Introduction

Since the pioneering work of Petty and Dickson, the use of DNA for stabilizing small silver nanoclusters (AgNCs) has been growing rapidly in the last ten years.1 DNA-AgNCs have been used as sensors for a myriad of different compounds ranging from microRNA, DNA, metal ions, small organic molecules and more.2–11 For these applications, the versatile nature of DNA, the ease of preparation and read out (a change in the fluorescence properties, e.g. quenching, increase, spectral shift...) have been the enabling factors. A significant amount of research has also been carried out on characterizing the photophysical properties of these DNA-AgNCs in order to try to unravel the nature of the emission.12–21 Nowadays, there are many different recipes and procedures to prepare different emissive AgNCs which span the whole visible range.15,22–24 However, many different factors can influence their formation and time evolution; in particular the distribution of emitters produced from the same DNA sequence can be affected by parameters, e.g. the exact DNA to silver ratio and/or concentration, pH, the solvent and other ions present.15,25,26 This can lead to sample heterogeneity or significant differences between samples. This heterogeneity in the distribution of the produced AgNC emitters is not limited to DNA only, but can also be found in other stabilizing ligands, e.g. PS-PMMA copolymers27 and other scaffolds such as zeolites.28 DNA-AgNCs are usually characterized and their evolution is tracked using steady state fluorescence and UV-Vis absorption methods. These techniques show that while some sequences can produce spectrally well-defined emitters (e.g. regarding their emission maxima or fluorescence decay time), many sequences stabilize a variety of emissive species with emission maxima over the entire visible range.16,29,30 In this paper we propose an easy method to probe the spectral heterogeneity and time evolution of the as-synthesized DNA-AgNC emitters by creating the so-called average decay time spectra. As a model system we used C24 (a single stranded oligonucleotide...
containing 24 cytosines) stabilized AgNCs (C24-AgNC). It is well established that polycytosine oligonucleotides stabilize a large range of emissive AgNCs with emission spectra covering the visible range, and some of these emitters show spectral evolution over time.6,12,25,26,31 High performance liquid chromatography (HPLC) is one method to separate and investigate in detail what was produced during the synthesis. Gwinn et al. showed that HPLC in combination with absorption, fluorescence and mass spectrometry gives information on the DNA structure (monomers, dimers, hairpins, etc.), the number of attached silver atoms and whether the clusters are emissive or non-emissive.15 In this paper, we aim to present a method to directly characterize the as-synthesized solutions (without purification and/or separation steps) and follow their evolution by time-correlated single photon counting (TCSPC). Decay curves are recorded over the entire emission range and the average decay time derived from a multi-exponential fit is plotted as a function of emission wavelength. We show that the average decay time spectra can be used as a characteristic readout of a particular distribution of emissive species at a given point in time. Using the average decay times, the reproducibility, distribution and evolution of the emissive species in the samples can be monitored at various emission wavelengths. This analysis method enables investigation of the composition and evolution of heterogeneous fluorescent samples avoiding tedious separation procedures.

**Experimental section**

**C24-AgNC synthesis**

C24 single stranded DNA (an oligonucleotide containing 24 cytosines, RP-Cartridge-Gold™ purification, Eurogentec) was diluted in Milli-Q water (MQ) or in a 0.1 M citrate buffer (pH 6.2) to give [DNA] = 2 mM. The diluted DNA was heated to 80–85 °C in order to start with a homogeneous dilution of single stranded C24.32 The solution was then cooled to room temperature. AgNO3 (99.9999%, Sigma Aldrich) and NaBH4 (99.99%, Sigma Aldrich) were separately diluted in MQ to concentrations of [AgNO3] = [NaBH4] = 2 mM and sequentially added to the DNA in the ratio DNA : AgNO3 : NaBH4 of 1 : 12 : 12. This sample was further diluted to a [DNA] = 10 μM concentration for all the spectroscopic measurements. See the ESI† for further details.

