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Peptides consisting of D-amino amides are highly represented among both biologically active natural products and non-natural small molecules used in therapeutic development. Chemical synthesis of D-amino amides most often involves approaches based on enzymatic resolution or fractional recrystallization of their diastereomeric amino acid salt precursors, techniques that produce an equal amount of the L-amino acid. Enantioselective synthesis, however, promises selective and general access to a specific α-amino amide, and may enable efficient peptide synthesis regardless of the availability of the corresponding α-amino acid. This report describes the use of a cinchona alkaloid-catalyzed aza-Henry reaction using bromonitromethane, and the integration of its product with umpolung amide synthesis. The result is a straightforward 3-step protocol beginning from aliphatic aldehydes that provides homologated peptides bearing an aliphatic side chain at the resulting D-α-amino amide.

Introduction

Noncanonical amino acids and D-amino acids are present in a multitude of biologically relevant peptides including many marketed pharmaceuticals.1–5 Current preparative methods that serve the goal of peptide homologation rely almost entirely on the enantioselective synthesis of carboxylic acid feedstock and rely on traditional condensative amide bond formation for peptide synthesis (Fig. 1).6,7 Notable enantioselective approaches to carboxylic acid donors include the preparation of active ester precursors by hydrogenation of dehydro-α-amino acids,8,9 alkylation of masked forms of glycine,10–15 and the Strecker reaction.16,17 Although these approaches generally provide high selectivity, they ultimately require the use of an active ester intermediate to form the amide (simple or peptidic), a species inherently prone to epimerization when bearing an α-C-H bond.7 In order to circumvent the epimerization pathway while minimizing functional group manipulations, we sought an integration of Umpolung Amide Synthesis (UmAS)18,19 and the enantioselective synthesis of α-bromonitroalkane donors necessary to provide the desired amides bearing aliphatic side chains (Fig. 1). Reports detailing the stereoselective synthesis of α-bromonitroalkanes have focused entirely on those that deliver α-aryl amides (aryl glycynamides)16 and α-oxy amides.18 To that end, a route to enantioenriched β-alkyl-β-amino-α-bromonitroalkanes is needed.

Although bromonitromethane has been used in the enantioselective Henry20–22 and aza-Henry16,23,24 reactions, it has never been successfully employed in an enantioselective aza-Henry addition using alkyl imine electrophiles. Similar enantioselective transformations, however, utilizing a variety of
nitroalkanes have been reported.25–30 Of particular note is the absence of an adaptation of the protocol developed by Palomo for nitromethane to bromonitromethane (Scheme 1), as it would provide the desired enantioenriched alkyl β-amino-α-β-bromonitroalkanes, which would serve as noncanonical alkyl amino acid synthons and potential precursors to β-amino amides.20 This report describes the reason for this, as well as a solution to the problem. In so doing, the application of UmAS to α-amino amide peptoid homologation is reduced to practice, and applied to the chemical synthesis of a homochiral D-peptide bearing aliphatic substituents, using entirely enantioselective methods.

Results and discussion

Initial attempts to translate Palomo’s protocol to aliphatic N-Boc aldines focused on N-Boc-α-amido sulfone38 1 using phase transfer catalyst N-benzylquininium chloride 2.23 In our hands, nitromethane provided results aligned with those reported, providing 3 in 94% ee and 76% yield (Scheme 1), but straightforward substitution of bromonitromethane for nitromethane resulted in recovery of the unreacted α-amino amide (Scheme 1). During these attempts, several key observations were made. First, significant heat was generated immediately after addition of CsOH-H2O, concurrent with the release of gas and the formation of an insoluble brown solid that coated the solid cesium hydroxide powder. This apparent decomposition of bromonitromethane in aqueous base has been previously reported.21 Reducing the equivalents of bromonitromethane from 5 to 1.5 (Table 1, entries 1 and 2) appeared to mitigate this direct reaction by lowering the concentration of bromonitromethane. However, incomplete conversion remained correlated to the formation of an orange-brown residue on the solid cesium hydroxide, a material that resulted from bromonitromethane decomposition.22 Alternative bases were identified that formed little or no residue, but these bases failed to provide significant conversion (Table 1, entries 3, 4, 5 and 7). CsOH-H2O and KOH (Table 1, entries 2 and 6) remained the only reagents with sufficient reactivity, and these provided promising conversion coupled with moderate enantioselection (62–72% ee). The products were generally formed in 1:1 dr, but this was not of concern as the bromine-substituted sp3 carbon becomes an amide sp2-hybridized carbon in the UmAS step.

