Chemical Science

EDGE ARTICLE

Cite this: Chem. Sci., 2016, 7, 4259

A versatile glycosylation strategy via Au(III) catalyzed activation of thioglycoside donors†

Amol M. Vibhute, Arun Dhaka, Vignesh Athiyarath and Kana M. Sureshan*

Among various methods of chemical glycosylations, glycosylation by activation of thioglycoside donors using a thiophilic promoter is an important strategy. Many promoters have been developed for the activation of thioglycosides. However, incompatibility with substrates having alkenes and the requirement of a stoichiometric amount of promoters, co-promoters and extreme temperatures are some of the limitations. We have developed an efficient methodology for glycosylation via the activation of thioglycoside donors using a catalytic amount of AuCl₃ and without any co-promoter. The reaction is very fast, high-yielding and very facile at room temperature. The versatility of this method is evident from the facile glycosylation with both armed and disarmed donors and sterically demanding substrates (acceptors/donors) at ambient conditions, from the stability of the common protecting groups, and from the compatibility of alkene-containing substrates during the reaction. **EDGE ARTICLE**

Consideration **A versatile glycosylation strategy** *via* **Au(iii)**

Cataloge activation of thioglycoside donors⁺

The bis come \approx , 2016, 2018

State the come \approx , 2016, 2018

A model in Michael Auto D

Received 10th February 2016 Accepted 4th March 2016

DOI: 10.1039/c6sc00633g

www.rsc.org/chemicalscience

Introduction

Various forms of carbohydrates play important biological roles and hence the chemical synthesis of glycoconjugates and oligosaccharides is an extremely important area of research.¹ Chemical glycosylation involves transfer of the glycosyl moiety from an activated glycosyl donor to a hydroxyl group of the glycosyl acceptor, which can be another carbohydrate or another class of compounds.² Several elegant methods of glycosylation have been developed using different donors.^{1k,2b,3} Among various glycosyl donors, thioglycosides have attracted a lot of attention from carbohydrate chemists because of their easy accessibility, stability, compatibility with various reaction conditions, orthogonality to other donors, etc.⁴ A major fraction of modern chemical glycosylations involve the use of thioglycosides as glycosyl donors. While thioglycosides are very stable, they can be easily activated for glycosylation by the use of electrophilic/thiophilic promoters. Varieties of reagents or combinations of reagents have been developed as promoters to activate thioglycoside donors.^{2b,4d,e,5} However, major drawbacks associated with this method are (i) the requirement of stoichiometric or excess amount of promoters which are toxic and expensive;^{3d,6} (ii) undesired side reactions arising from the highly electrophilic nature of the promoters, especially in substrates containing nucleophilic moieties; $4e$,7 (iii) the incompatibility of the activation conditions with substrates bearing alkenes;⁸ and (iv) the requirement of extremely low temperatures for the reaction. Development of novel and milder methods of thioglycoside activation that overcome these limitations is an agenda of utmost importance among chemists. $5g,6a$ Pohl et al. elegantly demonstrated the activation of thioglycoside donors using a sub-stoichiometric amount of $Ph_3Bi(OTf)_2$.^{6a} We herein report a novel method of thioglycoside activation using only a single additive in the catalytic amount that addresses these drawbacks.

YAL SOCIETY
CHEMISTRY

Yu et al.⁹ has elegantly demonstrated $Au(i)$ catalysis in glycosylation by generating an oxocarbenium ion from the activation of a remote alkyne by $Au(1)$. Further refinement has been done by Seeberger *et al.*, demonstrating that this method can be applied to flow chemistry.¹⁰ Hotha et al.¹¹ used Au(III) catalysts for the activation of propargyl glycosides. Later these authors have shown that even methyl glycosides can be activated by $Au(m),^{12}$ suggesting that alkyl glycosides, including propargyl glycosides, are presumably activated by the Lewis acidity of Au(III). Vankar et al. have shown that $AuCl₃$ in

Scheme 1 Thioglycoside activation strategies.