**Absorbance, steady state fluorescence and TCSPC**

Absorbance spectra were recorded on a Cary 300 UV-Vis spectrometer (Agilent Technologies) and on a Lambda 1050 UV/Vis/NIR spectrometer (Perkin Elmer). Steady state fluorescence measurements were performed by using a Cary Eclipse Fluorescence Spectrophotometer (Agilent) (Fig. 1) and by using a Fluorescence Lifetime Spectrometer FluoTime 300 (PicoQuant) (Fig. 2). The spectra from the FluoTime 300 instrument were corrected for the detector efficiency, while the spectra from the Cary Eclipse were not. This does not present a problem, since the information retrieved from Fig. 1 does not depend on this correction. Fluorescence decay times were also measured by using the Fluorescence Lifetime Spectrometer FluoTime 300, using their compatible LDH-P-C-510, LDH-P-635 and LDH-D-TA-560 laser heads. The decay curves were acquired over the entire emission range by varying the emission monochromator in steps of 5 nm and fitted with the FluoFit software (PicoQuant) using a four exponential reconvolution model, and a global fitting of the decay times. Additionally some individual decay curves were fitted with Gaussian distributions of decay times. The instrument response function (IRF) measured under 510 nm, 560 nm and 635 nm excitation gives a Full Width at Half Maximum (FWHM) of 230 ps, 160 ps and 180 ps, respectively. Fig. SI† shows an example of a decay curve fitted with a 1 to 4 exponential model, which clearly indicates that a four exponential model leads to a better fit. The quality of the fitting was assessed by using $\chi^2$ and visual inspection of the residuals.33 More information is available in the ESL† For all spectroscopic measurements, 10 × 10 mm light path quartz cuvettes 111-QS (Hellma) were used.

Fig. 1 (A, B) Emission spectra at different excitation wavelengths (from 490 nm to 645 nm) of a C24-AgNC solution in citrate buffer (sample S9). (A) Scan started 27 min after preparation. (B) 23 h 6 min after preparation. (C) Normalized emission spectra $\lambda_{\text{exc}} = 510$ nm) for samples prepared in MQ (S3) and in buffer (S5, S9). S3 measured 40 min after preparation, S5 and S9 measured 27 min after preparation.
In this paper we investigate the spectral heterogeneity in DNA-AgNC solutions and their time evolution. For this purpose, 11 samples were prepared using C24. These 11 samples of C24-AgNC (denoted as S1–11, see Table SI1† in the ESI† for specific details) were studied shortly after preparation and the following days by means of steady-state absorption, fluorescence and TCSPC. C24 was selected since it is known that polycytosine oligonucleotides can stabilize a whole range of emitters that span the visible and near infrared (NIR) region.12,25,26 As a consequence, minor changes in the preparation conditions can have an impact on the overall outcome. The effect of using a buffered solution was also investigated; samples S1–3 were prepared in MQ and samples S4–11 in citrate buffer.

**Steady state characterization**

Fig. 1A presents the emission spectra of sample S9 prepared in citrate buffer and gives an example of spectral heterogeneity. In Fig. 1A, the emission behavior of the sample 27 minutes after reagent mixing is presented. The emission maxima shift to longer wavelengths as the excitation wavelength is increased, which is a common behavior for DNA-AgNCs and it has also been reported when other stabilizing matrices, such as polymers, are used.5,27 Looking at one excitation wavelength and comparing the emission spectra of different samples, minor differences in the emission maximum or FWHM are observed. The latter feature can be seen in Fig. 1C where the emission spectra recorded upon excitation at 510 nm of three samples (S3 (MQ), S5 (buffer) and S9 (buffer)) are shown. At first glance one might conclude that the minor differences in the normalized emission spectra are negligible and indicate a very similar distribution of emissive species. However, this can be misleading. We will show later that samples with similar global emission spectra can have different average decay time spectra and time evolution, indicating that the populations of the emissive species can be different from synthesis to synthesis.

Fig. 1B shows the emission spectra of sample S9 recorded almost a day after preparation and when compared to the spectra recorded from the freshly made sample (Fig. 1A), the contribution of the emission centered around 600 nm appears higher. This evolution of the emission spectra in polycytosine oligonucleotides has been observed before and the large spectral shifts from red/NIR to blue/green have been assigned to oxidation.25,34 Improving the chemical stability has been the subject of some research efforts.35 However, smaller spectral changes and shifts at one specific excitation wavelength have not been studied in detail to the best of our knowledge.

