Spontaneous reaction between an uncharged lithium iron silicate cathode and a LiPF_{6}-based electrolyte
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The reaction between an uncharged Li_{2}FeSiO_{4} (LFS) cathode and a LiPF_{6}-EC/DMC electrolyte is revealed by in situ XANES in coin cells. This study shows clear evidence of delithiation and iron oxidation in LFS prior to cycling. Subsequent cycling appears to partially restore the original lithiation level, an observation that needs to be taken into consideration in future LFS development work.

Today, lithium-ion batteries (LIBs) are ubiquitous; they can be found everywhere from our portable electronics, to the automotive and renewable energy sector. 1,2 Indeed, LIBs have been a crucial enabling component in the development of many of the transformative technologies we have seen emerging since the early 2000s, e.g. smart phones, electric cars, etc. In order to improve the current generation of LIBs it is necessary to develop low cost, safe, and high energy density cathode materials. One material class that has been garnering great interest in this respect is that of Li_{2}M_{x}SiO_{4} (LMS, M = Fe, Mn) silicates, an olivine structure that has a theoretical charge capacity of 330 mA h g^{-1},3,4 double of that of lithium iron phosphate. 5

The challenges currently facing the development of LFS (M = Fe) cathodes are not trivial. The charge compensation mechanism for Li_{1-x}FeSiO_{4}, 0 < x < 1, is still not fully understood, 6,7 and the extraction of more than one lithium results in capacity loss, attributed to Fe–Li anti-site defects and phase transitions induced during charging–discharging. 6,8 Meanwhile, the compatibility between the electrolyte and the cathode may be a cause of concern as well, 5,10 especially in this case because the fluorine in the typical, most popular LiPF_{6}-based electrolyte could react with the Si species in LFS. 11–13 However, the preceding studies have either looked into the LFS–electrolyte interaction issue by post mortem (i.e. after cycling) ex situ surface characterization using techniques like XPS 12 or by bulk characterization using XRD and EDX following aggressive ageing at 60 °C. 11 Understanding the electrode–electrolyte interphasial phenomena as proven in the case of the well-known solid-electrolyte interphase (SEI) 9,14 is crucial for the development of robust silicate cathode Li-ion batteries. In particular in situ probing of these phenomena can provide critical missing links in this regard.

In this study, the spontaneous reaction between an uncharged (prior to cycling) Li_{2}FeSiO_{4} (LFS) cathode and a LiPF_{6}-EC/DMC electrolyte is studied by in situ X-ray absorption near-edge spectroscopy (XANES) using coin cells. The LFS cathode (80% LFS, 10% acetylene black and 10% PVDF) featured Li_{2}FeSiO_{4} nanoparticles prepared via an organic-assisted hydrothermal-annealing (180 °C and 400 °C, respectively) synthesis method. 15

The cathode slurry was rolled onto an Al foil current collector, and assembled into a modified CR2032 coin cell with a Li anode, a 25 μm PP/PE/PP separator (Celgard 2325), and using 1 M of LiPF_{6} in EC/DMC (1 : 1 by volume) as the electrolyte. A schematic view of this setup can be seen in Fig. 1. The pristine LFS sample was characterized using SEM, XANES, and synchrotron radiation XRD. From SEM imaging and processing using Image J 16 (Fig. 2a), the particle area was determined to be on average 1270 ± 285 nm^{2}. This reveals that the pristine material is composed of nanoparticles on the order of ~ 40 nm and is in the form of aggregates. 15
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In this study, the spontaneous reaction between an uncharged (prior to cycling) Li_{2}FeSiO_{4} (LFS) cathode and a LiPF_{6}-EC/DMC electrolyte is studied by in situ X-ray absorption near-edge spectroscopy (XANES) using coin cells. The LFS cathode (80% LFS, 10% acetylene black and 10% PVDF) featured Li_{2}FeSiO_{4} nanoparticles prepared via an organic-assisted hydrothermal-annealing (180 °C and 400 °C, respectively) synthesis method. 15

