Multitechnique investigation of Dy₃ – implications for coupled lanthanide clusters†
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In-depth investigations of the low energy electronic structures of mononuclear lanthanide complexes, including single molecule magnets, are challenging at the best of times. For magnetically coupled polynuclear systems, the task seems well nigh impossible. However, without detailed understanding of the electronic structure, there is no hope of understanding their static and dynamic magnetic properties in detail. We have been interested in assessing which techniques are most appropriate for studying lanthanide single-molecule magnets. Here we present a wide ranging theoretical and experimental study of the archetypal polynuclear lanthanide single-molecule magnet Dy₃ and derive the simplest model to describe the results from each experimental method, including high-frequency electron paramagnetic resonance and far-infrared spectroscopies and cantilever torque magnetometry. We conclude that a combination of these methods together with ab initio calculations is required to arrive at a full understanding of the properties of this complex, and potentially of other magnetically coupled lanthanide complexes.

Introduction

In recent years, lanthanide-based molecular nanomagnets (MNMs) have become of great interest due to their large angular momenta and their huge anisotropies.† The magnetic anisotropy is a consequence of the crystal field (CF) splitting of the ground multiplet of the lanthanide ion. These properties engender slow relaxation of the magnetic moment to give single molecule magnet (SMM) behaviour, making them suitable for use in novel ultrahigh-density magnetic data storage devices. Most of the research has focussed on compounds where the magnetic relaxation properties can be assigned to the single ion processes of the individual lanthanide ions even for situations where the compound is chemically speaking polynuclear. For such polynuclear 4f compounds the magnetic interactions between the ions are typically dipolar in nature, rather than exchange coupled, and this typically leads to enhancement of the relaxation rates with a concomitant deterioration in the SMM properties of the compounds. Clearly, improving the understanding of the electronic structure and its relation to the static and dynamic magnetic properties of lanthanide-based SMMs is essential in order to make rational progress towards improved SMMs. The majority of studies on polynuclear 4f systems combine bulk magnetic susceptibility investigations with results from ab initio calculations, but comprehensive understanding is not usually achieved. Increasingly, spectroscopic methods are being used to assist in unravelling the details of the electronic and magnetic structure. Recently, a full experimental determination of the CF splitting of a lanthanide-based SMM has proven to be possible combining several spectroscopic techniques like far infrared (FIR), electron paramagnetic resonance (EPR), luminescence and magnetic circular dichroism (MCD) spectroscopy.

Given that it is recognised that the main challenge in improving SMM behaviour in lanthanide containing systems is eliminating efficient tunnelling of the magnetic moment near zero magnetic field, arguably the most viable approach to this end is the development of polynuclear systems with strong magnetic couplings. However, the number of systems where the
magnetic properties are substantially determined by magnetic couplings is limited. An early example of such a system is provided by lanthanide-radical 4f-np systems. In terms of pure 4f polynuclear systems, exchange bias has been observed in dysprosium dimers. Importantly for this work, compounds based on manipulation of the archetypal triangular motif first reported in 2006 formed with ortho-vanillin-derived ligands show a variety of exotic phenomena, and the archetypal triangular systems have essentially nonmagnetic ground states. In their paramagnetic excited states, the Dy3 triangles show clear SMM behaviour. The nonmagnetic ground state originates from the fact that the magnetic moments of the individual dysprosium ions are all located in the plane of the triangle at low temperatures, as confirmed by ab initio calculations. The almost perpendicular angle between the magnetic moment and the line from the triangle centre through the dysprosium ion leads to a so-called toroidal magnetic moment. The two degenerate (Kramers doublet, KD) states with all moments arranged clockwise or anticlockwise are chiral. Even though the Dy3 molecule occupies a general site within the crystal unit cell, such that all three dysprosium ions are crystallographically distinct, the available experimental data could be fitted with astonishingly simple models. The simplest of these is the model where only the ground KD of each ion, assumed to be purely \( \gamma = \pm 15/2 \) is taken into account by means of pseudo spins \( \hat{S} = 1/2 \), and all local anisotropy axes are assumed to be related by 120° rotations around the molecular axis perpendicular to the triangle plane. The magnetic coupling was taken to be of the Ising type only. This model could quantitatively explain the single crystal magnetisation curve with the exception of a slight increase of the magnetic moment towards higher fields in the experiment. An enhanced model, able to describe all details of the magnetisation curve included isotropic exchange interactions, as well as \( m_\gamma = \pm 13/2 \) excite doublets for each of the ions at an energy of 71 cm\(^{-1}\). However, CASSCF calculations suggested that the energy gap between ground and first excited Kramers doublets of the ions is at least twice this value.

