NJC

PAPER

Cite this: *New J. Chem.,* 2016, 40, 2920

Received (in Montpellier, France) 14th October 2015, Accepted 18th January 2016

DOI: 10.1039/c5nj02839f

www.rsc.org/njc

Introduction

Environment-sensitive fluorescent dyes are an interesting class of molecules exhibiting unique spectroscopic properties depending on the properties of their surroundings such as solvent polarity.¹ Typical examples of these solvatochromic fluorophores are 4-dimethylamino phthalimide $(4-DMAP)²$ 2-propionyl-6-dimethylaminonaphthalene $(PRODAN)³$ 4-amino-1,8-naphthalimide $(4-DMN)^4$ and 6-N,N-dimethylamino-2,3-naphthalimide $(6-DMN)^5$ having a push-pull substituted π -conjugated system.⁶ It is also known that bridging an aromatic ring by a Si atom influences the spectroscopic property due to the hyperconjugation and perturbation of the electronic structure that often enhances the fluorescence intensity.⁷

During the course of the study on the synthesis and physicochemical properties of aromatic nitroxide derivatives having rigid 1,2-dihydroquinole⁸ and 9,10-dihydroacridine⁹ backbones, we observed the fluorescence of phenyl substituted 9,10-dihydroacridine, which prompted us to investigate the structure–function relationship in 9,10-dihydroacridine derivatives because they have a planar backbone due to the cross-linked diphenylamine whose rigid structure is suitable for fluorescent molecules. In the present paper, we describe the synthesis of ester substituted derivatives, 2,7-bis(4-methoxycarbonylphenyl)-9,9-diphenyl-9,10-dihydroacridine

Synthesis of ester-substituted dihydroacridine derivatives and their spectroscopic properties†

Ryota Suzuki, Reiki Tada, Takumi Hosoda, Youhei Miura and Naoki Yoshioka*

Three dihydroacridine derivatives, 2,7-bis(4-methoxycarbonylphenyl)-9,9-diphenyl-9,10-dihydroacridine (1), 2,8-bis(4-methoxycarbonylphenyl)-10,10-diphenyl-5,10-dihydrophenazasiline (2), and 2,7,9,9-tetraphenyl-9,10-dihydroacridine (3), were prepared and their spectroscopic properties were investigated. These compounds exhibited relatively high quantum yields in a range of solvents. The emission spectra of 1 and 2 displayed large solvatochromic shifts, while the fluorescence solvatochromic behavior was not observed in 3. The intramolecular charge transfer (CT) process from the electron donating moiety at the NH site to the electron withdrawing ester moiety occurs in the excited states of 1 and 2. The increase in the dipole moment induced by the CT process was determined to cause the positive fluorescence solvatochromism. The differences between the excited and ground state dipole moments based on the Lippert–Mataga expression were estimated. The effect of the push–pull substitution in the dihydroacridine π -conjugated system was also discussed using a computational method. PAPER

Synthesis of ester-substituted dihydroacridine

Consideration of the synthesis of ester-substituted dihydroacridine

Synthesis of ester-substituted dihydroacridine

Synthesis and their spectroscopic properties;
 $\$

(1) and its silicon containing analogue 2,8-bis(4-methoxycarbonylphenyl)-10,10-diphenyl-5,10-dihydrophenazasiline (2), and their spectroscopic properties in comparison with that of 2,7,9,9tetraphenyl-9,10-dihydroacridine (3) (Fig. 1).

CHEMISTRY

Results

Synthesis

The dihydroacridines, 1 and 3, were prepared in four steps from 9,9-diphenyl-9,10-dihydroacridine $(4)^{10}$ according to Scheme S1 (ESI†). The aromatic substituent groups were introduced by the Suzuki–Miyaura cross-coupling reaction with good yields between the 2,7-dibromo-9,9-diphenyl-9,10-dihydroacridine-10(9H)-carboxylic acid tert-butyl ester (6) with the 4-methoxycarbonylphenylboronic acid pinacol ester and the phenylboronic acid. 2,7-Dibromo-9,9 diphenyl-9,10-dihydroacridine (5) was protected with the tertbutoxycarbonyl group to enhance the reactivity. The synthetic scheme of the 2,8-diaryldihydrophenazasiline derivative, 2, is outlined in Scheme S2 (ESI†). 2 was prepared in six steps from 2,2',4,4'-tetrabromodiphenylamine (9).¹¹ The dihydrophenazasiline

Department of Applied Chemistry, Faculty of Science and Technology, Keio University, Yokohama, 223-8522, Japan. E-mail: yoshioka@applc.keio.ac.jp † Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available: Analytical and spectral date, details of computational data and ${}^{1}H$ and ${}^{13}C$ NMR spectra are available. CCDC 1417594 (1) and 1417595 (2). For ESI and crystallographic data in CIF or other electronic format see DOI: 10.1039/c5nj02839f

skeleton was constructed by the reaction between the o-dilithiodiphenylamine derivative¹² and SiPh₂Cl₂, while the *p*-methoxybenzyl group was used as the protecting group for the NH site. The electron withdrawing group could be introduced by the Suzuki–Miyaura cross-coupling reaction via the same Scheme S1 (ESI†). The deprotection reaction of the BOC group was conducted using sulfuric acid in MeOH because the deprotection reaction using HCl in AcOEt did not provide a good yield.

