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Newport Green (NPG) is a recognized sensor of cellular Zn$^{2+}$ that displays fluorescence enhancement upon binding to Zn$^{2+}$. While the demonstration of its modest affinity for Zn$^{2+}$, the extent of its capacity to bind cellular Zn$^{2+}$ is unclear. The present study investigated the range of reactivity of NPG$\text{ESTER}$ with cells, isolated (Zn$^2+$)-proteome, and model Zn-proteins. The sensor accumulated in pig kidney LLC-PK$_1$ cells and was slowly (>40 min) hydrolyzed to the fluorescent, acid form, NPG$\text{ACID}$. The powerful, cell permeant Zn$^{2+}$ chelator, $N,N,N',N'$-tetrakis(2-pyridylmethyl)-ethane-1,2-diamine (TPEN) failed to quench the growing fluorescence emission, indicating that Zn$^{2+}$-NPG$\text{ACID}$ had not formed and NPG$^{\text{-Zn-protein}}$ adduct species probably were not present. Furthermore, NPG$\text{ACID}$ did not bind to Zn-carboxylic anhydrase or Zn-alcohol dehydrogenase, two proteins that form adducts with some other sensors. Strikingly, most of the NPG$\text{ACID}$ that had been converted from NPG$\text{ESTER}$ was detected in the extracellular medium not the cells. As a result, after cells were incubated with NPG$\text{ESTER}$ and then Zn-pyrithione to raise the internal concentration of mobile Zn$^{2+}$, Zn$^{2+}$-NPG$\text{ACID}$ was only observed in the external medium. Residual cellular NPG$\text{ACID}$ was unable to bind extra intracellular Zn$^{2+}$ delivered by pyrithione. Proteome isolated from the sonicated cell supernatant was also unreactive with NPG$\text{ACID}$. Titration of proteome or glutathione with Zn$^{2+}$ in the presence of NPG$\text{ACID}$ revealed that NPG$\text{ACID}$ only weakly competes for mobile Zn$^{2+}$ in the presence of these cellular components. In addition, when proteomic Zn$^{2+}$ was released by a nitric oxide donor or N-ethyl-maleimide, little Zn$^{2+}$ was detected by NPG$\text{ACID}$. However, exposure to nitric oxide independently enhanced the fluorescence properties of NPG$\text{ACID}$. Thus, the biochemical properties of NPG related to cellular Zn$^{2+}$ chelation deepen the question of how it functions as a Zn$^{2+}$ sensor.

For this reaction to be quantitatively significant, the stability constant for M–S must lie within the range of concentrations of M in eqn (1). In addition, the intracellular concentration of S must be small in comparison with M, such that its presence does not perturb the equilibria embodied in reaction (1). If the stability constant of M–S or the concentration of S is too large, the sensor might significantly disturb the intracellular distribution of bound metal ion. Indeed, the sensor might react directly with M–Ligand species by ligand substitution:

$$\sum M - \text{Ligand}_i \rightleftharpoons \sum \text{Ligand}_i + M$$ (1)

$$\sum M - \text{Ligand}_i + S \rightleftharpoons \sum \text{Ligand}_i + M - S$$ (3)

In either case, the resultant intracellular fluorescence signal of M–S would not reflect the native “free” or available metal concentration.

Sensors with a wide range of stability constants have been synthesized in order to detect metal ions linked with cellular M–Ligand complexes of different affinities for M.$^{1,3,6}$ At the lower end of this spectrum of fluorophores is Newport Green, dipotassium salt (NPG$_2$), having a stability constant of $10^5$–$10^6$ (Fig. 1).$^{1,5}$ It is able...
to detect Zn$^{2+}$ in the micromolar range through an increase in its fluorescence emission at 530 nm.$^6$

A number of papers have reported the use of NPG to image cells for labile Zn$^{2+}$.$^{7-14}$ The total concentration of Zn$^{2+}$ in mammalian cells is thought to fall in the 100–500 nM range.$^{15,16}$ In contrast, that of free or labile Zn$^{2+}$ has been estimated between pM and nM.$^{15-18}$ Evidently, NPG cannot image free Zn$^{2+}$ ion in native systems because its stability constant for Zn$^{2+}$ is too small. Thus, it must either interact with a significant fraction of cellular Zn$^{2+}$ that has been mobilized from its tightly bound forms, or function like the sensors TSQ and Zinquin (ZQ) to form adduct species as in reaction (4):$^{5,19,20}$

$$NPG + \sum \text{Zn-protein} \rightleftharpoons \sum NPG - \text{Zn-protein}$$  \hspace{1cm} (4)

The present experiments were undertaken to understand better how NPG behaves in biological systems as a Zn$^{2+}$ sensor.

Materials and methods

Chemicals and reagents
Newport Green, DCF diacetate (NPG$_E$) and Newport Green dipotassium salt (NPG$_A$) were purchased from Invitrogen. Newport Green was dissolved in DMSO and stored in the dark at −20 °C. All the other chemicals and reagents were purchased from either Fisher Scientific or Sigma-Aldrich at the highest grade available.