Fig. 2 shows examples of these small spectral shifts that can be observed as a function of aging time. Here the normalized emission spectra recorded 1–7 hours after preparation and approximately one day later are compared for sample S8. Exciting at 510 and 560 nm, a blue shift can be observed upon aging, while a red shift is observed following excitation at 635 nm. These trends were observed for the majority of the solutions in buffer (S4–S11), although small differences from sample to sample are observed. An average shift for the buffer samples is shown in Fig. 2 upon excitation at 510 (blue shift of 5 nm), 560 (blue shift of 9 nm) and 635 nm (red shift of 9 nm). From the fluorescence spectra alone, it is difficult to determine what is causing the small spectral changes over time. One assumption could be that we have a number of emissive species covering the entire visible range and different syntheses give slightly different ratios of these emitters with different evolution patterns, leading to differences in the spectral changes. In this context we consider an emissive species as having a specific central emission maximum and fluorescence decay time, with a specific width around these values.

**Results and discussion**

In Fig. 1A, the emission behavior of the sample 27 minutes after reagent mixing is presented. The emission maxima shift to longer wavelengths as the excitation wavelength is increased, which is a common behavior for DNA-AgNCs and it has also been reported when other stabilizing matrices, such as polymers, are used.5,27 Looking at one excitation wavelength and comparing the emission spectra of different samples, minor differences in the emission maximum or FWHM are observed. The latter feature can be seen in Fig. 1C where the emission spectra recorded upon excitation at 510 nm of three samples (S3 (MQ), S5 (buffer) and S9 (buffer)) are shown. At first glance one might conclude that the minor differences in the normalized emission spectra are negligible and indicate a very similar distribution of emissive species. However, this can be misleading. We will show later that samples with similar global emission spectra can have different average decay time spectra and time evolution, indicating that the populations of the emissive species can be different from synthesis to synthesis.

Fig. 1B shows the emission spectra of sample S9 recorded almost a day after preparation and when compared to the spectra recorded from the freshly made sample (Fig. 1A), the contribution of the emission centered around 600 nm appears higher. This evolution of the emission spectra in polycytosine oligonucleotides has been observed before and the large spectral shifts from red/NIR to blue/green have been assigned to oxidation.25,34 Improving the chemical stability has been the subject of some research efforts.35 However, smaller spectral changes and shifts at one specific excitation wavelength have not been studied in detail to the best of our knowledge.

Fig. 2 shows examples of these small spectral shifts that can be observed as a function of aging time. Here the normalized emission spectra recorded 1–7 hours after preparation and approximately one day later are compared for sample S8. Exciting at 510 and 560 nm, a blue shift can be observed upon aging, while a red shift is observed following excitation at 635 nm. These trends were observed for the majority of the solutions in buffer (S4–S11), although small differences from sample to sample are observed. An average shift for the buffer samples is shown in Fig. 2 upon excitation at 510 (blue shift of 5 nm), 560 (blue shift of 9 nm) and 635 nm (red shift of 9 nm). From the fluorescence spectra alone, it is difficult to determine what is causing the small spectral changes over time. One assumption could be that we have a number of emissive species covering the entire visible range and different syntheses give slightly different ratios of these emitters with different evolution patterns, leading to differences in the spectral changes. In this context we consider an emissive species as having a specific central emission maximum and fluorescence decay time, with a specific width around these values.
The presence of different emitters could be due to differences in the number of Ag atoms, charge, and geometry of the AgNC itself or different positions of the AgNC in the DNA or different DNA conformations that affect the spectral properties of the stabilized AgNC.

On the other hand, minor changes in the DNA conformation (due to some intrinsic flexibility) might manifest themselves also by increasing the width of the specific central emission maximum (due to some intrinsic flexibility) might manifest them-

The average decay time spectra of all our samples are presented in Fig. 3, where they are classified according to their reproducibility and time evolution. Fig. 3 shows the average decay time spectra of the samples in MQ (S1, S2, and S3) and in buffer (S4–S11) for three excitation wavelengths (510, 560 and 635 nm), obtained after preparation and approximately one day later. The average decay time spectra of the buffer samples S4, S7, S8 and S9 have similar properties and therefore were classified as group 1. The rest of the samples in buffer, S5, S6, S10 and S11, show a slightly different behavior from group 1 and were classified as group 2.

