16 L with urban wastewater obtaining low removal rates (0.2-1.0 kg COD m^{-3} d⁻¹) with low electricity production (0.4–0.9 W m−³ NAC) due to the precipitation of calcium and sodium carbonates in the cathode which increased the internal resistance.²¹

The success of stacking bench-scale MFCs encouraged the scaling-up of the MFC modules towards larger scale systems.

In these cases, alternative parallel/series connections were applied in order to charge and discharge the capacitors successively. As a result, a 90 L rectangular MFC reactor vessel achieved sufficient energy treating brewery wastewater that supported its operation.²² A 200 L modularized MFC system consisting of 96 tubular MFC modules was examined for long-term (one year) performance treating municipal wastewater. The MFC was able to achieve removal efficiencies over 65% for organic matter and nitrogen but the electricity production was limited to 1 W m^{-3} NAC.²³ The biggest MFC pilot plant was constructed in Queensland (Australia) consisting of 12 tubular-MFC modules with a total liquid volume of 1 m³.²⁴ The pilot performance was unsuccessful due to the low conductivity and high biomass proliferation due to organic matter excess.²⁵

The applicability of the scaled-up stacked MFCs was tested working with municipal and brewery wastewater, but there are few examples focusing on the treatment of complex matrices such as swine manure on this scale. A 1.5 L 5-stacked tubular air-cathode MFC was evaluated in terms of simultaneous real swine manure treatment and bioelectricity generation. Although it showed relatively fast removal rates (between 1.0-3.2 kg COD m^{-3} d⁻¹), the power density was reduced by two orders of magnitude with respect to that of the simplest wastewater matrices, 4 W m−³ NAC.26 The highest volumetric example consisted of a 3.7 L constructed wetland MFC with an aerated cathode. The achieved COD removal rate and power density were lower than the predecessor study treating swine manure. 27 The low treatment and volumetric capacities of these reactors, with flows below 4 L d−¹ , threaten their real applicability.

This study aims to scale-up stacked MFCs for swine manure treatment. The performance of a couple of 6-stacked MFCs with different electrodes, granular graphite (GG) and stainless steel (SS), was evaluated in terms of organic matter and nitrogen removal rates and efficiencies, energy production and material life expectancy. The evolution of these compounds inside the 6 rectangular MFC units and their electrochemical behaviour were monitored in order to identify the activity differences between units. Finally, several electric connections such as series, parallel and mixed (parallel–series) were tested in order to optimise the renewable electricity production.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Stacked MFC design

The stacked MFC was designed and operated to remove organic matter and nitrogen from swine manure with concomitant bioelectricity production. The MFCs consisted of six anodic and cathodic compartments $(90 \times 40 \times 1.5 \text{ cm} \text{ each one})$ hydraulically connected to an external nitrifying reactor (150 $cm \times 20$ cm diameter). The total volume of the MFCs was 65 L while that of the external tubular reactor was 50 L (system gross capacity of 115 L). The anode and cathode compartments were placed on opposite sides of a polyvinyl chloride rectangular compartment, clamped transversely together by stainless steel bolts (Fig. 1). Moreover, they were separated by an anionic exchange membrane (AMI-7001, Membranes International Inc., USA) to avoid ammonium diffusion to the cathode.

Swine manure was stored in a sedimentation tank where the solid was pre-settled. The stacked MFC was continuously fed at a flow rate of 50 L d^{-1} . The anodes and cathodes were connected with a counter current flux. The purpose of the system was to feed the swine manure through the anode set of compartments in order to oxidize the organic matter by exoelectrogenic bacteria. The influent was transferred from the 1st anode to the 6th anode compartment (orange flow, Fig. 1). Then, the anode effluent was used to feed the aerated external nitrifying reactor to oxidize ammonium into nitrate (nitrification) by nitrifying bacteria. Finally, the effluent of the nitrifying reactor was fed to the cathode set of compartments to reduce nitrate into dinitrogen gas (denitrification) by electrotrophic bacteria. The cathodes followed the same hydraulic strategy as the anodes but in the opposite direction, starting the treatment of nitrate from the 1st cathode and finishing at the 6th cathode (green flow in Fig. 1). The temperature was kept constant at 23 \pm 2 °C. Conformental Science: Weter Research 0 Technology

articles. Article. Published on 13 August Commons are expected to the electrode material for the current of the commonstration are expected to the common are published and

A couple of configurations were assessed depending on the electrode material. In one of the configurations, granular graphite (model 00514, diameter 1.5×10^5 mm, EnViro-cell, Germany) was used as the electrode material (GG-MFCs) and graphite rods (120 cm \times 0.6 cm) as electrode collectors (Mersen Iberica, Spain). The filling material decreased the volumes of the compartments, reaching 20 L of the net anodic and cathodic compartments (NACs and NCCs, respectively). In the other configuration, a double layer of stainless steel mesh (90 \times 40 \times 0.1 cm every layer, model 316 L, Cisa, Spain) was used as the electrode material (SS-MFCs) and stainless steel wires as electrode collectors. The filling material reduced the volumes to 37 L of the NACs and NCCs. All configurations had one Ag/AgCl reference electrode in each compartment (+0.197 V vs. SHE, model RE-5B, BASi, UK). The anodes and cathodes were individually connected to an external resistance of 1.5 Ω to close the electric circuit.

In both configurations, an external tubular reactor of PVC with a net reactor compartment (NRC) volume of 20 L was built to perform aerobic ammonium oxidation to nitrate (nitrification). The reactor was filled with clay (diameter 0.8 cm) to promote bacteria adhesion.⁹ Aeration from the bottom of the reactor was performed using an air compressor (B2800B/ 100 CM3 2 CIL, Ingersoll Rand, UK). Dissolved oxygen

Fig. 1 Schematic diagram of the reactor set up with coloured hydraulic fluxes of the anodes (orange) and cathodes (green). The compartment configuration is also shown, where the GG-MFC was filled with granular graphite and a graphite rod, while the SS-MFC was filled with stainless steel mesh and a stainless steel wire.²⁸

concentration was controlled and limited to values between 1–1.5 mg O₂ L⁻¹ using a dissolved oxygen probe (Model 50 60, Crison, Spain) to limit the oxygen influence on the anoxic cathodic compartment.