X-ray structural determinations

Block-shaped single crystals of 1 and 2 were obtained by slow evaporation from an acetonitrile solution. Their crystal structures were successfully determined by an X-ray crystallographic analysis (Table S1, ESI†). The ORTEP drawing is depicted in Fig. 2. 2 was crystallized with one molecule of acetonitrile and existed as two crystallographically independent structures (denoted as 2A and 2B). The bond lengths between the carbon atoms adjacent to the bridge atoms (C, Si) were 1: 1.539(3) Å, 1.543(3) Å, 2A: 1.837(5) Å, 1.848(6) Å, and 2B: 1.846(5) Å, 1.856(5) Å. The Si–C bond lengths are almost the same as the reported Si–C distance values which are 1.846 Å and 1.847 Å.¹³ The angles between the carbon atoms adjacent to the bridge atoms (C, Si) were 1: 108.66 $(15)^\circ$, 2A:

Fig. 2 $\;\;$ ORTEP diagrams of **1** (A) and **2** $\;\;$ CH₃CN (B) with thermal ellipsoids at the 50% level.

Table 1 Spectroscopic data of 1, 2, and 3 in various solvents

Spectroscopic properties

The UV-vis and the fluorescence spectra of 1, 2, and 3 in various solvents are summarized in Table 1. The maximum absorption wavelengths of 1, 2, and 3 in MeOH were 370, 376, and 336 nm, respectively. Based on the UV-vis measurements, introduction of the ester group prompted a red-shift in the maximum absorption wavelength (Fig. S1, ESI†). While the wavelengths of the maximum absorptions of 1 and 2 were almost the same, the molar extinction coefficients of 2 were larger than those of 1 in all solvents. 1 and 2 exhibited a fluorescence solvatochromism and the emission spectra were also shifted along with a change in the solvent polarities, while 3 did not exhibit a fluorescence solvatochromism (Fig. 3). Shorter wavelength emission in an apolar solvent and longer wavelength emission in a polar solvent were observed for 1 and 2. The wavelength of the maximum emission of 1 was red-shifted by 98 nm from toluene to MeOH, while it was shifted 77 nm for 2 and 14 nm for 3. The Stokes shifts in toluene were 53 nm for 1, 41 nm for 2 and 48 nm for 3. Those in MeOH were 142 nm for 1, 108 nm for 2 and 58 nm for 3. Puper

Such the matrix changes are constructed by the stration between the distribution and 18:00 Article. And 18:00 Articles. Published are the 2016. The log area of the formulation and the published on 2018. The commons

The CV measurements of 1, 2, and 3 were carried out to evaluate their electronic states. They have one reversible oxidation wave, and the half-wave potentials of 1, 2, and 3 were 0.50, 0.53 and 0.43 V (versus Fc/Fc^+), respectively (Fig. S2, ESI \dagger). The oxidation potentials of 1 and 2 were higher than that of 3, which is explained by the introduction of the electron withdrawing ester group.

A DFT calculation with B3LYP/6-31G* was conducted to study the electronic structure (Fig. 4). Focusing on the molecular orbitals of the HOMO and LUMO, the HOMO distributes over the electron donating moiety and the LUMO is spread all over the dihydroacridine unit in 3. On the other hand, the LUMO also has distribution over the electron withdrawing ester moiety in 1 and 2. These results indicate the intramolecular CT in the excited states that results in the noticeable solvatochromism. 1 and 2 have almost the same HOMO and LUMO energy levels which are lower than those of 3. The energy gaps of 1, 2, and 3 are 3.78, 3.73 and 4.25 eV, respectively. The energy gaps of 1 and 2 are

Fig. 3 Absorption (dashed line) and emission (solid line) spectra of 1 (A), 2 (B), and 3 (C) in various solvents. Emission spectra were collected under 10^{-5} mol l⁻¹.

smaller than that of 3. These calculations agree with the experimental results of the CV, UV-vis, and fluorescence spectra.

Discussion

We studied the correlation between the emission maxima and the solvent polarity index, $E_T(30)^{14}$ (Fig. 5). Good correlation was found in various solvents and linear fits were used to determine the slopes, *i.e.*, 1: 240 cm⁻¹, 2: 241 cm⁻¹, 3: 78 cm⁻¹. These values of 1 and 2 are comparable to that of PRODAN $(220 \text{ cm}^{-1})^{15}$ and almost the same solvatochromic properties of

Fig. 5 The relation between the emission maxima and polarity index $E_T(30)$ and dielectric constant function $f(\varepsilon)$ of **1, 2,** and **3** in aprotic (solid symbols) and protic (open symbols) solvents. Linear fits were obtained only in the aprotic solvents. The slopes for graph A: 240 (R^2 = 0.897), 241 (R^2 = 0.906), and 78 cm⁻¹ (R^2 = 0.584); graph B: 11 600 (R^2 = 0.960), 11 600 $(R^{2} = 0.958)$, and 4200 cm⁻¹ ($R^{2} = 0.784$) for **1, 2**, and **3**, respectively.

PRODAN could be achieved using the dihydroacridine-based novel fluorophore.

The data plots in the protic solvents deviate up. For a further understanding, we studied the correlation as a function of the dielectric constant $f(\varepsilon)$ which only accounts for the general dipolar interaction.¹⁴ A high linearity was also observed in the aprotic solvents. On the other hand, the data plots in the protic solvents deviate low in 1 and 2 though a high linearity was observed in all solvents in 3. Though similar phenomena were reported in 3-hydroxyflavone¹⁶ and 3-methoxychromone,¹⁷ they could be assigned to the hydrogen bonding effects between the carbonyl group and solvents. To further study the fluorescence solvatochromic behaviors of 1 and 2, the difference between the ground and excited state dipole moments $(\mu_{\rm E} - \mu_{\rm G})$ was estimated by the Lippert-Mataga equation (eqn (1)):¹⁸

$$
\nu_{\rm A} - \nu_{\rm F} = \frac{2\Delta f}{hc} \frac{(\mu_{\rm E} - \mu_{\rm G})^2}{a^3}, \quad \Delta f = \frac{\varepsilon - 1}{2\varepsilon + 1} - \frac{n^2 - 1}{2n^2 - 1} \tag{1}
$$

in which ν_A is the wavenumber of the absorption, ν_F is the wavenumber of the emission, h is Planck's constant, c is the speed of light, a is the cavity radius and Δf is the orientation polarizability where Δf is defined by the dielectric constant ε and the refractive index n . The correlation between the Stokes shift and the orientation polarizability is shown in Fig. 6. Linear plots were obtained in various solvents and the slopes were 9959 cm⁻¹ (R^2 = 0.673) for 1 and 9038 cm⁻¹ (R^2 = 0.805) for 2.

