Imaging viscoelastic properties of live cells by AFM: power-law rheology on the nanoscale
1. Introduction

The generation of forces and the mechanical properties of cells are closely associated with fundamental processes such as cell division, locomotion and invasion, differentiation, mechanotransduction, and apoptosis. Altered mechanical properties of cells are believed to be linked to many diseases, including cancer and cardiovascular diseases. As a result, gaining detailed knowledge of the mechanical properties of live cells has become of increasing importance. In recent years, a new and promising framework for the microscopic description and interpretation of the viscoelastic behavior of live cells has been proposed. This framework describes the cell as a soft glassy material with rheological properties that are scale-free in time and frequency. This scale-free behavior can be mathematically described in terms of a power-law.

In order to quantify the mechanical properties of live cells, a myriad of different rheological instruments and methods have been developed. Examples are micropipette manipulation, magnetic bead microrheometry, intracellular microrheometry, the optical stretcher, and atomic force microscopy (AFM). With these techniques, local variations in the viscoelastic power-law parameters between individual positions have been observed. AFM combines the capabilities of high-resolution imaging with quantitative mechanical probing. It has been used to record spatially resolved maps of viscoelastic properties of live cells, but using purely elastic material models or spring-dashpot type viscoelastic material models only. Neither AFM nor other methods have yet been used to spatially map viscoelastic power-law parameters.

We developed a new AFM imaging technique for mapping the viscoelastic power-law parameters with sub-micrometer resolution, termed “force clamp force mapping” (FCFM). This technique combines the conventional force mapping imaging mode with an additional force clamp phase during each force-distance curve. The creep behavior during the force clamp phase conforms to a power-law, from which we obtained the local power-law parameters $E_0$ and $\beta$. The modulus scaling parameter $E_0$ is a measure of the sample’s rigidity; the power-law exponent $\beta$ is a measure of the sample’s fluidity and...
dissipative properties. The parameters $E_0$ and $\beta$ of many cells exposed to different pharmacological treatments have been shown to collapse onto a master curve, from which two more power-law scaling parameters, $j_0$ and $\tau_0$, can be extracted, whereby $j_0$ is the inverse of the maximum elastic modulus of the material at the glass transition and $\tau_0$ is the time scale of the fastest observable dissipative processes. We show that FCFM can be used to determine the scaling parameters $j_0$ and $\tau_0$ without a pharmacological treatment. Instead, we take advantage of the naturally occurring variation of power-law responses between different cells. While the modulus scaling parameter $E_0$ and the power-law exponent $\beta$ did not significantly differ between wild-type mouse embryonic fibroblasts (MEF WT) and vinculin knockout cells (MEF vin−/−), $j_0$ and $\tau_0$ significantly differed between the cell populations.

2. Results

2.1 Force clamp force mapping (FCFM)

We developed force clamp force mapping (FCFM) as a novel AFM technique. FCFM is based on force mapping (FM), an AFM imaging mode used to determine the local elastic properties of a sample by recording force–distance curves. A detailed description of FM can be found elsewhere. In FCFM, the cantilever is vertically approached to the cell at a constant velocity (here: $v_{\text{Appr}} = 8 \, \mu\text{m s}^{-1}$) (Fig. 1a and b, left). After tip-sample contact at time $t_C$, the apparent force on the cantilever (Fig. 1c, gray trace) increases. The approach is stopped when a pre-defined force $F_{\text{Clamp}}$ (here: $F_{\text{Clamp}} = 400 \, \text{pN}$) is reached. In contrast to FM, the tip would now be retracted from the sample, the force is clamped at the value $F_{\text{Clamp}}$ by a feedback loop controlling the $z$-position of the cantilever for a pre-defined force clamp period $\Delta t_{\text{Clamp}}$ (Fig. 1, green area). While the force clamp is active, the viscoelastic creep of the cell leads to an increasing indentation of the cell (Fig. 1d, black trace). We set $\Delta t_{\text{Clamp}} = 64 \, \text{ms}$, which was a compromise between a high mapping rate and a high fitting accuracy. This timescale lies within the experimentally obtained frequency range that is governed by power-law rheology (about 0.01–100 s). Finally, the cantilever is retracted from the cell at a constant velocity (here: $v_{\text{Retr}} = 35 \, \mu\text{m s}^{-1}$), which was chosen larger than $v_{\text{Appr}}$ to speed up the measurement (Fig. 1, right).