2.2. MFC operation

The anode compartments of the MFC reactors were inoculated with the anode effluent of activated sludge from the Girona wastewater treatment plant (WWTP) and a parent labscale MFC treating swine manure.⁹ The nitrifying reactor was inoculated with biomass from a biological treatment of the same WWTP and with activated sludge from a partial nitritation reactor treating high ammonium landfill leachate.²⁹ The cathodes were inoculated with activated sludge and with the effluent of a parent lab-scale denitrifying bioelectrochemical system.³⁰ The start-up period of both configurations finished after a couple of weeks working in continuous mode (50 L d^{-1}). The continuous operation feeding with swine manure was evaluated over 6 months for each configuration (GG-MFC and SS-MFC).

2.3. Swine manure

Swine manure was taken from the Food and Agricultural Research Institute (IRTA) of Monells (Spain). It was stored in a refrigerated sedimentation tank (6 °C) to promote the settling of solids and minimize degradation over time. The supernatant was fed into the 1st anode chamber of the MFCs. Table 1 presents the main characteristics of the swine manure supernatant used during the experimental period.

2.4. Electrochemical configuration

The electrochemical connection of stacked MFCs can be arranged in a number of different ways. The electrical connections used for the 6-stacked MFC were (i) individual (normal operation), (ii) in parallel, (iii) in series and (iv) mixed (3 MFCs in parallel and 3 in series), to step-up the current, voltage or both, respectively (Fig. 2). The external resistance applied under individual MFC connection was 1.5 $Ω$, those in parallel connection were 15 and 100 Ω and that in series con-

Table 1 Swine manure characteristics. The results are presented as means \pm standard deviation ($n = 5$)

	Swine manure	Units
pН	8.5 ± 0.2	
Conductivity	8.3 ± 0.4	mS cm^{-1}
Alkalinity	3330 ± 900	mg CaCO ₃ L^{-1}
$\text{COD}_{\text{Total}}$	2470 ± 490	mg COD L^{-1}
$\mathrm{COD}_{\mathrm{Soluble}}$	2290 ± 460	mg COD L^{-1}
BOD ₅	1225 ± 125	mg BOD L^{-1}
TKN	305 ± 86	mg TKN-N $\rm L^{-1}$
NH_4^+	245 ± 50	$\rm mg~NH_4{}^+ \text{-} N~L^{-1}$
NO_2^-	n.d.	mg NO ₂ ⁻⁻ N L ⁻¹
NO_3^-	n.d.	mg $NO3$ ⁻ -N L ⁻¹
N_2O	n.d.	mg N ₂ O-N L ⁻¹
TSS	1150 ± 100	mg TSS L^{-1}

n.d.: not detected.

nection was 2200 Ω in order to be higher than the internal resistance found in the polarization curves. The mixed connection joined the MFCs depending on their internal resistances. MFCs 1–6, 2–3 and 4–5 were arranged in series due to their similar internal resistance. Once the MFCs were connected in series, they were arranged in parallel. The resistance applied was 100 Ω.

2.5. Chemical and electrochemical analyses and calculations

Liquid-phase standard wastewater measurements for total and soluble organic matter (CODt and CODs), 5-day total and soluble biodegradable organic matter (BOD₅t and BOD₅s), total and volatile suspended solids (TSS and VSS), and nitrogen (total Kjeldahl nitrogen (TKN-N), ammonium (NH₄⁺-N), nitrite (NO₂⁻-N) and nitrate (NO₃⁻-N)) were performed at regular intervals according to the American Public Health Association guidelines.³¹ Samples were obtained from the influent (first anode and last cathode) and effluent (last anode and first cathode) sections of the BES reactors. For the analysis of every compartment, the samples were taken from a side opening of each one. The free ammonia (FA) and free nitrous acid (FNA) concentrations were calculated according to Anthonisen et al^{32} Organic (ORR) and nitrogen (NRR) removal rates (kg COD m⁻³ NAC d⁻¹ and kg N m⁻³ NCC d⁻¹, respectively) were calculated as the difference between the influent and effluent loading rates. Anode and cathode coulombic efficiencies (CE) were calculated as in Virdis et al.³³ Paper

Forecarcing on 21 values are compared on 13 and 2010 and 2010

Gas samples were analysed for detecting the presence of carbon dioxide (CO_2) , methane (CH_4) , nitrous oxide (N_2O) and nitrogen (N_2) gases with an Agilent 7820A GC System equipped with Washed Molecular Sieve 5A and Porapak® Q columns and a thermal conductivity detector (TCD). Nitric oxide (NO) production was considered negligible. 34 Gas production rates were calculated by dividing the obtained gas volume per unit of time.

Anode and cathode potentials were monitored with Ag/ AgCl reference electrodes (+0.197 V vs. SHE, model RE-5B, BASi, UK). The current (I) and power (P) were determined according to Ohm's law $(I = V/R; P = I \times V)$. Power and current densities were calculated by dividing power and current by the NAC. Polarization curves were obtained using a potentiostat (model SP50, Bio-logic, France) and by imposing a linear potential decrease of 1 mV s^{-1} from the open circuit voltage (OCV) to a cell voltage of 0 mV and vice versa. The electron balance in the MFC units was calculated as the ratio between the carbon and nitrogen removal concentrations (ratio of C/N) and then, compared to the stoichiometric/theoretical carbon and nitrogen ratio (2.86).