School of Chemistry, Indian Institute of Science Education and Research, Thiruvananthapuram, KERALA-695016, India. E-mail: kms@iisertvm.ac.in; Web: http://kms514.wix.com/kmsgroup; Fax: +91 4712597427

[†] Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available: Synthetic procedures, characterization and spectral data for all new compounds. See DOI: 10.1039/c6sc00633g

Chemical Science Edge Article

combination with phenylacetylene can be used to activate acetate¹³ and trichloroacetimidate^{13b} donors. Very recently, Schmidt et al. reported a mechanistically different glycosylation using trichloroacetimidate donors and a $AuCl₃$ catalyst at -70 °C.¹⁴ However, glycosylations *via* the activation of these O-linked donors by Au(III) are low yielding,^{13b} require extreme temperatures,¹⁴ longer reaction times,¹⁵ and a co-promoter such as phenylacetylene 13a or Ag ${\rm SbF_6}.^{15}$ We envisioned that the more Lewis basic sulphur in thioglycoside can be activated catalytically by $Au(m)$ under milder conditions (Scheme 1).

Results and discussion

In order to investigate the feasibility of this proposal, a solution of the glycosyl donor, p-tolyl 2,3,4,6-tetra-O-benzyl-1-thio-b-Dglucopyranoside (D1), and methanol (A1) in dichloromethane was treated with 3 mol% of AuCl₃. The donor was consumed completely in less than 10 minutes. To our satisfaction, methyl 2,3,4,6-tetra-O-benzyl-D-glucopyranosides were formed in good yield (60%) along with the hydrolysed product, 2,3,4,6-tetra-Obenzyl-D-glucopyranose. In order to avoid competing hydrolysis and thus to improve the yield of glycosylation, the reaction mixture was stirred with 4 Å molecular sieves prior to the addition of the catalyst. This way, the yield of the product could be improved to 91% (Table 1, entry 1). Similarly, glycosylation of benzyl alcohol (A2) and cyclohexanol (A3) with the donor D1 gave the corresponding glycosylated products in excellent yields (entries 2–3).

Change of protecting group on the donor had no effect on the reactivity, as was evident from the smooth glycosylation of the acceptor A2 with the donor, tetraethyl thioglucoside D2 (entry 4). The donor D3 having acid-labile BDA-protection also could be used for glycosylation of various acceptors (entries 5– 9). Thus, simple acceptors A1 and A2, sterically crowded menthol (A4), and protected sugars A5 and A6 could be glycosylated using D3. Similarly, donor D4 having a benzylidene protecting group could also be used for glycosylation to get a glycosylated product in very good yield (entry 10). Silylated donor D5 also underwent glycosylation without desilylation (entry 11). Both thioalkyl and thioaryl glycosides behaved in a similar manner as was clear from the high yielding glycosylation using D4 as the donor (entry 10).

An ideal glycosylation strategy should be flexible to the choice of solvents in order to tune the α/β selectivity.¹⁶ Reactions in acetonitrile are known to produce predominantly β -anomers. In order to explore such tunability, we glycosylated donor D3 with acceptors A1 and A5 in acetonitrile. To our satisfaction, the reaction was smooth even in acetonitrile and the glycosylation proceeded with β -selectivity (entries 12–13) whereas α -selectivities were observed in DCM (entries 5 & 8).

Fascinated by these interesting results, we then moved on to find the generality of this glycosylation reaction by employing various other glycosyl donors (Table 2). The galactosyl donor D6 reacted with acceptors of different complexity to yield galactosylated products in very high yields (entries 14–15). For instance, galactosylation of the glucose derived acceptor A5 gave the corresponding disaccharides in high yield (entry 14). Mannosyl

 a Isolated by chromatography. b Calculated based on 1 H NMR. c In MeCN.

donor D7 could also be used for glycosylation as was clear from the efficient mannosylation of the acceptor A3 (entry 16).

Glycosylation with disarmed donors is often very difficult, low yielding and requires harsh conditions.¹⁷ Methods for efficient glycosylation using disarmed donors are of high interest. In order to investigate the utility of our method for glycosylation using disarmed donors, we have made several disarmed donors of different monosaccharides. The glucosyl derivative A5 on glycosylation using p -tolyl 2,3,4,6-tetra-O-acetyl- β -D-glucoside