Further exploration of the contrasting behavior of nitromethane and bromonitromethane with cesium hydroxide led to the addition of nitromethane to the reaction mixture in an effort to improve conversion.23 The introduction of 1.5 equivalents of nitromethane resulted in near full conversion and an increase in yield (47–62%) and enantioselection (72/72 to 81/78% ee) (Table 2, cf. entries 1 and 2). The corresponding nitromethane adduct accounted for the mass balance of product, an odd observation considering the significant difference in acidity between bromonitromethane and nitromethane.23 Further increase in the equivalents of nitromethane resulted, predictably, in diminishing yield of 4 due to increased formation of the nitromethane adduct (3). However, this led to the unexpected observation that enantioselection increased up to 95/94% ee with increasing equivalents of nitromethane (Table 2, entries 2–5). In order to attenuate the reactivity of the nitroalkane additive while maintaining the apparent benefit of its presence, a series of increasingly hindered nitroalkanes were examined (Table 2, entries 5, 7 and 8). Nitroalkane additives with additional steric bulk resulted in an increased yield, and a decreased amount of
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Scheme 1 Efforts to translate Palomo’s enantioselective phase transfer-catalyzed aza-Henry protocol from nitromethane to bromonitromethane.

### Table 1 Evaluation of bases for the phase transfer catalyzed bromonitromethane addition

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Entry</th>
<th>Base</th>
<th>BrCH3NO2 (equiv.)</th>
<th>Conversion (%)</th>
<th>ee (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>CsOH·H2O</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>CsOH·H2O</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>79 (47)</td>
<td>72/72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>K2CO3</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>59/43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Na2CO3</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>CaCO3</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>KOH</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>74 (41)</td>
<td>62/68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Cs2CO3</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Notes:**

- All reactions were conducted using sulfone (1 equiv.), 12 mol% catalyst, base (1.3 equiv.) and bromonitromethane (1.5 equiv.) in toluene (0.3 M) for 96 h.
- Measured by 1H NMR relative to an internal standard (CH2Br2).
- Enantiomeric excesses determined by chiral HPLC using an OD-H column (Chiral Technologies).
- Isolated yield.
The dependence of enantioselectivity on the equivalents of nitromethane employed was intriguing, and the trend can be documented for the addition of nitromethane as well, under similar conditions (Scheme 2). Increasing the equivalents of nitromethane from 1 to 5 resulted in an increase in enantioselection from 75% to 93% ee. Further increasing the amount to 10 equivalents did not improve the enantioselection observed. The enhancement of enantioselection with increasing nitromethane equivalents might also suggest an equilibrium between bound/unbound nitroalkane. Unfortunately, we were unable to better characterize the nature of this interaction.

A combination of 10 equivalents of nitroethane and 1.5 equivalents of bromonitromethane using catalyst 2 and CsOH·H2O as the base were chosen as optimal conditions to examine a variety of α-amido sulfones (Table 3). Selectivity is provided as a ratio to emphasize the stereospecific nature of UmAS; enantiomeric ratios of nitroalkane donors should translate to diastereomeric ratios for the amide products. Straight carbon chain substrates provided the corresponding α-bromonitroalkane donors for Nle, Nva, and Adod (Table 3, entries 6–8) with high er and moderate yields. Substrates with branching β to the imine carbon (Table 3, entries 2, 3, 9 and 11) became precursors for Leu, Npg, Cha, and Phe, furnishing the corresponding products with high er and moderate yield, with one exception (Phe: Table 3, entry 11, 38% yield). Branching α to the imine resulted in diminished yield and enantioselection.

