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A bicyclo[2.2.2]octane derivative containing both a tertiary amide and a methyl ester (1) was shown crystallographically to adopt a conformation in which the amide is in the cis configuration, which is sterically disfavored, but electronically favored. The steric strain induces a significant torsion (15.9°) of the amide, thereby greatly increasing the solvolytic lability of the amide to the extent that we see competitive amide solvolysis in the presence of the normally more labile methyl ester also present in the molecule.

In the course of our own studies, we have found that the 3′-N-methyl amide, 1, adopts the cis conformation, despite the significant steric interaction that would be alleviated by adoption of the trans conformation, which is preferred in the case of the unmethylated 2′-amide analog (2). Instead, to accommodate this conformation, the molecule distorts, twisting the amide about the carbonyl–N bond, and skewing the bicyclic system. These distortions result in high lability of the amide under both acidic and alkaline conditions (Scheme 1). In this paper, we report the preparation and solvolysis of three bicyclooctyl carboxamides (1–3), compare their structures to those of other reported amides, and investigate the observed trends using computational methods. Additionally, we discuss a possible explanation for the cis-preference of N-alkyl-N-aryl amides.

2. Experimental

2.1 Synthesis of amides

Amides 1, 2, and 3 were all synthesized using the same protocol, involving the reaction of the appropriate amine with the activated acid chloride prepared from the combination of 4-(methoxy carbonyl)bicyclo[2.2.2]octane-1-carboxylic acid and oxalyl chloride (see ESI† for synthetic details.)

Spectroscopic data for 1. 1H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl3) δ 8.62 (d, JHH = 6.4 Hz, 2H), 7.10 (d, JHH = 6.4 Hz, 2H), 3.55 (s, 3H, OCH3), 3.17 (s, 3H, NCH3) 1.72–1.59 (m, 12H). 13C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl3) δ 177.7, 177.2, 152.9, 151.4, 123.1, 51.7, 46.2, 41.9, 41.0, 38.4, 28.9, 27.9. IR (diamond, thin film) cm⁻¹ 2949 (m), 2871 (m), 1720 (s, ester), 1638 (s, amide), 1583 (s), 1256 (s), 728 (s), 591 (s).

Spectroscopic data for 2. 1H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl3) δ 8.40 (d, JHH = 6.4 Hz, 2H), 5.07 (br, 1H, N–H), 7.54 (d, JHH = 6.4 Hz, 2H), 3.61 (s, 3H, OCH3), 1.91–1.78 (m, 12H). (400 MHz, CDCl3)
The NMR tube was turned up-side-down and right-side-up several times to mix the contents before being inserted into the spectrometer, for 4 successive $^1$H NMR spectra. The first spectrum was finished approximately 5 minutes after butoxide addition, the next three occurred at 20, 40 and 60 minutes after butoxide addition. This process was repeated for samples of each compound. (See spectra on pages S7–S9, ESI†).

3. Results and discussion

3.1 Synthesis and structure of bicyclooctyl amides

Small dyad molecules containing an $N$-methylated amide linker (1) or a secondary amide linker (2) were synthesized with the goal of unmasking the pendant ester to a carboxylic acid. However, we found that the $N$-methylated analog was significantly less stable, and the ester could not be cleaved selectively under alkaline or acidic conditions.

X-ray diffraction studies (Fig. 1) demonstrated that 2′ amide, 2, adopts the expected trans conformation, while the $N$-methylated analogue, 1, adopts a cis conformation, at least in the solid state, despite the significant steric strain brought on by the interaction of the pyridyl ring with the bicyclic system. This interaction is ameliorated by distortion both of the amide, which adopts a non-planar geometry, and of the bicyclic system, for which the C–C bond connecting the bridgehead and carbonyl is tilted away from the pyridyl ring by almost 10°. The crystal structure of 2 shows no significant distortion in either the amide or bicyclic system.

Similarly, the reported crystal structure of $N,N,N',N'$-tetramethyl-1,4-bicyclooctylcarboxamide (4) shows no significant distortions, indicating minimal steric repulsion between the bicyclic system and promixal $N$-methyl group. (Table 1 shows a comparison of bridgehead and amide geometry for compounds 1, 2 and 4.) Additionally, the ester terminus of the bicyclic systems in both 1 and 2 maintain high symmetry.

