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Recent developments in stereoselective 1,2-cis glycosylation that have emerged during the past decade are surveyed herein. For detailed coverage of the previous achievements in the field the reader is referred to our earlier reviews: \textit{A. V. Demchenko, Curr. Org. Chem., 2003, 7, 35–79} and \textit{Synlett}, 2003, 1225–1240.

A. Introduction

Carbohydrates, as polysaccharides or glycoconjugates, represent the largest class of naturally occurring compounds that are often found as essential components of many bioactive molecules in nature. Carbohydrates were initially viewed as energy-storage materials, structural components, and primary metabolites. Now it is known that carbohydrates mediate many fundamental biological processes such as immune defense, fertilization, metastasis, signal transduction, cell growth and cell–cell adhesion. In the past few years, we have been learning that carbohydrates play crucial roles in pathogenesis of diabetes, bacterial and viral infections, inflammation, development and growth of cancers, septicemia, and many other diseases. Clearly, uncovering the contributions of carbohydrates to cell biology would greatly facilitate advances in the field of glycosciences.

For the most part, medicinally important carbohydrates exist as complex oligomers or as conjugates with other biomolecules including natural products, lipids, peptides, proteins, etc. The carbohydrate part itself exists in various sizes and shapes ranging from monomeric sugars and simple linear chains to highly branched glycoformas. Major obstacles in studying natural carbohydrates are the difficulties in isolating, characterizing, and synthesizing these molecules due to their low abundance and heterogeneity in nature. While scientists have been able to successfully isolate and characterize certain classes of natural carbohydrates, the availability of pure isolates is still low. As a consequence, the systematic study of these molecules often relies on synthetic chemistry to provide pure compounds in significant quantities.

Among the variety of glycosidic bonds in nature, it is the O-glycosidic bonds that are of major interest and challenge to chemists due to their high abundance and difficulty in synthesis. There are two major types of O-glycosides, which are, depending on nomenclature, most commonly defined as $\alpha$- and $\beta$- or 1,2-cis and 1,2-trans glycosides. Both 1,2-cis and 1,2-trans glycosides are important and abundant classes of linkages and are commonly found as components in a variety of natural compounds. However, it is 1,2-cis glycosyl residues, $\alpha$-glycosides for $\alpha$-glucose, $\beta$-galactose or $\beta$-glycosides for $\alpha$-mannose, $\alpha$-rhamnose, $\alpha$-arabinose, etc. that have proven to be synthetic hurdles for chemists. This review is dedicated to recent developments that have emerged to address the challenge of stereoselective 1,2-cis glycosylation. Some other common types of glycosides, for instance 2-deoxylglycosides and sialosides, lack the neighboring substituent. These compounds can neither be defined as 1,2-cis nor 1,2-trans glycosides, hence, these are commonly referred to as $\alpha$- and $\beta$-glycosides. Representative examples of common glycosides are shown in Fig. 1.

Many oligosaccharides containing 1,2-cis O-glycosidic linkages are of high importance due to their biological roles and therapeutic potential. Some representative naturally occurring...
oligosaccharides containing 1,2-cis linkages are shown in Fig. 2. For example, the immunomodulatory pentasaccharide FPS-1 from *Aconitum carmichaeli* is composed of an α-(1→6)-linked backbone with some α-(1→3) branching. The fungus *Pseudallescheria boydii* consists of a glucogen-like α-(1→4)-linked glucan backbone with occasional α-(1→6)-glucosyl branches. The zwitterionic polysaccharide A1 found on the capsule of the bacterium *Bacteroides fragilis* has a 1,2-cis-linked glycosaminoglycan motif. Many pneumococcal polysaccharides possess 1,2-cis glycosidic linkages, for instance a polysaccharide from *Streptococcus pneumonia* serotype 6B that is included in all current pneumococcal vaccines, has α-glucosyl and α-galactosyl residues. The trisaccharide repeating unit isolated from *Staphylococcus aureus* type 5 possesses uncommon ManNAcA and FucNAc, both 1,2-cis-glycosidically linked. High mannose-type N-linked glycans that mediate the pathogenesis of many diseases bear an important 1,2-cis-linked β-mannosyl residue. All glycosphingolipids of the globoside family have an α-linked galactosyl residue and Globo-H, which is a current target for breast and prostate cancer vaccine development, has an α-fucosyl residue as well.

B. Outline of chemical glycosylation: mechanism, general principles and special cases

Glycosylation is arguably the most important, albeit challenging, reaction in the field of carbohydrate chemistry. Most commonly, it involves the reaction between a glycosyl donor and glycosyl acceptor, in the presence of an activator or promoter, to form a glycosidic bond. Upon activation, the promoter-assisted departure of the leaving group results in the formation of a glycosyl cation, which then gets stabilized via an oxacarbenium ion intermediate (Scheme 1a). The nucleophile, glycosyl acceptor, can then attack (to form the glycosidic bond) either from the top or the bottom face of the flattened ring. This would give rise to either 1,2-trans or 1,2-cis glycosides with respect to the neighboring substituent at C-2, and uncontrolled reactions may lead to a mixture thereof.

The formation of 1,2-trans linkages can be accomplished using the participatory effect of the neighboring 2-acyl substituent. In this case, the oxacarbenium ion can be further stabilized via a bicyclic acyloxonium intermediate, which becomes the key intermediate en route to glycosylation products (Scheme 1b). Since the bottom face of the ring is blocked, nucleophilic attack of the glycosyl acceptor would be directed from the opposite, top face. This typically provides access to the 1,2-trans linkage with very high or complete stereoselectivity. Occasionally, substantial amounts of 1,2-cis-linked products or orthoester formation are also observed.

While the stereoselective synthesis of 1,2-trans linkages can be reliably achieved with the use of neighboring group assistance, the formation of 1,2-cis linkages is typically much more challenging. The presence of a non-participating group is required for the synthesis of 1,2-cis glycosides, but the non-participating group alone cannot ensure the stereoselectivity. Although the α-product is favored by the anomeric effect, the stereoselectivity of glycosylation can be poor and requires other modes of stereocontrol. A variety of reaction conditions and structural elements of the reactants has been investigated. Although there are many examples wherein excellent 1,2-cis
stereoselectivity of certain linkages has been achieved, no comprehensive method for 1,2-cis glycosylation is available.\textsuperscript{13}

In addition to the apparent complexity of the glycosylation process, there are other competing processes that cannot be disregarded. Side reactions, such as elimination, substitution (formation of unexpected substitution products or hydrolysis at the anomeric center), cyclization (inter and intramolecular orthoesterification), migration, redox, etc.,\textsuperscript{13} often complicate stereoselectivity and compromise the yield of glycosylation. Several factors are known to affect the stereoselectivity and yield of glycosylation and those include temperature, solvent, type of donor used, type of acceptor used, amount and type of promoter used, protecting groups, etc. (Fig. 3). These effects and specifically designed methods to control the stereoselectivity of glycosylation will be discussed in the subsections. While some sugars follow general trends, there are classes of compounds and glycosidic linkages that require special methods. These special cases of glycosylation require careful selection of techniques, their modification, or design of conceptually new approaches. Indirect or total synthesis-based technologies have been developed and applied specifically to the synthesis of these targets.