The isopropyl derivative (Table 3, entry 4) leading to Val, and the cyclopropyl derivative leading to cyclopropyl glycine (Table 3, entry 15) gave diminished enantioselection down to 5 : 1 and 8 : 1 er, respectively. A cyclohexyl substituent (Table 3, entry 5) leading to the Chg donor resulted in 16 : 1 er, albeit with poor yield (36%). The catalyzed aza-Henry was tolerant of unsaturation in the imine substituent, maintaining moderate yield and high enantioselection. Alkenyl side chains (Table 3, entries 12 and 14) provided the desired α-bromonitroalkanes in 13 : 1 and 16 : 1 er, respectively. The terminal alkyne substrate (Table 3, entry 10) leading to Hpg was provided in 42% yield with 15 : 1 er. The inclusion of an electron-poor trifluoromethyl side chain (Table 3, entry 13) resulted in 20 : 1 er, while an electron-rich side chain (Table 3, entry 16) for Et-Hse resulted in diminished enantioselectivity at 7 : 1 er. The intent of this study was to outline the tolerance of the enantioselective addition to substituent variations; the adducts were often crystalline solids for which fractional recrystallization could deliver enantiopure material (vide infra).

Details regarding the subsequent umpolung amide synthesis using substoichiometric NIS are also included in Table 3.4 The chiral enantioenriched β-α-amido-α-bromonitroalkanes were coupled to enantioenriched (S)-α-methylbenzylamine (99% ee) to demonstrate that the diastereomers are homochiral at the β-carbon, and that selectivity translates with complete fidelity to the amide product, as expected based on the UmAS mechanism.16,17 All α-bromonitroalkanes coupled without event including those containing unsaturation that is potentially reactive toward NIS. The resulting α-amino amides were isolated in diastereomeric ratios greater than or equal to their corresponding enantiomeric ratios with few exceptions.

The goal of this work was to develop a general approach to α-amino amide homologation with aliphatic side chains, while improving access to their peptides. In many of the cases in Table 3, there is no existing homologative procedure for the α-amino amide preparation that relies on enantioselective synthesis as an alternative to α-amino acid salt resolution or...
fermentation. In the case of \(\alpha\)-aminododecanoic acid (Adod), however, two preparations of the enantiopure \(\alpha\)-amino acid donor have been described and are outlined in Fig. 2. Beginning from protected \(\alpha\)-amino malonate 39, a sequence of alkylation–hydrolysis–decarboxylation leads to N-Boc-Adod in racemic form. A chlorinated derivative (40) has been resolved using an enzyme-catalyzed kinetic resolution. An alternative to resolution involves a chiral auxiliary, which leads to N-Boc-D-Adod in enantiopure form in two steps from 41. By comparison, N-Boc-\(\alpha\)-Adod donor is prepared in enantiopure form in only two steps and a recrystallization from undecanal (38).

In order to illustrate the fully modular construction of each aliphatic \(\alpha\)-amino acid and an iterative assembly, the synthesis of tripeptide 46 containing three noncanonical amino acids, neopentylglycine (Npg), homophenylalanine (Hph) and 2-aminododecanoic acid (Adod) was targeted (Scheme 3). \(\alpha\)-Bromonitroalkane 8 was synthesized on gram-scale while maintaining yield (55%) and enantioselection (90% ee). Subsequent