To correct the measurement for the viscous drag by the surrounding fluid on the cantilever, we estimated the viscous drag force from the velocity of the cantilever tip $v_{\text{tip}}(t)$ (Fig. S1, ESI†). We then obtained the net force on the cell $F(t)$ from the measured cantilever deflection $d(t)$ by subtracting the viscous drag force

$$F(t) = kd(t) - \mu v_{\text{tip}}(t),$$

where $k$ is the spring constant and $\mu$ the viscous drag coefficient of the cantilever (Fig. 1c, black trace). The indentation $\delta(t)$ of the cell was determined as

$$\delta(t) = [z(t) - z_C] - [d(t) - d_C].$$

This procedure is repeated on an array of xy-positions on the cell, giving $z(t)$ and $d(t)$ as a function of time for each xy-position. From these data, two-dimensional maps of viscoelastic parameters and topography were calculated (see Section 2.4).

2.2 Theoretical model

The relation between an increasing loading force $F(t)$ on a rigid indenter that is penetrating a linear viscoelastic body and the corresponding indentation depth $\delta(t)$ was first derived by Lee and Radok. For a pyramidal indenter, the indentation

$$\delta^2(t) = C \int_0^t J(t - t') \frac{dF(t')}{dt'} dt'.$$
$J(t)$ is the creep compliance of the indented body and $C = 1.342 (1 - \nu^2)/\tan\alpha$ is the geometrical pre-factor for a four-sided pyramidal indenter with a semi-included angle (axis-to-face) $\alpha$.\textsuperscript{37} The Poisson ratio $\nu$ is assumed to be 0.5, modeling the cell as an incompressible body.\textsuperscript{38} Eqn (3) is only valid for a monotonically increasing indentation,\textsuperscript{35} which limits its application to the approach and constant force phases.

The creep compliance of a power-law material is

$$J(t) = J_0 \left( \frac{t}{t_0} \right)^\beta$$

(4)

with $0 < \beta < 1$ and $J_0$, $t_0 > 0$. Here, we used a power-law material model, but an analogous analysis can be performed with different material models such as spring-dashpot type models.\textsuperscript{39} According to eqn (3), the indentation also depends on the loading force history $F(t)$. Therefore, it is desirable to find a functional description for $F(t)$, so that eqn (3) can be evaluated analytically. We found that the force in the contact regime before retraction is well described by

$$F(t) = F_{\text{Clamp}} \begin{cases} 0 & ; \quad t < t_C \\ \frac{(t - t_C)^a}{(\Delta t_A)^a} & ; \quad t_C \leq t < t_C + \Delta t_A \\ 1 & ; \quad t_C + \Delta t_A \leq t \end{cases}$$

(5)

where $\Delta t_A$ is the duration of the force increase and $a$ describes its shape. Note that $a = 2$ corresponds to a purely elastic sample (assuming a relatively stiff cantilever).\textsuperscript{37} This function is fitted to the measured $F(t)$ data by least squares fitting (Fig. 1c) with $t_C$, $\Delta t_A$, $a$, and $F_{\text{Clamp}}$ as free parameters. From the contact time $t_C$, the contact height $z_C = z(t_C)$ is obtained, which is the undeformed height of the cell at the current $xy$-position of the cantilever tip. The parameters $t_C$, $\Delta t_A$, $a$, and $F_{\text{Clamp}}$ uniquely parameterize the loading force history of the cell. Inserting eqn (4) and (5) into eqn (3) and piecewise integration gives

$$\delta(t) = \left[ CF_{\text{Clamp}} \frac{a(t - t_C)^{a+\beta}}{(\Delta t_A)^a} B \left( \frac{\Delta t_A}{t - t_C}; a, \beta + 1 \right) \right]^{1/2}$$