Glycosides of 2-amino-2-deoxy sugars, in particular those of the \( \nu \)-gluco and \( \nu \)-galacto series, are widely distributed in living organisms as glycoconjugates or glycosaminoglycans.\textsuperscript{14} Since a vast majority of naturally-occurring 2-amino-2-deoxy sugars are \( N \)-acyetylated, from a synthetic point of view, a 2-acetamido-2-deoxy substituted glycosyl donor would be desirable. For this type of glycosyl donor, however, the oxacarbenium ion rearranges rapidly into an unreactive oxazoline intermediate. Therefore, even the synthesis of such 1,2-trans glycosides requires additional steps and a careful selection of suitable protecting groups. A minimal requirement for the synthesis of 1,2-cis glycosides would be the use of a C-2 non-participating moiety, most commonly azide. 2,3-Oxazolidinone protection introduced by Kerns and \( N \)-p-methoxybenzylidene protection explored by Nguyen also show good promise to become universal approaches to 1,2-cis glycosylation with 2-amino-sugars (vide infra).

\( \beta \)-Mannosyl residues are frequently found in glycoproteins. The chemical synthesis of \( \beta \)-mannosides cannot be achieved by relying on the anomeric effect, which would favor axial \( \alpha \)-mannosides. In addition, the formation of \( \beta \)-mannosides is further disfavored by the repulsive interactions that would have occurred between the axial C-2 substituent and the nucleophile approaching from the top face of the ring. For many years, the only direct procedure applicable to \( \beta \)-mannosylation – Ag–silicate promoted glycosidation of \( \alpha \)-halides – was assumed to follow a bimolecular \( S_N 2 \) mechanism.\textsuperscript{15} The difficulty of direct \( \beta \)-mannosylation was addressed by developing a variety of indirect approaches such as C-2 oxidation–reduction, C-2 inversion, anomeric alkylation, and intramolecular aglycone delivery.\textsuperscript{16} This was the standing in the field before Crich and co-workers discovered that 4,6-O-benzylidene protected sulf oxide\textsuperscript{17} or thioglycoside\textsuperscript{18} glycosyl donors provide excellent \( \beta \)-manno stereoselectivity. Detailed mechanistic and spectroscopic studies by the Crich group\textsuperscript{19} showed that anomeric \( \alpha \)-O-triflates generated \textit{in situ} are reactive intermediates that can be converted into \( \beta \)-mannosides with high stereocontrol at low temperatures.

In comparison to their six-membered counterparts, furanosides are less abundant. Nevertheless, their presence in a variety of polysaccharides from plants, bacteria, parasites, and fungi makes this type of glycosidic linkage an important synthetic target.\textsuperscript{20} The synthesis of 1,2-trans furanosides is relatively straightforward and, similarly to that of pyranosides, can be reliably achieved with the use of glycosyl donors bearing a participating group at C-2. In contrast, the synthesis of 1,2-cis furanosides is difficult, even more so than with pyranosides due to the lack of anomeric effect and the conformational flexibility of the five-membered ring. In fact, both electronic and steric effects favor the formation of 1,2-trans furanosides. In the past decade, a notable improvement in 1,2-cis furanosylation was made possible with glycosyl donors in which the ring has been locked into a single conformation. These examples include 2,3-anhydro,\textsuperscript{21} 3,5-O-(di-tert-butylsilylene),\textsuperscript{22} and 3,5-O-tetraiso-propylidiloxanylidene\textsuperscript{23} protected bicyclic glycosyl donors. A recent example wherein stereoselective 1,2-cis glycuranosylation was accomplished with the assistance of H-bond mediated aglycone delivery will be discussed below.

2-Deoxyglycosides are important constituents of many classes of antibiotics. The development of reliable methods for the stereoselective synthesis of both \( \alpha \)- and \( \beta \)-2-deoxyglycosides is critical for the synthesis of natural products, drugs and glycomimetics.\textsuperscript{24} It should be noted that due to the lack of anionic assistance from the substituent at C-2, the synthesis of both types of linkages represents a notable challenge. Direct glycosylation of 2-deoxy glycosyl donors often results in the formation of anomeric mixtures, though notable recent progress in the area has to be acknowledged.\textsuperscript{25,26} In spite of extensive efforts and notable progress, the chemical synthesis of sialosides also remains a significant challenge.\textsuperscript{26} The presence of a destabilizing electron-withdrawing carboxyl group and the lack of a participating auxiliary often drive sialylation reactions toward competitive elimination reactions resulting in the formation of a 2,3-dehydro derivative and/or in poor stereoselectivity (\( \beta \)-anomer). To overcome these problems, a variety of leaving groups and activation conditions for direct sialylation have been developed. It was also demonstrated that the \( N \)-substituent at C-5 plays an influential role in both the stereoselectivity of sialylation and the reactivity of sialyl donors.\textsuperscript{26d A}

---

Fig. 3 Factors affecting stereoselectivity.
particular advance in recent years has been made with 4,5-O,N-oxazolidinone derivatives that provide high yields and stereoselectivities in sialylation.\textsuperscript{25}

C. Effect of the glycosyl donor

Glycosylations using trichloroacetimidates (TCAI)\textsuperscript{26} and thioglycosides\textsuperscript{27} as donors have become the most widely studied methods for chemical glycosylation. Our previous reviews on 1,2-cis glycosylation thoroughly discuss all pros and cons of using various leaving groups.\textsuperscript{12a,12b} Since glycosylation reactions commonly follow a unimolecular S_n1 displacement mechanism, the orientation of the leaving group at the anomeric center is of little importance. However, occasionally glycosylation reactions proceed via an S_n2-like mechanism with inversion of the anomeric configuration. The following leaving groups often provide excellent 1,2-cis selectivity: β-glycosyl halides formed from their α-counterparts with bromonium ions\textsuperscript{28} or from α-thioglycosides in the presence of bromine,\textsuperscript{29} glycosyl thiocyanates,\textsuperscript{30} and anemic mannosyl triflates formed in situ from sulfoxides or thioglycosides for the synthesis of β-mannosides.\textsuperscript{31,32}

It is well known that the stereoselectivity of glycosylation can be profoundly influenced by protecting groups.\textsuperscript{33} Neighboring protecting groups at C-2 traditionally known as participating groups for the synthesis of 1,2-trans glycosides can now assist in the formation of either 1,2-cis or 1,2-trans glycosides. Remote protecting groups at positions C-3, 4 and/or 6 may affect the stereoselectivity by means of participation, H-bond mediated aglycone delivery, steric hindrance and/or electron withdrawal. Also discussed in this section are protecting groups that restrict the conformational flexibility of carbohydrates or force carbohydrate molecules to adopt unusual conformations. Glycosylation of unprotected glycosyl donors with reactive glycosyl acceptors proceeding with good to excellent 1,2-cis stereoselectivity has also been reported.\textsuperscript{34}