The change in the dipole moments $\mu_{\rm E} - \mu_{\rm G}$ was estimated as 11.0 D for 1 and 10.5 D for 2 when 50% of the donor–acceptor

Fig. 6 The relation between the Stokes shift and the orientation polarizability of 1 and 2

distance was used for the cavity radius¹⁷ and as 26.1 D for 1 and 26.2 D for 2 when 40% of the long axes of the molecular structure¹⁹ obtained by X-ray analysis was used for the cavity radius. These values of 1 and 2 are higher than the change in the dipole moments $\mu_{\rm E} - \mu_{\rm G}$ of the representative fluorescence solvatochromic dyes, Nile Red $(6.8 \text{ D})^{20}$ and PRODAN (7.9 D).¹⁵

Although the quantum yields of 1 and 2 in MeOH were slightly low, the quantum yields of 1 and 2 were almost over 40% in other solvents. The quantum yields of 2 were higher than those of 1 in all solvents except for n -BuOH though their energy gaps between the HOMO and LUMO are almost the same. We assume that this observation is due to the introduction of the Si atom. The quantum yield is affected by radiation and nonradiation processes. The radiation process was accelerated in the Si atom substituted naphthalene derivatives. 21 The Si atom might influence radiation and non-radiation processes. The higher molar extinction coefficients of 2 compared to those of 1 might be attributed to the acceleration of the radiation process. It is known that the quantum yield depends on the type of solvent and there are a few dyes which fulfill the noticeable solvatochromism and the high quantum yield in both polar and apolar media at the same time. The quantum yield often decreases in a polar or an apolar solvent although exhibiting the properties of fluorescence solvatochromism with a high quantum yield in both polar and apolar solvents is important for use as a fluorescent probe. The fluorescence spectra of 1 and 2 showed a broad peak in MeOH whereas that of 3 was sharp. The experimental results might be due to the reorganization of the dihydroacridine and ester-substituted phenyl units into a twisted charge transfer excited state conformation 22 or aggregation induced in polar solvents.¹⁵ It might cause the decrease of the quantum yields in MeOH. On the other hand, the quantum yields of 3 were high in all solvents including MeOH. A TD-DFT calculation (B3LYP/6-31G*) for 1 was performed to estimate the optimized geometry at the excited state in toluene and MeOH (Fig. S3, ESI†). However, obvious difference was not observed between them. The fluorescence spectra of 3 showed a sharp peak in all solvents. The conformation change at the excited state might not occur in 3. 3,8-Dibutyl-6-(piperidin-1-yl)pyrene-1-carbaldehyde (PA) and 1-(3,8-dibutyl-6-(piperidin-1-yl)pyren-1-yl)butan-1-one (PK) are known as the dyes which combine these two properties.¹⁵ PRODAN, 7-diethylamino-9,9-dimethyl-9H-fluorene-2-carbaldehyde

(FR0),23 1-(7-diethylamino-9,9-dimethyl-9H-fluoren-2-yl)-nonan-1-one $(FR8)^{23}$ 7-dimethylamino-9,9-dimethyl-9H-fluorene-2carbaldehyde (9FR),²⁴ 7-dimethylamino-9,10-dihydrophenanthrene-2-carbaldehyde (9Phen), 24 and 1,3-diphenyl-2-[4-(N,N-diphenylamino)phenyl]-benzo[b]phosphole-P-oxide²⁵ have been reported to show these two properties. Our spectroscopic data indicate that 1 and 2 also exhibit a fluorescence solvatochromism with high quantum vields in both polar and apolar solvents.

Conclusions

Spectroscopic properties of three dihydroacridine derivatives, 2,7-bis(4-methoxycarbonylphenyl)-9,9-diphenyl-9,10-dihydroacridine (1), 2,8-bis(4-methoxycarbonylphenyl)-10,10-diphenyl-5,10-dihydrophenazasiline (2), and 2,7,9,9-tetraphenyl-9,10-dihydroacridine (3), were investigated. These compounds exhibited relatively high quantum yields in a range of solvents (1: 17–68%, 2: 25–72%, 3: 49–69%). To evaluate their fluorescence behavior, the correlations between the fluorescence maxima and the empirical polarity parameter, $E_T(30)$, were studied. Linear correlations were obtained and the slopes for 1, 2, and 3 were 240, 241, and 78 cm^{-1} , respectively. The differences between the excited and the ground state dipole moments based on the Lippert–Mataga expression were higher than those of the representative fluorescence solvatochromic dyes, Nile Red and PRODAN. The effect of the push–pull substitution in the dihydroacridine π -conjugated system was discussed. Based on the MO calculation, the CT processes from the electron donating NH moiety to the electron withdrawing ester moiety are ascribed to causing the positive fluorescence solvatochromism in 1 and 2. Paper
 $\frac{1}{2}$ and $\frac{$

Experimental section

The reactions were performed under N_2 with the exception of the hydrogenation reaction. UV-vis and fluorescence spectra were recorded using JASCO V-650 and JASCO FP-777W, respectively. The quantum yields were determined by the absolute measurement using a Shimadzu RF-6000 attached integrating sphere unit. The CV measurements were performed in a CH_2Cl_2 solution with tetrabutylammonium hexafluorophosphate (0.1 M) as the supporting electrolyte (298 K, 100 mV s^{-1} scan rate). The observed redox potentials were corrected against the ferrocene/ ferrocenium (Fc/Fc⁺) couple. The X-ray diffraction data were collected by a Bruker D8 VENTURE diffractometer and refined by using SHELX-2014. Gaussian 03 programs were applied for the DFT calculations and Gaussian 09 programs were for the TD-DFT calculations.