(6)

for the period of the force clamp $t_C + \Delta t_A \leq t \leq t_C + \Delta t_A + \Delta t_{\text{Clamp}}$, where $B$ denotes the incomplete beta function. This leaves $J_0$ and $\beta$ as remaining free parameters, which are obtained by fitting eqn (6) to the measured indentation curves (Fig. 1d). We define the local modulus scaling parameter of the material as $E_0 = 1/J_0$. Analyzing the force and indentation curves for each $xy$-position on an array of pixels gives two-dimensional maps of the contact height $z_C$, the modulus scaling parameter $E_0$, and the power-law exponent $\beta$ (see Section 2.4).

### 2.3 Validation of FCFM on polyacrylamide gels

We applied FCFM to viscoelastic polyacrylamide (PAA) gels. The contact height map (Fig. 2a) shows the flat gel surface with an RMS (root-mean-square) roughness of 16 nm. The modulus scaling parameter $E_0$ (Fig. 2b) shows a log-normal distribution, ranging from about 4.5 kPa to 6.5 kPa with a mean of $E_0 = 5.3$ kPa (Fig. 2b, histogram). The power-law exponent $\beta$ (Fig. 2c) shows a normal distribution, ranging from about 0.07 to 0.12 with a mean value of $\beta = 0.091$ (Fig. 2c, histogram). The mean values of $E_0$ and $\beta$ correspond to a storage modulus of $E' = 5$ kPa, a loss modulus of $E'' = 0.7$ kPa, and a loss tangent of $E''/E' = 0.14$ (see Materials and methods), which is consistent with results from oscillatory AFM measurements\textsuperscript{40} and from macroscopic rheometer measurements.\textsuperscript{41}

### 2.4 Spatially resolved maps of the power-law parameters of live cells

FCFM was applied to live MEF cells. Fig. 3 shows one representative dataset. The contact height map (Fig. 3a) shows the undeformed cell topography. The modulus scaling parameter map (Fig. 3b) reveals fibrous structures of elevated $E_0$ (white arrows). A histogram of $E_0$ within the cell shows an approximately log-normal distribution, ranging from 10–100 kPa (Fig. 3b, histogram). The power-law exponent $\beta$ of the cell shows a systematic spatial variation (Fig. 3c). Elevated values of $\beta$ are mostly found in the cells’ peripheral regions (black arrows). Histograms of $\beta$ show an approximately normal distribution, ranging from 0.1–0.2 (Fig. 3c, histogram). A log-normal distribution of the cells’ modulus scaling parameter and a normal distribution of the power-law exponent have previously been reported when many cells were measured at
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**Fig. 2** Force clamp force mapping (FCFM) on a polyacrylamide (PAA) gel. (a) Map of contact height $z_C$. (b) Map of the modulus scaling parameter $E_0$, showing a log-normal distribution (histogram). (c) Map of the power-law exponent $\beta$, showing a normal distribution (histogram). Pixel resolution is 20 \times 20 pixels.
2.5 Vinculin affects the power-law scaling parameters $j_0$ and $\tau_0$ of MEF cells

We tested whether FCFM can be used to quantify the effect of a protein knockout on the power-law rheological properties of MEF cells. To test this in a robust manner, we measured maps of 40 MEF WT and 33 MEF vin$^{-/-}$ cells and computed the median of $E_o$ and $\beta$ for each cell of both cell populations (Fig. 4a and b). $E_o$ and $\beta$ strongly vary between the cells and approximately range from 5–50 kPa and from 0.05–0.25, respectively. Furthermore, log($E_o$) and $\beta$ exhibit a negative linear correlation, in line with previous results. The means values of $E_o$ and $\beta$, however, do not differ significantly for WT and vin$^{-/-}$ cells ($p > 0.6$) (Fig. 4c and d). Interestingly, while the cell-to-cell variation (geometric standard deviation) of $E_o$ does not differ significantly for WT and vin$^{-/-}$ cells ($p > 0.3$) (Fig. 4e), the cell-to-cell variation (standard deviation) of $\beta$ is significantly smaller for WT than for vin$^{-/-}$ cells ($p < 10^{-7}$) (Fig. 4f).

