

CrossMark
click for updatesCite this: *J. Anal. At. Spectrom.*, 2015, 30, 1531

Chlorine isotope determination *via* the monitoring of the AlCl molecule by high-resolution continuum source graphite furnace molecular absorption spectrometry – a case study

F. V. Nakadi,^{ab} M. A. M. S. da Veiga,^{ab} M. Aramendía,^{ac} E. García-Ruiz^a and M. Resano^{*a}

This work investigates the possibility of obtaining isotopic information *via* the monitoring of the absorption spectra of a gaseous diatomic molecule generated in a graphite furnace and using a high-resolution (approx. 1.5 pm per pixel) monochromator (HR CS GFMS). To test this concept, Cl was chosen as the analyte and AlCl as the target species. The results demonstrate that, unlike what occurs with atomic spectra, under optimum conditions it is possible to acquire isotopic information by HR CS GFMS in a straightforward way, as it is feasible to observe band heads for each Cl isotope (actually, for Al³⁵Cl and Al³⁷Cl) that are separated, *i.e.*, they act like two different molecules absorbing at different wavelengths. The method proposed, based upon the addition of both Pd and Al and the selection of peak height values, enables Cl isotopic analysis with precision values around 2% RSD for solutions with Cl contents at the mg L⁻¹ level. Accurate values, within this uncertainty, can be directly obtained without requiring any method for mass bias correction. The potential of isotope dilution for calibration is also explored, and it is proven how this approach can help in providing accurate results in situations where the occurrence of chemical interferences, a case frequently encountered for the HR CS GFMS technique, hampers the use of other calibration approaches, as demonstrated for water analysis.

Received 20th February 2015
Accepted 7th April 2015

DOI: 10.1039/c5ja00055f

www.rsc.org/jaas

1 Introduction

Despite its toxicity, chlorine is a widely used industrial commodity. Chlorine is used in many different fields, such as production of chlorinated solvents and other chemicals, water purification, plastics production and pulp and paper manufacture,¹ thus making it necessary to control the presence of this element at trace levels in a wide variety of samples.^{2–9}

In addition to controlling the elemental content, investigating the isotopic composition of Cl can be of interest in different situations, as it may help, for instance, to track the source or the fate of different Cl species in the environment, or in biological fluids, or help in elucidating geochemical processes.¹⁰ Some recent articles, based on the use of different techniques such as gas source mass spectrometry (often referred to as IR-MS¹¹), thermal ionization mass spectrometry,^{12,13} laser ablation multicollector-inductively coupled

plasma mass spectrometry (MC-ICPMS),¹⁴ ion chromatography MC-ICPMS,¹⁵ gas chromatography-MS,¹⁶ and high performance liquid chromatography-MS/MS,¹⁷ have evaluated the isotope content of Cl in different matrices. These techniques rely on the high sensitivity and selectivity of mass spectrometry, which typically requires rigorous sample preparation to avoid matrix interferences.¹⁸

Some interesting alternatives to MS techniques, which may be worth exploring, are appearing in the literature recently. For instance, laser ablation molecular isotopic spectrometry (LAMIS), developed by Russo *et al.*, explores the concept of wavelength isotopic shifts between diatomic molecules.^{19,20} This technique can be seen as an evolution of LIBS, but instead of aiming at the monitoring of the emission spectra of elemental species, which are well-known to show very small isotopic variations, its goal is to evaluate and quantify isotopic shifts occurring for diatomic molecules, in which one of the atoms is the target analyte. These shifts are significantly larger than their atomic counterparts,¹⁹ thus permitting to determine boron,^{19,21,22} carbon,^{19,23} hydrogen,^{19,24} oxygen,¹⁹ and strontium²⁵ isotopes, without requiring extensive sample preparation or a vacuum environment.