3. Results

3.1. Overall performance of stacked MFCs

3.1.1. Nutrient removal and electricity generation. The stacked MFC operated continuously feeding the supernatant swine manure at a mean OLR of 5.0 ± 0.5 kg COD m⁻³ d⁻¹

Environmental Science: Water Research & Technology Paper

Fig. 2 Schematic representation of the electrical circuit connection in the 6-stacked MFCs. A) individual, B) in parallel, C) in series and D) mixed (parallel–series).

and NLR of 0.6 \pm 0.2 kg N m⁻³ d⁻¹ in both configurations, GG-MFC and SS-MFC. Fig. 3 presents the mean values for organic matter and nitrogen compounds under steady state conditions for both configurations. In terms of organic matter, 850 ± 220 mg COD L⁻¹ (36 ± 7%) were removed in the GG-MFC and 950 \pm 270 mg COD L⁻¹ (40 \pm 15%) in the SS-MFC. As a result, concentrations of 1512 \pm 471 mg COD L⁻¹ and 1431 \pm 373 mg COD L⁻¹ were detected in the effluents of the GG-MFC and SS-MFC, respectively. Moreover, almost all biodegradable organic matter was consumed along the six units of the stacked MFC (90 and 95% of BOD in GG and SS-MFCs, respectively). The pH remained between 8.3–8.7 during the experimental period in both configurations. A similar removal rate was obtained in the GG-MFC (2.1 ± 0.5 kg COD m^{-3} d⁻¹) and SS-MFC (1.6 ± 0.7 kg COD m^{-3} d⁻¹). The anodic CEs of both configurations were similar, $17 \pm 4\%$, suggesting

that side reactions such as fermentation and/or methanogenesis and other biological processes could take place.

Nitrogen compounds remained invariable along the anode compartments. In the external aerated reactor more than 95% of the ammonium was oxidised to nitrate. Nitrates were removed inside the cathodes, removing 130 mg N L⁻¹ (44 ± 10%) in the GG-MFC and 170 mg N L⁻¹ (56 ± 15%) in the SS-MFC. The denitrifying removal rates were similar between configurations, 0.37 ± 0.1 kg N m⁻³ d⁻¹ in the GG-MFC and 0.30 ± 0.1 kg N m⁻³ d⁻¹ in the SS-MFC. The presence of nitrogen intermediate species in both configurations was almost negligible, less than 3% of the nitrite was accumulated, while nitrous oxide was not detected. The low cathodic CE achieved (16 \pm 3% and 13 \pm 2% in GG and SS MFCs, respectively) indicated an alternative process to remove nitrate (e.g. heterotrophic denitrification). In terms of energy recovered, the GG-

Fig. 3 Swine manure treatment performance of the stacked MFC system, in terms of organic matter in the anode compartments and nitrogen in the cathode compartments, with different types of electrodes: granular graphite (GG) and stainless steel mesh (SS). $n = 10$. "Inf" represents the influent organic matter and nitrogen concentrations while "Ef" represents their effluent concentrations.

MFC achieved slightly higher power densities $(3.5 \pm 1.2 \text{ W})$ m⁻³ NAC) than the SS-MFC (1.9 ± 0.6 W m⁻³ NAC).

3.1.2. Electrode material assessment for treating swine manure in MFCs. The present study compares two different electrode materials, granular graphite in the GG-MFC and stainless steel in the SS-MFC for long-term operation. Both materials were chosen for a scaled-up application due to their high conductivity, good chemical stability and relatively low cost. Moreover, on the mL-scale, both materials allowed a better water flow distribution through the reactors with respect to other materials (graphite rod and graphite plate), favouring biomass attachment and consequently, removal efficiency and electricity production, as demonstrated by Vilà-Rovira et al.³⁵

Granular graphite was used in the GG-MFC for 6 months. The granules touch each other and have an intrinsic low porosity of 0.53. A potential clogging effect either from bacteria growth on the electrode or particles from wastewaters could negatively affect its structure. All these effects increased the overpotentials of the cells over time with a concomitant decrease of energy production and change of the organic matter and nitrogen removal rates. The power density achieved was reduced by one order of magnitude between the beginning and the end of the experimental period, from 27 ± 2 W m⁻³ NAC to 3.5 ± 1.2 W m⁻³ NAC, as shown in Fig. 4. The organic matter removal in the anode compartments decreased from 90 \pm 2% to 36 \pm 7%, meanwhile, in terms of nitrate, the nitrogen removal in the cathodes increased from $15 \pm 2\%$ to $44 \pm$ 10%. In both cases, the anodes and cathodes, the solid accumulation in the anodes results in low anode performances and high denitrification efficiencies in the cathodes, mainly From the State of Signal Dighter power densities $(5.5 \pm 1.2 \text{ W})$ that the contraction on the comparison of the comparison of the Creative of the Creative of the Creative of the Creative Creative Commons are the common t

due to heterotrophic denitrification. At the end of the experimental period, the granular graphite electrode was crushed (Fig. S1 and S2†).

Therefore, another material (stainless steel) with similar characteristics to granular graphite in terms of conductivity and cost was used in the other configuration (SS-MFC). Stainless steel showed similar removal efficiencies in the anodes and cathodes, avoiding problems such as clogging or electrode compaction. Moreover, the solids accumulated on the bottom of the first anode compartment in the suspension, instead of being attached to the electrode.

3.2. Unravelling the dynamics in each MFC unit

The study of the nutrient and electrical dynamics of each unit of the stacked MFC was performed with the GG-MFC configuration under steady state conditions. The influent swine manure crossed the anodes one by one and then, the cathodes in the same way but in the opposite direction, suggesting internal gradients of organic matter and nitrogen, respectively. Fig. 5 presents the evolution of the concentrations of organic matter and nitrogen compounds along the stacked MFC units.