From a linear correlation between log($E_o$) and $\beta$, it follows that there are two more scaling parameters, here denoted as $j_0$ and $\tau_0$. In this case, eqn (4) rewrites as $j(t) = j_0 (t/\tau_0)^{\beta}$, giving

$$\log(E_o) = - \log j_0 + \beta \cdot \log \left(\frac{\tau_0}{t_0}\right). \quad (7)$$

The dependence between log($E_o$) and $\beta$ appears to differ for the WT and the vin$^{-/-}$ cells (Fig. 4a and b), suggesting that the scaling parameters are different for wild-type and knockout cells. We computed $j_0$ and $\tau_0$ for each cell population by fitting eqn (7) to the data (Fig. 4a and b, red curves) and found a significant difference between WT and vin$^{-/-}$ cells ($p < 10^{-4}$) (Fig. 4g and h). In combination with eqn (7), $j_0$ and $\tau_0$ therefore represent different master curves for wild-type and vinculin knockout cells.

3. Discussion and conclusion

We developed FCFM, a new AFM technique for mapping the viscoelastic properties of single cells with high spatial resolution by including a brief creep behavior measurement into the traditional force-indentation protocol. We validated FCFM on soft polyacrylamide gels and demonstrated that the method provides quantitative viscoelastic sample properties in agreement with other, well-established methods. We found that the creep behavior of cells is well recapitulated by a power-law material model, in agreement with oscillatory AFM measurements. For the first time, we mapped the modulus scaling parameter $E_o$ and the local power-law exponent $\beta$ over the entire surface of single live MEF cells, with a lateral pixel resolution of about 600 nm and a pixel rate of up to 7 Hz. Mapping was facilitated by a fast measurement protocol with a duration down to 0.14 s at each sample position. This duration is faster compared to previous, oscillatory AFM measurements on living cells, where the measurement at each position required several seconds. However, oscillatory AFM
measurements can provide viscoelastic properties over a wide frequency range without assuming a material model.

The local values for $E_0$ range from approximately 10–100 kPa (Fig. 3b) and those for $\beta$ from approximately 0.1–0.2 (Fig. 3c), which is in good agreement with previously reported data obtained by measuring many cells at one position each.16,22,41,47–49 The distributions of both $\log(E_0)$ and $\beta$ are well described by normal distributions, which is also consistent with data obtained by optical magnetic twisting cytometry,50 AFM,23 and optical stretcher18 measurements. The recorded rheological maps indicate that elevated values of $\beta$ are more likely to be found in peripheral regions of the cell. Although a comprehensive microscopic interpretation of $\beta$ is still missing, it has been proposed that $\beta$ represents the turn-over dynamics of cytoskeletal proteins and cross-linkers, including myosin motor activity.10 Cytoskeletal protein dynamics is essential for contraction and locomotion21 and has been reported to be higher in peripheral areas of the cell such as in the lamella and the lamellipodium, resulting in elevated values for $\beta$. Cell organelles such as the cell nucleus were not visible in the maps of $E_0$ or $\beta$, however.

We measured the medians of $E_0$ and $\beta$ for each of 40 MEF WT and 33 MEF vin$^{--}$ cells to gain a robust data set for further analysis. The medians of $E_0$ and $\beta$ strongly varied across the measured cells. The population means of $E_0$ and $\beta$ and the cell-to-cell variation of $E_0$ did not show a significant influence of vinculin knockout. However, the cell-to-cell variation of $\beta$ differed significantly for WT and vin$^{--}$ cells.