Cell culture
The LLC-PK$_1$ (pig kidney cells) cell line was purchased from the American Tissue Culture Company (ATCC). Cells were grown in Medium 199 with HEPES modification (Sigma) supplemented with 2.2 g L$^{-1}$ NaHCO$_3$, 50 mg L$^{-1}$ penicillin G, 50 mg L$^{-1}$ streptomycin and 4% fetal calf serum (FCS). CCRF-CEM human lymphocytic leukemia cells were cultured in suspension (0.5 × 10$^6$ to 1.0 × 10$^6$ cells per mL) in RPMI 1640 medium (Sigma) supplemented with 10% FCS and the same antibiotics. The cells were incubated at 37 °C in the presence of 5% CO$_2$. Media for both cell lines was changed every 2–3 days until confluence or the maximum cell concentration was reached. Cells were subdivided either by trypsin treatment (LLC-PK$_1$) to free cells from plates or after dilution in new media (CCRF-CEM).

Fluorescence spectroscopy of LLC-PK$_1$ cells
Cultured cells were grown in twenty 100 mm culture plates until confluence was attained. Culture media was discarded and the plates washed three times with cold cholate buffer, prepared by dissolving 2.47 g Na$_2$HPO$_4$, 0.53 g NaH$_2$PO$_4$, 17.0 g NaCl and 13.33 g choline chloride in 2 L MilliQ water. Cells were then gently scraped from the plates with a rubber cell scraper and pooled in Dulbecco’s phosphate buffered saline (DPBS) (0.1 g L$^{-1}$ MgCl$_2$·6H$_2$O, 0.2 g L$^{-1}$ KCl, 0.2 g L$^{-1}$ KH$_2$PO$_4$, 8.0 g L$^{-1}$ NaCl, 1.15 g L$^{-1}$ anhydrous Na$_2$HPO$_4$). Cells were collected by centrifugation at 680 g for 5 min and resuspended in 10 mL DPBS. The cell suspension was treated with 10 μM NPG$_E$ and incubated in the dark for one hour at 25 °C. For comparison, experiments were also conducted at 37 °C. To monitor the reaction between cells and NPG$_E$, a 1 mL aliquot (ca. 2.7 × 10$^7$ cells) was placed in a cuvette. Then, over a 40 min period and using an excitation wavelength of 505 nm, emission spectra were recorded between 515 nm and 600 nm with a Hitachi F-4500 fluorescence spectrophotometer. Afterwards, 10 μM N,N,N',N'-tetraakis(2-pyridylmethyl)ethane-1,2-diamine (TPEN) was added and the fluorescence spectrum monitored for another 20 min. Finally, the reaction mixture was centrifuged using a micro-centrifuge for about 5 min at 290 g to separate the extracellular medium and the cells, followed by resuspension of the cells in 1 mL DPBS.

Cell viability assay
Trypsinized 10$^7$ LLC-PK$_1$ cells were washed three times and resuspended in DPBS. Cells were then incubated with 10 μM NPG$_E$ for an hour at 25 °C. One hour later, 10$^4$ cells were reacted with 0.4% Trypan Blue solution in 1:1 ratio and viable cells were counted immediately using a hemocytometer. The cell viability assay was also used with culture medium.

Binding of NPG to cell constituents
After one hour incubation of cells with NPG$_E$ (see above), 9 mL of cell suspension were taken through the cycle of centrifugation and resuspension in fresh DPBS three times to remove extracellular NPG. The last cell pellet was resuspended in 1 mL of cold MilliQ water. Cells were then lysed by sonication and centrifuged at 47 000 g for 20 min at 4 °C to collect the cell supernatant. The supernatant was either loaded onto an 80 cm × 0.75 cm gel filtration column of Sephadex G-75 (GE Healthcare) equilibrated with 20 mM Tris buffer (pH 7.4) at room temperature or filtered through a Millipore Centricon Centrifuge filter (3 K MW, cut off) at 4 °C to separate the high and low molecular weight fractions. During gel-filtration chromatography, the column was eluted with degassed 20 mM Tris-Cl (pH 7.4) and ninety fractions were collected. Emission spectra of all fractions were obtained as described above. The zinc content in each fraction was also detected by flame atomic absorption spectroscopy (AAS).
Isolation of proteome using Sephadex G-75 chromatography

Cells were lysed by sonication and centrifuged at 47 000 g for 20 min to collect the cell supernatant, which was then loaded onto a Sephadex G-75 column as above. The supernatant was eluted with degassed 20 mM Tris-Cl (pH 7.4) and 1 mL fractions were collected. Fractions within the high molecular weight region having absorbance at 280 nm were pooled. These pooled fractions were referred to as the proteome. The protein concentration was measured using a BioRad DC Protein Assay kit; 2.0 mg mL\(^{-1}\) bovine serum albumin (BSA) served as the standard. Initially, 25 μL of sample was mixed with 125 μL alkaline copper tartrate and 1 mL dilute Folin reagent.\(^{21,22}\) Then, 15 minutes later, the absorbance at 750 nm was recorded.