The organic matter concentration inside the anode compartment decreased gradually. The oxidation rate tendency showed high oxidation rates in the first compartments and lower at the last ones. The maximum organic matter removal rate (2.37 kg COD $m^{-3} d^{-1}$) was obtained in the first compartment. In this case, the solids from swine manure, not decanted in the refrigerated settler tank, were partially retained in the 1st anodic compartment. From then on, the

Fig. 4 Evolution of the organic matter and nitrogen removal concentrations in the anodes and cathodes, respectively, together with the power density, at the beginning and the end of the experimental period (6 months) for the A) stacked GG-MFC and B) stacked SS-MFC.

Fig. 5 Organic matter (blue) and nitrogen (orange) concentration and rate evolution inside the anode and cathode units of the stacked GG-MFC, respectively. The samples were obtained from the effluent of each unit. The influent concentration was also analysed, where SM is swine manure and NERef is the effluent of the nitrifying external reactor. Arrows indicate the hydraulic flux direction.

central units (anodes 3 and 4) showed higher removal rates (1.28 and 0.97 kg COD m^{-3} d⁻¹, respectively) than the contiguous units. In the last anode, the oxidation rate diminished slightly to 0.92 kg COD m^{-3} d⁻¹. Around 2 g L⁻¹ COD of organic matter remained in the liquid due to the low anodic HRT applied (9.6 hours).

A different behaviour was observed for the nitrogen treatment. The central cathodic units (cathodes 3 and 4) were the units with the highest denitrifying rates (0.64 and 0.54 kg $NO₃^-$ -N $m⁻³$ d⁻¹, respectively). The lowest denitrifying rate was obtained at the 1st cathode, where the influence of the aerated external reactor could negatively influence the process. Nevertheless, nitrate was not completely reduced in the cathodes (0.17 $g L^{-1} NO_3^-N$), which certainly indicates that some limitation existed for denitrifying bacteria in this system.

The theoretical electron balance between carbon (mg COD L^{-1}) and nitrogen (mg N L^{-1}) for complete removal is 2.86. Units 1 and 6 showed values far from the theoretical ratio (9.94 and 5.58, respectively), which is in line with the high organic matter removal rates in the first unit due to the solid removal effect and low denitrification removal rates in the

last unit due to the influence of the external reactor. The central units had C/N values closer to 2.86 (1.63, 2.35, 1.53 and 3.23, for units 2, 3, 4 and 5, respectively) than the peripheral units, which indicated a better balanced electron flux between the anodes and cathodes. These results matched with the electrochemical performance of each individual MFC (Fig. 6) where the fastest treatment rate corresponded to unit 3 that showed the highest power density, 0.23 W m−³ NAC.

3.3. Electrochemical characterization of the stacked MFCs

Different electric circuit conditions were tested to enhance renewable electricity production. The electrical connections tested for the 6-stacked MFC were in parallel, in series and mixed (3 MFCs in parallel and 3 in series), to step-up the current, voltage or both, respectively. The parallel circuit connection under different resistances (15 and 100 $Ω$) showed the lowest internal resistance (<4 Ω) and the highest current densities (2–3 A m⁻³ NAC in both cases) among the set of electric connections tested (Fig. 7). In contrast, the series connection increased the internal resistance of the system and decreased the intensity and energy obtained. In addition,

Fig. 6 Polarization and power density curves of the 6 units making up the stacked GG-MFC.

occasional problems with voltage reversal from two of the six MFCs (1st and 6th MFCs) indicated that this electrical configuration was not the ideal for this system. The mixed circuit

Fig. 7 Power density curves of the stacked MFCs connected at different electric circuit connections: parallel (15 Ω and 100 Ω), series (2200 Ω) or mixed (100 Ω).

substantially reduced the internal resistance of the stacked MFC with respect to the series circuit, and maintained the current density (1.89 A m^{-3}) of the parallel circuit. This combination resulted in the highest power density (0.33 W m^{-3}) achieved. Furthermore, there was no problem of voltage reversal as that observed in the series connection.

The electrode clogging effect could negatively influence the removal performances, without observable significant differences in terms of organic matter and nitrogen removal concentrations and rates.

4. Discussion

4.1. Assessment of the electrode material for 6-stacked MFCs

The performance of the 6-stacked MFCs with different electrode materials was evaluated and compared with previous research reports using synthetic, urban or industrial medium as the influent (Table 2). In this study, more than 90% of the BOD (1.9 ± 0.3 kg COD m⁻³ d⁻¹ removal rate; CEs of 17 \pm 4%) and 50% of the total nitrogen content (0.35 \pm 0.02 kg) N m⁻³ d⁻¹ removal rate; CEs of 15 ± 2%) were removed, achieving power densities between 2–4 W m^{-3} in both configurations. The COD removal rates and CEs achieved were below the ranges reported using synthetic media, where the removal rates ranged between 2.5–5.5 kg COD m^{-3} d⁻¹ with corresponding CEs of 48% and 28%.¹⁹ Real wastewater influents showed lower removal rates and CEs with respect to

Table 2 MFC configuration and operation, and organic matter and nitrogen treatment performance of single and/or stacked scale-up MFCs. The power density results of the stacked MFCs were calculated taking into account an individual electric connection

synthetic media, achieving values between 0.1–1.0 kg COD m⁻³ d⁻¹ with CEs generally lower than 10%.²¹⁻²³ Several authors related it to the toxic effect of intermediate product accumulation on the complex reactions taking place, which reduces the organic matter removal efficiency, and to its highly complex nature that promotes side reactions such as fermentation and/or methanogenesis and other biological processes, reducing the CEs achieved.^{36,37}