The cathode slurry was rolled onto an Al foil current collector, and assembled into a modified CR2032 coin cell with a Li anode, a 25 μm PP/PE/PP separator (Celgard 2325), and using 1 M of LiPF_{6} in EC/DMC (1 : 1 by volume) as the electrolyte. A schematic view of this setup can be seen in Fig. 1. The pristine LFS sample was characterized using SEM, XANES, and synchrotron radiation XRD. From SEM imaging and processing using Image J 16 (Fig. 2a), the particle area was determined to be on average 1270 ± 285 nm^{2}. This reveals that the pristine material is composed of nanoparticles on the order of ~ 40 nm and is in the form of aggregates. 15 Synchrotron-radiation XRD
and XANES measurements were taken at the HXMA beamline at the Canadian Light Source (CLS).\textsuperscript{17} The CLS HXMA wiggler was running at 1.9 T and the CLS storage ring was with a 250 mA current. The Si(111) monochromator crystals in combination with an Rh coated pre-mono collimating mirror and a post-mono toroidal focusing mirror were used. For the XANES measurements, both the incoming and transmitted X-ray intensity were monitored using ionization chambers filled with He gas, the fluorescence yield was monitored using a Lytle detector and the ionization of the LFS sample was characterized by SEM and SR-XRD; (a) SEM image taken at 20.0 kV shows the aggregation of the LFS nanoparticles and (b) Rietveld refinement of the SR-XRD data, revealing an approximate phase mixture 3:2 of monoclinic and orthorhombic structures, respectively.

Fig. 2 Morphology and phase identification of the pristine LFS sample as characterized by SEM and SR-XRD: (a) SEM image taken at 20.0 kV shows the aggregation of the LFS nanoparticles and (b) Rietveld refinement of the SR-XRD data, revealing an approximate phase mixture 3:2 of monoclinic and orthorhombic structures, respectively.

In situ XANES measurements of the cathode were performed on the initial uncharged battery state (96 hours following the coin cell assembly during which LFS was in contact with 1 M of LiPF₆ in EC/DMC solvent) as well as after cycling the cell at the C/20 rate for a single Li extraction–insertion (formation) cycle. The “uncharged” cell is labelled 20C₀ and the “cycled” cell, 20D_f.

These three samples correspond to the same electrochemical state, and represent the typical spectra we have measured for this material in these electrochemical states.

The normalized Fe K-edge XANES spectra in Fig. 3a show the results for the different LFS samples. The differences among the electronic structures of the three LFS samples are subtle but nevertheless noticeable. The first of which is that, the pristine sample (Fig. 3a and b black) shows well-resolved spectral features following the “white-line” area (i.e. the strongest peak area above the edge jump), compared to the other two samples: 20C₀ and 20D_f uncharged and cycled (formation cycle), respectively. This reflects the increase of structural disorder in samples 20C₀ and 20D_f.† For sample 20C₀ this means that the contact of the LFS cathode with the electrolyte inside the coin cell (96 hours of storage time at room temperature) has triggered a spontaneous reaction resulting in a noticeable structural change in the cathode material. Upon cycling (formation cycle) however, the cathode (20D_f sample) is seen to restore, in part, its local structure towards that of the pristine sample. This can be seen by comparing the post-white-line\textsuperscript{a} region (Fig. 3b) and more clearly in the derivative spectra. The first derivatives of the XANES spectra for the three samples are shown in the inset of Fig. 3b, and were calculated using the central difference method. Here the restoration trend can be seen by following the peak at around 7125 eV. This corresponds to the inflection point just before the “white-line” region (where the spectra are free of any pre-edge effects caused by Jahn–Teller distortions). Specifically this derivative peak moves toward higher energy in the uncharged material and then returns to the same position as the pristine upon cycling.

The previous observations are echoed by the trend of the pre-edge features (Fig. 3c), that is the pristine sample has the lowest overall pre-edge intensity while the uncharged has the highest. This feature is reflective of the distortion of the FeO₆ tetrahedron via the Jahn–Teller effect.\textsuperscript{21} Here the cycled cathode pre-edge intensity is between that of the other two, indicating again a certain level of structural restoration. In addition to the overall pre-edge intensity differences, Fig. 3c shows clearly the varying intensity distribution profiles among the three, which are related to the underlying spectral components of different oxidation species.\textsuperscript{22} To facilitate further analysis, in Fig. 3c two low-temperature reference phases have been included for the ferrous and ferric states of Fe. These are the tetrahedrally coordinated Fe²⁺S₄ and Fe³⁺S₄ found in rubredoxin.\textsuperscript{23} The ratio of the pre-edge features contributed by the ferrous and ferric states was fit using a linear combination\textsuperscript{18} of pre-edges from the Fe²⁺S₄ and Fe³⁺S₄ reference data. The fitting results are summarized in Fig. 4, each fit performed reported an R-factor\textsuperscript{18} less than 0.025. The results show that the Fe site within the LFS matrix indeed became oxidized (likely with the reduction of the electrolyte) during the 96 hour interphasial interaction; upon cycling, this state was partially restored towards the original ferrous/ferric ratio in the pristine sample.