Isolation of subcellular proteomes

Subcellular proteomes were isolated as described with modifications.\(^{23}\) LLC-PK\(_1\) cells were collected and resuspended in 4 mL of pre-chilled homogenization buffer (0.25 M sucrose, 15 mM KCl, 1.5 mM MgSO\(_4\), and 10 mM Tris-Cl pH 7.4). The cell suspension was then homogenized using a pestle and centrifuged at 1000 g for 10 min at 4 °C. The pellet was retained as the nominal membrane-nuclear fraction. Supernatant was then centrifuged at 10 000 g for 15 min at 4 °C. The pellet was designated the cytosolic proteome. The membrane-nuclear and mitochondrial fractions were sonicated and centrifuged at 47 000 g for 20 min at 4 °C and the resultant supernatants were labelled as ‘membrane/nuclear and mitochondrial proteomes, respectively.

Quantification of Zn\(^{2+}\) by atomic absorption spectrophotometry

The concentration of Zn\(^{2+}\) in solutions was determined by flame AAS. A GBC model 904 instrument employed an acetylene torch to atomize samples using an 80:20 mixture of compressed air and acetylene. Zinc measurements were made with deuterium background correction. The instrument was calibrated before each run using standard Zn\(^{2+}\) solutions.

Quantification of sulphydryl groups

The concentration of sulphydryl groups was determined with Ellman’s Reagent.\(^ {24}\) Specifically, 60 μL of proteome sample was diluted with 540 μL 20 mM Tris-Cl, pH 7.4 and then incubated with 60 μL of 10 mM 5,5-dithiobis-2-nitrobenzoic acid (DTNB) for 30–60 min before the absorbance at 412 nm was obtained. An extinction coefficient of 13 600 cm\(^{-1}\) M\(^{-1}\) was used to determine the concentration of reactive thiol groups in the samples.

Results

Spectral properties of NPG and Zn–NPG

NPG\(_E\) does not fluoresce but gains its fluorescent property upon conversion to NPG\(_A\). With excitation at 505 nm, NPG\(_A\) emitted fluorescence with a wavelength maximum at 530 nm. However, the fluorescence of NPG\(_A\) and Zn–NPG\(_A\) were not linearly dependent on their concentration (Fig. 2). With increasing concentration, their fluorescence intensity increased non-linearly until a maximum value after which it declined. Moreover, the emission wavelength maximum changed with concentration. The declining fluorescence intensity of NPG\(_A\) and Zn–NPG\(_A\) at higher concentration might be due to the stacking of fluorescein, the reporter part of NPG. This behavior rules out an inner filter effect as a possible reason. Regardless of the cause, this property of NPG\(_A\) makes it difficult to quantify the actual Zn\(^{2+}\) concentration simply from the observation of fluorescence response. When titrated with Zn\(^{2+}\), NPG\(_A\) formed a 1:1 complex with its emission wavelength maximum unaltered and about twice the intensity of the free ligand (Fig. S1 and S2, ESI\(^\dagger\)). The product rapidly reacted with TPEN, a cell permeant, high affinity chelator of Zn\(^{2+}\), returning the fluorescence to that of NPG\(_A\) (Fig. S2, ESI\(^\dagger\)).

Cell viability

As the cellular experiments in this study were performed in DPBS buffer at 25 °C for an hour or so, the cell viability was determined under such conditions using Trypan Blue exclusion assay. Cell viability under experimental conditions was calculated to be 90%, whereas cells kept in culture medium were found to be almost 100% viable.

Reaction of NPG\(_E\) with LLC-PK\(_1\) cells

As a basis for addressing the question of what NPG images in cells, the reaction of NPG\(_E\) with 10\(^7\) LLC-PK\(_1\) cells in DPBS buffer was monitored by spectrofluorimetry at 25° and 37 °C. At 25 °C, fluorescence intensity increased slowly at 530 nm, reaching a maximum after 40 min, indicative at least of the conversion of some NPG\(_E\) to NPG\(_A\) catalyzed by cellular esterases (Fig. 3A). The background fluorescence of media and cells was insignificant in comparison with the fluorescence contributed by the formation of NPG\(_A\) (Fig. S3, ESI\(^\dagger\)). Considering the initial extracellular concentration of NPG\(_E\), complete hydrolysis of the sensor would result in an intensity of about 5000 arbitrary units. Since the observed, maximal fluorescence was only about 1200 units, at most about 25% of NPG\(_E\) had undergone reaction during this period. At that point, TPEN was added to quench...
the fluorescence of any Zn–NPGA that had formed. No reduction in fluorescence emission was observed over a period of 20 min, leading to the conclusion that detectable Zn–NPGA had not been produced in these cells. Since TPEN forms ternary adducts with Zn-proteins, the results also suggested that NPGA had not formed NPG–Zn-protein species that could be converted to TPEN–Zn-proteins.25

The reaction of NPG E with 10⁷ LLC-PK₁ cells at 37 °C was qualitatively similar to the one at 25 °C though as expected it proceeded at a faster rate. Moreover, treatment of cells with TPEN prior to addition of NPG E failed to modify the reaction, confirming the lack of interaction of NPG with intracellular Zn.25 Although the formation of Zn–NPGA was not supported at either temperature, it remained possible that ternary adducts of NPGA–Zn-proteins, the ester leaves the cell and undergoes ester hydrolysis.

