A luminescent cationic metal–organic framework featuring [Cu–pyrazolate]₃ units for volatile organic compound sensing†
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A new metal–organic framework (MOF) has garnered a lot of recent attention due to their many applications. Of particular interest to us is the ability of MOFs to perform as luminescent chemical sensors for volatile organic compounds (VOCs). While most current industrial VOC sensing is done via photo ionization detection (PID) or other solid state detection methods, sensing using supramolecular structures has been known for some time. Low energy, reliable VOC sensors with high sensitivity are desirable industrially to allow for the rapid detection of hazardous compounds (e.g., solvent vapours). While there are many recent examples, most known luminescent MOF sensors for VOCs rely on ligand-based or lanthana-sotope charge transfer (CT) remains relatively unexplored in MOFs. Some known examples include Lin’s Ru₁₆-bipyridine MOF and Mączka’s CuIII MOF.

The trinuclear Cu₁–pyrazolate (Cu₁P₃)₃ chromophore caught our attention due to its proven sensing ability in homogeneous solution and the active role of the Cu₁ centres in luminescence. Simple luminescent Cu₁P₃ complexes consisting of prisms, cages and oligomers are known. To date, there are only six reported MOF types that utilize the Cu₁P₃ building block among which those with the pyridyl (Py) functional group on the ligand are most relevant to sensing. However, only thermostimism and a “chemopalette effect” (i.e., doping the MOF with different coordinating solvents during synthesis) were reported for the known Py-functionalized Cu₁P₃-containing MOFs. All the known Cu₁P₃-containing MOFs have charge neutral skeletons with no reported role of the species in the pores impacting MOF luminescent behaviour. Herein we report an MOF synthesized from a new ligand, 4-(4-(3,5-dimethyl-1H-pyrazol-4-yl)phenyl)pyridine (HL), which features the Cu₁P₃ chromophore and a cationic skeleton with [CuBr₃]⁻ counterions in the pores. Preliminary experiments demonstrate the sensing ability of this material towards VOCs.

Yellow crystals of [Cu₄L₈Br₂][CuBr₂] (1) can be obtained in moderate yields by heating CuBr and HL in dry, degassed DMF under an N₂ atmosphere at 135 °C for four days (Scheme 1). The cationic charge of the framework is balanced by [CuBr₂]⁻ anions residing in the pores. Two building blocks can be found in the skeleton of 1: (a) trinuclear [Cu₃L₃] units, similar to the known [Cu₃P₃] compounds and (b) trigonal bipyramidal shaped [CuBr₃]⁺ clusters with the two bromides occupying the apical positions (Fig. 1a and b). The repeating unit of the trinuclear skeleton of 1 can then be described as a trigonal bipyramidal shaped [CuBr₃]⁺ cluster sandwiched in between two staggered trigonal [Cu₃L₃] layers, with each apical bromide of the [CuBr₃]⁺ cluster interacting with all three Cu₁ centres of one [Cu₃L₃] unit (Fig. 1b and c). Each repeating unit in Fig. 1b

Scheme 1 Synthesis of MOF 1.
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the water baseline indicating that the MOF may be a potential sensor for esters, alkanes and aromatics. Acetone shows a slight increase (20%), while acetonitrile, tetrahydrofuran (THF); and methanol all have negligible impact on luminescence intensity (Fig. S19†). Diethyl ether and chloroform both cause notable turn-off with a luminescence intensity decrease of more than 25%. Notably, ethyl acetate vapour causes the most significant luminescence intensity enhancement and the quantum yield of the ethyl acetate-treated MOF is 24.3%. Such a high quantum yield allows us to measure the luminescence lifetime accurately. Multiple lifetimes were observed (Tables S4 and S5†) with the longest being 2.6 μs, which is comparable to other MOFs containing Cu\(^{II}\)Pz\(_2\) units and is indicative of metal-based phosphorescence.\(^{32–34}\) Lastly, some solvochrome-mism is observed but the overall effect is minimal, i.e., all luminescent maxima are within the range of 555 to 585 nm (Table S2†).

In order to probe whether the key luminescent changes are the results of MOF structural changes or surface effects, a structure–activity study was conducted. Since ethyl acetate yielded the highest luminescent enhancement, the structures of MOF samples treated with ethyl acetate and water vapour were examined via PXRD experiments. Treatment of as-synthesized 1 with water vapour shows a significant alteration of the PXRD pattern indicative of a structural change. The subsequent treatment with ethyl acetate vapour results in the restoration of the PXRD pattern of as-synthesized 1. Sequential exposures of as-synthesized 1 to water and ethyl acetate vapours yields reversible structural changes as evidenced by the cycling of PXRD patterns (Fig. S10†). All other solvents (except for ether) cause a similar structural change as ethyl acetate (Fig. S11–S18†).