No scaled-up BESs over 4 L for swine manure treatment have been reported in the literature. A 1.5 L 5-stacked tubular MFC working at a similar OLR was able to achieve higher organic rates than the ones presented in this study (3.23 kg COD m^{-3} d⁻¹; 66% of COD removed) but achieved CEs lower than 0.2%. These results indicated an almost nonelectrogenic treatment of the organic matter. Once the OLR was reduced, the treatment efficiencies increased (83% COD removal) but the removal rate was reduced to 0.99 kg COD m^{-3} d⁻¹ and the CEs did not improve. This effect was also demonstrated on the mL-scale with other wastewaters, obtaining higher treatment efficiencies (over 80%) when the OLR decreased.38,39 In these cases, the lower OLR corresponded with longer HRTs that allowed more exposure time of organic matter to bacteria, increasing its degradation.40,41 The biggest BES tested was a constructed wetland MFC of 3.7 L. On increasing the volumetric capacity, the achieved COD removal rate was reduced to 0.19 kg COD m^{-3} d−¹ and the CE was maintained at values lower than 1% (77% COD removal efficiency) at a low OLR of 0.83 kg COD m^{-3} d⁻¹.²⁷ This result was in accordance with the tendency of all scale-up reactors, independent from the liquid source. $21,23$ The 6-stacked MFC evaluated in this study allowed the increase of the net volume reactor between 16 and 25 times with respect to the biggest MFC designed to treat swine manure, achieving similar removal rates to the 1.5 L MFC.^{26,27} Moreover, the configuration used in this study was able to establish and maintain the electrogenic process for a longterm.

BESs were proposed as a new alternative for nitrogen treatment in swine manure waste due to the lack of elimination of this compound in anaerobic digestion. 42 The development of a sustainable and robust system for nitrogen removal is still missing. No BES scale-up attempt for nitrogen treatment has been reported until this study. Similar nitrifying efficiencies (over 90% in both cases) and denitrifying removal rates $(0.37 \pm 0.1 \text{ kg N m}^{-3} \text{ d}^{-1}$ in the GG-MFC and $0.30 \pm 0.1 \text{ kg N}$ m^{-3} d⁻¹ in the SS-MFC) were achieved between the electrode materials, with cathodic CEs of $16 \pm 3\%$ and $13 \pm 2\%$ in the GG and SS MFCs, respectively. In terms of nitrogen, the configuration showed in this study was already tested on the mLscale. $9,33,43$ These studies were able to achieve similar efficiencies for ammonium oxidation (>90%) with respect to the ones presented in this study, while lower nitrate reduction rates were achieved (0.40, 0.01 and 0.16 kg N m^{-3} d⁻¹, respectively, with corresponding CEs of 70–80%, 20% and 10%). The higher removal efficiencies corresponded to higher HRTs (1-5 d) and lower nitrogen concentrations (40 mg N L^{-1}). The low CE achieved indicated an alternative process to remove nitrate. In the present study, the migration of nitrates to the anode through the membrane and heterotrophic nitrate removal could explain it. However, nitrogen species, such as nitrate or nitrite, were not detected in the anode compartments. These results suggested that bioelectrochemical nitrate reduction is the limiting step for nitrogen treatment from swine manure using BESs.

In terms of energy recovered, the GG-MFC achieved a power density of 4 ± 1 W m⁻³ while the SS-MFC achieved 2 \pm 1Wm−³ . The results obtained were in agreement with those of the other stacked MFC treating swine manure, which obtained a power density of 4 W m^{-3} even if usually lower values were obtained when treating swine manure (0.02 W m^{-3} in Zhao *et al.*²⁷) and urban wastewater (0.35–0.90 W m^{-3}) in Jiang et al^{21} ²⁶. The studied stacked MFC allowed the maintenance of the removal rates and the power output achieved for the mL-scale stacked MFCs treating complex wastewater matrices.

In all BESs scaled-up to treat swine manure, a carbon electrode was used as the electrode material bed, either for the anode and cathode compartments. $26,27$ A couple of electrodes (GG-MFC and SS-MFC) were evaluated in the present study for organic matter and nitrogen treatment, obtaining similar removal rates and electricity generation. The results suggested that the granular graphite electrode material was not appropriate for long-term operation for any compartment (anodes and cathodes), obtaining graphite blocks that did not allow the appropriate liquid distribution inside the compartment. Moreover, the presence of solids $(1.2 \pm 0.1 \text{ g TSS L}^{-1})$ caused packing of the anode compartments and it could also negatively influence the membrane functionality. With respect to the stainless steel electrode material, the high corrosion risk could compromise its applicability in scaled-up MFCs. This effect was not appreciated in the six anodes used in this study. The appropriate operational conditions applied 44 and potentials lower than its standard oxidation potential (-0.21 vs. SHE at pH 7)^{45,46} in the anodes reduced the material corrosion. Meanwhile, the reductive nature of the cathodes protected the stainless steel against corrosion, making it a good option for long-term treatment of wastewaters. Paper

For interaction is the limiting stop for nitrogen treatment interactions are the contract of the complete on the model of the complete the complete on the complete on the similar or the common and the complete one