C.1. Neighboring protecting group at C-2

As aforementioned, neighboring acyl-type protecting groups offer one of the most powerful tools to direct stereoselectivity toward the formation of a 1,2-trans-linked product. Demchenko and co-workers developed glycosyl donors equipped with a 2-picolinyl ether substituent that can also participate and form 1,2-trans glycosides stereoselectively.\textsuperscript{35} Boons and co-workers developed a participating group capable of participation from the opposite face of the ring giving rise to 1,2-cis linked glycosides.\textsuperscript{36} On activation of the glycosyl donor, the resulting oxacarbenium ion is attacked by a nucleophilic moiety via a six-membered intermediate. This attack, in principle, can lead to the formation of a cis- or trans-decalin-like system, and Boons and co-workers showed that the selectivity is highly dependent on the configuration of the asymmetric center of the chiral protecting group. To accommodate the bulky phenyl group in the pseudo-equatorial position of the newly formed six-membered ring, an auxiliary with (S)-stereochemistry would favor the trans-decalin-like intermediate. As a result, the nucleophilic attack of the glycosyl acceptor will occur from the bottom face leading to 1,2-cis-linked glycosides. Conversely, a chiral auxiliary with the opposite (R)-configuration could participate via the cis-decalin-like intermediate, thereby producing 1,2-trans glycosides. Ethyl mandelate was chosen to test this methodology because both the enantiomers are readily available, the conditions required for its installation are compatible with other protecting groups, and it is stable during glycosylation, but can be readily removed under mild reductive conditions. As depicted in Scheme 2, when an ethyl (S)-mandelate-protected donor (S)-1 was glycosidated with glycosyl acceptor 2, disaccharide 3 was obtained with high α-activity (α/β = 20/1). Conversely, when (R)-1 was used as the glycosyl donor, a reversal of anomeric selectivity was observed (α/β = 1/5). Deprotection of the acyl groups using sodium methoxide in methanol and benzyl groups, including the chiral auxiliary, under Birch reduction conditions provided disaccharide 4.

The second generation auxiliary developed to further enhance 1,2-cis stereoselectivity was based on an (S)-phenyllithiomethylbenzyl ether moiety at C-2 of the glycosyl donor.\textsuperscript{37} It was assumed that this type of moiety would be capable of more efficient and stereoselective participation via the formation of a chair and hence a more stable trans-decalin-like intermediate. In this case, the (S)-phenyl group will occupy the equatorial position to avoid unfavorable 1,3-diaxial interactions that would have occurred if the bulky phenyl group was placed in the axial position. As depicted in Scheme 3, 1-(S)-phenyl-2-(phenylsulfonyl)ethyl ether-protected TCAI donor 7 was obtained from glucose tetracetate 5 via sequential protection, liberation of the anomeric hydroxyl and introduction of the imidoyl leaving group. Glycosyl donor 7 was then reacted with acceptor 8 in the presence of TMSOTf to afford α-glycoside 9 in 86% yield and with exclusive α-stereoselectivity. The auxiliary can then be removed by acetylation in the presence of BF_3-OEt_2 and acetic anhydride. This method has been extended to the polymer-supported synthesis of the repeating unit of the immune-modulatory polysaccharide from Aconitum carmichaeli composed of an α-(1 → 6)-linked glucosyl backbone branched with α-(1 → 3)-linked glucosyl moieties.\textsuperscript{38}

More recently, to simplify this approach, Boons and co-workers adopted a different direction towards the synthesis of 1,2-cis glycosides.\textsuperscript{39} This was certainly inspired by their earlier work on chiral auxiliaries and inherent drawbacks related to the necessity of obtaining pure enantiomeric substrates. Additional
inspiration came from work by Turnbull et al. who developed a very elegant approach using thioglycoside donors \( \text{10} \) having an anomeric \( \alpha \)-directing group.\(^{40} \) As depicted in Scheme 4a, these reactions proceeded \( \text{via} \) bicyclic intermediate \( \text{11} \) that was activated \( \text{via} \) oxidation into sulfoxide \( \text{12} \) and \( \text{S} \)-arylation to form reactive sulfonium ion \( \text{13} \) \( \text{en route} \) to \( \text{O} \)-glycoside \( \text{14} \).

In Boons’ approach depicted in Scheme 4b,\(^{29} \) sulfide donor \( \text{16} \) was prepared from thioglycoside \( \text{15} \) by treatment with trimethylsilyl anhydride (TMS\( \text{O} \)) in the presence of TMSOTf, followed by reduction with Et\( \text{3} \)SiH. Compound \( \text{16} \) was then subjected to a series of protecting group manipulations followed by oxidation with \( \text{m} \)-CPBA to give sulfoxide \( \text{17} \). Glycosylation of donor \( \text{17} \) included treatment with trifluoromethanesulfonic anhydride (Tf\( \text{2} \)O), arylation with 1,3,5-trioxane, and its glycosidation. \( \text{17} \) was then subjected to a series of protecting group manipulations followed by oxidation with \( \text{m} \)-CPBA to give sulfoxide \( \text{17} \). Glycosylation of donor \( \text{17} \) included treatment with trifluoromethanesulfonic anhydride (Tf\( \text{2} \)O), arylation with 1,3,5-trioxane, and its glycosidation. In 2009, Kim presented a dedicated study of the effect of 3- and 6-O-acyl donors on the stereoselectivity of mannosylation.\(^{48} \) The comparative study indicated remote participation by 3-O and 6-O acetyl groups, but showed no participation by the 4-O-acyl group. Thus, when mannoypyranosyl TCA donors bearing electron-withdrawing ester groups, such as acetyl \( \text{24} \) or benzoyl \( \text{25} \) at the C-3 position, were coupled with primary acceptors \( \text{27–29} \) in the presence of TMSOTf, the corresponding disaccharides were obtained in excellent yields (88–94%) with high \( \beta \)-selectivity \((\alpha/\beta = 1/26–40, \text{entries} \ 1–4, \text{Table} \ 1)\). However, when benzyl sulfonate was used as an electron-withdrawing group at C-3, the selectivity obtained with donor \( \text{26} \) was reversed and the corresponding disaccharides were obtained with preferential \( \alpha \)-selectivity \((\alpha/\beta = 10/16–1, \text{entries} \ 5 \text{ and } 6, \text{Table} \ 1)\).

Very recently, Nifantiev et al. studied the effect of a 3-O-acyl substituent on the stereoselectivity obtained with either conformationally flexible or conformationally restricted glucosyl donors.\(^{49} \) As in the previous methods, the oxathiane spiroketal donor is then activated \( \text{via} \) \( S \)-arylation. Overall, the novel class of oxathiane glycosyl donors is easily accessible, highly \( \alpha \)-selective in glycosylation, and offers high stability towards common protecting group manipulations.

**C.2. Remote protecting groups**

The effects of remote substituents have long been considered of somewhat lesser importance than those of the neighboring substituent at C-2. However, the idea of participating groups at remote positions has been brought to attention by many researchers. There have been various reports, starting from long-range 6-O-acyl or carbonate group assisted synthesis of \( \alpha \)-glucosides,\(^{42} \) both in favor and in opposition of the idea of remote participation. For derivatives of the \( \text{d} \)-galacto series a remote effect beneficial for the formation of \( \alpha \)-galactosides was also noted when a participating moiety was present at C-4.\(^{43} \) Similar effects (including C-3 participation) were also detected for the derivatives of the \( \text{f} \)-fuco,\(^{44} \) \( \text{f} \)-rhamn\( \text{20} \), \( \text{d} \)-manno,\(^{46} \) and \( \text{d} \)-gluco\(^{47} \) series.