Table 2 Glycosylation with complex donors and acceptors^a

Edge Article Glycosylation with complex donors and acceptors ^a Table 2					Chemical Science with the disarmed mannosyl donor D10, gave the correspond	
No	Donor	ROH	T in min	Yield $(%)^b$	α:β Ratio	ing a-disaccharide exclusively in excellent yield (entry 20). The rate of glycosylation using disarmed donors was slow as antic
14	OBn BnO BnO OBn D ₆	A5	15	88	$1:1^c$	ipated and took slightly longer to reach completion. It is interesting to note that our method is efficient for glycosylation using disarmed donors. It is worth noting that the previously
15	D6	A2	10	95	$1.7:1^{\circ}$	reported Au(m) mediated glycosylation does not work with dis- armed donors. ^{11a}
16	OBn BnO [.] BnO BnO D7 STol	A3	20	98	$2:1^{\circ}$	One of the major issues associated with glycosylation using thioglycosides as donors is the requirement of activators that can react with the olefinic moiety and hence make the method
17	AcO- AcO AcO OAc D8	A5	25	β :84	β only	unsuitable for reactions wherein the reaction partners (donor or acceptor) contain alkenes. ^{8,18} Considering the frequent occur rence of unsaturations in glycosylated natural products, ²⁴
18	OAc. AcO 3Tol AcO OAc D9	А2	20	β :81	β only	methods to activate thioglycoside donors without affecting the olefinic motif are of great synthetic interest. ¹⁹ As our method of activation requires only a catalytic amount of the activator, we
19	D9	A ₅	25	β :80	β only	explored the possibility of using this methodology in systems having olefinic motifs. In order to check the compatibility of the
20	OAc AcO AcO AcO- D10 STol	A5	90	α :83	α only	alkenyl motif in the acceptor, allyl alcohol (A7) was treated with the glucosyl donor D3 in the presence of 3 mol% of AuCl ₃ . To
21	D3	AIIOH $(A7)$	10	α :73 β :26	2.8:1	our satisfaction, the allylglucosides could be isolated in quan- titative yield (entry 21). This method is compatible with unsa turation in the donor too, as was evident from the efficient
22	AIIO AIIO AIIO OAII D11	A ₅	15	94	$2.0:1^{\circ}$	glycosylation of the acceptor A5 with donor D11 (entry 22). In order to test the ability of other $Au(m)$ compounds to
23°	D8	A5	120	β :76	β only	catalyze the glycosylation, we have used AuBr ₃ to carry out glycosylation of the acceptor A5 with the disarmed donors D8
24^d	D9	A ₅	90	β :75	β only	and D9. These experiments suggested that AuBr ₃ is also able to catalyze the glycosylation reaction (entries 23-24). However
25°	D1	PMP A8 OMe	20	α :73 β :22	3.2:1	more catalyst (20 mol%) and a longer reaction time were essential for the reaction. This could be due to the compara
$26^{\rm e}$	D1	Bno OMe Α9	20	α :55 β :35	1.6:1	tively weaker Lewis acidity of AuBr ₃ . This low reactivity is beneficial in cases where the reactivity of the acceptor is low. For instance, the reaction of hindered acceptors A8-A10 with
27 ^e	D1	BnO- HO. BnO BnO $\frac{1}{OMe}$ A10	30	92	$1.4:1^{\circ}$	glycosyl donors in the presence of AuCl ₃ as the catalyst yields the corresponding glycosylated products in low yields along with the hydrolysed products arising from the competing
28 ^e	D ₁	A11	20	57	$1.4:1^{\circ}$	hydrolysis of the donor. However, AuBr ₃ catalyzes the glycosyl ation reaction smoothly, yielding the corresponding disaccha rides in very good yields (entries 25-27) and without any noticeable quantity of hydrolysed products.
29	BnO BnO	A5	20	75	$1.4:1^{\circ}$	Regioselective glycosylation of acceptors having multiple

^a Reaction conditions: donor (1.0 equiv.), acceptor (1.0 equiv.), CH_2Cl_2 , AuCl₃ (3 mol%), 4 Å MS, rt. b Isolated by chromatography. c Calculated using NMR spectroscopy. ^d 20 mol% AuBr₃. ^e 3 mol% AuBr₃.

(D8) as the donor gave the corresponding disaccharide (β -anomer exclusively) in 84% yield (entry 17). The disarmed galactosyl donor D9 could be used to galactosylate different acceptors (entries 18–19). For instance, galactosylation of the glucosyl acceptor $A5$ gave the β -disaccharide exclusively in good yield (entry 19). Similarly, the mannosylation of the acceptor A5

Regioselective glycosylation of acceptors having multiple hydroxyl groups is an issue of great interest. Myo-inositol orthoesters having three secondary hydroxyl groups is one of the model acceptors to study the regioselectivity in glycosylations.²⁰ In addition, the biological importance of glycosylinositols demands efficient methods for regioselective glycosylation.²¹ It is interesting to note that the reaction of orthobenzoate A11 and the donor D1 in the presence of $AuBr₃$ gave the pseudodisaccharide with exclusive 2-O-glycosylation in moderate yield (entry 28). Though the yield is only moderate, it is interesting to note that not even traces of regioisomers could be observed in this case. However, glycosylation of myo-inositol orthoesters by previous methods resulted in the formation of a mixture of regioisomers.²⁰ Due to the offensive smell of thiophenol, compared to thiotoluene, we have used thiotolyl

glycosides in most of the cases. However, it is to be noted that the glycosylation with a phenyl thioglycoside as a donor also worked well as expected (entry 29).