2,7-Dibromo-9,9-diphenyl-9,10-dihydroacridine (5)

NBS (7.8 g, 4.4 eq.) dissolved in 20 ml of DMF was added to a solution of 9,9-diphenyl-9,10-dihydroacridine (1.7 g) in 10 ml of DMF. The reaction mixture was stirred at room temperature for 10 h. An aqueous solution of K_2CO_3 was then added to the mixture. The organic phase was extracted with AcOEt and dried over Na2SO4. After removal of the solvent under reduced pressure, the residue was purified by silica gel column chromatography $(CH_2Cl_2/n$ -hexane = 1/2). The collected material was further purified by recrystallization from CH_2Cl_2/n -hexane to give a white solid (2.4 g, 95%). Mp 280–283 $^{\circ}$ C; ¹H NMR (300 MHz, acetone- d_6): δ = 8.63 (s, 1H), 7.35-7.27 (m, 8H), 6.96-6.92 (m, 6H), 6.86 (d, $J = 2.4$ Hz, 2H) ppm; ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, acetone- d_6): δ = 146.0, 140.2, 133.1, 131.1, 130.8, 129.9, 128.9, 127.7, 116.7, 112.5, 57.5 ppm; IR (KBr): 3389, 2360, 1665, 1598, 1472 cm⁻¹; MS (MALDI, matrix: SA): 490 $[M + H]^+$; E.A. (%): found: C 61.01, H 3.33, N 2.87, calcd for $C_{25}H_{15}Br_2N$: C 61.13, H 3.49, N 2.85.

2,7-Dibromo-9,9-diphenyl-9,10-dihydroacridine-10(9H) carboxylic acid tert-butyl ester (6)

A solution of 2,7-dibromo-9,9-diphenyl-9,10-dihydroacridine (1.67 g, 3.4 mmol) and 4-dimethylaminopyridine (145 mg, 1.2 mmol, 0.35 eq.) in THF was added to a solution of di-tertbutyl dicarbonate (1.13 g, 5.1 mmol, 1.5 eq.) in THF, and the mixture was stirred for 2 h. After the addition of water, the resulting mixture was extracted with ethyl acetate. The organic extracts were washed with brine and dried $(Na₂SO₄)$, concentrated, loaded on silica gel and purified by silica gel column chromatography $(CH_2Cl_2/n$ -hexane = 1/1). The collected material was further purified by recrystallization from CH_2Cl_2/n -hexane to give 6 as a white solid (1.86 g, 92%). Mp 204–209 $^{\circ} \mathrm{C};$ $^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (300 MHz, acetone- d_6): δ = 7.60 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 2H), 7.54 (dd, $J = 8.9$ Hz, 2.4 Hz, 2H), 7.36-7.34 (m, 6H), 6.96 (d, $J = 2.7$ Hz, 2H), 6.85–6.83 (m, 4H), 1.18 (s, 9H) ppm; 13C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 151.1, 143.2, 142.6, 137.8, 131.1, 130.0, 129.6, 128.1, 127.3, 127.0, 118.3, 82.0, 58.3, 27.7 ppm; IR (KBr): 3049, 2983, 1720, 1464, 1323, 1159 cm^{-1} ; MS (MALDI, matrix: SA): 588 $[M - H]^{+}$; E.A. (%): found: C 60.70, H 4.35, N 2.16, calcd for $C_{30}H_{25}Br_2NO_2$: C 60.93, H 4.26, N 2.37.

2,7-Bis(4-methoxycarbonylphenyl)-9,9-diphenyl-9,10 dihydroacridine-10(9H)-carboxylic acid tert-butyl ester (7)

To a solution of 6 (59 mg, 0.10 mmol) in dry THF, 2-(4-methoxycarbonylphenyl)-4,4,5,5-tetramethyl-1,3,2-dioxaborolane (69 mg, 0.25 mmol, 2.5 eq.), potassium carbonate (276 mg, 2.0 mmol, 20 eq.) and $Pd(PPh₃)₄$ (7 mg, 6.0 µmol, 0.06 eq.) were added and the reaction mixture was refluxed for 24 h under nitrogen. The reaction mixture was then poured into water and extracted with ethyl acetate. The organic extracts were washed with brine and dried with $Na₂SO₄$. After removal of the solvent under reduced pressure, the residue was purified by silica gel column chromatography (ethyl acetate/*n*-hexane = $1/3$). The collected material was further purified by recrystallization from CH_2Cl_2/n -hexane to give 7 as a white solid (53 mg, 75%). Mp 151–154 °C; $^1\mathrm{H}$ NMR (300 MHz, acetone- d_6): δ = 8.03 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 4H), 7.81 (d, J = 9.0 Hz, 2H), 7.74 (dd, $J = 8.6$ Hz, 2.1 Hz, 2H), 7.63 (d, $J = 8.4$ Hz, 4H), 7.35–7.33 (m, 6H), 7.22 (d, $J = 2.7$ Hz, 2H), 6.99–6.98 (m, 4H), 3.88 (s, 6H), 1.24 (s, 9H) ppm; ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 166.9, 151.5, 145.1, 143.5, 141.8, 139.0, 136.2, 130.3, 130.1, 128.7, 127.9, 127.3, 127.1, 126.9, 125.9, 125.3, 81.8, 58.6, 52.1, 27.9 ppm; IR (KBr): 2950, 1720, 1608, 1478, 1329, 1280 cm⁻¹; LRMS (EI): m/z (%): 524 (100) $[M - 177]^+, 601$ (38) $[M - 100]^+,$

701 (9) $[M]^+$; HRMS (ESI⁺, quadrupole): m/z calcd for $C_{46}H_{39}NO_6$ [M + Na]: 724.2670, found: 724.2676.