4.2. Performance of individual MFC units

The study of the nutrient and electrical dynamics of each individual unit of the stacked MFC concluded that the central units were the most electro-active compartments, which had the highest organic matter and nitrogen removal rates (1.28 and 0.97 kg COD m^{-3} d⁻¹; 0.64 and 0.54 kg NO₃⁻⁻N m^{-3} d⁻¹) and power densities (0.23 and 0.09 W m^{-3} NAC). These results agreed with the electron balance performed between the anode and cathodes which were near the stoichiometric carbon/nitrogen ratio (central units), indicating that, apparently, no electron limitation existed when carrying out the two bioelectrochemical processes (carbon oxidation and nitrate reduction). In contrast, the high organic matter removal rate of the extreme anode compartments (peripheral units) could be explained by the high complexity of organic matter and solid retention. The nitrogen treatment in the cathodes was limited by the dissolved oxygen in the liquid phase coming from the external reactor. In general, nitrate bioelectrochemical removal was limited, since nitrate was not completely reduced from the cathodes. The operational HRT applied as well as the intrinsic overpotentials (reactor size, reactor configuration, etc.) could have played a role in this limitation. Zhuang et al. demonstrated that complete removal efficiencies could be achieved by increasing the HRT but this negatively affects the power density generated.²⁶ Other authors who used a similar hydraulic fluid reactor observed different performances in terms of organic matter treatment and energy production between the stacked MFC units with respect to the ones observed in this study.5,26,47 Their studies support that the most electroactive compartment is the last one, where the substrate is more accessible. In our configuration, the solid retention and exhaustion of the BOD in the anodes, the low HRT and the influence of the external reactor on the cathodes made the central unit the most electroactive.

4.3. Electric configuration optimization

Several scaled-up reactors were electrically configured in parallel or in series circuits, achieving the highest current and power densities for their systems, respectively.^{17,18,26,47} However, the mixed circuit substantially lowered the internal resistance of the stacked MFC, leading to a higher power density (0.33 W m^{-3}) at a relatively higher current density (1.89 A m⁻³). Furthermore, there was no problem of voltage reversal as that observed in the series connection. The high number of parallel connections applied in the mixed circuit greatly enhanced the power and current densities achieved, as demonstrated by Papaharalabos et al ⁴⁸ Consequently, the mixed circuit was considered the most appropriate connection due to its high applicability. The maximum power density achieved with this circuit will consequently reduce the number of units required for the other electric circuits to obtain the same power output while the high current densities will allow the better workability of the cathodic reaction, and thus a higher denitrification rate might be expected.

Although in this study external resistances were applied in order to minimise the operational cost, energy could not be harvested. For this reason, the development of power management systems to harvest energy, shaping it to a usable form, could be considered.⁴⁹ Nowadays, integrated circuits or chips are commonly used in several electronic devices due to their small volume, low cost, low energy consumption, and quick switch among components.⁴⁹ These characteristics made them good candidates for application in MFCs. The first attempts already achieved high efficiencies in terms of energy harvested in MFCs,^{50,51} however, more research is necessary in order to reduce the energy demand of these additional systems and to self-sustain the operation of the MFC reactors.

5. Conclusions

The results provided a better understanding of the real potential of MFCs, highlighting the challenges of MFC scaling-

up for swine manure treatment towards application. The low HRT applied (9.6 hours in anodes and cathodes) did not allow the complete degradation of the organic matter and nitrogen compounds, but the biodegradable fraction was almost completely consumed. High organic matter and nitrogen removal rates of 2.1 \pm 0.5 and 1.6 \pm 0.7 kg COD m⁻³ d⁻¹, and 0.4 ± 0.1 and 0.3 ± 0.1 kg N m⁻³ d⁻¹ for GG and SS-MFCs, respectively, were achieved. In both cases, anodic and cathodic electrogenic activities were around 15%, achieving power densities of 4 ± 1 and 2 ± 0 W m⁻³ NAC for GG and SS-MFCs, respectively. The values were considered high due to the complexity of the organic matter in swine manure, which could have promoted side reactions. The clogging effect of the granular graphite bed used in the GG-MFC reduced its applicability for long-term operation. The stainless steel used in the SS-MFC should be considered as a promising material for scaled-up reactors due to its easy applicability, cheap price, high treatment efficiencies, and lack of clogging effect and corrosion problems. The treatment capacity of the different units showed that the central units were the most electroactive in the stacked MFC. Moreover, the utilization of a mixed electric circuit between units increased the power and current density achieved. The application of this configuration could be a feasible strategy to maintain or even improve treatment efficiencies and power densities when scaling-up MFCs towards application. Conformental Science: Weter Renearch U Technology

Oper UIT application, The Jow 5 L. Zhuang, Y. Yuan, Y. Wang and S. Zhon, Long-tern

1976 The Tapplication (a bunch in an outlet and noticed plut on 24 July 2018).

Long-t

Acknowledgements

The authors wish to acknowledge the assistance of Ms. Dorine Glautier, Mr. Albert Vilà-Rovira, Dr. Narcis Pous and Dr. Pau Batlle-Vilanova for their support on the reactor design, construction and operation. This research was financially supported by the Company Abengoa Water within the TEcoAgua project (CIEN-20091028), the Spanish Government (CTQ2014-53718-R) and the Catalan Government (2014 FI-B 00093). LEQUIA has been recognised as consolidated research groups by the Generalitat de Catalunya with code 2014-SGR-1168.