After the reaction, the cell suspension was centrifuged to separate the extracellular medium and cells, which were then resuspended in DPBS. Surprisingly, most of the fluorescence (about 70%) was found in the external medium (Fig. 3B), and it could not be quenched by the addition of 10 µM TPEN for 5 min (Fig. S4, ESI†). To investigate this surprising result, 2.7 × 10⁷ cells per mL were incubated with 10 µM NPG E in DPBS at 25 °C for 40 min followed by fluorescence emission analysis. The suspension (1) was centrifuged to produce extracellular supernatant (a), and the cells (2) were then resuspended in 1 mL of NPG E-free medium for 20 min. After a fluorescence measurement, supernatant (b) was isolated. The relative fluorescence emission properties of both suspensions and supernatants were determined. According to Fig. 4, most of the fluorescence was found in the extracellular part of the first cell suspension (a) and a significant fraction of the external medium of the second cell suspension (b). Since cell suspension 2 began its incubation with only intracellular NPG E and perhaps some NPG B, the fact that fluorescence made its way into the external medium demonstrated that NPGA underwent efflux from the cells during the 20 min period.

We considered the possibility that during the initial isolation of cells via scraping some cells were damaged resulting in progressive release of intracellular esterase activity and/or NPG into the extracellular medium. However, we repeated this experiment with CCRF-CEM cells, a cell line that grows in suspension culture, and obtained the same result (Fig. S5, ESI†), ruling out the possibility that scraping had resulted in the appearance of NPGA in the extracellular medium. These findings are consistent with two hypotheses, either (i) NPG E is accumulated by cells and undergoes internal esterase hydrolysis to NPGA which is then transported out of the cells or (ii) NPG E both moves into cells and is hydrolyzed on the extracellular surface of the cell. In the absence of extracellular NPG B, the ester leaves the cell and undergoes ester hydrolysis. In either case, the interaction of NPG with cells is considerably more complicated than anticipated and results in most of the detectable sensor residing in the external medium.

Location of NPGA among cell constituents
To characterize the intracellular properties of NPGA, cells isolated after one hour incubation with NPG E were lysed and the supernatant subjected to Sephadex G-75 chromatography.

Fig. 3 Reaction of LLC-PK₁ cells with NPG E. (A) 10⁷ LLC-PK₁ cells suspended in 1 mL DPBS were treated with 10 µM NPG E for 40 min at 25 °C. Subsequently, 10 µM TPEN was added for another 20 min. The reaction was repeated at 37 °C. In the third case, 10⁷ LLC-PK₁ cells were preincubated with 10 µM TPEN for 20 min at 25 °C followed by the treatment with 10 µM NPG E for another 40 min. (B) The reaction mixture, after 1 h, was centrifuged at 290 g and the extracellular medium separated from the cells. The cells were resuspended in 1 mL DPBS. Error bar represents standard error for three measurements. The data were corrected for the background fluorescence signals of extracellular medium and of the cells without the dye (Fig. S3, ESI†).

Fig. 4 Efflux of NPGA from cells. 2.7 × 10⁷ LLC-PK₁ cells were reacted with 10 µM NPG E for 40 min. The reaction mixture was centrifuged to separate the extracellular medium and cells. The cells resuspended in 1 mL DPBS were incubated for another 20 min and then centrifuged again to separate the extracellular medium and cells, which were then resuspended in 1 mL DPBS.
Proteome and low molecular weight fractions were assayed for Zn\(^{2+}\) and fluorescence. Zn\(^{2+}\) was associated with the high molecular weight proteome fractions, whereas fluorescent NPGA emerged from the column without bound Zn\(^{2+}\) well beyond the total volume of the column indicative of a favorable interaction between the Sephadex beads and NPGA (Fig. S6, ESI†). Possibly, the chromatographic separation altered the original supernatant distribution. To investigate this possibility, proteome and low molecular weight species were separated using the Centricon filtration system and a 3 kDa cut-off filter. The majority of NPGA (about 85% of total fluorescence intensity) was retained by the filter during centrifugation along with the proteome (Fig. S7, ESI†). Thus, NPGA was weakly associated with protein in the supernatant but was dissociated from the proteome during Sephadex chromatography.

**Reaction of NPGA with isolated proteome**

In order to gain a more detailed understanding of the reaction of NPG with cells, an analogous set of experiments was conducted using isolated supernatant or proteome in place of whole cells. NPG \(_E\) was slowly hydrolyzed to NPGA by supernatant or proteome, indicative of the presence of proteomic esterases that catalyze this conversion (Fig. 5). As in cells, much of the NPGA (84% of total fluorescence intensity) that was generated became associated with the proteome as shown by centrifugal filtration. The addition of TPEN failed to quench the proteome-associated fluorescence (Fig. S8, ESI†), consistent with the conclusion that NPGA–Zn-proteins did not form during the enhancement of fluorescence.