2,7-Bis(4-methoxycarbonylphenyl)-9,9-diphenyl-9,10 dihydroacridine (1)

Concentrated hydrochloric acid was added to a solution of 7 (53 mg, 0.08 mmol) in ethyl acetate and the mixture was refluxed for 1 h. The mixture was then cooled to room temperature and neutralized with a K_2CO_3 solution. The mixture was poured into water and extracted with ethyl acetate. The organic extracts were washed with brine and dried with $Na₂SO₄$. After removal of the solvent under reduced pressure, the residue was purified by silica gel column chromatography (ethyl acetate/*n*-hexane = $1/2$). The collected material was further purified by recrystallization from CH_2Cl_2/n -hexane to give 1 as a pale yellow solid (27 mg, 56%). Mp > 300 °C; ¹H NMR (300 MHz, acetone- d_6): δ = 8.81 (s, 1H), 7.98 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 4H), 7.62 (dd, $J = 8.3$ Hz, 2.0 Hz, 2H), 7.56 (d, $J = 8.7$ Hz, 4H), 7.33–7.10 (m, 14H), 3.87 (s, 6H) ppm; 13C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 167.0, 145.5, 145.3, 139.5, 132.1, 130.2, 130.1, 129.2, 128.4, 128.0, 127.9, 126.7, 126.3, 126.1, 114.3, 57.0, 52.0 ppm; IR (KBr): 3342, 2947, 1820, 1602, 1481, 1434, 1284, 1186, 1114 cm⁻¹; LRMS (EI): m/z (%): 524 (100) [M - C₆H₅]⁺, 601 (28) [M]⁺; HRMS (EI): m/z calcd for C₄₁H₃₁NO₄: 601.2253, found: 601.2268. NGC

(CH₂Ch/h/cmain compact article). The collected material was further 2016. Downloade 2126. Downloade 2126. Downloade 2016. Downloade 2126. Downloade 2126. Downloade 2126. Downloade 2126. Downloade 2016. Downloaded o

2,7,9,9-Tetraphenyl-9,10-dihydroacridine-10(9H)-carboxylic acid tert-butyl ester (8)

8 was obtained by a method similar to 7 and was obtained as a white solid (yield: 77%). Mp 242-246 $^{\circ}$ C; ¹H NMR (300 MHz, acetone- d_6): δ = 7.75 (d, J = 7.5 Hz, 2H), 7.63 (dd, J = 7.7 Hz, 2.0 Hz, 2H), 7.48 $(d, J = 7.8$ Hz, 2H), 7.41-7.30 $(m, 12H)$, 7.14 $(d, J = 2.7 \text{ Hz}, 2\text{H})$, 6.98–6.96 (m, 4H), 1.22 (s, 9H) ppm; ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 151.7, 143.8, 141.7, 140.7, 138.3, 137.3, 130.3, 128.7, 127.8, 127.1, 127.0, 127.0, 126.9, 125.6, 125.0, 81.4, 58.6, 27.8 ppm; IR (KBr): 3056, 3029, 2969, 2928, 1712, 1600, 1474 cm⁻¹; LRMS (EI): m/z (%): 408 (100) [M - C₁₁H₇O₂]⁺, 484 (36) [M - Boc]⁺, 585.3 (18) [M]⁺; HRMS (EI, quadrupole): m/z calcd for $C_{31}H_{21}N$ [M $- C_{11}H_7O_2$]: 407.1674, found: 407.1683.

2,7,9,9-Tetraphenyl-9,10-dihydroacridine (3)

3 was obtained by a method similar to 1 and was obtained as a white solid (yield: 95%). Mp 120 $^{\circ} \mathrm{C};$ $^{1}\mathrm{H}$ NMR (300 MHz, acetone- d_6): δ = 8.57 (s, 1H), 7.51 (d, J = 2.3 Hz, 2H), 7.42–7.07 (m, 22H) ppm; ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, acetone- d_6): δ = 147.3, 141.9, 140.6, 133.4, 131.1, 129.6, 129.5, 128.6, 128.5, 127.3, 127.2, 126.9, 126.7, 115.3, 57.9 ppm; IR (KBr): 3392, 3056, 1602, 1474, 1303 cm⁻¹; LRMS (MALDI, matrix: SA): 485 [M]⁺; E.A. (%): found: C 91.22, H 5.46, N 2.62, calcd for C₃₇H₂₇N: C 91.51, H 5.60, N 2.88.

2,4-Dibromo-N-(2,4-dibromophenyl)-N-(4-methoxybenzyl) aniline (10)

A solution of 2,2',4,4'-tetrabromodiphenylamine (969 mg, 2.0 mmol) and NaH (54 mg, 2.2 mmol, 1.1 eq.) in DMF was stirred for 1 h. The mixture was then added to 4-methoxybenzyl chloride (289 μ l, 2.1 mmol, 1.06 eq.) and stirred for 14 h at room temperature. Water was added to the mixture and the precipitate was filtered. The crude product was purified by silica gel column chromatography $(CH_2Cl_2/n$ -hexane = 1/2). The collected material was further purified by recrystallization from CH_2Cl_2/n -hexane to give 9 as a white solid (1.09 g, 90%). Mp 195–198 °C; ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 7.70 (d, *J* = 2.4 Hz, 2H), 7.36 (d, $J = 8.4$ Hz, 2H), 7.26 (dd, $J = 8.9$ Hz, 2.3 Hz, 2H), 6.79 (d, $J = 8.4$ Hz, 4H), 4.68 (s, 2H), 3.75 (s, 3H) ppm; ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 158.7, 145.8, 136.6, 130.9, 128.7, 128.6, 126.4, 121.9, 117.2, 113.8, 56.0, 55.1 ppm; IR (KBr): 2930, 1509, 1464, 1240, 1175 cm^{-1} ; LRMS (MALDI, matrix: SA): 600 $[M]^+$; E.A. (%): found: C 40.01, H 2.63, N 2.20, calcd for $C_{20}H_{15}Br_4NO: C$ 39.71, H 2.50, N 2.32.