The following catalytic cycle can be conceived. The coordination of the nucleophilic sulphur to the Lewis acid (Au^{3+}) results in the polarization and cleavage of the C–S bond, forming the oxocarbenium intermediate III. The nucleophilic attack of the acceptor alcohol (R'OH) to the oxocarbenium ion intermediate III gives the protonated glycoside IV. Dissociation of the weak anionic $[AuCl_3SR]$ ⁻ complex, formed between the hard acid (Au^{3+}) and soft base (RS^{-}) , regenerates the catalyst $(AuCl₃)$ and the thiolate, which abstracts the proton from the protonated glycoside IV to form the glycosylated product V and the thiol (RSH) which eventually forms disulfide. This catalytic cycle explains the sufficiency of low catalyst loading for this glycosylation (Scheme 2).

Apart from their use as bench-stable glycosyl donors, the thioalkyl/thioaryl groups serve as stable protecting groups for anomeric OH. For complex natural product/oligosaccharide synthesis, it is often essential to selectively deprotect the anomeric OH, leaving the other functional/protecting groups untouched, at a later stage of synthesis. Though several strategies are known for thioglycoside hydrolysis, they involve harsh conditions, the use of hazardous reagents, longer reaction times, the use of excess reagents and are incompatible with substrates having alkenes or other nucleophilic centers. The catalytic activation of thioglycosides discussed above suggested that they can be hydrolysed under mild conditions using water as the nucleophile. To explore such a possibility, thirteen different thioglycosides having different protecting/functional groups were subjected to hydrolysis in the presence of a catalytic amount of AuCl₃ (Table S1; ESI†). To our satisfaction, all these glycosides could be deprotected in excellent yields.

Conclusions

In conclusion, we have reported a mild, facile and high-yielding method for glycosylation using thioglycoside as a general donor. The reaction requires only 3 mol% of $AuCl₃$ catalyst and

no other promoters are required. The reaction is very fast and happens at room temperature. This method is compatible with wide varieties of acceptors and donors and gives excellent yields at very low catalyst loading. The method is versatile as (i) both armed and disarmed donors can be used for glycosylation; (ii) common protecting groups including acid sensitive protecting groups are stable under these conditions; (iii) this method can be used even when reaction partners have olefinic motifs and (iv) it allows flexibility in the choice of solvents to tune the α/β selectivity. This is the first report on the catalytic activation of thioglycoside donors at ambient conditions, without the use of any other co-promoter. In view of high yield, shorter reaction time, and catalytic activation by a minute amount of catalysts, this method might find application in oligosaccharide and glycoconjugate synthesis.

Acknowledgements

K.M.S. thanks the Department of Science and Technology, India for Swarnajayanti Fellowship and Ramanujan Fellowship.

References

- 1 (a) T. J. Boltje, T. Buskas and G.-J. Boons, Nat. Chem., 2009, 1, 611; (b) C. R. Bertozzi and L. L. Kiessling, Science, 2001, 291, 2357; (c) D. P. Galonić and D. Y. Gin, Nature, 2007, 446, 1000; (d) P. H. Seeberger and D. B. Werz, Nature, 2007, 446, 1046; (e) K. C. Nicolaou and H. J. Mitchell, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2001, 40, 1576; (f) Modern synthetic methods in carbohydrate chemistry: from nonosaccarides to complex glycoconjugates, ed. D. B. Werz and S. Vidal, Wiley-VCH, 2013; (g) Y. Geng, A. Kumar, H. M. Faidallah, H. A. Albar, I. A. Mhkalid and R. R. Schmidt, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2013, 52, 10089; (h) J. P. Issa and C. S. Bennett, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2014, 136, 5740; (i) J. T. Smoot, P. Pornsuriyasak and A. V. Demchenko, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2005, 44, 7123; (j) J. P. Yasomanee and A. V. Demchenko, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2012, 134, 20097; (k) S. S. Nigudkar, K. J. Stine and A. V. Demchenko, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2014, 136, 921; (l) T. J. Boltje, J.-H. Kim, J. Park and G.-J. Boons, Nat. Chem., 2010, 2, 552; (m) J. T. Smoot and A. V. Demchenko, Adv. Carbohydr. Chem. Biochem., 2009, 62, 161; (n) J.-H. Kim, H. Yang, J. Park and G.-J. Boons, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2005, 127, 12090.
- 2 (a) A. Demchenko, Handbook of Chemical Glycosylation, Wiley-VCH, Weinhem, 2008; (b) X. Zhu and R. R. Schmidt, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2009, 48, 1900; (c) S. S. Nigudkar and A. V. Demchenko, Chem. Sci., 2015, 6, 2687; (d) Y. Yang, X. Zhang and B. Yu, Nat. Prod. Rep., 2015, 32, 1331; (e) T. G. Frihed, M. Bols and C. M. Pedersen, Chem. Rev., 2015, 115, 4963.
- 3 (a) J. P. Yasomanee and A. V. Demchenko, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2014, 53, 10453; (b) B. Yu and J. Sun, Chem. Commun., 2010, 46, 4668; (c) X. Zhu and R. R. Schmidt, Handbook of Chemical Glycosylation. ed. A. V. Demchenko, Wiley-VCH: Weinheim, 2008, p. 143; (d) A. V. Demchenko, N. N. Malysheva and C. De Meo, Org. Lett., 2003, 5, 455; (e)