We start by comparing the samples from the MQ group with the samples from the buffer group 1. From the three samples measured in MQ water, three different trends were observed upon 510 nm excitation. The average decay time of sample S1 decreases, for S3 it increases and for S2 it stays approximately the same (Fig. 3A), with time. This is in contrast to the buffered samples from group 1 where all show a clear increase of the average decay time one day after preparation (Fig. 3D). However there are also some similarities between the samples prepared in MQ and those in buffer group 1. In particular, S2 closely resembles the samples prepared in buffer when measured after one day of aging. For 510 nm excitation, samples S1 and S3 resemble the buffer solutions when measured directly after synthesis. Sample S3, excited at 560 nm (Fig. 3B), resembles the buffer samples in group 1 (Fig. 3E), however it shows an increase in the average decay time over time, as opposed to the overall decrease observed in the buffer group 1 samples. Another difference between the samples in MQ and buffer group 1 is the range of average decay time spectra upon 635 nm excitation (Fig. 3C and F), which is between 2.8 and 3.5 ns for the samples in buffer group 1, whereas for MQ the values have a larger range from 2.8 to 4.1 ns. Additionally, under 635 nm excitation, for the samples in MQ the same trend in the time evolution of the average decay time was observed as in the 510 nm excitation case (sample S1 decreases, S3 increases and S2 stays approximately the same), while for all the samples in buffer group 1 the average decay time drops. For the buffer group 1 samples we see that under 635 nm excitation (Fig. 3F, SI10†) the average decay time curves have a fairly flat profile, which could indicate a limited number of emitters. These profiles remain nearly parallel with time for the different samples in group 1. However, in Fig. 2C a red shift of the spectra is shown. This could indicate that the different emitters have very similar decay times but slightly different emission maxima and chemical stabilities. In a recent single molecule characterization study of C24-AgNCS upon 635 nm excitation by Hooley et al.,36 no apparent correlation between the emission maxima and the fluorescence decay time was observed (which is in good agreement with the flat average decay time profile observed here). Additionally, the distribution of decay times for different single emitters showed a good agreement with a Gaussian dis-
distribution of decay times centered around 2.7 ns with a FWHM of 1.4 ns. Based on this result we fitted the decay curves of sample S7 at the peak emission wavelength with Gaussian distributions (Fig. SI2†). Upon 635 nm excitation, the decay profile can be fitted with a broad distribution centered at 3.2 ns with a FWHM of 1.8 ns and a sharp distribution centered at 0.22 ns (which could be interpreted as a single exponential component). The distribution centered at 3.2 ns with a FWHM of 1.8 ns resembles the distribution obtained at the single molecule level (more details in the ESI†), whereas the sharp distribution at 0.22 ns requires further investigation and a contribution from the experimental set-up cannot be excluded. The satisfactory fits obtained with Gaussian distributions warrant further exploration as a physical model in future studies.

Under 560 nm excitation, for both MQ and buffer group 1, an overall positive slope can be observed in the average decay time spectra in all the samples (Fig. 3B and E), leading us to postulate that there are at least two independent emissive species: an emitter with a short decay time on the short wavelength side and one emitter with a long decay time on the long wavelength side. The day after preparation, a long decay time tail on the short wavelength side (below 625 nm) appears in the buffer group 1 samples and not in the MQ sample (dashed lines in Fig. 3B and E). The appearance of the tail in the buffer group 1 samples is due to the increasing contribution of a long decay time species in this spectral region (most likely a new species that evolved over time on the short wavelength side).