2,8-Dibromo-5-(4-methoxybenzyl)-10,10-diphenyl-5,10 dihydrophenazasiline (11)

To a solution of 10 (605 mg, 1.0 mmol) in 19 ml of $Et₂O$, *n*-BuLi $(2.6 M in n$ -hexane solution, 0.84 ml, 2.1 mmol, 2.1 eq.) was added dropwise at 0 \degree C and the mixture was stirred for 0.5 h. $SiPh₂Cl₂$ (0.25 ml, 1.2 mmol, 1.2 eq.) was next added to the reaction mixture. The mixture was warmed to room temperature and stirred overnight. Water was added, and the resulting mixture was extracted with ethyl acetate. The organic extracts were washed with brine, dried with $Na₂SO₄$ and concentrated. The residue was purified by silica gel column chromatography $(CH₂Cl₂/n$ -hexane = 1/3). The collected material was further purified by recrystallization from CH_2Cl_2/n -hexane to give 11 as a white solid (483 mg, 77%). Mp 204-208 °C; ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 7.53–7.33 (m, 14H), 7.01 (d, $J = 8.4$ Hz, 2H), 6.88 (d, $J = 8.7$ Hz, 2H), 6.82 (d, $J = 8.4$ Hz, 2H), 5.08 (s, 2H), 3.80 (s, 3H) ppm; ¹³C NMR $(75 \text{ MHz}, \text{CDCl}_3)$: $\delta = 158.7, 148.9, 137.1, 136.1, 133.5, 132.6, 130.2,$ 128.5, 128.2, 127.4, 121.7, 118.5, 114.3, 114.0, 55.3, 55.3 ppm; IR $(KBr): 3066, 2954, 1509, 1449, 1394, 1291, 1220 cm^{-1}; LRMS$ (MALDI, matrix: SA): 625 [M]⁺; E.A. (%): found: C 61.24, H 4.08, N 2.08, calcd for $C_{32}H_{25}Br_2NOSi$: C 61.26, H 4.02, N 2.33. Paper

Construction (180 µ, 2.1 mma), 4.06 cq.) and started for 14 h at 2.49-Dibromo-to, to dispertey 8.50 dispertension with

construction constrained on 20 minutes are published on 8/2017 example and tracked terms in th

2,8-Dibromo-10,10-diphenyl-5,10-dihydrophenazasiline (12)

Pd black (15 mg) and 11 (44 mg, 0.09 mmol) were placed in a one-necked flask and dichloromethane was then added. Nitrogen displacement was next conducted. The mixture was stirred for 15 h after the H_2 displacement. Nitrogen was again displaced. The mixture was then filtered through Celite. Dichloromethane was added to carry out a conventional separation treatment. The organic extracts were washed with brine and dried with $Na₂SO₄$. After removal of the solvent under reduced pressure, the residue was purified by silica gel column chromatography $(CH₂Cl₂/n$ -hexane = 1/3). The collected material was further purified by recrystallization from $\text{CH}_2\text{Cl}_2/n$ -hexane to give 12 as a white solid (25 mg, 63%). Mp 254–257 °C; $^1\rm H$ NMR (300 MHz, acetone- d_6 : δ = 9.01 (s, 1H), 7.60–7.57 (m, 6H), 7.51–7.44 (m, 8H), 7.09 (d, $J = 9.0$ Hz, 2H) ppm; ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 144.8, 137.8, 135.8, 133.9, 133.8, 130.1, 128.2, 117.4, 115.9, 112.8 ppm; IR (KBr): 3403, 1595, 1457, 1370, 1327, 1231 cm⁻¹; LRMS (MALDI, matrix: SA): 505 [M]⁺; E.A. (%): found: C 56.61, H 3.41, N 2.64, calcd for C₂₄H₁₇Br₂NSi: C 56.82, H 3.38, N 2.76.

2,8-Dibromo-10,10-diphenyl-5,10-dihydrophenazasiline- $5(10H)$ -carboxylic acid tert-butyl ester (13)

13 was obtained by a method similar to 6 and was obtained as a white solid. Yield: 89%; Mp 201–204 $^{\circ} \mathrm{C};$ $^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 7.54-7.36 (m, 16H), 1.15 (s, 9H) ppm; ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 152.5, 145.8, 136.2, 136.1, 134.3, 132.6, 130.6, 129.4, 128.3, 120.0, 81.6, 27.8 ppm; IR (KBr): 3069, 2874, 2930, 1714, 1450, 1369, 1312, 1248 cm⁻¹; LRMS (MALDI, matrix: SA): 605 [M]⁺; E.A. (%): found: C 57.12, H 4.20, N 2.13, calcd for $C_{29}H_{25}Br_2NO_2Si$: C 57.34, H 4.15, N 2.31.