**Table 1** | The effect of a 3- \( \text{O} \)-acyl protection on the stereoselectivity of mannosylation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Entry</th>
<th>Donor (EWG)</th>
<th>Acceptor (1.0 equiv.)</th>
<th>Disaccharide yield</th>
<th>( \alpha/\beta ) ratio</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>24 (Ac)</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>91%</td>
<td>1/25.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>24 (Ac)</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>94%</td>
<td>1/39.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>24 (Ac)</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>92%</td>
<td>1/40.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>25 (Bz)</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>88%</td>
<td>1/29.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>26 (SO\text{2}Bn)</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>95%</td>
<td>15.9/1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>26 (SO\text{2}Bn)</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>93%</td>
<td>10.2/1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 2. The effect of a 3-O- and 6-O-acyl protection on the stereoselectivity of glucosylation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Entry</th>
<th>Donor</th>
<th>Acceptor</th>
<th>Yield, α/β ratio</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1a</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>89%, 5.3/1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2a</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>93%, 11.2/1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3a</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>93%, 16.4/1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4b</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>59%, 6.8/1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5b</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>96%, 5.9/1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Conditions: aMeOTf, CH₂Cl₂, AW-300, −35 → −15 °C; bTF₅O, DTBMP, CH₂Cl₂, −78 → 0 °C; cMeOTf, CH₂Cl₂, AW-300, 20 °C.*

donors.⁹ As depicted in Table 2, when N-phenyltrifluoroacetimidate (PTFAI) donor 30 bearing acetyl groups at C-3 and C-6 was reacted with glycosyl acceptors 34 and 35 the corresponding disaccharides were obtained in good yields and with high selectivities (α/β = 5.3–11.2/1, entries 1 and 2). When glycosyl donor 31, wherein the C-6 acetyl was replaced with C-6 benzoyl, was used, a further increase in selectivity was observed (α/β = 16.4/1, entry 3). In this context, 3,6-di-O-acetyl protected sulfoxide donor 32 provided lower yield and stereoselectivity (entry 4). A similar selectivity, albeit excellent yield, was observed with conformationally restricted 4,6-O-benzylidene-protected glucosyl donor 33 (96% yield, α/β = 5.9/1, entry 5).

The effect of steric bulkiness or strong electron-withdrawing properties of remote substituents, particularly those at C-6, has been known for a while. The beneficial effect of such substituents on 1,2-cis glucosylation and galactosylation was attributed to shielding (steric or electronic) of the top face of the ring, therefore favoring nucleophilic attack from the opposite side.¹⁵,¹⁶,¹⁷

A recent study with 2-azido-2-deoxy sugars revealed an interesting relationship between the stereoselectivity and the effect of remote participating groups in GalN₃ and GlcN₃ sugars.³¹ Over the course of this study it was observed that for GlcN₃ sugars, acetyl groups at C-3 and C-6 positions show more β-directing effects whereas 4-O-acetyl is more β-directing.³² Crich showed that bulky 3-O-tet-tert-butyldimethylsilyl (TBDMS) can push the axial 2-O-benzyl of mannosyl donors towards the anomeric center, thereby hindering nucleophilic attack from the top face, leading to poor β-selectivity.³³ On the other hand, naphthylpropargyl ether protection at C-2 or C-3 favor β-manno selectivity.³⁴ Hung and co-workers developed a series of orthogonally protected α-glucosaminyl donors for stereo-selective introduction of α-linkages into heparin-related sequences.³⁵ The most advantageous protecting group pattern was determined to be a 2-azido functionality, 2-naphthylmethyl (2-NAP) group at C-4, and p-bromobenzyl (p-BrBn) at C-3, and TBDDS at C-6 positions. The α-directing effect of 4-O-p-BrBn and 6-O-TBDDS groups was deemed to be steric, preventing the attack of a glycosyl acceptor from the unwanted top face.

Codee and co-workers investigated the use of a 2-azidomannouronate ester donor for glycosidation, and observed high 1,2-cis selectivity.³⁶ On gaining an insight into the reaction mechanism, it was concluded that when thiophenyl donor 37 is activated in the presence of diphenyl sulfoxide and triflic anhydride, anomer triflate 38 is formed (Scheme 5). The latter exists as an interchangeable mixture of conformers with the 1C₄ chair as the predominant species. In principle, triflate 38 can lead to the β-linked product via an S₄N₂-like displacement. Alternatively, the reaction can proceed via an S₃N₁-like pathway. In this case, the oxacarbenium ion intermediate will preferentially adopt the 1H₄ half-chair conformation, which closely resembles the major 1C₄ conformation of triflate 38. In this case, the C-5 carboxylate occupies a pseudo-axial position allowing for stabilization of the positive charge. The incoming nucleophile 39 will then attack from the β-face to produce disaccharide 40 with complete 1,2-cis selectivity in 85% yield.

A very different stereodirecting effect was discovered for remote picolinyl (Pic) and picoloyl (Pico) substituents. As aforementioned, a picolinyl at C-2 formally participates at the anomeric center and gives 1,2-trans glycosides via the six-membered ring intermediate.³³ As the action of the remote picolinyl and related picoloyl substituents is totally different. Not being able to participate at the anomeric center directly, picolinyl nitrogen forms a hydrogen bond with the incoming glycosyl acceptor. As a result, a very high facial selectivity, always syn in respect to the picoloyl substituent, is observed.³⁶ This rather unexpected involvement of remote picolinyl substituents was termed as H-bond-mediated aglycone delivery (HAD). Based on the above hypothesis, it was shown that under high dilution conditions (5 mM), 4-O-picolyl or picoloyl glucosyl donors (41–45) provide faster reaction times and enhanced selectivity compared to those obtained in standard concentration (50 mM). Thus, glucosyl donors 41 and 42 provided high levels of β-selectivity, particularly with O-picoloyl protection (α/β = 25/1, entry 1, Table 3). Galactosyl donor 43 and rhamnosyl donors 44 and 45 were also successfully reacted under HAD conditions, with donors 44 and 45 providing 1,2-trans disaccharides as the major products (Scheme 5).

Scheme 5 Rationalization of the high β-selectivity achieved with 2-azidomannouronate donor 37.
Table 3  H-bond-mediated glycone delivery (HAD)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Entry</th>
<th>Donor</th>
<th>Conc. 27</th>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Product (yield)</th>
<th>α/β ratio</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>5 mM</td>
<td>4 h</td>
<td>46 (73%)</td>
<td>&gt;25/1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>5 mM</td>
<td>5 h</td>
<td>47 (86%)</td>
<td>5.3/1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>5 mM</td>
<td>1 h</td>
<td>48 (95%)</td>
<td>&gt;1/25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>50 mM</td>
<td>15 min</td>
<td>49 (94%)</td>
<td>&gt;1/25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>5 mM</td>
<td>2.5 h</td>
<td>50 (91%)</td>
<td>1/18.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

donor 44 gave high β-selectivity (α/β = 1/25, entries 3 and 4, respectively). As an extension to this study, Demchenko and co-workers showed that the presence of a 3-O-picoloyl group in mannosyl donor 45 can effectively provide β-mannosides with high stereoselectivity at room temperature (α/β = 1/18.5, entry 5).58

The applicability of this approach was demonstrated for the synthesis of oligosaccharide 53 containing both primary and secondary β-mannosidic linkages (Scheme 6a). Thus, when 3-O-picoloylated mannosyl donor 45 was reacted with glycosyl acceptor 27 in the presence of DMTST, β-linked disaccharide 50 was obtained with α/β = 1/18.5 selectivity. The 3-O-picoloyl group of 50 was then selectively removed using copper(II) acetate and the resulting acceptor 51 was coupled with mannosyl donor 52, to provide the desired trisaccharide 53 in 76% yield and with complete β-selectivity.