**Reactions of NPG with model Zn-proteins**

The cellular and proteomic experiments with NPG indicate that the sensor does not successfully compete for native, proteomic Zn\(^{2+}\). But they do not exclude the possibility that NPG may form ternary adducts with Zn-proteins that are insensitive to the presence of TPEN. To address this issue, NPG \(_E\) was reacted with two model Zn-proteins, alcohol dehydrogenase (Zn-ADH) and carbonic anhydrase (Zn-CA), that are known to form TPEN-sensitive ternary adducts with the Zn\(^{2+}\) sensors, TSQ and/or Zinquin.\(^5,16\) Upon incubation of NPG \(_E\) with Zn-ADH, the fluorescence gradually increased with time. However, TPEN was unable to quench the fluorescence. Therefore, the fluorescence increase was indicative of the capacity of ADH to act upon NPG \(_E\) as an esterase not the ability of NPG to associate with one of ADH’s bound Zn\(^{2+}\) ions. ZQ removes 1 Zn\(^{2+}\) from this protein as Zn(ZQ)\(_2\) and binds to the other to form a fluorescent adduct.\(^3\) Both are quenched by TPEN as it acquires Zn\(^{2+}\) from Zn(ZQ)\(_2\) and displaces ZQ from ZQ–Zn-ADH to form TPEN–Zn-ADH.\(^5\) Together with this information, the current result strongly implies that NPG neither binds to nor extracts Zn\(^{2+}\) from this protein. Similar results were obtained with Zn-CA; the protein has NPG \(_E\) esterase activity but is otherwise unreactive with NPG. Nevertheless, the majority of NPGA did associate with Zn\(_2\)-ADH and Zn-CA according to Centricon filtration analysis.

**Stability of Zn–NPGA with proteome**

It was evident that NPGA cannot compete with native, protein bound Zn\(^{2+}\) to form Zn–NPGA. We then inquired whether it can compete for adventitiously bound Zn\(^{2+}\) that might be produced under certain physiological or pathological conditions. Initially, 10 \(\mu\)M Zn–NPGA was titrated with proteome to test whether this species exhibits stability in the presence of protein competitors for Zn\(^{2+}\). Fig. 6A shows that addition of proteome up to about 50 \(\mu\)g protein per mL (0–170 nM Zn-protein) reduced the starting fluorescence intensity of Zn–NPGA to that of an equivalent concentration of NPGA. Thus, NPGA does not compete favorably with proteome for Zn\(^{2+}\).

The capability of NPGA to compete with proteome for Zn\(^{2+}\) was probed another way: 4.2 mg mL\(^{-1}\) proteome containing 7 \(\mu\)M Zn-proteins was mixed with 10 \(\mu\)M NPGA initially in 20 mM Tris (pH 7.4) and then titrated with Zn\(^{2+}\) (Fig. 6B). NPGA fluorescence did not increase until Zn\(^{2+}\) reached 30–35 \(\mu\)M or about 5× the total proteomic concentration of Zn\(^{2+}\). At higher concentrations of Zn\(^{2+}\), fluorescence emission at 535 nm increased; but even at 100 \(\mu\)M Zn\(^{2+}\), stoichiometric Zn–NPGA had not been generated, showing that proteomic sites continued to partially sequester Zn\(^{2+}\) even at these large concentrations. Both experiments demonstrated that under the described conditions, NPGA has little, if any, capacity to compete with native proteome for Zn\(^{2+}\) that might be liberated from cellular binding sites during physiological or pathological processes.

We considered the possibility that proteins with substantial zinc buffering capacity might be distributed among some organelles and not able to interfere with NPGA’s ability to image free or loosely bound Zn\(^{2+}\) in other compartments. To investigate this possibility, 1.7 mg mL\(^{-1}\) of cytosolic proteome (ca. 2.8 \(\mu\)M Zn\(^{2+}\)), isolated by gentle homogenization of cells followed by centrifugation at 100 000 \(\times\) g, was mixed with 10 \(\mu\)M NPGA and subsequently titrated with Zn\(^{2+}\) (Fig. 6C). A detectable increase of fluorescence was not observed until the added Zn\(^{2+}\) reached about 10 \(\mu\)M. Beyond that, NPGA partially competed with cytosolic proteome for additional Zn\(^{2+}\). This result was in
qualitative agreement with the findings with whole proteome in the previous experiment and indicated that a subset of proteins within the cytosolic proteome possesses substantially higher affinity for free or loosely bound Zn\textsuperscript{2+} than does NPG A. Similar results were obtained with membrane/nuclear and mitochondrial proteomes (Fig. 6C). All of the compartments displayed substantial Zn\textsuperscript{2+} buffering capacity.

Stability of Zn–NPG\textsubscript{A} with glutathione

The stability of Zn–NPG\textsubscript{A} was also studied in the presence of glutathione (GSH). GSH exists in the cells in mM concentration and displays modest affinity for Zn\textsuperscript{2+} (10\textsuperscript{4.2} (Zn-SG) and 10\textsuperscript{5.7} (Zn(SG)\textsubscript{2}) pH 7.2, 25 °C).\textsuperscript{26} As little as 30 \textmu M of GSH decreased the fluorescence intensity of 10 \textmu M Zn–NPG\textsubscript{A} by almost 80% (Fig. 7A). The competition of glutathione for Zn\textsuperscript{2+} with NPG\textsubscript{A} was also confirmed by the titration of NPG\textsubscript{A} with Zn\textsuperscript{2+} in the presence of glutathione (Fig. 7B).