The crystal structures of other amides (5–7) containing the same nitrogen substitution pattern as 1 show the same cis geometry as well as similar distortions of the amide, where the extent of distortion appears to be determined by the steric demands of the carbonyl substituent (Table 2). The amides containing ferrocenyl (5) and bicyclooctyl (1) groups are the most twisted of the series. The amide geometries of 1 and 5–7 are only slightly pyramidalized, with the sum of bond angles about the nitrogen is within 3° of 360°, in the case of 1, and
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Scheme 1 Pictorial definition of cis and trans amide geometries, and illustration of the failed solvolysis of ester 1 and successful solvolysis of ester 2.

$\delta$ 177.6, 176.2, 150.8, 145.1, 113.8, 52.0, 40.3, 38.7, 28.2, 27.9. IR (diamond, thin film) cm$^{-1}$ 3230 (w), 3162 (w), 2951 (m), 2971 (m), 1717 (s, ester), 1675 (s, amide), 1588 (s), 1507 (s), 1327 (s), 1252 (s), 1078 (s), 908 (s), 724 (s), 645 (s), 573 (s), 537 (s).

**Spectroscopic data for 3.** $^1$H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl$_3$) $\delta$ 3.60 (s, 3H, OCH$_3$), 2.98 (s, 6H N–CH$_3$), 1.91–1.85 (m, 6H), 1.81–1.74 (m, 6H). (400 MHz, CDCl$_3$) $\delta$ 178.0, 176.2, 51.7, 39.6, 38.9, 38.6, 28.0, 27.6. IR (diamond, thin film) cm$^{-1}$ 2949 (m), 2920 (m), 2868 (m), 1714 (s), 1614 (s), 1379 (s), 1254 (s), 1070 (s).

2.2 Solvolysis of amides

Solutions (100 mM, 600 µL) of each of the amides in deuteromethanol were prepared in 1-dram vials, and then transferred to 5 mm NMR tubes. For each, sample, a $^1$H NMR spectrum (8 scans) was taken at 22 °C. Then the tube was ejected from the spectrometer. A solution of sodium t-butoxide in deuteromethanol (300 mM, 200 µL) was added in one portion. The NMR tube was turned up-side-down and right-side-up 3 times to mix the contents before being inserted into the spectrometer, for 4 successive $^1$H NMR spectra. The first spectrum was finished approximately 5 minutes after butoxide addition, the next three occurred at 20, 40 and 60 minutes after butoxide addition. This process was repeated for samples of each compound. (See spectra on pages S7–S9, ESI†.)
Table 1 Distortion of bicyclooctyl and amide systems

| Bridgehead bond angles | α | δ | |γ|
|------------------------|---|---|---|
| 4                   | 113.4(2), 112.2(2), 110.0(3) | 178.7(5) | 4.0 |
| 1–H                 | 112.7(3), 112.9(2), 109.3(3) | 178.2(4) | 0.4 |
| 1–1 Amide           | 113.3(3), 110.6(3), 108.2(3) | NA | NA |
| 1–2 Ester           | 116.9(3), 110.1(3), 110.0(3) | NA | NA |
| 2 Amide             | 111.1(1), 111.1(1), 109.8(3) | 173(1) | 3.1 |
| 2 Ester             | 111.7(1), 110.3(1), 109.4(1) | NA | NA |

| Compound | Bridgehead bond angles | α | δ | |γ|
|----------|------------------------|---|---|---|
| 4        | 112.6(3), 110.1(3), 110.0(3) | NA | NA |
| 1–2 Amide| 113.0(3), 112.7(3), 109.3(3) | 179.6(4) | 0.4 |
| 1–1 Amide| 113.3(3), 110.6(3), 108.2(3) | NA | NA |

* Bridgehead angles defined as $\angle C_1C_2C_4$, $\angle C_1C_2C_3$, $\angle C_1C_2C_5$ for amides and $\angle C_1C_3C_5$, $\angle C_1C_3C_4$ and $\angle C_1C_3C_6$ for esters; list in decreasing order; not same numbering scheme as in ESI. $\beta$ Torsion angle is defined as $\beta = \angle R_1R_2R_3$.