In order to investigate this discrepancy in more detail we have embarked on a more stringent experimental test of the model required to describe the electronic structure of Dy3. A complete model within the 4f\(^9\) configuration of all dysprosium ions would have to take into account 27 CF- and 20 free ion parameters for each dysprosium (in \( C_1 \) symmetry). These parameters determine the composition of the low-lying CF multiplets on each Dy ion as linear combinations of corresponding \( |JM \rangle \) wave functions. The magnetic coupling between Dy ions includes two basic interactions, the magnetic dipolar and the exchange interaction. The magnetic dipolar interaction is completely determined by magnetic properties of individual Dy ions and does not require additional parameters for its description. On the contrary, the complete exchange interaction between the CF multiplets originating from the ground atomic \( J = 15/2 \) multiplets on the dysprosium sites is described by 2058 parameters per pairwise magnetic coupling in the absence of symmetry. This is in sharp contrast with the exchange coupling between two isotropic spins described by one single Heisenberg exchange parameter. However, if the ground KDs on the Dy sites are strongly separated from the excited KDs compared to the energy of the exchange coupling, then only the exchange coupling between individual KDs is relevant. This requires a maximum of 9 exchange parameters per dysprosium pair in the absence of symmetry. Together with CF and free ion parameters for each dysprosium this gives a staggering total of 168 parameters. In the case of strong axiality of the ground KDs on lanthanide sites, the exchange interaction between them becomes non-collinear Ising type, described by one single Ising parameter. This was found to be the case in previous ab initio calculations of Dy3 triangle, for which the exchange coupling included three Ising exchange parameters – one per each pair of non-equivalent Dy ions.

Here we present experimental studies of the compound [Dy3(\( \mu_3-O \))\(_2\)L\(_3\)Cl(H\(_2\)O)\(_5\)]Cl\(_3\) (where L is the anion of o-vanillin), hereafter abbreviated Dy3 (Fig. 1 and S1). Dy3 crystallises in the monoclinic space group \( C2/c \) with \( Z = 8 \). The molecule thus has no crystallographically-imposed point group symmetry beyond \( C_1 \). Two of the dysprosium ions have very similar coordination geometries, while the third is slightly different and bears a chloride ligand. As a result of the symmetry elements present in the monoclinic unit cell, the Dy3 molecules are divided into two sets. Within each set, the triangles are oriented with their planes exactly co-parallel, but molecules from one set have no symmetry requirement to be parallel to those from the other set. Very conveniently, however, the dihedral angle between triangles from different sets is only 5.2°; the crystal b-axis thus makes an angle of only 2.7° to each Dy3 triangle in the crystal (Fig. S1†).

We have used a combination of high-field electron paramagnetic resonance (HF-EPR) and far-infrared (FIR) spectroscopies, as well as cantilever torque magnetometry (CTM). We have also revisited the ab initio calculations. In all cases we have established the minimal model that explains the experimental results of a given method and we thus assess which method provides the most stringent interrogation of the electronic structure.
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Fig. 1. (A) Molecular structure of Dy3 with hydrogen atoms omitted for clarity. (B) Schematic views of the orientations of the local anisotropy (\( 2\alpha \)) axes according to the ab initio calculations of the ground KD of each ion. The local x axes are taken to be parallel to the molecular X-axis.
Results and discussion