Response of intracellular NPG\textsubscript{A} to added Zn\textsuperscript{2+}

The stability of Zn–NPG\textsubscript{A} within the cellular environment was also investigated. To do so, 1.5 \times 10\textsuperscript{7} LLC-PK\textsubscript{1} cells were reacted with 10 \textmu M NPG\textsubscript{A} for 30 min followed by washing to remove any remaining extracellular medium. The cells resuspended in DPBS were subsequently treated for 20 min with a pre-incubated mixture of 10 \textmu M ZnCl\textsubscript{2} and 2 \textmu M pyrithione, which served as an ionophore to conduct Zn\textsuperscript{2+} into cells. During this time the internal concentration of Zn\textsuperscript{2+} increased from 1.8 nmoles per 10\textsuperscript{7} cells to 2.6 nmoles per 10\textsuperscript{7} cells, representing 15% of the added Zn\textsuperscript{2+}. An increase of fluorescence (173% of control) was observed after the addition of Zn-pyrithione (Fig. 8). However, 10 \textmu M EDTA rapidly reversed the fluorescence to 104% of control.
suggesting that the elevation in fluorescence was mostly due to the binding of extracellular Zn\(^{2+}\) to NPGA that had diffused out of the cells. The inability of 10 \(\mu\text{M}\) TPEN to quench the remaining fluorescence ruled out the possibility that the residual 4% increase in fluorescence originated from binding of added Zn\(^{2+}\) to intracellular NPGA. Instead, it probably arose from the continuing hydrolysis of intracellular non-fluorescent NPG\(_E\) to fluorescent NPGA. Thus, although the intracellular Zn\(^{2+}\) concentration had increased almost 50%, no Zn–NPGA was observed in the cells. Therefore, the results indicated that the intracellular NPGA did not compete for adventitious Zn\(^{2+}\) within the cellular environment.

**Reaction of proteome with thiol reactive agents NO and NEM in the presence of NPGA**

To further examine if NPGA can image Zn\(^{2+}\) released from Zn-proteins, 3.6 mg mL\(^{-1}\) proteome containing 12 \(\mu\text{M}\) Zn-proteins was reacted with 200 \(\mu\text{M}\) of the nitric oxide donor, diethylamine NONOate (DEA-NO) in the presence of 10 \(\mu\text{M}\) NPGA\(_E\). The NO produced from the breakdown of DEA-NO can react with sulfhydryl groups in the Zn binding sites of the Zn-proteins resulting in the release of Zn\(^{2+}\).\(^{27}\) A 17% increase of fluorescence upon addition of DEA-NO was observed, which initially pointed to the release of Zn\(^{2+}\) and the subsequent formation of Zn–NPGA\(_E\) (Fig. 9A). However, the observation that TPEN did not reduce the fluorescence undermined this possibility. As a control, NPGA was reacted with DEA-NO to see if the sensor, itself, underwent reaction with DEA-NO. A 50% increase of fluorescence was observed upon its reaction with DEA-NO for 2 hours (Fig. 9A). Apparently, NPGA\(_E\) directly reacts with NO released from DEA-NO, resulting in an enhancement of fluorescence.

NPGA\(_E\)'s weak ability to image adventitious Zn\(^{2+}\) released from the Zn-proteome was further verified with the use of another thiol binding reagent, N-ethylmaleimide (NEM). 5.14 mg mL\(^{-1}\) proteome containing 17 \(\mu\text{M}\) Zn-proteins was reacted with NEM. A total of 500 \(\mu\text{M}\) NEM reduced the proteomic thiol concentration by almost 50% and caused about a 12% increase of fluorescence of 10 \(\mu\text{M}\) NPGA\(_A\), maximally equivalent to the formation of about 1 \(\mu\text{M}\) Zn–NPGA\(_A\) (Fig. 9B). The addition of 30 \(\mu\text{M}\) TPEN for 30 min reduced the fluorescence to 109% of control. Thus, no more than 0.3 \(\mu\text{M}\) Zn–NPGA\(_A\) had actually formed. In contrast, substituting 20 \(\mu\text{M}\) Zinquin for NPGA\(_A\), the higher affinity zinc sensor displayed a 47% increase of fluorescence after addition of only 100 \(\mu\text{M}\) NEM to the proteome. In the process, 25% of the proteomic zinc (4.2 \(\mu\text{M}\)) was labilized by NEM, much of it becoming bound to Zinquin.\(^{20}\)

**Discussion**

NPGA is a sensor that has been employed in a number of studies to image Zn\(^{2+}\).\(^{7-14}\) Within the general framework for understanding the behavior of metal sensors laid out in the Introduction, the use of NPGA immediately raises questions. Its small stability constant for Zn\(^{2+}\) means that it has many potential competitors for available Zn\(^{2+}\) within the cell. Moreover, the
results of this study indicate that the use of NPG is complicated by several of its chemical properties.

In typical experiments, cells were initially incubated with NPG_{E}, a charge neutral form of the sensor employed to facilitate its uptake. Within cells NPG_{E} was converted to the negative charged NPG_{A}, which has been thought to undergo little or no efflux from cells. The conversion of NPG_{E} to NPG_{A} also transformed it into a molecule that emits fluorescence with a wavelength maximum of 530 nm (Fig. 3A). Trapped within cells, NPG_{A} is supposed to carry out its role of binding Zn^{2+} with a further enhancement in fluorescence.