S. S. Nigudkar, A. R. Parameswar, P. Pornsuriyasak, K. J. Stine and A. V. Demchenko, Org. Biomol. Chem., 2013, 11, 4068; (f) X. Chen, D. Shen, Q. Wang, Y. Yang and B. Yu, Chem. Commun., 2015, 51, 13957; (g) D. Crich, Acc. Chem. Res., 2010, 43, 1144; (h) R. R. Schmidt and K. H. Jung, Carbohydrates in Chemistry and Biology, ed. B. Ernst, G. W. Hart and P. Sinay, Wiley-VCH, Weinheim, 2000, vol. 1, p. 5; (i) P. Shu, X. Xiao, Y. Zhao, Y. Xu, W. Yao, J. Tao, H. Wang, G. Yao, Z. Lu, J. Zeng and Q. Wan, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2015, 54, 14432; (j) E. R. Palmacci, O. J. Plante and P. H. Seeberger, Eur. J. Org. Chem., 2002, 595.

- 4 (a) J. D. C. Codee, R. E. J. N. Litjens, L. J. van den Bos, H. S. Overkleeft and G. A. van der Marel, Chem. Soc. Rev., 2005, 34, 769; (b) Z. Zhang, I. R. Ollmann, X.-S. Ye, R. Wischnat, T. Baasov and C.-H. Wong, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1999, 121, 734; (c) T. G. Frihed, C. M. Pedersen and M. Bols, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2014, 53, 13889; (d) S.-R. Lu, Y.-H. Lai, J.-H. Chen, C.-Y. Liu and K.-K. T. Mong, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2011, 50, 7315; (e) G. Lian, X. Zhang and B. Yu, Carbohydr. Res., 2015, 403, 13.
- 5 (a) G. H. Veeneman and J. H. van Boom, Tetrahedron Lett., 1990, 31, 1331; (b) S. P. Seitz and K. C. Nicolaou, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1983, 105, 2430; (c) T. Ercegovic and U. Ellervik, Org. Lett., 2001, 3, 913; (d) P. Fugedi and P. J. Garegg, Carbohydr. Res., 1986, 149, C9; (e) J. Tatai and P. Fugedi, Org. Lett., 2007, 9, 4647; (f) D. Crich and M. Smith, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2001, 123, 9015; (g) A. H. A. Chu, S. H. Nguyen, J. A. Sisel, A. Minciunescu and C. S. Bennett, Org. Lett., 2013, 15, 2566; (h) W. J. Wever, M. A. Cinelli and A. A. Bowers, Org. Lett., 2013, 15, 30; (i) S. G. Duron, T. Polat and C.-H. Wong, Org. Lett., 2004, 6, 839; (j) T. Nokami, A. Shibuya, H. Tsuyama, S. Suga, A. A. Bowers, D. Crich and J.-I. Yoshida, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2007, 129, 10922. Gap Article