As a next step, we used FCFM to determine the scaling parameters $j_0$ and $\tau_0$ of both cell populations. In previous studies, $j_0$ and $\tau_0$ has been determined by extrapolating creep or frequency responses from cells with different pharmacological treatments29–33 or from cells with different baseline stiffnesses.31 Extrapolation over several orders of magnitude in time or frequency, far beyond the measurement time scale,29,31 however leads to inaccuracies.29,30,33 Here, we performed the measurement at a fixed time scale and determined the scaling parameters $j_0$ and $\tau_0$ by fitting $\log(E_0)$ vs. $\beta$ for multiple cells (Fig. 4a and b). The so-determined scaling parameters $j_0$ and $\tau_0$ showed large and statistically significant differences between wild-type and knockout cells. Such differences have previously found only between cells of different origin,29–33 but not for cells of the same type that differed only in the expression levels of a protein.

Power-law rheology and collapse of $\log(E_0)$ vs. $\beta$ onto a master curve is predicted by the theory of soft glassy materials, which derives power-law rheological properties from weak binding interactions of disordered, non-thermally agitated structural elements in a complex energy landscape. Accordingly, $\tau_0$ is the minimum time interval between reorganization processes, and $j_0$ is the compliance of the material at the glass transition where reorganization processes no longer occur.9

Applied to cells, $1/\tau_0$ can be interpreted as the maximum frequency beyond which the cytoskeleton exhibits no reorganization dynamics and reaches its maximum "rigor" stiffness of $1/j_0$.21 Following this interpretation, $j_0$ and $\tau_0$ can also be understood as cell-type-specific parameters that define the range of power-law rheological behavior that is "allowed" to the cell.10 Consequently, a cell cannot "vary" its stiffness independently from $\beta$, but only along a specific master curve, which is represented by the red curves in Fig. 4a and b.

It appears that the knockout of vinculin as part of the focal adhesion complex52 decreases the maximum or "rigor" stiffness $1/j_0$ and slows down the molecular reorganization dynamics of the cytoskeleton. Vinculin is an important protein for coupling mechanical forces from the cytoskeleton to the extracellular matrix.53,54 Loss of vinculin leads to a decrease of cytoskeletal...
4. Materials and methods

4.1 Power-law rheology

The viscoelastic behavior of live cells can be described by a power-law over several decades in time or frequency (from about 0.01–100 s or 0.01–100 Hz, respectively). In this range, the time-dependent creep compliance \( J(t) \), which is the ratio of strain \( s(t) \) to mechanical stress in response to a force step, can be described as \( J(t) = J_0(t/t_0)^\beta \). Analogously, the frequency-dependent complex modulus \( G(f) \) also follows a power-law: \( G(f) \sim f^\beta \). The power-law exponent \( \beta \) is a dimensionless number that characterizes the degree of dissipation or "fluidity" of such a power-law material, where \( \beta = 0 \) corresponds to a purely elastic solid and \( \beta = 1 \) to a purely viscous Newtonian fluid. The power-law exponent of live cells typically covers a relatively wide range from about 0.1–0.5, as was observed with different measurement techniques. The power-law pre-factor \( J_0 = J(t = t_0) \) is a measure of the material's compliance at the time \( t = t_0 \), \( J_0 \) and \( t_0 \) cannot be determined independently of each other in a single measurement, owing to the scaling invariance. Hence, the time scaling parameter \( t_0 \) can be chosen arbitrarily and is usually set to \( t_0 = 1 \) s. We define the scaling parameter for the material's modulus as \( E_0 = 1/J_0 \), which can therefore be understood as the apparent Young's modulus of the material at a timescale of 1 s.