The hydrolysis of NPG_{E} to NPG_{A} by esterases in LLC-PK_{1} cells was slow (Fig. 3A). Thus, the kinetics of NPG_{A} formation may well overlap with putative reactions involving the mobilization of Zn^{2+} that are to be monitored by the formation of Zn–NPG{A}. Moreover, according to in vitro titration results, NPG_{A}'s Zn^{2+}-dependent enhancement of fluorescence only doubled the signal of NPG_{A} and did not change its emission spectrum. Thus, at best, Zn^{2+} binding is signaled by an increase in background fluorescence. Because of these characteristics, it is imperative to test for the formation of Zn–NPG{A} by adding TPEN, a powerful, cell-permeant chelator of Zn^{2+} that readily and rapidly undergoes ligand substitution with Zn–NPG_{A}.

In LLC-PK_{1} cells, the presence of TPEN did not alter the fluorescence emission of cells treated with NPG_{E} (Fig. 3A). Therefore, it was concluded that NPG_{A} did not compete effectively for Zn^{2+} in its native cellular distribution to form Zn–NPG_{A}.

In other studies, TPEN readily quenched the fluorescence acquired by cells exposed to TSQ or Zinquin.\textsuperscript{5,20,25} Those sensors form ternary adducts with Zn-proteins as their mechanical process of fluorescence enhancement. Detailed experiments showed that TPEN both obtained Zn^{2+} from Zn-proteins and replaced TSQ or ZQ in sensor-Zn-protein adducts as means to quench fluorescence.\textsuperscript{25} On the basis of those reports, it was also concluded that the invariance of NPG_{A}-related fluorescence in the presence of TPEN indicated that NPG_{A} probably did not form adduct species with Zn-proteins. Similar results were seen in the reaction of NPG_{E} with Zn-alcohol dehydrogenase and Zn-carbonic anhydrase. The fluorescence increased as NPG_{E} was hydrolyzed to NPG_{A} by the enzymes, but the addition of TPEN failed to decrease the fluorescence intensity.

A peculiarity of the reaction of cells with NPG_{E} was that much of the NPG_{A} generated upon hydrolysis of NPG_{E} was found in the extracellular medium at either 25° or 37 °C. Data in Fig. 3B and 4 demonstrated that intracellular NPG underwent efflux either as NPG_{E} or NPG_{A} and appeared in the extracellular compartment as NPG_{A}. To the extent that such reactions occur, fluorescence enhancement attributable to the formation of Zn–NPG_{A} might involve Zn^{2+} outside the cell.

Features of the reaction of NPG_{E} with cellular components were explored further in experiments that incubated NPG_{E} and NPG_{A} with LLC-PK_{1} cell proteome. As with intact cells, the proteome was able to hydrolyze NPG_{E} to NPG_{A} (Fig. 5). However, subsequent incubation with TPEN exerted no effect on the observed fluorescence, consistent with the conclusion that NPG_{A} neither formed Zn–NPG_{A} nor NPG_{A}–Zn-protein adducts during the reaction. With both cells and proteome, the majority of NPG_{A} associated with the proteome possibly through interactions of the fluorescein ring with proteins. The interaction of NPG_{A} with proteins was further supported by its binding to the model proteins alcohol dehydrogenase and carbonic anhydrase. In both cases, most of the fluorescence was found associated with the protease-bound Zn^{2+}. A recent paper also reported the association of NPG with proteomic fractions after gel filtration.\textsuperscript{28}

The use of NPG as a Zn^{2+} sensor is rendered problematic by its small stability constant. Should a physiological or pathological process liberate Zn^{2+} in a concentration range (\textmuM) that otherwise might make it accessible to reaction with NPG, numerous proteomic sites would also compete for binding.\textsuperscript{15,29} Thus, according to the results shown in Fig. 6B, about 30–35 \textmuM Zn^{2+} could be added to proteome containing 7\textmuM Zn^{2+} in the form of native Zn-proteins in the presence of 10 \textmuM NPG_{A} before any Zn–NPG_{A} formed. Likewise, upon addition of 10 \textmuM Zn–NPG_{A} to the same concentration of proteome as above, the fluorescence due to the Zn^{2+} complex was totally quenched as Zn^{2+} was transferred to the proteome (data not shown). According to the first experiment, Zn^{2+} at about 5 \times the total concentration of the Zn-proteome must be present before proteomic binding sites for adventitious Zn^{2+} are sufficiently titrated to permit the appearance of Zn–NPG_{A}. Similar zinc buffering capacity was obtained for the cytosolic, mitochondrial, and nuclear proteomes (Fig. 6C).

Two experiments with thiol reactive reagents tested the primary hypothesis that NPG_{A} cannot compete with proteome for Zn^{2+} that is mobilized from Zn-proteome. After Zn-proteome was reacted with the NO donor, DEA-NO, NPG_{A} did not detect any accessible Zn^{2+} (Fig. 9A). It was apparent that components of the proteome bind the newly labilized Zn^{2+} with higher affinity than NPG_{A}, further deepening the question whether NPG can serve as a reliable intracellular sensor of Zn^{2+}.