Ab initio calculations

Some of us have shown that in order to obtain a reliable description of the crystal field splitting of low-symmetry molecular lanthanide complexes from experiment, a combination of HFEPR, FIR, but also various optical spectroscopies (UV/Vis/NIR, magnetic circular dichroism, luminescence) is necessary. Unfortunately, extensive optical spectroscopic measurements on the Dy₃ system (Fig. S2–S4) did not produce very informative results. Therefore, we have instead carried out accurate ab initio calculations to determine the electronic structure of the lanthanide ions. The calculations follow the very informative results. Therefore, we have instead carried out calculations, for the other ions (Table 1). The exchange components for Dy(1) an order of magnitude higher than those for Dy(2) and Dy(3)). The main contributions from m = 1/2 pseudo spins as well as the dipolar interactions, the exchange interactions were calculated to be between −7 and −8 cm⁻¹ (S = 3/2, S = 5/2, and S = 7/2) for the Dy(2) and Dy(3)). The first excited KD in Dy(1) presents a more mixed character, importantly including substantial contributions from m = 1 functions with opposite projection of the magnetic moment (Table S1†). The energy gaps between ground and first excited KDs are calculated to range from ca. 143 to ca. 187 cm⁻¹ (Table 1). These energies are smaller than previously calculated and, as shown below, much closer to experimentally extracted values. At the same time the magnetic anisotropy on individual Dy sites, in particular, the directions of the local main magnetic axes in the ground KDs of the three dysprosium ions were modified to a much smaller extent compared to reported calculations. As previously calculated, the single ion anisotropy axes are almost perpendicular to the line connecting the triangle centre with the relevant dysprosium ion, leading to a maximised toroidal moment. Importantly, the quantisation axes are calculated to make small but significant angles with the triangle plane (Table 1). Finally, considering Ising exchange interactions between local S = 1/2 pseudo spins as well as the dipolar interactions, the exchange interactions were calculated to be between −7 and −8 cm⁻¹ (S = 3/2, S = 5/2, and S = 7/2) for the Dy(2) and Dy(3)). The first three exchange coupled excited states are calculated to lie at 7.24 to 7.39 cm⁻¹.

Table 1  Ab initio calculated Kramers doublet (KD) energies (in cm⁻¹), as well as g tensors and anisotropy axes for the lowest Kramers doublet of each of the three dysprosium fragments. In addition, the exchange interactions derived by means of a fit to the experimental data are reported

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Dy(1)</th>
<th>Dy(2)</th>
<th>Dy(3)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>KD1</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KD2</td>
<td>142.90</td>
<td>186.13</td>
<td>187.26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KD3</td>
<td>174.27</td>
<td>281.35</td>
<td>294.45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KD4</td>
<td>239.96</td>
<td>344.49</td>
<td>365.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KD5</td>
<td>296.38</td>
<td>376.11</td>
<td>423.39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KD6</td>
<td>337.42</td>
<td>425.39</td>
<td>455.69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KD7</td>
<td>380.00</td>
<td>499.62</td>
<td>486.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KD8</td>
<td>417.82</td>
<td>531.91</td>
<td>586.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>g₁</td>
<td>0.0316</td>
<td>0.006</td>
<td>0.004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>g₂</td>
<td>0.0369</td>
<td>0.007</td>
<td>0.006</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>g₃</td>
<td>19.742</td>
<td>19.640</td>
<td>19.698</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Tilting angle of anisotropy axis with tangential direction (θ)

|       | 9.05°     | 7.99°     | 11.21°    |

Tilting angle of anisotropy axis with Dy₁ plane (φ)

|       | 3.01°     | 0.68°     | −5.57°    |

Exchange interaction (cm⁻¹)

- Dy(1)–Dy(2), −7.45
- Dy(1)–Dy(3), −7.36
- Dy(2)–Dy(3), −7.69

High-frequency electron paramagnetic resonance spectroscopy

The first experimental method that we have employed to test the minimal model required to describe the results is high-frequency electron paramagnetic resonance (HFEPR). Some of us have recently shown that HFEPR spectra were essential in arriving at a satisfactory description of the electronic structure of an erbium SMM. Therefore, HFEPR spectra were recorded on immobilised powder samples of Dy₃ at 4.2 K between 180 and 350 GHz (Fig. 2A, S5 and S6†). In all spectra, three peaks can be clearly observed (I–III). No resonances were observed at higher fields. Extrapolation of the resonance frequencies to zero field (Fig. 2B) reveals that peak II has a zero intercept and is thus assigned to an intra-KD transition. Peaks I and III have nonzero
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intercepts and can be assigned to excitations between the ground and first excited KDs of the coupled system. Peak I is attributed to the transition from the nonmagnetic ground state to the first excited KD (Fig. 2B and S7†). Peak III is the counterpart to peak I where the order of the levels has been reversed due to the field-induced level crossing at ca. 0.8 T. The zero field gap of 215 GHz = 7.17 cm⁻¹ corresponds very well to that derived from ab initio calculations (7.24 cm⁻¹).