S. S. Nigatikar, A. P. Pamenthon M. P. Pamenthon M. A. P. S. D. Octomon, J. C. Particle is entitled on 11. This article is entitled on 2018. The entitle is licensed on 2018. The entitle is licensed under a li
	- 6 (a) M. Goswami, A. Ellern and N. L. B. Pohl, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2013, 52, 8441; (b) H. He and X. Zhu, Org. Lett., 2014, 16, 3102.
	- 7 (a) Z. H. Qin, H. Li, M. S. Cai and Z. J. Li, Carbohydr. Res., 2002, 337, 31; (b) B. Yu, J. Sun and X. Yang, Acc. Chem. Res., 2012, 45, 1227; (c) M. L. Dulin, L. A. Noecker, W. S. Kassel and R. M. Giuliano, Carbohydr. Res., 2003, 338, 1121; (d) J. L. Hendel, A. Cheng and F.-I. Auzanneau, Carbohydr. Res., 2008, 343, 2914; (e) J. D. C. Codée, L. J. van den Bos, R. E. J. N. Litjens, H. S. Overkleeft, C. A. A. van Boeckel, J. H. van Boom and G. A. van der Marel, Tetrahedron, 2004, 60, 1057; (f) C. Krog-Jensen and

S. J. Oscarson, J. Org. Chem., 1996, 61, 1234; (g) S. Oscarson, U. Tedebark and D. Turek, Carbohydr. Res., 1997, 299, 159.

- 8 (a) A. V. Demchenko and C. De Meo, Tetrahedron Lett., 2002, 43, 8819; (b) J. C. Lopez, A. M. Gomez, C. Uriel and B. Fraser-Reid, Tetrahedron Lett., 2003, 44, 1417; (c) D. R. Mootoo, P. Konradsson and B. Fraser-Reid, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1989, 111, 8540.
- 9 (a) Y. Li, Y. Yang and B. Yu, Tetrahedron Lett., 2008, 49, 3604; (b) J. Li and B. Yu, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2015, 54, 6618; (c) S. Nie, W. Li and B. Yu, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2014, 136, 4157; (d) Y. Yang, Y. Li and B. Yu, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2009, 131, 12076.
- 10 (a) S. Matthies, D. T. McQuade and P. H. Seeberger, Org. Lett., 2015, 17, 3670; (b) N. L. Pohl, Chem. Glycobiol., 2008, 990, 272; (c) F. A. Jaipuri and N. L. Pohl, Org. Biomol. Chem., 2008, 6, 2686; (d) P. H. Seeberger, Chem. Soc. Rev., 2008, 37, 19; (e) T. Nokami, R. Hayashi, Y. Saigusa, A. Shimizu, C.-Y. Liu, K.-K. T. Mong and J.-i. Yoshida, Org. Lett., 2013, 15, 4520; (f) C. H. Hsu and C. H. Wong, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2011, 50, 11872.
- 11 (a) S. Hotha and S. Kashyap, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2006, 128, 9620; (b) S. A. Thadke, B. Mishra and S. Hotha, Org. Lett., 2013, 15, 2466; (c) S. A. Thadke and S. Hotha, Org. Biomol. Chem., 2014, 12, 9914.
- 12 S. R. Vidadala and S. Hotha, Chem. Commun., 2009, 2505.
- 13 (a) R. Roy, P. Rajasekaran, A. Mallick and Y. D. Vankar, Eur. J. Org. Chem., 2014, 5564; (b) R. Roy, A. K. Palanivel, A. Mallick and Y. D. Vankar, Eur. J. Org. Chem., 2015, 4000.
- 14 P. Peng and R. R. Schmidt, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2015, 137, 12653.
- 15 A. K. Kayastha and S. Hotha, Chem. Commun., 2012, 48, 7161.
- 16 A. Demchenko, T. Stauch and G.-J. Boons, Synlett, 1997, 818.
- 17 (a) Z. Zhang, I. R. Ollmann, X.-S. Ye, R. Wischnat, T. Baasov and C.-H. Wong, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1999, 121, 734; (b) O. Kanie, Y. Ito and T. Ogawa, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1994, 116, 12073.
- 18 D. R. Mootoo, V. Date and B. Fraser-Reid, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1988, 110, 2662.
- 19 H. Lonn, Carbohydr. Res., 1985, 139, 105.
- 20 (a) C. Uriel, A. M. Gómez, J. C. López and B. Fraser-Reid, Eur. J. Org. Chem., 2009, 403; (b) P. Uhlmann and A. Vasella, Helv. Chim. Acta, 1992, 75, 1979.
- 21 (a) P. S. Patil, T.-J. R. Cheng, M. M. L. Zulueta, S.-T. Yang, L. S. Lico and S.-C. Hung, Nat. Commun., 2015, 6, 7239; (b) X. Liu, B. L. Stocker and P. H. Seeberger, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2006, 128, 3638.