References

- 1 B. E. Logan and K. Rabaey, Conversion of wastes into bioelectricity and chemicals by using microbial electrochemical technologies, Science, 2012, 337(6095), 686–690.
- 2 D. R. Lovley, The microbe electric: conversion of organic matter to electricity, Curr. Opin. Biotechnol., 2008, 19(6), 564–571.
- 3 B. E. Logan, B. Hamelers, R. Rozendal, U. Schröder, J. Keller, S. Freguia, P. Aelterman, W. Verstraete and K. Rabaey, Microbial fuel cells: methodology and technology, Environ. Sci. Technol., 2006, 40(17), 5181–5192.
- 4 D. R. Lovley, Powering microbes with electricity: direct electron transfer from electrodes to microbes, Environ. Microbiol. Rep., 2011, 3(1), 27–35.
- 6 S. Puig, M. Serra, A. Vilar-Sanz, M. Cabré, L. Bañeras, J. Colprim and M. D. Balaguer, Autotrophic nitrite removal in the cathode of microbial fuel cells, Bioresour. Technol., 2011, 102(6), 4462–4467.
- 7 S. B. Velasquez-Orta, I. M. Head, T. P. Curtis and K. Scott, Factors affecting current production in microbial fuel cells using different industrial wastewaters, Bioresour. Technol., 2011, 102(8), 5105–5112.
- 8 A. Hussain, M. Manuel and B. Tartakovsky, A comparison of simultaneous organic carbon and nitrogen removal in microbial fuel cells and microbial electrolysis cells, J. Environ. Manage., 2016, 173, 23–33.
- 9 A. Vilajeliu-Pons, S. Puig, N. Pous, I. Salcedo-Dávila, L. Bañeras, M. D. Balaguer and J. Colprim, Microbiome characterization of MFCs used for the treatment of swine manure, J. Hazard. Mater., 2015, 288, 60–68.
- 10 K. Rabaey and W. Verstraete, Microbial fuel cells: novel biotechnology for energy generation, Trends Biotechnol., 2005, 23(6), 291–298.
- 11 W.-W. Li, H.-Q. Yu and Z. He, Towards sustainable wastewater treatment by using microbial fuel cells-centered technologies, Energy Environ. Sci., 2014, 7(3), 911.
- 12 E. G. Ferreira Mercuri, A. Y. Jakubiak Kumata, E. B. Amaral and J. R. Simões Vitule, Energy by Microbial Fuel Cells: Scientometric global synthesis and challenges, Renewable Sustainable Energy Rev., 2016, 65, 832–840.
- 13 H. Liu, S. Cheng, L. Huang and B. E. Logan, Scale-up of membrane-free single-chamber microbial fuel cells, J. Power Sources, 2008, 179(1), 274–279.
- 14 P. Clauwaert, S. Mulenga, P. Aelterman and W. Verstraete, Litre-scale microbial fuel cells operated in a complete loop, Appl. Microbiol. Biotechnol., 2009, 83(2), 241–247.
- 15 F. Zhang, Z. Ge, J. Grimaud, J. Hurst and Z. He, Long-Term Performance of Liter-Scale Microbial Fuel Cells Treating Primary Effluent Installed in a Municipal Wastewater Treatment Facility, Environ. Sci. Technol., 2013, 47, 4941–4948.
- 16 F. Zhang, K. S. Jacobson, P. Torres and Z. He, Effects of anolyte recirculation rates and catholytes on electricity generation in a litre-scale upflow microbial fuel cell, Energy Environ. Sci., 2010, 3(9), 1347.
- 17 P. Aelterman, K. Rabaey, H. T. Pham, N. Boon and W. Verstraete, Continuous electricity generation at high voltages and currents using stacked microbial fuel cells, Environ. Sci. Technol., 2006, 40(10), 3388–3394.
- 18 S.-E. Oh and B. E. Logan, Voltage reversal during microbial fuel cell stack operation, *J. Power Sources*, 2007, 167(1), 11–17.
- 19 T. Shimoyama, S. Komukai, A. Yamazawa, Y. Ueno, B. E. Logan and K. Watanabe, Electricity generation from model organic wastewater in a cassette-electrode microbial fuel cell, Appl. Microbiol. Biotechnol., 2008, 80(2), 325–330.
- 20 A. Dekker, A. Ter Heijne, M. Saakes, H. V. M. Hamelers and C. J. N. Buisman, Analysis and improvement of a scaled-up and stacked microbial fuel cell, Environ. Sci. Technol., 2009, 43(23), 9038–9042.
- 21 D. Jiang, M. Curtis, E. Troop, K. Scheible, J. McGrath, B. Hu, S. Suib, D. Raymond and B. Li, A pilot-scale study on utilizing multi-anode/cathode microbial fuel cells (MAC MFCs) to enhance the power production in wastewater treatment, Int. J. Hydrogen Energy, 2011, 36(1), 876–884. Paper Emvirenmental Science. Water Recentrof of 2016. At the same of a science are not are computed to the common and the same of a science and the same of a creative common and the same of a science and the same of a cre
	- 22 Y. Dong, Y. Qu, W. He, Y. Du, J. Liu, X. Han and Y. Feng, A 90-liter stackable baffled microbial fuel cell for brewery wastewater treatment based on energy self-sufficient mode, Bioresour. Technol., 2015, 195, 66–72.
	- 23 Z. Ge and Z. He, Long-term Performance of a 200-Liter Modularized Microbial Fuel Cell System Treating Municipal Wastewater: Treatment, Energy, and Cost, Environ. Sci.: Water Res. Technol., 2016, 2(540), 1–2.
	- 24 K. Rabaey, P. Clauwaert, P. Aelterman and W. Verstraete, Tubular microbial fuel cells for efficient electricity generation, Environ. Sci. Technol., 2005, 39(20), 8077–8082.
	- 25 B. E. Logan, Scaling up microbial fuel cells and other bioelectrochemical systems, Appl. Microbiol. Biotechnol., 2010, 85(6), 1665–1671.
	- 26 L. Zhuang, Y. Zheng, S. Zhou, Y. Yuan, H. Yuan and Y. Chen, Scalable microbial fuel cell (MFC) stack for continuous real wastewater treatment, Bioresour. Technol., 2012, 106, 82–88.
	- 27 Y. Zhao, S. Collum, M. Phelan, T. Goodbody, L. Doherty and Y. Hu, Preliminary investigation of constructed wetland incorporating microbial fuel cell: Batch and continuous flow trials, Chem. Eng. J., 2013, 229, 364–370.
	- 28 S. L. Abengoa Water, Sistema bioelectroquímico y procedimiento para la eliminación de materia orgánica y compuestos nitrogenados de aguas residuales, ES Pat., ES2015/070251, 2015.
	- 29 J. Gabarró, R. Ganigué, F. Gich, M. Ruscalleda, M. D. Balaguer and J. Colprim, Effect of temperature on AOB activity of a partial nitritation SBR treating landfill leachate with extremely high nitrogen concentration, Bioresour. Technol., 2012, 126, 283–289.
	- 30 N. Pous, S. Puig, M. Coma, M. D. Balaguer and J. Colprim, Bioremediation of nitrate-polluted groundwater in a microbial fuel cell, J. Chem. Technol. Biotechnol., 2013, 88(9), 1690–1696.
	- 31 APHA, Standard Methods for the Examination of Water and Wastewater, American Public Health Association, Washington, DC, USA, 19th edn, 2005.
	- 32 A. C. Anthonisen, R. C. Loehr, T. B. S. Prakasan and E. G. Shinath, Inhibition of nitrification by ammonia and nitrous acid, J. - Water Pollut. Control Fed., 1976, 48, 835–851.
	- 33 B. Virdis, K. Rabaey, Z. Yuan and J. Keller, Microbial fuel cells for simultaneous carbon and nitrogen removal, Water Res., 2008, 42(12), 3013–3024.
	- 34 B. Virdis, K. Rabaey, Z. Yuan, R. A. Rozendal and J. Keller, Electron fluxes in a microbial fuel cell performing carbon