The minimal model to accurately reproduce these spectra turns out to be surprisingly simple. In it, each of the ions is modelled as a $\hat{S} = 1/2$ pseudo spin corresponding to its ground KD. Furthermore, the magnetic coupling is considered in the pure Ising limit:

$$\mathcal{H} = -J \sum_{i<k, j>k} \hat{S}_i \cdot \hat{S}_j - \mu_B g \sum_{i=1}^3 \hat{S}_i$$  \hspace{1cm} (1)

The local anisotropy axes are taken to lie in the plane of the triangle at exactly 120° from each other, and the local coordinate systems were rotated into the molecular one by appropriate rotation matrices. This model corresponds exactly to the simplest model used for fitting the magnetic data.¹² The only adaptation required is to introduce nonzero perpendicular components of the $g$ tensor for just one of the ions (Dy(1)). Fits (Fig. 2) gave the $g$ tensor values reported in Table 2, which correspond astoundingly well with ab initio calculated ones (Table 1). In addition, the exchange coupling value of $J = -7.3 \pm 0.5$ cm⁻¹ corresponds very well to coupling values derived from ab initio calculations ($-7.36$ to $-7.69$ cm⁻¹, Table 1). In conclusion, the interpretation of HFPEPR does not provide a very strict test of the electronic structure of Dy₃ and models used to describe it, since a rudimentary model, excluding excited CF levels suffices to fit the results.

**Far-infrared spectroscopy**

The energies of the first excited crystal field levels of lanthanide SMMs can be determined with great precision by means of far-infrared (FIR) spectroscopy.²³²⁴ Thus we have recorded FIR spectra on a pressed powder sample of Dy₃ in eicosane at 4.2 K and different applied magnetic fields up to 10 T (Fig. S8†). The transmission spectra are not very informative, because electric-dipole transitions such as vibrational transitions are much more intense than CF transitions. The latter are made visible by normalising the spectra through division by the zero-field spectrum (Fig. 3A). Four distinct field dependent features at 150, 170, 198 and 229 cm⁻¹ can be observed (peak I–IV). Note that the relative transmission changes are very small, of the order of 1%, due to the necessary trade-off between sample amount and absolute transmission. Attributing the observed features to transitions from the ground KDs to the first excited KDs of the ions leads to the conclusion that the energy splittings lie in the range previously calculated by ab initio means. The main $m_J$ components of the ground and first excited KDs of the dysprosium ions differ by $\Delta m_J = 1$, thereby fulfilling the magnetic resonance selection rule. The rudimentary model that was used to fit the HFPEPR results cannot work here, because it does not include excited CF levels. Therefore, we have attempted to use the model previously employed by some of us,²³ which is based on three pseudo-spins $\hat{S} = 15/2$, that are isotropically coupled, in addition to a local energy gap $\delta_i$ between the $m_J = \pm 15/2$ ground and $\pm 13/2$ excited states:

$$\mathcal{H} = -J \sum_{i<k, j>k} \hat{S}_i \cdot \hat{S}_j - g \mu_B B \sum_{i=1}^3 \hat{S}_i$$

$$+ \sum_{i=1}^3 \left( \frac{\delta_i}{15} \left( \frac{15}{2} \right)^2 - \hat{S}_{\perp i} \right)^2 \hspace{1cm} (2)$$

where the different orientations of the local coordinate frames have been taken into account by appropriate rotation matrices. Fits of the experimental spectra gave (all in cm⁻¹): $J = -0.064$, $\delta_1 = 150$, $\delta_2 = 229$, $\delta_3 = 198$. The absolute value of the magnetic

---

**Table 2** Best fit values of the $g$ tensor for HFPEPR data using an effective spin 1/2 Ising model

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Dy(1)</th>
<th>Dy(2)</th>
<th>Dy(3)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19.5</td>
<td>19.5</td>
<td>19.5</td>
<td>19.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