3.2 Solvolysis studies

Since solvolysis rate enhancement is observed for many distorted amides, it is most likely responsible for the amide linkage in 1 being cleaved competitively with the methyl ester. To test this, 100 mM solutions of 1, 2, and the N,N-dimethylamide analogue (3) in deuteromethanol were subjected to sodium t-butoxide at 22 °C in an NMR spectrometer, and several successive $^1$H NMR spectra were taken.

In all three cases, the methyl ester was >80% trans-esterified by the one-hour mark, providing the deuteromethyl ester and free methanol. In the case of 1, solvolysis of the amide also occurred at nearly the same rate. No amide solvolysis was observed for either 2 or 3, indicating that the lability of 1 is not due to either the 4-pyridyl substituent or the 3” amide alone. (Data in ESI†) In addition to base-mediated solvolysis, the amide group in 1 was found to be labile under mildly acidic conditions. Exposure to a saturated aqueous solution of ammonium chloride was sufficient to decompose 1, while 2 and 3 were robust under these conditions.

These results are consistent with a destabilization of the ground state of the strained amide, as proposed by Mujika et al. With no protons alpha to the carbonyl, it is unlikely that the solvolysis proceeds by formation of a ketene, as observed by Hutchby et al., who reported pH-neutral methanolysis of enolizable, strained tertiary amides possessing electron-withdrawing alpha-substituents.

3.3 Quantum chemistry calculations

Gas-phase ab initio calculations, using both B3LYP and oB97XD functionals with a 631+G(2d,p) basis set, were used to optimize the geometry and calculate the heats of formation of both trans and cis conformations of amides 1 and 2, as well as of two truncated model structures (12 and 13). High performance at a low computational cost has made Kohn–Sham density functional theory (KS-DFT) the most widely used computational method. However, the exchange correlation energy functional $E_{xc}$, which is a key component of KS-DFT still needs to be approximated. Both B3LYP & oB97XD are hybrid functionals that incorporate Hartree–Fock (HF) exchange term in the functional form to reduce the self-interaction error, leading to more accurate energy calculations.

The calculations indicate a clear thermodynamic preference for the trans conformer in both 2’ amides, and for the cis conformer in both methylated amides. In all cases the relative free energy values calculated using both B3LYP and oB97XD functionals agree on which conformer is preferred. However, the magnitude of the calculated relative free energies differ by a significant margin in the case of the full molecules. In these cases, values from oB97XD calculations are expected to be more accurate, because oB97XD includes empirical dispersion terms and features range separation (22% HF exchange in short range & 100% HF exchange in long range compared to B3LYP which has a 20% HF exchange throughout). Interestingly, AG values calculated using oB97XD for the conformers of the methylated amides, 1 and 12, are very similar (3.87 and 2.53 kcal mol$^{-1}$), whereas the two 2’ amides (2 and 13) have ΔG values that differ by almost 5 kcal mol$^{-1}$ depending on the method chosen.

Calculation of the dipole moments of each of these molecules provides some understanding of one of the contributing factors.
of the electronic preference. For each of the four amides analysed (1, 2, 12, and 13), the cis conformers have significantly smaller dipole moments than the trans conformer. The 3′ amides adopt the less polar geometry, while the 2′ amides adopt the more polar geometry. The difference in overall dipole moment calculated for cis and trans conformers of 1 (1.39 D) is significantly less than the change in dipole moment between the two conformers of 2 (−4.06 D). In contrast, the truncated amides, 12 and 13 show the opposite trend, the difference in dipole moments between the two conformers of 12 (4.33 D) being significantly larger than the difference between the conformers of 13 (1.39 D).

Analysis of the molecular orbital energies and topologies of the conformers of the amides shown in Scheme 2 indicates that the HOMO−1 may play an important role in the difference between the conformers of amides 1 and 2, while the analogous orbitals in amides 12 and 13 is the HOMO (Scheme 3). It appears that the topologies of the HOMOs in the cis and trans isomers of 13 are essentially identical, while the HOMOs of the cis and trans isomers of 12 differ substantially in the interaction between the methyl group and the aryl. We attribute this difference to the fact that a hydrogen substituent does not have any occupied p orbitals, while the methyl group has several occupied orbitals with p character. The HOMO of trans-12 shows a nodal plane bisecting the N-methyl bond, while the HOMO of cis-12 shows continuity of the wavefunction across the N-methyl bond and the N-aryl bond.
Scheme 2 Optimized structures of cis and trans conformers of 1, 2, and truncated forms 12 and 13 (ΔG values calculated with ωB97XD functional shown without parentheses, and those calculated with B3LYP shown in parentheses.) Dipole moments calculated with B3LYP shown under each structure.