We also used the conventional, purely elastic Hertzian contact model adapted for pyramidal tips to extract an apparent Young's modulus \( E \) from the approach part of the force–distance curves. For the PAA gel we found that the mean value of \( E \) (5.4 kPa, Fig. S3a, ESI†) was slightly larger than the previously obtained mean value of \( E_0 \) (5.3 kPa, Fig. 2b); for the cell we found that the mean value of \( E \) (43 kPa, Fig. S3b, ESI†) was larger than the previously obtained mean value of \( E_0 \) (26 kPa, Fig. 3b). This is because \( E \) depends on the time scale of the indentation,60 which is shorter than the chosen time scaling parameter \( t_0 = 1 \) s of the \( E_0 \)-values obtained with FCFM.

From the parameters \( E_0 \) and \( \beta \) the complex modulus \( E^* = E' + iE'' \) of the material can be estimated using the storage modulus \( E' \equiv E_0 \Gamma(\beta + 1)\cos(\beta\pi/2) \) and the loss modulus \( E'' \equiv E_0 \Gamma(\beta + 1)\sin(\beta\pi/2) \), which are related by the loss tangent \( \tan \theta \equiv E''/E' = \tan(\beta\pi/2) \). Gamma denotes the gamma function.

While the time scaling parameter \( t_0 \) can be chosen arbitrarily, it was found that for live cells there exists an invariant time scale \( t_\infty \), where the compliance values \( J_0 \) for different cells of a given cell type merge to a single value \( J_0 \). Interestingly, the power-law creep compliance of cells under different pharmacological treatments seems to "pivot" around the point given by \( (J_0, t_\infty) \). The existence of this pivoting point implies that \( E_0 \) and \( \beta \) are not independent but must change together along a master curve. The parameters \( J_0 \) and \( t_\infty \) that scale \( E_0 \) and \( \beta \) onto a master curve thus contain additional information about the cells' mechanical properties. Importantly, \( J_0 \) and \( t_\infty \) were found to depend on the cell type10 and thus can be used to detect differences between cell types even when cell rheology measurements overlap.

4.2 Cell culture

The cells used in this study were mouse embryonic fibroblast wild-type cells (MEF WT, 40 cells) and mouse embryonic fibroblast vinculin knockout cells (MEF vin−/−, 33 cells). Both cell populations were cultured in DMEM (Life Technologies, Paisley, UK) supplemented with 10% (v/v) heat inactivated fetal bovine serum and 1% (v/v) L-glutamine with penicillin-streptomycin (PAA Laboratories, Pasching, Austria) and were kept at 37 °C with 5% CO₂. One day before measurements, the cells were seeded in 50 mm fibronectin-coated (50 μg ml⁻¹, Roche Applied Science, Basel, Switzerland) culture dishes (Greiner Bio-One, Kremsmünster, Austria) at a density of 500 cells per cm². About 1 h before an experiment, the medium was replaced with CO₂ independent Leibovitz L-15 medium (Life Technologies, Paisley, UK). The cells were measured at 37 °C. Two separate preparations were measured for each cell population.

4.3 Polyacrylamide gel preparation

Glass coverslips were incubated in 2% 3-aminopropyl-trimethoxysilane solution (Sigma-Aldrich, Taufkirchen, Germany). The coverslips were then incubated in 1% glutaraldehyde solution (Sigma-Aldrich, Taufkirchen, Germany). Afterwards, a drop of acrylamide/bis-acrylamide (w/w 29:1) solution (Sigma-Aldrich, Taufkirchen, Germany) in deionized water with a final acrylamide concentration of 4.8% was pipetted onto each coverslip. Gel polymerization was initiated by adding ammonium persulfate (APS) (Sigma-Aldrich, Taufkirchen, Germany) and \( N,N,N',N'\)-tetramethylethlenediamine (TEMED) (Sigma-Aldrich, Taufkirchen, Germany) to the solution. The apparent mean Young's modulus of the gel of \( E = 5.4 \) kPa (Fig. S3a, ESI†) is in good agreement with a previously measured Young's modulus of about 6 kPa for a similar preparation as used here.61 Fig. 2 and Fig. S4 (ESI†) show two representative datasets out of a total of four FCFM measurements on PAA gels.