**Fig. 3** (A) Experimental FIR spectra recorded on a powder sample of Dy₃ in eicosane at 4.2 K and different magnetic fields. (B) Simulations using the model of eqn (2). (C) Simulations using the model derived in this work (B) based on ab initio results of the lowest eigenstates.
coupling is very different from that found in the HF-EPR simulations, because we are using a 15/2 rather than a 1/2 pseudo spin. The energy gaps between ground and first excited KDs follow the same trend as those found from the \textit{ab initio} calculations, and the absolute values are reasonably similar. Although this model qualitatively reproduces features of the spectra, only three of the four observed peaks are predicted, and the shapes clearly differ from those found in the experiment (Fig. 3B). Thus a more elaborate model is warranted, which we discuss below.

\textbf{Cantilever torque magnetometry}

Torque magnetometry is based on measuring the magnetic torque \( \tau \) that is experienced by a magnetically anisotropic sample in an applied magnetic field \( \tau = \mathbf{M} \times \mathbf{B} \). The torque is usually determined by measuring the deflection of a cantilever and is thus named cantilever torque magnetometry (CTM). Some of us have recently used CTM to investigate the magnetic anisotropy and CF splitting of lanthanide based SMMs. These studies have shown that CTM is much more sensitive to the CF splitting than standard magnetisation and magnetic susceptibility techniques.

Thus, we have recorded single crystal CTM curves at different angles at temperatures down to \( T = 50 \text{ mK} \) and fields up to 32 T. The \( b \)-axis of the crystal, which is almost parallel to the line connecting Dy(1) and Dy(3) of both molecules (\textit{i.e.} almost in the planes of the molecules, Fig. 1C), was chosen as the rotation axis. Fig. 4A and S9\textsuperscript{†} display averaged \( (\pm \alpha) \) torque curves at different small angles \( \alpha \) with the plane of the triangle (\( \alpha \) close to 0°). The crystal was oriented in such a manner that the field is almost parallel to the line connecting the centre of the triangle with the Dy(2) ion. At small fields, the torque is negligible, but at 0.8 T a sharp step occurs, followed by a near linear increase in the torque. No saturation occurs. The step is also found in magnetisation measurements and is due to the field-induced crossing of the paramagnetic excited state of the magnetically coupled system with the nonmagnetic ground state. The torque is proportional to the susceptibility anisotropy. This in turn is related to the CF splitting energy, which is huge compared to the Zeeman energy even at 32 T. Hence no saturation of the torque occurs, in contrast to what is found in the magnetisation measurements.

The torque curves were simulated on the basis of eqn (1) (Fig. 4B). Simulation and experiment agree very well in terms of the step position and the behaviour at higher fields. The experimental step is slightly more rounded, suggesting a slight distribution of parameters smearing out the step. More elaborate models (see below) do not improve the simulation. Hence, in-plane torque measurements are also not a sensitive test of the model used for the description of the electronic structure of Dy\textsubscript{3}.

In contrast, averaged \( (90° \pm \alpha) \) CTM measurements at angles close to 90° with the triangle plane (out-of-plane) show a very different behaviour (Fig. 5 and S10\textsuperscript{†}). Again the magnetic torque is negligible at small fields due to the nonmagnetic nature of the ground state. At around 8 T the torque starts to increase, reaching a maximum at \textit{ca.} 28 T, before decreasing again. Applying the field out of the Dy\textsubscript{3} plane forces the magnetic

![Fig. 4](image1.png)  
\textbf{Fig. 4} (A) Averaged torque signals at 50 mK and different angles between the triangle plane and the magnetic field. (B) Simulated torque curves, based on eqn (1). All curves are normalised to the high-field torque value at 5.2°.

![Fig. 5](image2.png)  
\textbf{Fig. 5} (A) Experimental averaged torque signals at different angles close to 90° at 50 mK. (B) Simulated torque curves based on eqn (2). (C) Definitive torque simulation based on the most elaborate model taking into account \( m \), mixing and CF quantisation axis tilting.
moments out of their easy direction, *i.e.* excited states of the CF multiplet are mixed into the ground state by the field. Eqn (1), which neglects excited KDs, is therefore unable to model these data. Simulations based on eqn (2), which takes the excited KDs of the single dysprosium ions into account, gave completely unsatisfactory results (Fig. 5B). Clearly the model of eqn (2) is insufficient to explain either FIR or out-of-plane CTM. We have therefore taken the experimental FIR and out-of-plane CTM data and aimed to improve the model that describes the electronic structure of Dy3.