Further application of the HAD method has resulted in the synthesis of linear and branched α-glucans.59 As depicted in Scheme 6b, when 4-O-picoloyl glucosyl donor 41 was glycosylated with acceptor 54 in the presence of DMTST, disaccharide 46 was obtained in 83% yield (α/β = 21/1). The 4-O-picoloyl group was then selectively removed with copper(II) acetate to form the second generation glycosyl acceptor 55. The process was repeated to obtain pentasaccharide 46 (n = 4) with 41% yield and complete α-selectivity.

At first, the HAD approach was limited to S-ethyl glycosyl donors and only in the presence of DMTST, in high dilution, and low temperature. Other leaving groups gave much lower stereoselectivity.60 Combining the mechanistic studies of the HAD reaction and bromine-promoted glycosylations (vide infra) Yasomane and Demchenko devised a very effective method that allows for highly stereoselective α-glicosidation of practically all common leaving groups (S-phenyl, S-tolyl, S/O-imidates) at regular concentrations and ambient temperature.61 Young and co-workers extended the HAD approach to β-steroselective α- and β-arabinofuranosylation.62 In this case, 5-O-(2-quinolinecarbonyl) substituted arabinose was employed as the glycosyl donor. Mong and co-workers successfully applied 6-O-picoloylated glycosyl donors to the synthesis of β-2-deoxy glycosides.23b

C.3. Conformation-restraining cyclic protecting groups

Torsional effects induced by cyclic protecting groups may also strongly affect the stereoselectivity of glycosylation. The best-known example of this effect is the work by Crich and co-workers on the synthesis of β-mannosides.62 Thus, it has been demonstrated that 4,6-O-benzylidene-protected thioglucoside donors give superior β-mannoside selectivity in comparison to that achieved with donors lacking this type of protection.63 The stereoselectivity observed was rationalized by carrying out experiments in which the benzylidene protected sulfoxide donor64 is pre-activated using Tf₂O to form a sulfonyl nium salt, which collapses into the α-triflate that exists in dynamic equilibrium with the contact ion pair. The presence of glycosyl triflate intermediates in mannosylation was also recognized with thioglycosides,65 TCAI,66 2-(hydroxycarbonyl)-benzyl,67 hemiacetal,68 pentenoate,69 and phthalate70 donors, all protected as 4,6-benzylidene acetals. It is believed that the closely associated triflate counterion shields the α-face and β-linked product forms preferentially. An α-deuterium kinetic isotope effect (KIE) study indicated substantial oxacarbenium ion character of this reaction pathway, ruling out the possibility of a bimolecular displacement.62e Similar conclusions were made as a result of KIE experiments with mannosyl iodides.71 The deactivating effect of benzylidene substituents was found to be a combination of torsional strain,72 restricting the conformational flexibility of the ring, and enhanced electron-withdrawal.73 The latter effect is due to locking the hydroxymethyl group in the conformation wherein the C6–O6
bond is directed away from O-5. This may cause additional destabilization of the oxocarbenium intermediate that seeks for compensation from tight coordination to the counter anion.

While the study of 4,6-O-benzylidene protected glycopyranosyl triflates revealed high β-selectivities with mannosyl donors, high z-selectivity is obtained with glucosyl donors (Table 4). This finding was rationalized by the fact that the z-triflate intermediate undergoes equilibrium with its more reactive β-counterpart rather than with the oxocarbenium ion intermediate. The rate and equilibrium constant for the formation of β-glucosyl triflate are such that it preferentially forms the z-linked product.

Many useful applications have evolved from the Crich methodology for β-mannosylation. For instance, the direct syntheses of β(1 → 2) and β(1 → 4)-mannans represent the power of this technique. As depicted in Scheme 7, synthesis of the (1 → 2)-mannan was achieved by means of the sulfoxide coupling protocol. Thus, 2-O-paramethoxybenzyl protected sulfoxide donor 63 was reacted with cyclohexanol 64 in the presence of triflic anhydride and 2,4,6-tri-tert-butylpyrimidine (TTBP) to afford β-mannoside 65 (n = 1) in 77% yield. The latter was deprotected with DDQ to give glycosyl acceptor 66. Repetition of glycosylation-deprotection steps led to a series of (1 → 2)-linked homologs. For instance, octasaccharide 65 (n = 8) was obtained in 64% yield (β/α = 4.5/1). In this context, the (1 → 4)-linked mannan was prepared from thioglycoside donors activated using sulfamidine methodology.

To study the influence of similar conformationally rigid protecting groups, on the selectivity obtained, Werz and co-workers synthesized a variety of mannosyl donors with a spiroannulated cyclopropane ring at C-5 bearing one hydroxyl group. It was shown that the cyclopropane group leads to fixation of the chair-like conformation, similar to that shown for 4,6-benzylidene protected sugars although high β-selectivity was not achieved.

### Table 4 Stereodirecting effect of 4,6-O-benzylidene acetal

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Entry</th>
<th>Donor, acceptor</th>
<th>Coupling reagent</th>
<th>Product</th>
<th>Yield, β/α ratio</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>56a, 39</td>
<td>PhSOTf</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>70%, &gt;95/5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>56b, 39</td>
<td>PhSOTf</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>80%, &gt;95/5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>57b, 58</td>
<td>Tf2O</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>63%, &gt;95/5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>57a, 59</td>
<td>Tf2O</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>89%, &gt;95/5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Scheme 7 β-Linked mannans by the sulfoxide protocol.

Kerns discovered that 2,3-trans-oxazolidinone-protected glucosaminyl donors provide excellent 1,2-cis selectivity in glycosylations (Scheme 8a). Although high z-selectivity could be obtained, the oxazolidinone protected donor showed propensity to undergo side reactions, such as N-glycosylation or N-sulfenylation. To rectify this, Kerns et al. and Oscarson et al. reported the use of N-acetylated oxazolidinones. These donors showed switchable stereoselectivity in glycosylation that was achieved by tuning the reaction conditions. This interesting finding stimulated further studies. Mechanistically it was suggested that the β-linked product is formed initially, which rapidly anomerizes into the corresponding α-anomer. The presence of the oxazolidinone ring is the key for this anomerization to occur, which was found to proceed via endocyclic C1–O5 bond cleavage. For instance, when N-acetyl-2,3-oxazolidinone protected donor 67 was reacted with glycosyl acceptor 68 in the presence of NIS and AgOTf, disaccharide 69 was obtained in 82% yield (z-only, Scheme 8b). Manabe, Ito and their co-workers reported N-benzylation 2,3-oxazolidonine donors for 1,2-cis glycosylation. Thus, when glycosyl donor 70 was glycosylated with acceptor 71 in the presence of N-(phenethyl)-5-caprolactam and triflic anhydride, disaccharide 72 was obtained in 52% yield with complete z-selectivity (Scheme 8c).

Crich et al. showed that the 2,3-O-carbonate protecting group is highly z-selective for mannosylation and rhamnosylation. In contrast, 3,4-O-carbonate protected rhamnoses showed moderate β-selectivities owing to the electron-withdrawing but non-participating nature of this group. Crich also reported the synthesis of β-glucosides using 2,3-O-carbonate protected glucosyl donors. It was suggested that the conformation restricting trans-fused ring favors the formation of an
α-triflate intermediate over the formation of an oxacarbenium ion. The effect of 3,4-O-carbonate protection was found to be weaker with a slight preference toward β-selectivity. Ye and co-workers studied 2,3-O-carbonyl protected glucose and galactose donors for pre-activation-based glycosylation. These reactions were generally β-stereoselective, but Lewis acid additives were found to favor α-stereoselectivity (vide infra). A beneficial effect of a bulky 4,6-O-di-tert-butylsilylene (DTBS) protecting group on α-selective galactosylation and galactosamination was recently applied to the synthesis of a series of human ABO histo-blood group type 2 antigens by Kiso and co-workers.