Scheme 3 Optimized structures of cis and trans conformers of 1, 2, and truncated forms 12 and 13, with superimposed visualizations of the HOMO–1 molecular orbital, calculated using B3LYP.

This trend is also evident in the HOMO–1 orbitals in the conformers of 1 and 2. The HOMO–1 orbitals of both cis- and trans-2 are quite similar (although there is a slight twist in cis-2, which reduces the similarity somewhat), while the analogous orbitals in cis- and trans-1 possess substantially different topologies. The HOMO–1 orbital in cis-1 has a continuous lobe across the carbonyl, nitrogen, methyl- and aryl-substituents, while the HOMO–1 in trans-1 appears more localized.

Unfortunately, the energies of these orbitals do not appear to make a dramatic contribution to the energies of the conformers. The HOMOs of cis-12 and cis-13 are 2.636 kcal mol\(^{-1}\) and 2.108 kcal mol\(^{-1}\) (respectively) lower in energy than the HOMOs of their corresponding trans conformers, indicating a very modest contribution to the conformational preference. However, the HOMO–1 orbitals of cis-1 and cis-2 are 1.939 kcal mol\(^{-1}\) and 7.072 kcal mol\(^{-1}\) lower in energy than their corresponding trans isomers, indicating an opposing contribution to the observed effect. However, the sum of the energies of all occupied MOs in cis-1 is lower than the same sum in trans-1, while the opposite trend holds for 2.

3.4 A proposed explanation of the conformational preference

A\(^{1,3}\) strain between the aryl and methyl substituents is arguably the factor that tips the aryl system out of conjugation with the amide. The resulting molecular orbitals are substantially higher in energy when the amide is in the trans conformation than in the cis conformation.

A survey of over 1000 3\(^{\text{'}}\) N-alkyl-N-aryl amide crystal structures in the CCDC supports this notion (Fig. 3).\(^{38}\) These structures can be divided into four general classes based on their geometry: Class I (orthogonal-cis), Class II (coplanar-trans), Class III (orthogonal-trans), and Class IV (coplanar-cis). Most of these 3\(^{\text{'}}\) amide structures fall into Class I. All examples in which the aryl substituent is within 20° of coplanarity with the amide system also adopt the trans conformation, falling into Class II rather than Class IV, which is conspicuously empty, not even populated by formamides, for which no significant steric effects would disfavor the cis-planar geometry. In most examples of Class II structures, a small ring connects the alkyl and aryl substituents, enforcing the planar geometry.

For almost all examples of Class III 3\(^{\text{'}}\) amides, the geometry can be attributed to extreme steric repulsion between the arene and the fragment bonded to the carbonyl, as in the case of trans-N-methyl-2,2',6,6'-tetramethylbenzamidine (YEGJEY).\(^{39}\) Other 3\(^{\text{'}}\) amides of Class III appear to be heavily influenced by π-stacking interactions, as is the case for trans-N-(2,6-dimethylphenyl)-N-methylpentafluorobenzamide (DIBGAX).\(^{40}\)

A survey of N-aryl 2\(^{\text{'}}\) amides (Fig. 4) indicates that over 80% fall in to Class II, while just under 20% are in Class III.\(^{41}\) Limiting the scope to N-(2,6-disubstituted-aryl) 2\(^{\text{'}}\) amides focuses on 2\(^{\text{'}}\) amides with substantial A\(^{1,3}\) interactions that force an orthogonal orientation of the aromatic substituent (Fig. 5). Unlike the distribution of 3\(^{\text{'}}\) amides analysed in Fig. 3, there is a strong preference for Class III over Class I geometries. The only Class I 2\(^{\text{'}}\) amide appearing in this survey (14, POXWJ, Fig. 6)\(^{42}\) represents an extreme case. Also, although there are no significant hydrogen-bonding interactions to be seen in the crystal structure, the large number of polar bonds present might affect the geometry electrostatically. The few examples
of co-planar Class II amides in this survey appear to be enforced by a hydrogen-bonding interaction between the oxygen of the amide and a hydrogen bond donor ortho substituent such as a phenol (e.g., YISDEK).44

Enforcing a coplanar arrangement of the amide and aryl substituent in 3′ N-alkyl-N-aryl amides thus seems to be sufficient to favor a Class II geometry, unless overcome by extreme steric repulsion or stacking interactions. If the steric repulsion is not quite enough to favor Class III However, even when forced out of plane, 2′ amides are not predisposed to adopt a Class I geometry.