The relative spectral intensity increase of Fe³⁺ in the uncharged cathode compared to pristine (Fig. 4) is usually a direct fingerprint of the charge compensation process throughout a normal charging sequence. Here however, no external charging has been applied.
In this case, in the absence of any applied current, this pre-edge profile variance indicates the delithiation of the material as a consequence of reduction of an electrolytic component.

Previous research by Dippel et al. involving the ageing of the LFS material in a hot (60 °C) LiPF₆-EC/DMC organic electrolyte concluded that LFS converts under those conditions into Li₂SiF₆ with simultaneous dissolution of iron into the electrolyte. No delithiation or iron oxidation was reported as observed here. The same group in a follow up study commented that the electrolyte was thermally decomposed at 60 °C even in the absence of LFS hence the previous high temperature study appears to have observed reactions not necessarily operational in an actual coin cell that is typically used at lower temperatures. As per other electrode–electrolyte interphase systems it is likely that the reduction of the organic carbonate solvent molecules takes place on the surface of LFS leading to iron oxidation.

As a plausible mechanism for the observed spontaneous reaction between the LiPF₆-EC/DMC electrolyte and Li₂FeSiO₄ (LFS) we propose tentatively the following sequence. Fluoride anions (released from LiPF₆) owing to their well-known propensity to form Si–F groups (such as SiF₆/C₂) are envisaged to react with the surface of LFS as noted in Ensling et al.’s XPS analysis. Such Si–F interaction on the LFS surface would weaken the Fe²⁺–Si–O₄ bonding making iron(II) prone to oxidation via reduction of electrolyte components such as EC and DMC that is well established in the literature. In other words the oxidation of Fe(II) in this case is rendered feasible because of the F-induced weakening of the Fe³⁺–Si–O₄ bonding caused by the Si–F interaction. Further detailed investigations would be needed to allow for a deeper understanding of this reaction and we are in the process of doing that.

Beyond the observation of the spontaneous reaction (while the cell is uncharged) another important revelation of this work is the finding that after the first charge–discharge cycle there is (at least in part) restoration of the LFS structure as evident by the oxidation state of iron. Thus the relative spectral intensity of Fe³⁺ was reduced from ~37% at the “uncharged” state (i.e. following the spontaneous reaction) to approximately...
32% at the discharged state (after the formation cycle) compared to 24% for the particular pristine sample used in this work (Fig. 4). It should be pointed out that the cycling process is known to produce a crystalline phase change in the pristine material,\(^8,15\) which could affect the overall intensity of the pre-edge features. The above analysis is concerned only with the relative ratio of Fe\(^{2+}\) and Fe\(^{3+}\) contributions to the overall pre-edge spectrum. What these results imply in terms of battery performance, however, is that though the reduction of the electrolyte does occur spontaneously and slightly increases the state-of-charge (SOC) of LFS during coin cell pre-cycling storage, the accompanying delithiation reaction is at least in part reversible. Given these findings further research is warranted not only in elucidating this complex interphasial reaction but also in assessing its role in the overall structural stability and loss of irreversibility during cycling of this important cathode material. The study of an alternative to F-containing electrolytes is equally important in this context.

In summary, in situ Fe K-edge XANES reveals a spontaneous interphasial reaction between the uncharged Li\(_2\)FeSO\(_4\) cathode and the LiPF\(_6\)-based electrolyte, manifested with the Fe\(^{2+}/\)Fe\(^{3+}\) ratio variation from the pristine state. Subsequent cycling of the cathode material (formation cycle) is partially restorative in the Fe oxidation state.
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Notes and references
† Heterogeneity of unique local ionic environments offers on average a higher continuity of available states. In effect, XANES peak broadening can be due to the presence of multiple crystalline phases, or, as is the case here, from an increased local structure disorder within the same phase.
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