D. Effect of the glycosyl acceptor

Many examples wherein different glycosyl acceptors have different selectivities can be seen throughout the text of this review. A rule of thumb is that the alcohol reactivity is inversely correlated with the stereoselectivity and the most reactive hydroxyls give the lowest α/β-ratios: the stronger the nucleophile, the faster the reaction, and therefore the more difficult it is to control its outcome. As an example, glycosylation of the axial 4-OH of galactose often gives excellent 1,2-cis stereoselectivity. Occasionally, primary hydroxyls provide higher stereoselectivity in comparison to that of secondary hydroxyl groups. This can serve as evidence for the glycosylation reaction proceeding via a bimolecular mechanism, at least partially. Primary alcohols also gave higher stereoselectivity in H-bond-mediated aglycone delivery reactions mediated by remote picolinyl groups.

It is well-established that ester electron-withdrawing substituents reduce the electron density of the neighboring hydroxyl group, lowering its nucleophilicity. This may improve stereoselectivity, as the reaction can be carried out in a more controlled manner. Recently, Demchenko and co-workers have shown that electron-withdrawing acyl protecting groups have a dramatic effect on the stereoselectivity obtained with thiocyanates as glycosyl donors. Thus, when thiocyanate 73 was reacted with acyl-protected acceptors 74 and 75, the corresponding disaccharides 77 and 78 were obtained with complete α-selectivity (α/β = >25/1, Scheme 9). However, when benzyl-protected acceptor 76 was used instead, the stereoselectivity dropped (79, α/β = 8.3/1).

Very recently, Toshima and co-workers reported a novel approach that makes use of the chiral recognition of aglycones. Thus, in glycosylations of racemic alcohols in the presence of a chiral Bronsted acid activator, one enantiomer was glycosylated preferentially and the glycosides were obtained with high stereoselectivity and yields.

Scheme 9 Acyl groups in acceptors enhance stereoselectivity.

E. Effect of the reaction conditions

E.1. Temperature

Kinetically controlled glycosylations at lower temperatures generally favor β-glycoside formation, although converse observations have also been reported. Since the α-glycoside is thermodynamically favored due to the anomeric effect, it is predominantly formed at high temperatures. A number of examples have been presented throughout other parts of this review.

E.2. Solvent

The effect of reaction solvent on the selectivity of the glycosylation reaction has been widely studied. In general, polar reaction solvents increase the rate of β-glycoside formation via charge separation between O-5 and β-O-1. If the synthesis of α-glycosides is desired, C,H,Cl2, CICH2CH3Cl or toluene would be suitable candidates as the reaction solvent. However, there are more powerful forces than simple solvation that have to be taken into consideration. It has been shown that ethereal solvents have a tendency to drive glycosylation in an α-selective fashion, while nitrile solvents increase the amount of β-glycoside formation. These observations were rationalized as follows: ether type reaction solvents such as diethyl ether, tetrahydrofuran, or dioxane lead to the preferential formation of the equatorial intermediate. On the other hand, if the reactions are performed in acetonitrile, the nitrilium cation formed in situ exclusively adopts an axial orientation, allowing stereoselective formation of equatorially substituted glycosides (Scheme 10). This approach permits the formation of 1,2-trans glucosides with good stereoselectivity even with glycosyl donors bearing a non-participating substituent.

Recently, the Mong group proposed a revised mechanism for glycosylation in nitrile solvents. Accordingly, the oxacarbenium ion intermediate interacts with the nitrile solvent producing mixtures of α- and β-glycosyl nitrilium intermediates. Though the formation of 1,2-cis nitrilium species is favored by the anomeric effect, it is further reinforced through the participation of O-2 (Scheme 10). The resulting glycosyl oxazolinium intermediate is then attacked by a nucleophile from the top face leading to formation of the β-product.

Many applications of solvent systems controlling reaction stereoselectivity are known. A representative example shown in Table 5 makes use of an N-trichloroacetetyl carbamate leaving group introduced by Redlich and Vankar. Omura et al. showed that the stereoselectivity of glycosylation can be
reversed by simply switching the solvent.\textsuperscript{98} Thus, when N-trichloroacetyl carbamate $81$ was glycosidated with acceptor $28$ in the presence of \textit{TMSClO$_4$} in diethyl ether as the solvent, disaccharide $82$ was formed with high $\alpha$-selectivity (entry 1). Conversely, high $\beta$-selectivity could be achieved by activation with \textit{TMSOTf} in EtCN (entry 2). Apparently, this example makes use of the promoter and temperature effects.

Huang et al. have recently studied the solvent and additive effects on the stereochemical outcome of the thioglycoside-based glycosylation strategy.\textsuperscript{99} When donor $83$ was pre-activated with $p$-TolSOTf, formed in \textit{situ} from $p$-TolSCI and AgOTf (3 equiv.) in diethyl ether disaccharide $87$ was obtained in 67\% yield ($\alpha/\beta=1.1/1$, Scheme 11). When the amount of AgOTf was decreased to 1.1 equiv., significant change in $\alpha$-selectivity was observed ($\alpha/\beta=6/1$). In addition, when the reaction was performed by increasing the volume of diethyl ether 10 fold, further enhancement in $\alpha$-selectivity was observed ($\alpha/\beta=10/1$). On the other hand, when dichloromethane was used as the reaction solvent, the stereoselectivity was switched ($\alpha/\beta=1/8$). With the belief that glycosyl triflates are formed as the key reaction intermediates, the observed stereoselectivity was rationalized as follows. The reactions performed in diethyl ether proceed through a double-inversion mechanism. Under dilute conditions and with lower excess of AgOTf, solvent participation becomes more effective, resulting in higher $\alpha$-selectivity. In the case of dichloromethane, due to the non-nucleophilic nature of the solvent, the reaction is likely to proceed \textit{via} an $S_N2$-like triflate displacement pathway leading to $\beta$-glycosides (Scheme 11).

![Scheme 11](image1.png)  
\textbf{Scheme 11} The solvent effect on preactivation-based glycosylation.

Ito and co-workers developed a high-throughput screening system to study the synergistic solvent effect of combined ethereal and halogenated solvents on the course of glycosylation.\textsuperscript{92} This study employed the use of glycosyl donors, which were isotopically labeled with per-deuterated protecting groups: benzyl ether (Bn-$d_3$) and $d_{19}$-cyclohexylidene ketal. The labeled donor was glycosidated in the presence of MeOTf as the activator and 2,6-di-tert-butyl-4-methylpyridine (DTBMP) in various solvents.

As depicted in Scheme 12, when per-deuterated benzyl ether protected thioglycoside donor $88$ was reacted with per-deuterated glycosyl acceptor $89$ in the presence of methyl triflate (MeOTf) as a promoter, disaccharide $90$ was obtained with selectivity up to $\alpha/\beta=19.5/1$. A mixture of CHCl$_3$/Et$_2$O or CHCl$_3$/cyclopentyl methyl ether (CPME) 1/1 (v/v) provided the best results and the use of such solvent systems was extended to the synthesis of a variety of 1,2-cis linkages.\textsuperscript{92,100} The beneficial effect of high temperature on $\alpha$-selectivity has also been noted. The advantage of using Bn-$d_3$ is the “disappearance” of all benzylic methylene signals at around 4–5 ppm, thereby making it easier to interpret the proton NMR spectra of the products.