### Improvement of the description of the electronic structure

HFEP and in-plane CTM data could be simulated very well on the basis of a rudimentary model considering Ising-type coupling between three $S = 1/2$ pseudo spins (eqn (1)). The FIR data could be qualitatively modelled assuming pure $m_1 = \pm 15/2$ and pure $m_2 = \pm 13/2$ excited doublets with different gaps for each of the three dysprosium ions. In both cases the local anisotropy axes were assumed to rigorously lie in the plane of the triangle ($\varphi = 0^\circ$, Fig. 1B), and perpendicular to the line connecting the centre of the triangle with the relevant dysprosium ion ($\theta = 0^\circ$, Fig. 1B). There are many avenues along which more elaborate models can be explored. The *ab initio* calculations showed that the angles $\theta$ and $\varphi$ of the three dysprosium ions have values of up to $11^\circ$. Furthermore they revealed that the KDs, especially of Dy(1), do not have pure $\pm m_1$ character. The magnetic resonance transition dipole moments can be very sensitive to the nature of the wavefunctions. Therefore, in a first step, we have taken the $m_1$ compositions of the ground and first excited KD for each dysprosium ion, as derived from *ab initio* calculations, as the basis for the simulations. Thus we have set up $4 \times 4$ matrices (eqn (3)) for each of the three ions. The total spin Hamiltonian matrix was constructed using the tensor product formalism. In addition, we have used the tilting angles of the anisotropy axis for each of the dysprosium ions $\theta$ and $\varphi$ as calculated by *ab initio* methods (Table 1).

$$
\begin{bmatrix}
0 & 0 & ge & ge' \\
0 & 0 & ge' & ge \\
ge & ge' & \delta & ee \\
ge' & ge & ee & \delta
\end{bmatrix}
$$

 eqn (3)

In eqn (3), the off diagonal elements $ge$ and $ge'$ mix ground and excited KDs, which parametrises effects due to possible imperfections of the *ab initio* description of the wavefunctions. The factor $ee$ parametrises additional excited state splitting, due to the magnetic coupling between the excited KDs being possibly different from that between the ground KDs. Finally, $\delta$ is the CF gap between ground and excited KD.

Simulations of the FIR spectra, considering nonzero values only for the CF gaps $\delta$, revealed some improvement, especially when changing the order of the CF gaps $\delta_i$ of the ions. The compositions of the wavefunctions of the lowest KDs of the single ions given by *ab initio* results strongly influence the shape of the transitions. The best agreement in lineshape is obtained for (in cm$^{-1}$): $\delta_1 = 229$, $\delta_2 = 147$, $\delta_3 = 192$ (Fig. S11†). The exchange interaction is again found to be $j = -0.064$ cm$^{-1}$, which is kept fixed for further simulations. The simulated out-of-plane torque curves (Fig. S12†) are still very far away from those measured.

In a second step, we considered improvements of the *ab initio* wavefunctions and the *ab initio* description of the magnetic coupling. The former we have attempted to simulate by mixing the ground and excited KDs of the ions by incorporating *ad hoc* off-diagonal elements $ge$ and $ge'$ in eqn (3). This gives us a handle to explore the effect of KD composition on the simulations. Clearly, free variation of the KD wavefunctions would create a vast parameter space, in which finding a point that allows simulation of the data would be impossible. The latter takes into account the fact that the exchange coupling between the KD, assumed equal and isotropic in the POLY_ANISO routine, may be KD-dependent. In this manner improved fits of the FIR spectra could be obtained by inclusion of only 4 out of 9 possible nonzero off-diagonal parameter values (Table 3, Fig. 3 and S11†). The discrepancy that still exists may be due to the imperfect description of the composition of the KDs as well as of the exchange interaction, even after considering eqn (3). Here we have no way to improve these descriptions either by further experiments (because optical data cannot be obtained) or by further theory (because the molecule is too large for more detailed consideration of dynamical electron correlation or configuration interaction). The simulated out-of-plane torque curves are also improved, but still not satisfactory (Fig. S12†).