4.4 AFM

The measurements were carried out using an atomic force microscope (MFP-3D-BIO, Asylum Research, Santa Barbara, CA) combined with an inverted phase contrast light microscope (Ti-S, Nikon, Tokio, Japan) to monitor the cells during the mapping process. Cantilevers with pyramidal tips (MLCT-C, Bruker, Camarillo, CA)
with a nominal semi-included angle (axis-to-face) of $\alpha = 19.2^\circ$ and a nominal spring constant of 0.01 N m$^{-1}$ were used. Before each measurement, the spring constant was calibrated in air using the thermal noise method. The deflection and z-position data were recorded at a sampling rate of 2 kHz. The apparent force on the cantilever was calculated from the deflection with multiplication by the spring constant (Hooke’s law). To demonstrate that FCFM also works for other tip shapes, the measurements on the PAA gel were repeated with different cantilevers. Measurements with symmetric square pyramidal tips (DNP, Veeco, Santa Barbara, CA) with a nominal semi-included angle (axis-to-face) of $\alpha = 35^\circ$ and a nominal spring constant of 0.06 N m$^{-1}$ gave similar results (Fig. S4, ESI†).

In single cell (Fig. 1, 3 and Fig. S5, ESI†) and PAA gel (Fig. 2 and Fig. S4, ESI†) measurements, $F_{\text{clamp}}$ was set to 400 pN, $\Delta t_{\text{clamp}}$ to 64 ms, and $v_{\text{Appr}}$ to 6–8 μm s$^{-1}$ (unless stated otherwise). To speed up the measurements, cells were pre-scanned with a low pixel resolution ($25 \times 25$ pixel). Mapping with a high pixel resolution was conducted only within the cell contours, with a pixel resolution of 640–950 nm, which took approximately 20–50 min. The contact height images were flattened line-by-line to generate the height data shown as topography images. Pixels with a contact height smaller than 100 nm were defined as substrate pixels and colored white in the maps of $E_0$ and $\beta$. Optical phase contrast images were acquired prior to the start of the mapping process (Fig. 3a, inset). In measurements on multiple cells (Fig. 4), the mapping resolution was reduced to $50 \times 50$ pixels to increase the measurement throughput. In these experiments, $F_{\text{clamp}}$ was set to 600 pN, $\Delta t_{\text{clamp}}$ to 48 ms, and $v_{\text{Appr}}$ to $3 \mu$m s$^{-1}$. We found that the resulting values of $E_0$ and $\beta$ do not depend considerably on the selected experimental parameters $F_{\text{clamp}}$, $\Delta t_{\text{clamp}}$, and $v_{\text{Appr}}$ (see Fig. S5, ESI†).

Application of multiple, closely-spaced creep response measurements in rapid succession did not alter the viscoelastic properties of the sample e.g. due to mechano-chemical signal transduction, since no stripe artifacts between trace and retrace were observed in the maps. Thin regions of the cell ($z_c < 500$ nm) showed a significant correlation between modulus scaling parameter and contact height (see Fig. S2d and e, ESI†) and were therefore excluded from analysis to reduce artifacts due to the underlying substrate.

4.5 Data analysis

For the modulus scaling parameter $E_0$ and the scaling parameters $j_0$ and $\tau_0$, the log-transformed values were used for median calculation, averaging, statistical tests, and fitting, because these parameters closely follow a log-normal distribution. The error bars in Fig. 4a and b denote the standard error estimated from the standard deviation $\sigma$ using the consistent estimator $\hat{\sigma} = 1.4826 \times \text{MAD}$, where MAD denotes the median absolute deviation, which is defined as the median of the absolute deviations from the data’s median. The fits to $E_0$ and $\beta$ were weighted by these standard errors to provide reliable error estimates for the fit parameters. Data processing and analysis were carried out with IGOR Pro 6 (Wavemetrics, Portland, OR). All reported $p$-values were calculated with the two-tailed, unpaired Student’s $t$-test or $F$-test; statistical significance was assumed at $p < 0.01$.
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