Table 3 Substrate scope for the phase transfer catalyzed bromonitromethane addition and UmAS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Entry</th>
<th>(R) Name</th>
<th>Yield (c) (%)</th>
<th>(er) (d)</th>
<th>(dr) (e)</th>
<th>Yield (f) (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>(\text{CH}_2\text{CH}_2\text{Ph}) Homophenylalanine (Hph)</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>45 : 1</td>
<td>64 : 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>(\text{iBu}) Leucine (Leu)</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>15 : 1</td>
<td>16 : 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>((\text{CH}_3)_2\text{CCH}_2) Neopentylglycine (Npg)</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>37 : 1</td>
<td>35 : 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>(3^\text{Pr}) Valine (Val)</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>5 : 1</td>
<td>5 : 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Cy</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>16 : 1</td>
<td>14 : 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>(3^\text{Bu}) Norleucine (Nle)</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>49 : 1</td>
<td>41 : 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>(7^\text{Pr}) Norvaline (Nva)</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>14 : 1</td>
<td>25 : 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>(\text{C}_6\text{H}_5\text{CH}_2) 2-Amino-dodecanoic acid (Adod)</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>22 : 1</td>
<td>29 : 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>((\text{C}_6\text{H}_5)_2\text{CH}_2) Cyclohexylalanine (Cha)</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>46 : 1</td>
<td>71 : 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>(\text{HC}==\text{CCH}_2\text{CH}_2) Homopropargylglycine (Hpg)</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>15 : 1</td>
<td>45 : 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>(\text{PhCH}_2) Phenylalanine (Phe)</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>14 : 1</td>
<td>14 : 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>(\text{H}_2\text{C}==\text{CHCHCH}_3) Homoallylglycine (Hag)</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>13 : 1</td>
<td>20 : 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>(\text{CF}_3\text{CH}_2\text{CH}==\text{CH}_2) 2-Amino-4-trifluorobutyric acid (Atb)</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>20 : 1</td>
<td>35 : 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>(\text{cis-CHCHCH}_2==\text{CH}==\text{CH}==\text{CH}_2) —</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>16 : 1</td>
<td>17 : 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>(\text{c-C}_6\text{H}_5) Cyclopropylglycine (Dpg)</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>8 : 1</td>
<td>8 : 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>(\text{EtOCHCH}_2) Ethyl homoserine (Et-Hse)</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>7 : 1</td>
<td>8 : 1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*All reactions were conducted using sulfone (1 equiv., 0.3 M in toluene), 12 mol% catalyst, CsOH·H_2O (1.3 equiv.), nitroethane (10 equiv.) and bromonitromethane (1.5 equiv.) at \(-50^\circ\text{C}.\) All reactions were conducted using bromonitrile (1 equiv.), H_2O (5 equiv.), (\(S\))-\(\text{Me}-\text{benzylamine (1.2 equiv., K}_2\text{CO}_3 (3 equiv.) and NIS (0.1 equiv.) in DME (0.2 M) under an O_2 atmosphere at 0^\circ\text{C.}\) \(\text{Isolated yield.}\) \(\text{Determined by HPLC using a chiral stationary phase and reported as an average of diastereomers.}\) \(\text{Determined by HPLC using AD-H column (Chiral Technologies).}\)
UmAS coupling on gram-scale provided the desired amide 24 in 57% yield in 20:1 dr. Boc-deprotection with HCl-dioxane proceeded uneventfully in 97% yield. Amine 43 was submitted to UmAS conditions with D-homophenylalanine donor 4 to afford dipeptide 44 in 47% yield. At this point, the minor diastereomer(s) were undetectable after standard flash column chromatography. Boc-deprotection provided free amine 45 in 94% yield, and a final UmAS coupling with D-Ado donor 13 provided tripeptide 46 in 51% yield.

**Conclusion**

In three steps from commercially available, inexpensive aldehydes, peptides containing one or more D-amino amides can be readily prepared using a combination of a cinchona alkaloid-catalyzed aza-Henry and UmAS chemistry. In order to achieve this, the rapid decomposition of bromonitromethane with cesium hydroxide was circumvented by effectively tempering the reactivity of the solid base with a less acidic nitroalkane. This solution exhibited the added benefit of increasing enantioselection, albeit for reasons yet unclear. Overall, the catalytic enantioselective synthesis of peptides containing aliphatic D-amino acids is both possible and efficient (3 steps), and derived from aldehyde feedstock that is commercially diverse and inexpensive.
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**Notes and references**


32. See Supporting Information for additional experiments that characterize and support an adverse reaction specifically between cesium hydroxide and bromonitromethane.
33. Although bromonitromethane is significantly more acidic than nitromethane, competition by the latter was consistently observed when using these PTC conditions.