A number of studies have employed NPG_{A} to image intracellular Zn^{2+} released through induction by NO and have reported fluorescence enhancement attributed to the formation of Zn–NPG_{A}\textsuperscript{30,31} Ironically, in the absence of Zn^{2+}, NPG_{A} images NO with an enhancement of fluorescence emission and an unchanged emission maximum (Fig. 9A). Taken together, the present findings encourage a re-examination of the studies that have detected Zn^{2+} released in cells by NO donors.

Results with another thiol binding reagent, NEM, also fail to support the imaging of Zn^{2+} liberated from Zn-proteome by NPG_{A} (Fig. 9B). Despite the reduction of sulfhydryl groups by 50% upon incubation of proteome with 500 \textmuM NEM, only a non-significant enhancement of fluorescence intensity corresponding to about 0.3 \textmuM Zn–NPG_{A} was sensitive to the presence of TPEN. By contrast, Zinquin, a sensor with higher stability constant for Zn^{2+}, binds proteomic Zn^{2+} mobilized by 100 \textmuM NEM as Zinquin (ZQ)_{2}.\textsuperscript{29} It appears that components of the proteome bind the newly labilized Zn^{2+} with higher affinity than NPG_{A}, further underscoring the problems with using NPG as an intracellular sensor of Zn^{2+}.

Besides proteome, the other major source of cellular metal binding sites is glutathione.\textsuperscript{29,32} As with proteome, Zn–NPG_{A}
was unstable in the presence of GSH in the micromolar concentration range (Fig. 7). Since, glutathione exists in millimolar concentrations within cells, it is evident that GSH as well as proteome constitutes a powerful competitor of NPGA for mobile Zn\(^{2+}\).

In vivo findings were consistent with these in vitro results. Cells pre-incubated with NPGA did respond to the addition of Zn\(^{2+}\) and the ionophore, pyrithione with a prompt increase in fluorescence (Fig. 8). However, most of the increase occurred in the extracellular medium where negatively charged EDTA competed with Zn–NPGA and diminished the observed fluorescence. This result was suggestive of the conversion of NPGA to NPGA within the cells followed by its efflux in agreement with the results shown in Fig. 4. The fluorescence that remained from NPGA within the cells was not impacted by the further addition of TPEN, even though pyrithione had shuttled in an amount of Zn\(^{2+}\) equal to 50% of the total proteomic concentration of Zn\(^{2+}\). It was evident that intracellular Zn\(^{2+}\) competitors had successfully prevented the formation of Zn–NPGA. Thus, it is unclear under what conditions NPGA might be able to image intracellular Zn\(^{2+}\) that has been mobilized by some process. According to proteomic titrations of Zn\(^{2+}\) in Fig. 6, more than the total Zn\(^{2+}\) content of the entire proteome would be required to detect Zn–NPGA. Possibly, much larger concentrations of intracellular NPGA would need to be present than could be achieved in the current work in order to compete for Zn\(^{2+}\) in the presence of proteome and GSH.

Under some extreme conditions, such as at very large concentration of added Zn\(^{2+}\), NPGA can apparently form Zn\(^{2+}\)-NPGA with an enhancement of fluorescence emission.\(^7\)\(^8\)\(^33\)\(^35\) This may be expected either because extracellular Zn\(^{2+}\) is being imaged by NPGA released from cells or because after the adventurous cellular binding sites for zinc are saturated with added Zn\(^{2+}\), NPGA binds excess Zn\(^{2+}\) with an accompanying increase in fluorescence intensity.

Other studies using NPGA have reported stimulated Zn\(^{2+}\) release from different intracellular stores.\(^10\)\(^11\)\(^13\) According to our study, in the presence of adventitious proteome binding sites and high concentration of glutathione, NPGA is not likely to bind the released Zn\(^{2+}\) unless very large concentrations of extracellular Zn\(^{2+}\) are involved. Perhaps, the reported studies are dealing with the regions of the cells with low zinc buffering capacity or the experimental conditions induce the release of very large concentrations of Zn\(^{2+}\) that saturate the adventitious zinc binding sites before binding to NPGA. However, our inquiry into subcellular Zn\(^{2+}\) buffering capacity did not find such organelle compartments (Fig. 6).

A possibility is to use NPGA as an extracellular sensor of Zn\(^{2+}\) where Zn\(^{2+}\) buffering might be lower. Here, too, because of its modest stability constant for binding Zn\(^{2+}\), the ability of NPGA to serve as a sensor depends on the metal chelation capacity of the external medium. For example, if its composition were similar to that of plasma, a previous study of the reactivity of various Zn-bis-thiosemicarbazones with plasma demonstrated that Zn-complexes with apparent stability constants at pH 7 of 10\(^8\) are not stable.\(^36\)

## Conclusion

A number of papers have reported positive results when using NPG as a Zn\(^{2+}\) sensor.\(^7\)\(^14\) In contrast, the present work questions the stability of Zn–NPGA in cell compartments. Because of its low stability constant for Zn\(^{2+}\), NPGA must compete with a host of adventitious proteomic sites possessing higher affinity for Zn\(^{2+}\). Therefore, these results bring into question what pools of Zn\(^{2+}\) inside or outside cells and tissues may be imaged by NPG.
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