Mong and co-workers took a different direction in studying the reaction solvent effect by using dimethylformamide (DMF) as a co-solvent, a rather uncommon reaction solvent in glycosylations.\textsuperscript{101} This study employed two conceptually different protocols for glycosylation. First, a conventional method (procedure A, Table 6), wherein a mixture of glycosyl donor, acceptor, and DMF was activated with NIS and TMSOTf. As shown in Table 6, reaction of benzylated donor $91$ with acceptor $92$ gave moderate stereoselectivity (82\%, $\alpha/\beta=6/1$, entry 1) in the presence of 1.5 equiv. of DMF. The increase in the amount of DMF to 3 and 6 equiv. (entries 2 and 3, respectively)
The results obtained using procedure A were then applied to the investigation of the effectiveness of the pre-activation based glycosylation procedure B. Accordingly, the glycosyl donor was reacted with NIS and TMSOTf in the presence of DMF followed by the addition of the glycosyl acceptor. All glycosylations between thioglycoside donors 91, 94, or 95 and acceptors 96–100 proceeded with very high α-stereoselectivity (α/β = 11–49/1, entries 4–9, Table 6). This modulating effect of DMF, which was particularly evident in the preactivation-based protocol (procedure B) was rationalized as follows. DMF involvement traps the glycosyl oxacarbenium ion resulting in an equilibrating mixture of α/β-glycosyl O-imidates (Scheme 13). The more reactive β-imidate will react faster with the glycosyl acceptor producing the desired 1,2-glycoside with high selectivity. This procedure implies an S_{2}2-like inversion en route to the products of glycosylation. Interestingly, the use of ethereal solvents had no effect on the further improvement of stereoselectivity, irrespective of the type of ethereal solvent used.

Encouraged by the α-stereodirecting effect of DMF, the pre-activation protocol was then extended to a sequential one-pot oligosaccharide synthesis. As depicted in Scheme 14, trisaccharide 105 containing two contiguous 1,2-cis linkages was efficiently assembled in an overall yield of 52% from building blocks 101, 102 and 104. An interesting feature of DMF as an additive to the one-pot multi-step synthesis is that it is regenerated after the first coupling and hence can be engaged in the subsequent modulation cycles.

### Table 6 Investigation of DMF-mediated glycosylations

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Entry</th>
<th>Donor (equiv)</th>
<th>Acceptor</th>
<th>Temp (°C)</th>
<th>Yield of 93, α/β ratio</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>91</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>50%, 6/1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>91</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>87%, 5/1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>91</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>87%, 5/1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Scheme 14 DMF-mediated synthesis of trisaccharide 105.

### E.3. Promoter, additives, and chelators

Many decades ago, glycosylation of poorly nucleophilic acceptors was sluggish and inefficient. Early attempts to improve the glycosylation process by Zemplen and Helferich also revealed the necessity to find a delicate balance between the reactivity and stereoselectivity because it was noted that faster reactions often result in decreased stereoselectivity and vice versa. It has become general knowledge that milder activating conditions are beneficial for 1,2-cis glycosylation. Thus, halide ion-catalyzed reactions gave the best results for glycosylation with glycol bromides and iodides.

Thioglycosides often give higher selectivity when activated with a mild promoter, such as iodonium dicollidine perchlorate (IDCP). Recently, Demchenko and co-workers investigated the glycosidation of thioglycosides in the presence of bromine, another mild activator. It was demonstrated that bromine-mediated glycosidation of thioglycoside 106 leads to exclusive α-selectivity in products 109–111 (entries 1a, 2a and 3a, Scheme 15). This reaction was monitored by NMR, showing that β-bromide is the reactive intermediate which, however, can undergo a rapid anomerization into the α-linked counterpart. Once formed, the α-bromide is totally unreactive under the established reaction conditions, so the yield of glycosylation can be low with secondary alcohols (entries 2a and 3a). It was also shown that the α-bromide can be reactivated in the presence of a mercury(II) additive.
While many of the current methodologies for glycosylation require the use of stoichiometric amounts of promoters, the use of transition metal catalysts helps to achieve greener glycosylation and offers new opportunities for stereoselection. Nguyen and co-workers studied palladium(n)-catalyzed glycosylation of TCAI donors using Pd(CH3CN)4(BF4)2 or similar catalysts. This study evolved into the investigation of a series of nickel catalysts providing an efficient means for the glycosidation of \( N-p \)-methoxybenzylidene-protected 2-amino-2-deoxy TCAI donor. The nature of the ligand on nickel has been found to be the deciding factor in controlling the stereoselectivity of glycosylation. Thus, it was observed that electron-withdrawing substituents help to decrease the reaction time, which is translated into increased \( \alpha \)-selectivity. The efficiency of nickel-catalyzed reactions was extended to the synthesis of a number of challenging targets. As summarized in Table 7, \( N \)-benzylidene TCAI donor 112 bearing different para substituents was reacted with primary (27–28) and secondary glycosyl acceptors (58, 113–114) under catalysis of Ni(4-F-PhCN)4(OTf)2 to provide disaccharides (115–119) with very high \( \alpha \)-selectivity.

Recently there has been an explosion in the study of gold-catalyzed activation of alkynes to exploit the low oxophilic character of gold and the excellent functional group compatibility these catalysts exhibit. This includes work by Hotha and co-workers where propargyl glycosides were activated using Au(n) chloride to give \( \alpha/\beta \) mixtures of glycosides and disaccharides in good yields. Yu and co-workers conducted a similar study with glycosyl ortho-alkynylbenzoates under catalytic Au(i) activation conditions. Another promising new field is the use of chiral thioureas as organocatalysts for glycosylation. As of now, this approach is limited to the synthesis of 2-deoxy \( \alpha \)-glycosides and \( \beta \)-selective glycosylation with 2-oxygenated sugars.

Bennett and co-workers recently investigated the activation of thioglycosides with Ph2SO in the presence of TBAI. It was observed that this reaction proceeds via the intermediacy of glycosyl iodides. The underpinning idea of using TBAI is that the conversion of \( \alpha \)-glycosyl triflates into \( \beta \)-glycosyl iodides would favor the formation of \( \alpha \)-glycosides. Thus, when 5-phenyl donor 120 was preactivated using Ph2SO/Tf2O followed by the addition of TBAI and glycosyl acceptors 2 or 92, the respective disaccharides 121 (41%) or 122 (79%) were obtained in excellent or even complete \( \alpha \)-stereoselectivity (Scheme 16).

Various additives to promoter systems often influence the stereochemical outcome of glycosylation. Amongst the most remarkable examples is the use of perchlorate ion additive that was found to be very influential in 1,2-\( \text{cis} \) glycosylation. Very recently, the effectiveness of the use of silver perchlorate as the activator in glycosidation of thioimidates and thioglycosides to provide better 1,2-\( \text{cis} \) selectivity than that achieved with more common triflates, has been studied. While studying 2,3-\( \text{O} \)-carbonyl protected glucose and galactose donors, which are generally \( \beta \)-stereoselective, Ye and co-workers observed that Lewis acid additives favor \( \alpha \)-stereoselectivity in precatalyst-based glycosylation. Thus, a catalytic amount of BF3-OEt2 or AgBF4 as well as 1 equiv. of AgPF6 or SnCl4 completely reversed the stereoselectivity to give \( \alpha \)-linked products. It was assumed that similar to that proven for 2,3-\( \text{O} \)-oxazolidinones, the initially formed \( \beta \)-linked product anomerizes into the thermodynamically more stable \( \alpha \)-anomer, and this anomerization is facilitated by Lewis acid additives.