In the final step, we have varied the tilting angles $\theta$ and $\varphi$. To limit the number of free parameters, we have only scaled the values for these angles as $\varphi_i = a\varphi_{ab\, initio,i}$, $\theta_i = b\theta_{ab\, initio,i}$ (Fig. S13 and S14†). It turns out that only a 10% change of the out-of-plane tilting angle $\varphi$ ($a = 0.9$) suffices to finally arrive at very satisfactory simulations of the out-of-plane torque curves (Fig. 5C). Beyond this model, one could also envision including further KDs of the dysprosium ions. We have not pursued this road, because we have no experimental evidence of the energetic positions of these states. Hence their inclusion would lead to a large number of additional free fit parameters.

### Conclusions

We have tested the informative value of high-frequency electron paramagnetic resonance (HFEP) and far-infrared (FIR) spectroscopies, as well as cantilever torque magnetometry (CTM) to interrogate the electronic structure of the archetypal
polynuclear lanthanide-based single-molecule magnet Dy₃. These researches were complicated by the fact that no informative UV/Vis/NIR optical data could be obtained for this compound, as well as the vast number of parameters required in a complete model. The interplay between ab initio calculations and advanced experimental techniques was essential in this study. We have demonstrated that both HF-EPR and in-plane torque measurements can be simulated well by means of a rudimentary model and thus do not allow in depth elucidation of the electronic structure. In contrast FIR and CTM turned out to be very sensitive both to the crystal field splitting of the individual ions and to details of the magnetic coupling. The out-of-plane torque measurements were also very sensitive to the exact tilting angles of the local anisotropy axes from the plane of the Dy₃ molecule. Thus, we have presented one of the first comprehensive spectroscopic and magnetometric studies in the area of polynuclear lanthanide based SMMs. It appears that the most appropriate tools for advancement in this area may be very different from those best suitable for mononuclear lanthanide complexes.

Experimental

[ Dy₃(µ₃-OH)₂L₃Cl(H₂O)₅]Cl₃ (where L is the anion of o-vanillin) was synthesised as previously published and characterised by standard chemical analytical techniques.10

Ab initio calculations on mononuclear fragments were performed by using MOLCAS 7.8 employing the CASSCF/RASSI-SO/ SINGLE_ANISO routines. Magnetic coupling constants were obtained by using the POLY_ANISO routine in combination with experimental magnetic data.11,12,22

High-frequency EPR spectra (180–380 GHz) were recorded on a home-built induction mode spectrometer with a VDI synthesizer source and multipliers, a Thomas Keating quasi optical bridge, an Oxford Instruments 15/17 T solenoid magnet and a QMC InSb bolometer detector. The sample was measured as a 5 mm pressed pellet (34 mg). A magnetic field modulation amplitude of approximately 150 G was used. EPR spectra were simulated by means of the Weihe program.

FIR transmission spectra (30–600 cm⁻¹) were recorded at the Laboratoire National des Champs Magnétiques Intenses in Grenoble on a sample of Dy₃ diluted (1 : 20) in eicosane on a Bruker IFS 66/s FTIR spectrometer with globar source, where the sample was placed inside an 11 T solenoid magnet, with a composite bolometer detector element located inside the magnet. The spectra were simulated by means of the Easyspin toolbox, modified for our purposes.24,28

Cantilever torque measurements were recorded at Laboratoire National des Champs Magnétiques Intenses in Grenoble and at the National High Magnetic Field Laboratory in Tallahassee using home-made CuBe cantilevers. Torque curves were simulated by taking the numerical derivative of the energy with respect to the rotation angle.

Luminescence spectra were recorded at the University of Copenhagen in collaboration with Dr S. Piligkos and Dr T. Brock-Nannestad. Samples of Dy₃ dispersed into Baysilone vacuum grease were measured on a Horiba FluoroLog3 luminescence spectrometer equipped with an Oxford Instruments helium flow optical cryostat and photomultiplier.

MCD spectra on a frozen solution of Dy₃ in 1 : 2 EtOH/MeOH were recorded on a home-built spectrometer based on an Aviv 42 CD spectrometer, with an Oxford instruments Spectromag 10 T optical cryomagnet and PMT and InGaAs detectors.
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