2,8-Bis(4-methoxycarbonylphenyl)-10,10-diphenyl-5,10 dihydrophenazasiline-5(10H)-carboxylic acid tert-butyl ester (14)

14 was prepared by a method similar to 7 and was obtained as a white solid. Yield: 51%; Mp 120–122 $^{\circ} \mathrm{C};$ $^{1}\mathrm{H}$ NMR (300 MHz, acetone- d_6 : δ = 8.04 (d, J = 8.7 Hz, 4H), 7.84–7.83 (m, 4H), 7.74– 7.71 (m, 6H), 7.66–7.63 (m, 4H), 7.52–7.45 (m, 6H), 3.88 (s, 6H), 1.26 (s, 9H) ppm; ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 166.9, 153.3, 148.5, 145.6, 137.8, 137.0, 133.1, 133.1, 131.5, 131.4, 130.8, 129.9, 129.4, 129.3, 129.2, 127.8, 81.6, 52.3, 28.1 ppm; IR (KBr): 2976, $2950, 1719, 1609, 1457, 1432, 1321, 1278, 1161, 1110 \, \mathrm{cm}^{-1}; \mathrm{LRMS}$ (EI): m/z (%): 540 (20) $[M - 177]^+, 617$ (80) $[M - 100]^+, 662$ (100) $[M - 55]^+, 717 (11) [M]^+$; HRMS (ESI⁺, quadrupole): m/z calcd for $C_{45}H_{39}NO_6Si$ [M + Na]: 740.2439, found: 740.2446.

2,8-Bis(4-methoxycarbonylphenyl)-10,10-diphenyl-5,10 dihydrophenazasiline (2)

 $H₂SO₄$ was added to a solution of 14 (100 mg, 0.14 mmol) in MeOH and the mixture was stirred at room temperature for 3 h. The mixture was then neutralized with a K_2CO_3 solution. The mixture was poured into water and extracted with ethyl acetate. The organic extracts were washed with brine and dried with Na2SO4. After removal of the solvent under reduced pressure, the residue was purified by silica gel column chromatography (ethyl acetate/*n*-hexane = $1/2$). The collected material was further purified by recrystallization from CH_2Cl_2/n -hexane to give 2 as a pale yellow solid (56 mg, 65%); Mp 272-274 °C; ¹H NMR (300 MHz, acetone- d_6): δ = 9.16 (s, 1H), 8.02 (d, J = 8.7 Hz, 4H), 7.95 (d, $J = 2.1$ Hz, 2H), 7.81 (dd, $J = 8.7$ Hz, 2.1 Hz, 2H), 7.74–7.68 (m, 10H), 7.45–7.42 (m, 6H), 7.29 (d, $J = 8.1$ Hz, 2H), 3.88 (s, 6H) ppm; ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 167.0, 146.2, 145.1, 135.9, 134.9, 134.7, 131.7, 130.1, 129.9, 129.8, 128.1, 128.1, 126.2, 116.2, 114.0, 52.1; IR (KBr): 3423, 1720, 1597, 1460, 1434, 1285 $\rm cm^{-1}$; LRMS (EI): $\rm m/z$ (%): 540 (20) $\rm [M-C_6H_5]^+$, 617 (80) $[M]^{\dagger}$; HRMS (ESI-, quadrupole): m/z calcd for $C_{40}H_{31}NO_4Si$ [M $-$ H]: 616.1950, found: 616.1962.

Acknowledgements

This work was partly supported by a Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research (No. 25620066) from MEXT, Japan, and the MEXT-Supported Program for the Strategic Research Foundation at Private Universities, 2012–2016.

References

- 1 (a) J. R. Lakowicz, in Principles of Fluorescence Spectroscopy, Springer Science + Business, New York, 2010; (b) B. Valeur, in Molecular Fluorescence, Princeples and Applications, Wiley-VCH, New York, 2002; (c) A. P. de Silva, H. Q. N. Gunaratne, T. Gunnlaugsson, A. J. M. Huxley, C. P. McCoy, J. T. Rademacher and T. E. Rice, Chem. Rev., 1997, 97, 1515; (d) K. A. Willets, S. Y. Nishimura, P. J. Schuck, R. J. Twieg and W. E. Moerner, Acc. Chem. Res., 2005, 38, 549; (e) M. S. T. Gonçalves, Chem. Rev., 2009, 109, 190; (f) R. W. Sinkeldam, N. J. Greco and Y. Tor, Chem. Rev., 2010, 110, 2579; (g) Z. Yang, J. Cao, Y. He, J. H. Yang, T. Kim, X. Peng and J. S. Kim, Chem. Soc. Rev., 2014, 43, 4563. NG Vectories Articles. Published of Thomassum Spectrossay, 19. Encore, 2016. New York, 1946. Published on 2016. New York, 1944. Published on 2016. New York, 1945. New York, 1947. New York, 1947. New York, 2010 (b) is valu
	- 2 G. Saroja, T. Soujanya, B. Ramachandram and A. Samanta, J. Fluoresc., 1998, 8, 405.
	- 3 (a) G. Weber and F. J. Farris, Biochemistry, 1979, 18, 3075; (b) A. Balter, W. Nowak, W. Pawelkiewicz and A. Kowalczyk, Chem. Phys. Lett., 1988, 8, 405.
	- 4 G. Loving and B. Imperiali, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2008, 130, 13630.
	- 5 (a) M. E. Vazquez, J. B. Blanco and B. Imperiali, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2005, 127, 1300; (b) A. R. Katritzky and T. Narindoshvili, Org. Biomol. Chem., 2009, 7, 627.
	- 6 (a) S. Fery-Forgues, J.-P. Fayet and A. Lopez, J. Photochem. Photobiol., A, 1993, 70, 229; (b) B. E. Cohen, A. Pralle, X. Yao, G. Swaminath, C. S. Gandhi, Y. N. Jan, B. K. Kobilka, E. Y. Isacoff and L. Y. Jan, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A., 2005, 102, 965; (c) P. D. Zoon and A. M. Brouwer, *ChemPhysChem*, 2005, 6, 1574; (d) Z. Lu, S. J. Lord, H. Wang, W. E. Moerner and R. J. Twieg, J. Org. Chem., 2006, 71, 9651; (e) S. J. Load, Z. Lu, H. Wang, K. A. Willets, P. J. Schuck, H.-I. D. Lee, S. Y. Nishimura, R. J. Twieg and W. E. Moerner, J. Phys. Chem. A, 2007, 111, 8934; (f) K. Baathulaa, Y. Xu and X. Qian, J. Photochem. Photobiol., A, 2010, 216, 24; (g) A. Fakhari M. and S. E. Rokita, Chem. Commun., 2011, 47, 4222; (h) E. Benedetti, L. S. Kocsis and K. M. Brummond, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2012, 134, 12418; (i) A. G. Gilani, M. Moghadam and M. S. Zakerhamidi, *Dyes* Pigm., 2012, 92, 1052; (j) L. Giordano, V. V. Shvadchak, J. A. Fauerbach, E. A. Jares-Erijman and T. M. Jovin, J. Phys. Chem. Lett., 2012, 3, 1011; (k) Y. Kubota, Y. Sakuma, K. Funabiki and M. Matsui, J. Phys. Chem. A, 2014, 118, 8717; (l) A. Tigreros, A. Ortiz and B. Insuasty, Dyes Pigm., 2014, 111, 45; (m) S. Kato, T. Furuya, M. Nitani, N. Hasebe, Y. Le, Y. Aso, T. Yoshihara, S. Tobita and Y. Nakamura, Chem. – Eur. J., 2015, 21, 3115.
	- 7 (a) S. Yamaguchi and K. Tamao, Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn., 1996, 69, 2327; (b) S. Kyushin, M. Ikarugi, M. Goto, H. Hiratsuka and H. Matsumoto, Organometallics, 1996, 15, 1067; (c) S. Satoh, H. Suzuki, Y. Kimata and A. Kuriyama, Synth.