Demchenko and co-workers observed that multi-dentate metal coordination to the leaving group, along with a protecting group at 6-\( \text{O} \) and/or 4-\( \text{S} \), has a strong effect on the stereo-selectivity of chemical glycosylation (Scheme 17). It was demonstrated that platinum(n) complexation of 6-\( \text{O} \)-picolinyl or 6-\( \text{O} \)-bipyridyl to the leaving group, such as thiazolyl, has a pronounced effect on the stereoselectivity of glycosylation. While the glycosidation of thioimidate donor 123 with acceptor
F. Other effects and special methods

High pressure applied to reactions with participating glycosyl donors further enhances 1,2-trans selectivity\(^{120}\) when the high pressure conditions were applied to glycosylation with a non-participating glycosyl donor, a remarkable increase in the reaction yield was noted with only marginal changes in stereo-selectivity.\(^{121}\) Unfavorable steric interactions, such as "double stereodifferentiation"\(^{122}\) that occur between the glycosyl donor and acceptor in the transition state or other factors or conditions may unexpectedly govern the course and outcome of the glycosylation process.

A number of methods have been developed that do not include a formal glycosylation step.\(^{123}\) Typically, these indirect procedures include multistep syntheses and are of lower efficiency than direct glycosylation. Therefore, practical application of these techniques is envisaged for the synthesis of glycosidic linkages that cannot be easily accessed by conventional technologies. O’Doherty developed a well-rounded methodology for palladium(0)-catalyzed glycosylation, wherein carbohydrate chirality centers are installed post-glycosylationally.\(^{124-126}\) The de novo asymmetric methodology was applied to the synthesis of mono, di, and oligosaccharides via a palladium-catalyzed reaction. The synthesis of 1,2-cis linkages have not yet been accomplished.

F.1. Intramolecular aglycone delivery (IAD)

Barresi and Hindsgaul were the first to apply the idea of intramolecular glycosylation, which was used for the synthesis of \(\beta\)-mannosides.\(^{125}\) Subsequently, it was demonstrated that silicon bridge-mediated aglycone delivery provides high yields and excellent stereocontrol.\(^{126}\) Further improvements emerged with the introduction of the allyl-mediated strategy that affords high yields and complete stereoselectivity in \(\alpha\)-glucosylation and \(\beta\)-mannosylation.\(^{127}\) More recently Ito and co-workers invented naphthylmethyl ether (NAP)-mediated intramolecular aglycone delivery that generally provides significantly higher yields in comparison to those of traditional approaches.\(^{128}\)

A representative example, the synthesis of disaccharide 129, is depicted in Scheme 18. Thus, when 2-O-NAP-protected thiomethyl glycosyl donor 126 was reacted with acceptor 127 in the presence of DDQ, followed by the removal of the NAP tether and acetylation, disaccharide 129 was obtained in 90% yield with complete \(\beta\)-selectivity. The further value of this methodology is that it allows for the stereoselective synthesis of various 1,2-cis linkages, such as \(\beta\)-Man\(_p\), \(\beta\)-Araf, and \(\alpha\)-GlcP.\(^{129}\)

F.2. Supported and tagged synthesis

The last decade has witnessed dramatic improvements in the area of solid phase-supported oligosaccharide synthesis.\(^{130}\) Polymer supported synthesis is very attractive because it allows execution of the synthesis of oligosaccharide sequences without the necessity of purifying (and characterizing) the intermediates. Another important advantage of oligosaccharide synthesis on solid phase supports is the ease of excess reagent removal (by filtration). This effort culminated in the automated synthesis by Seeberger, which was the first attempt to conquer the challenge of 1,2-cis glycosidic bond formation using an automated approach.\(^{131}\) Careful refinement of the reaction conditions allowed 1,2-cis galactosylation in dichloromethane-ether and a Globo-H sequence was assembled as depicted in Scheme 19. First, glycosyl phosphate donor 130 was linked to the resin 136 via glycosylation using TMSOTf (repeated once) as the promoter, followed by deprotection of the Fmoc substituent with piperidine (repeated twice) to provide a polymer-bound acceptor. The general synthetic protocol consists of repetitive
cycles of glycosylation using either glycosyl phosphate (130–133) or PTFAl donors (134 and 135) followed by deprotection with piperidine. The final product 137 was obtained under an atmosphere of ethylene in the presence of Grubbs’ catalyst13 in an overall yield of 30%.

Very recently the same group has reported the total synthesis of an O-antigen pentasaccharide repeating unit obtained from pathogenic E. coli. O111. With the synthetic challenge of constructing two unnatural and labile coitoise units, the total synthesis was achieved in 21 steps with 1.5% overall yield.133 Boons et al. presented a very elegant synthesis of an α-linked oligosaccharide on a polymer support using their recent chiral auxiliary-assisted synthesis of 1,2-cis glycosides.38

A promising technique for tagged oligosaccharide synthesis that makes use of an ionic-liquid support has recently emerged.138 As with the polymer-supported and fluorous tag-supported syntheses,135 ionic liquid-supported assembly expedites oligosaccharide synthesis by eliminating the need for chromatographic purification of the intermediates.134,136 Differently from insoluble polymer beads, ionic liquid supports allow for homogeneous conditions. This approach is illustrated by the synthesis of trisaccharide 141 (Scheme 20).137

In this synthetic strategy, the glycosyl acceptor 139 was grafted onto an ionic liquid support at the C-6 position of the sugar moiety. The resulting tagged glycosyl acceptor 139 was reacted with TCAI donor 138 to afford disaccharide 140 in 89% yield and high α-stereoselectivity. The purification is accomplished by simple washing or liquid–liquid extractions. Disaccharide 140 was then reacted with acceptor 28, followed by the removal of the ionic liquid tag using LiOH–H2O to afford trisaccharide 141 in 87% yield.

G. Conclusions and outlook

Progress in the area of chemical glycosylation has significantly improved our ability to synthesize various glycosidic linkages with impressive yields and stereoselectivity. Can we conclude that we have entirely solved the problem of chemical glycosylation? Unfortunately not, and hopefully this review has introduced the reader to the challenge of chemical glycosylation, a variety of factors, conditions, and driving forces influencing all aspects of this complex chemical reaction. Hopefully, the reader has obtained the information about specialized methods and strategies employed in modern carbohydrate chemistry.

The authors believe that progress in the development of new coupling methods and efficient strategies for oligosaccharide synthesis will ultimately provide an efficient and trouble-free access to complex saccharides. This goal cannot be achieved without comprehensive knowledge of the glycosylation mechanism and the driving forces of glycosylation and competing side processes. We project that subsequent scientific developments in this field will focus more and more on studying the mechanistic aspects of the glycosylation reaction. As new mechanistic knowledge emerges, further refinement of the reaction conditions and development of new directing protecting groups and even additional anomeric leaving groups may reemerge.

Acknowledgements

The authors are indebted to the NSF (CHE-1058112) and NIGMS (GM111835) for support of their work on the development of new methods and strategies for stereoselective oligosaccharide synthesis. S.S.N. is indebted to the UM – St. Louis Graduate School for awarding her with the Dissertation Fellowship.

Notes and references