Altogether, it appears that the N-alkyl substituent in N-alkyl-N-aryl amides leads to a cis geometry because of two factors. A1,3 interactions push the N-aryl substituent out of the plane of the amide, and then the sp3 orbitals facilitate mixing of orbitals on both sides of the nitrogen in the amide. This mixing only occurs when the arene is orthogonal to the amide, and is not possible for 2′ amides, whose H atoms do not provide the necessary orbitals.

4. Conclusions

The increased lability of 3′ amide 1 with respect to its demethylated 2′ amide analog (2) is a result of the difference in conformation of two amides. The cis geometry adopted by 1 induces a substantial 15° twist of the amide bond, a very slight pyramidalization of the nitrogen atom, and a distortion of the bicyclooctyl system, which together cause the amide to be as susceptible to base-mediated methanolysis as the remote methyl ester group in the molecule.

Surveys of thousands of amide structures registered in the CCDC indicated that 1 is among the top 5% most twisted amides (excluding lactams), and constitutes an outlier among Class I amide geometries (as defined above).

Analysis of these amide structures indicates that for N-alkyl-N-aryl amides in which the aryl substituent is orthogonal to the amide system, the cis geometry is strongly favored (I > IV). However, in cases for which the aryl substituent is coplanar with the amide, the trans geometry is weakly favored, but easily overcome by steric effects or hydrogen bonding (II > III). The trans geometry is overwhelmingly favored in all cases of 2′ N-aryl amides. Even when the aryl substituent is forced out of plane, 2′ amides retain this trans geometry, adopting a Class III geometry rather than Class I, in all but one reported case.

Our comparison of the molecular orbitals of 1, 2, 12, and 13 indicates that the contribution of the sp3 orbitals on the
N-methyl substituent dramatically alters the topology of high-lying occupied molecular orbitals, as compared to the analogous orbitals of the analogous 2° amides. The orbitals most affected by the substitution also appear to be highly sensitive to the cis/trans conformation of the amide, suggesting a possible relationship. However, analysis of the orbital energies indicates that this is not a complete explanation of the phenomenon.

Despite prior reports indicating a preference for a cis geometry in N-alkyl-N-aryl amides, the magnitude of the influence of the methyl substituent on amides 1 and 2 was not anticipated. Future synthetic endeavors will have to take this effect into account. Perhaps future researchers may take advantage of this lability, and use a bulky 3° amide as a masked carboxylic acid, or a temporary linkage between two detachable species.
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29 A search of the CCDC for 3° amides in which the carbonyl C-N bond is not included in a ring (non-lactam), and excluding structures containing more than 3 atoms bonded to the nitrogen of the amide and structures with other heteroatoms on the carbons bonded to the amide nitrogen. Structure UBUMAH was thrown out of the results due to poor refinement (R > 2) and unreasonably high deviation (88°) for dimethylformamide solvent included in unit cell of a coordination compound.
38 A search of the CCDC for 3° amides in which the carbonyl C-N bond is not included in a cycle (non-lactam), the amide nitrogen is bonded to a phenyl ring and an aliphatic carbon that has at least two hydrogen atoms on it.


41 A search of the CCDC for 2° amides in which the carbonyl C–N bond is not included in a cycle (non-lactam), the amide nitrogen is bonded to a phenyl ring. Structure XUHWON was thrown out because the amide is coordinated to a Lewis acid.

42 A search of the CCDC for 2° amides in which the carbonyl C–N bond is not included in a cycle (non-lactam), the amide nitrogen is bonded to a phenyl ring which contains non-hydrogen substituents at the 2 and 6 positions. Structure XUHWON was thrown out because the amide is coordinated to a Lewis acid.