As previously noted, the samples in buffer group 1 yield more consistent curves (Fig. 3D–F) than in MQ. For the 510 nm excitation (Fig. 3D), the average decay time at 610 nm increases from a value close to 3 ns after preparation to a value of 4 ns one day later. As a matter of fact, we noticed that the increase of the average decay time happens during the measurement, likely because major changes are occurring during this time span. Since the decay times are recorded from short to long emission wavelengths and the full scan takes approximately 1 hour, this artificially increases the average decay time on the red side of the spectrum. However, for the
average decay time spectra, if the measurement time after preparation and the acquisition time are the same, the average decay time spectra remain a valid and reproducible characteristic as shown in Fig. 3D. The red squares in Fig. 3D are individual decays recorded after the whole scan was finished which confirms that the average decay time increased. We did not observe this behavior at 560 and 635 nm (see red squares in Fig. 3E and F), but the latter data were measured several hours after preparation, as opposed to 1.5 hours after preparation for the 510 nm excitation. Most likely a significant evolution of the AgNC population took place in the first few hours after preparation. Thus, our results show that the reported decay times for DNA-AgNCs can depend on how long after preparation they are measured and that significant dynamics occur at early stages after preparation. Additionally, the same argument can be made for transient quenching species that can affect the emission intensity and the fluorescence decay time. The relevant advantage of the present analytical procedure is that it evidences the populations' dynamics.

Comparison of the average decay time spectra of the MQ versus the buffer samples in group 1 shows that the results from the MQ samples (Fig. 3A and C) are more heterogeneous. This lack of reproducibility among the MQ samples suggests that control of the pH and the presence of specific ions are important to control the final distribution of DNA-AgNC emitters.

Finally, we will discuss the differences between buffer group 1 and group 2, emphasizing the preparation procedure (see Table S1†). Under 510 nm excitation the average decay time shows an increase one day after preparation for both groups (Fig. 3D and G), but in group 2 the average decay time does not reach the value of 4 ns. Under 560 nm excitation we observe similar average decay time spectra in both groups (Fig. 3E and H), but the development of the tail on the blue side of the spectrum, one day after preparation, is less pronounced in group 2. For 635 nm excitation, the average decay times in group 2 increase with time, in contrast to group 1. All samples within group 1 were prepared using the heating procedure described in the ESIF and in the case of group 2 only samples S10 and S11 were prepared following the heating procedure. The reason samples S10 and S11 gave different average decay time spectra from the samples of group 1 (and thus were included in group 2) despite following the same preparation procedure is not obvious. One possible explanation is that S10 and S11 were prepared using another batch of DNA and there could have been differences between batch handling and storage over time. As an extra control, we also recorded the absorbance spectra and focused on the DNA absorption band around 270 nm (Fig. S13†). Most of the samples had similar absorption values around 270 nm in the 1.2–1.6 range, except for sample S6, which had more than twice the amount of DNA bases. Differences in the ratio of DNA to silver could also affect the ratio of emitters formed although based on the absorption spectra presented in Fig. S13† this cannot explain the differences in the average decay time spectra observed between groups 1 and 2, but further investigations on differences between oligonucleotide batches might be useful.

Conclusions

In conclusion, we compared the spectral heterogeneity and time evolution of different samples of C24-AgNCs by means of TCSPC. We used the average decay time spectra as a readout to characterize the distribution of emissive AgNCs at a given point in time and for different excitation wavelengths, which is an easy and robust tool providing information on the as-synthesized emissive species distribution. Classification of our samples in terms of the average decay time spectra allowed us to compare and comment on the reproducibility between samples prepared under the same and different experimental conditions and from different DNA batches, highlighting the importance of using a buffered medium. Based on our results, we propose the use of the average decay time spectra to compare the as-prepared DNA-AgNCs and not rely on emission spectra as proof of a similar distribution of emissive species. Average decay time spectra are proposed as a tool to characterize spectrally heterogeneous fluorescent samples in which the composition of emissive species and the mechanism behind the decay time dependence on the wavelength are unknown. Besides, the average decay time spectra are useful to compare the reproducibility of a synthesis method. For future experiments, TCSPC on purified samples (e.g. HPLC) or single molecule studies could help in gaining more insight into the actual number of different emissive species, the intrinsic distribution of the spectral heterogeneity of DNA-AgNCs and the specific photophysical properties of each emitter. Despite the further tests and validation experiments necessary to generalize the method, average decay time spectra can be a versatile and robust tool to investigate and compare AgNC emission and hence to extend their application in different sensing fields.
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