and nitrogen removal, Environ. Sci. Technol., 2009, 43(13), 5144–5149.

- 35 A. Vilà-Rovira, S. Puig, M. D. Balaguer and J. Colprim, Anode hydrodynamics in Bioelectrochemical Systems, RSC Adv., 2015, 5, 78994–79000.
- 36 M. Behera and M. M. Ghangrekar, Performance of microbial fuel cell in response to change in sludge loading rate at different anodic feed pH, Bioresour. Technol., 2009, 100(21), 5114–5121.
- 37 K. R. Reddy, R. Khaleel and M. R. Overcash, Behavior and Transport of Microbial Pathogens and Indicator Organisms in Soils Treated with Organic Wastes, J. Environ. Qual., 1981, 10(3), 255–266.
- 38 J. R. Kim, G. C. Premier, F. R. Hawkes, J. Rodríguez, R. M. Dinsdale and A. J. Guwy, Modular tubular microbial fuel cells for energy recovery during sucrose wastewater treatment at low organic loading rate, Bioresour. Technol., 2010, 101(4), 1190–1198.
- 39 A. Gálvez, J. Greenman and I. Ieropoulos, Landfill leachate treatment with microbial fuel cells; scale-up through plurality, Bioresour. Technol., 2009, 100(21), 5085–5091.
- 40 L. Wei, Z. Yuan, M. Cui, H. Han and J. Shen, Study on electricity-generation characteristic of two-chambered microbial fuel cell in continuous flow mode, Int. J. Hydrogen Energy, 2012, 37(1), 1067–1073.
- 41 Q. Wen, Y. Wu, L. Zhao, Q. Sun and F. Kong, Electricity generation and brewery wastewater treatment from sequential anode-cathode microbial fuel cell, J. Zhejiang Univ., Sci., B, 2010, 11(2), 87–93.
- 42 J. B. Holm-Nielsen, T. Al Seadi and P. Oleskowicz-Popiel, The future of anaerobic digestion and biogas utilization, Bioresour. Technol., 2009, 100(22), 5478–5484.
- 43 F. Zhang and Z. He, Simultaneous nitrification and denitrification with electricity generation in dual-cathode microbial fuel cells, J. Chem. Technol. Biotechnol., 2012, 87(1), 153–159.
- 44 E. Guerrini, P. Cristiani, M. Grattieri, C. Santoro, B. Li and S. Trasatti, Electrochemical behavior of stainless steel anodes in membraneless microbial fuel cells, J. Electrochem. Soc., 2014, 161(3), H62–H67.
- 45 P. Ledezma, B. C. Donose, S. Freguia and J. Keller, Oxidised stainless steel: a very effective electrode material for microbial fuel cell bioanodes but at high risk of corrosion, Electrochim. Acta, 2015, 158, 356–360.
- 46 A. Baudler, I. Schmidt, M. Langner, A. Greiner and U. Schröder, Does it have to be carbon? Metal anodes in microbial fuel cells and related bioelectrochemical systems, Energy Environ. Sci., 2015, 8(7), 2048–2055.
- 47 S. Wu, H. Li, X. Zhou, P. Liang, X. Zhang, Y. Jiang and X. Huang, A novel pilot-scale stacked microbial fuel cell for efficient electricity generation and wastewater treatment, Water Res., 2016, 98, 396–403.
- 48 G. Papaharalabos, J. Greenman, A. Stinchcombe, I. Horsfield, C. Melhuish and I. Ieropoulos, Dynamic electrical reconfiguration for improved capacitor charging

in microbial fuel cell stacks, J. Power Sources, 2014, 272, 34–38.

- 49 H. Wang, J. Park and Z. J. Ren, Practical Energy Harvesting for Microbial Fuel Cells: A Review, Environ. Sci. Technol., 2015, 49, 3267–3277.
- 50 X. Zhang, H. Ren, S. Pyo, J.-I. Lee, J. Kim and J. Chae, A High-Efficiency DC-DC Boost Converter for a Miniaturized

Microbial Fuel Cell, IEEE Trans. Power Electron., 2015, 30(4), 2041–2049.

51 C. Erbay, S. Carreon-Bautista, E. Sanchez-Sinencio and A. Han, High Performance Monolithic Power Management System with Dynamic Maximum Power Point Tracking for Microbial Fuel Cells, Environ. Sci. Technol., 2014, 48(23), 13992–13999. Conformental Science: Weter Research 0 Technology

in microbial fuel cell stacks, *f.* Power Sources 2014, 272,

24-10, the mange Linux 2017. Device is licensed under a Creative Commons Access Article is licensed under a