Based on the studies of similar compounds in the literature,\(^{32}\) the luminescence of 1 likely originates from the metal-to-metal charge transfer \(^3\)[MMCT], metal-to-ligand charge transfer \(^3\)[MLCT], and halide-to-ligand charge transfer \(^3\)[XLCT] excited states. The \(^3\)[MMCT] excited state is likely the main contributor, evidenced by the broad and featureless emission spectrum of 1. All three types of excited states are well-known contributors for related luminescent Cu(I) complexes.\(^{15,20,32,35}\)

Coordinating solvents (such as water, acetonitrile, alcohols, and THF) are known to quench the luminescence of Cu(I) compounds via exciplex formation.\(^{36,37}\) Presumably, the more substantial turn-on caused by water-immiscible solvents (i.e., EtOAc, pentane, benzene) is due to their non-coordinating nature and ability to displace the quencher water from the pores. Conversely, although the water-miscible solvents (i.e., acetonitrile, THF, acetone, and methanol) may also displace water molecules in the pore as shown by the PXRD experiments, which should cause luminescence enhancement, these solvents can quench luminescence via exciplex formation due to their coordinating nature. Therefore, most of these solvents cause no significant luminescence intensity change overall. Only the less coordinating acetone gives a 25% turn-on compared to water-treated 1.

Since chloroform is able to displace water and halocarbons are well known to quench the transition metal-based luminescence, the water baseline indicating that the MOF may be a potential sensor for esters, alkanes and aromatics. Acetone shows a slight increase (20%), while acetonitrile, tetrahydrofuran (THF); and methanol all have negligible impact on luminescence intensity (Fig. S19†). Diethyl ether and chloroform both cause notable turn-off with a luminescence intensity decrease of more than 25%. Notably, ethyl acetate vapour causes the most significant luminescence intensity enhancement and the quantum yield of the ethyl acetate-treated MOF is 24.3%. Such a high quantum yield allows us to measure the luminescence lifetime accurately. Multiple lifetimes were observed (Tables S4 and S5†) with the longest being 2.6 μs, which is comparable to other MOFs containing Cu\(^{II}\)Pz\(_2\) units and is indicative of metal-based phosphorescence.\(^{32–34}\) Lastly, some solvochrome-mism is observed but the overall effect is minimal, i.e., all luminescent maxima are within the range of 555 to 585 nm (Table S2†).

In order to probe whether the key luminescent changes are the results of MOF structural changes or surface effects, a structure–activity study was conducted. Since ethyl acetate yielded the highest luminescent enhancement, the structures of MOF samples treated with ethyl acetate and water vapour were examined via PXRD experiments. Treatment of as-synthesized 1 with water vapour shows a significant alteration of the PXRD pattern indicative of a structural change. The subsequent treatment with ethyl acetate vapour results in the restoration of the PXRD pattern of as-synthesized 1. Sequential exposures of as-synthesized 1 to water and ethyl acetate vapours yields reversible structural changes as evidenced by the cycling of PXRD patterns (Fig. S10†). All other solvents (except for ether) cause a similar structural change as ethyl acetate (Fig. S11–S18†).

Based on the studies of similar compounds in the literature,\(^{32}\) the luminescence of 1 likely originates from the metal-to-metal charge transfer \(^3\)[MMCT], metal-to-ligand charge transfer \(^3\)[MLCT], and halide-to-ligand charge transfer \(^3\)[XLCT] excited states. The \(^3\)[MMCT] excited state is likely the main contributor, evidenced by the broad and featureless emission spectrum of 1. All three types of excited states are well-known contributors for related luminescent Cu(I) complexes.\(^{15,20,32,35}\)

Coordinating solvents (such as water, acetonitrile, alcohols, and THF) are known to quench the luminescence of Cu(I) compounds via exciplex formation.\(^{36,37}\) Presumably, the more substantial turn-on caused by water-immiscible solvents (i.e., EtOAc, pentane, benzene) is due to their non-coordinating nature and ability to displace the quencher water from the pores. Conversely, although the water-miscible solvents (i.e., acetonitrile, THF, acetone, and methanol) may also displace water molecules in the pore as shown by the PXRD experiments, which should cause luminescence enhancement, these solvents can quench luminescence via exciplex formation due to their coordinating nature. Therefore, most of these solvents cause no significant luminescence intensity change overall. Only the less coordinating acetone gives a 25% turn-on compared to water-treated 1.

Since chloroform is able to displace water and halocarbons are well known to quench the transition metal-based lumine-
sensing through electron transfer, the interactions between chloroform and the luminescent sites within the pores likely account for the observed luminescence intensity decrease. On the other hand, ether causes no structural change to the water-treated 1 (Fig. S18†), implying that the luminescence quenching caused by ether is a surface effect.

In summary, we have prepared a new luminescent Cu(i)–pyrazolate MOF 1, featuring a [Cu₃P₂]₃ triangle and Cu(i) cluster building units and a cationic skeleton. The exposure of water-treated 1 to the vapours of water-immiscible VOCs causes luminescence signal modulations, which can potentially be used for the detection of these VOCs. Preliminary studies show that most VOCs cause structural changes to the water-treated 1, except for ether. Further investigations into the origin of the luminescence signal modulation and isoreticular MOFs of the functionalized HL ligand for selective VOC sensing are being carried out in our laboratory.
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