Met., 1996, 79, 97; (d) S. Yamaguchi, S. Akiyama and K. Tamao, Organometallics, 1998, 17, 4347; (e) H. J. Tracy, J. L. Mullin, W. T. Klooster, J. A. Martin, J. Haug, S. Wallace, I. Rudloe and K. Watts, Inorg. Chem., 2005, 44, 2003; (f) M. Shimizu, H. Tatsumi, K. Mochida, K. Oda and T. Hiyama, Chem. – Asian J., 2008, 3, 1238; (g) Y. Yabusaki, N. Ohshima, H. Kondo, T. Kusamoto, Y. Yamanoi and H. Nishihara, Chem. – Eur. J., 2010, 16, 5581; (h) T. Agou, M. D. Hossain and T. Kawashima, Chem. – Eur. J., 2010, 16, 368; (i) M. Shimizu and T. Hiyama, Synlett, 2012, 973.

- 8 (a) M. Yao, S. Asakura, M. Abe, H. Inoue and N. Yoshioka, Cryst. Growth Des., 2005, 5, 413; (b) M. Yao, H. Inoue and N. Yoshioka, Chem. Phys. Lett., 2005, 402, 11.
- 9 R. Suzuki, R. Tada, Y. Miura and N. Yoshioka, J. Mol. Struct., 2016, 1106, 399.
- 10 T. L. Andrew and T. M. Swager, J. Org. Chem., 2011, 76, 2976.
- 11 S. Kajigaeshi, T. Kakinami, K. Inoue, M. Kondo, H. Nakamura, M. Fujikawa and T. Okamoto, Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn., 1988, 61, 597.
- 12 H. Gilman and E. A. Zuech, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1960, 82, 2522.
- 13 M. E. Lee, H. M. Cho, C. H. Kim and W. Ando, Organometallics, 2001, 20, 1472.
- 14 C. Reichardt, Chem. Rev., 1994, 94, 2319.
- 15 Y. Niko, S. Kawauchi and G. Konishi, Chem. Eur. J., 2013, 19, 9760.
- 16 A. S. Klymchenko and A. P. Demchenko, Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys., 2003, 5, 461.
- 17 O. A. Kucherak, L. Richert, Y. Mély and A. S. Klymchenko, Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys., 2012, 14, 2292.
- 18 (a) E. L. Lippert, Organic Molecular Photophysics, Wiley, New York, 1975; (b) N. Mataga and T. Kubota, Molecular Interactions and Electronic Spectra, Dekker, New York, 1970.
- 19 S. I. van Dijk, P. G. Wiering, C. P. Groen, A. M. Brouwer, J. W. Verhoeven, W. Schuddeboom and J. M. Warman, J. Chem. Soc., Faraday Trans., 1995, 91, 2107.
- 20 A. K. Dutta, K. Kamada and K. Ohta, J. Photochem. Photobiol., A, 1996, 93, 57.
- 21 S. Kyushin, Y. Ishikita, H. Matsumoto, H. Horiuchi and H. Hiratsuka, Chem. Lett., 2006, 35, 64.
- 22 (a) Z. R. Grabowski, K. Rotkiewicz and W. Rettig, Chem. Rev., 2003, 103, 3899; (b) H. Kotaka, G. Konishi and K. Mizuno, Tetrahedron Lett., 2010, 51, 181.
- 23 O. A. Kucherak, P. Didier, Y. Mély and A. S. Klymchenko, J. Phys. Chem. Lett., 2010, 1, 616.
- 24 S. Sasaki, Y. Niko, A. S. Klymchenko and G. Konishi, Tetrahedron, 2014, 70, 7551.
- 25 E. Yamaguchi, C. Wang, A. Fukazawa, M. Taki, Y. Sato, T. Sasaki, M. Ueda, N. Sasaki, T. Higashiyama and S. Yamaguchi, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2015, 54, 4539.