In principle, not only emission but also absorption could be used to obtain isotopic information. When the goal is to monitor atomic absorption, high-resolution continuum source

^aDepartment of Analytical Chemistry, Aragón Institute of Engineering Research (I3A), University of Zaragoza, Pedro Cerbuna 12, 50009, Zaragoza, Spain. E-mail: mresano@unizar.es

^bWhile on leave from Departamento de Química, Faculdade de Filosofia, Ciências e Letras de Ribeirão Preto, Universidade de São Paulo, 14040-901, Ribeirão Preto-SP, Brazil

^cCentro Universitario de la Defensa-Academia General Militar de Zaragoza, Carretera de Huesca s/n, 50090, Zaragoza, Spain



atomic absorption spectrometry (HR CS AAS) offers very significant advantages when compared to classic line source instrumentation,²⁶ such as improved possibilities to detect and correct for interferences and lower limits of detection.^{27–32} In addition, this technique offers enough resolution (down to approx. 1 pm) to quantify different portions of an atomic line; however, even with such an instrument, it is very challenging to detect the small energy variations occurring for different isotopes, owing to a number of well-known factors (*i.e.*, collisional broadening, Doppler and Stark effects) that result in the broadening of the peaks.

So far, only one study has intended to attain isotopic information using HR CS AAS.³³ In such pioneering work, Wiltsche *et al.* used a flame as the atomizer and tried to take advantage of the large mass difference existing between the two isotopes of B, ¹⁰B and ¹¹B, to determine B ratios in steel samples. The authors found a less sensitive atomic line (around 208.9 nm) that shows a higher isotopic shift than the most sensitive one (249.8 nm): 208.95898 nm for ¹⁰B and 208.95650 nm for ¹¹B. This difference is still too small to observe two fully resolved peaks with a HR CS FAAS instrument. However, it can still be appreciated that the B absorption profile shifts as a function of the boron isotopic ratio: the higher the % of ¹⁰B, the higher the wavelength at which the peak maximum is found. Thus, it is in principle possible to determine the B isotopic composition. Nevertheless, since the isotopic shift of boron is very small, it is necessary to correct for possible instabilities of the monochromator in order to achieve sufficient precision and accuracy, which was done using a suitable internal standard (Fe or Ni) that was monitored in truly simultaneous mode. This approach was found satisfactory to distinguish different enrichment levels of ¹⁰B (within 5%) in steel samples.

There is another advantage of HR CS instrumentation that should not be overlooked. That is the potential to monitor not only atomic but also complex and transient molecular spectra, as generated from a graphite furnace, which offers more sensitivity than a flame as the atomizer. The resulting technique, high-resolution continuum source graphite furnace molecular atomic spectrometry (HR CS GFMS) opens new ways to determine non-metals,^{34–36} for which it is hardly feasible to get access to their main atomic lines because they are located in the vacuum UV. But the use of HR CS GFMS can also be beneficial for isotopic analysis, because, in the same way as explained before for LAMIS and emission spectrometry, the isotopic shifts found when monitoring molecular absorption spectra are expected to be much larger than those found for atomic absorption spectra.

This work aims at exploring this idea, the evaluation of the absorption of diatomic molecules, as a new possibility to acquire isotope data by means of HR CS GFMS. In order to investigate this new concept, which to the best of our knowledge has not been reported before in the literature, Cl has been selected as the analyte, owing to its relevance, as discussed before. Al has been chosen as the pair to create the AlCl molecule, which will be the target of the HR CS GFMS monitoring, with the goal of producing isotopic transitions that could be spectrally resolved.

2 Experimental

2.1 Instrumentation

All the AlCl measurements were performed with a high-resolution continuum source atomic absorption spectrometer, ContraAA 700, commercially available from Analytik Jena AG (Jena, Germany). The optical system comprises a xenon short-arc lamp (GLE, Berlin, Germany) operating in “hot-spot” mode as the radiation source, a high-resolution double echelle monochromator (DEMON) and a linear CCD array detector with 588 pixels, 200 of which are used for analytical purposes (monitoring of the analytical signal and BG correction), while the rest are used for internal functions, such as correcting for fluctuations in the lamp intensity. More details on this type of instrumentation can be found elsewhere.²⁶ This instrument is also equipped with a transversely heated graphite tube atomizer, pyrolytic graphite tubes, and both automated solid sampling and liquid sampling accessories. In this work, only liquids were introduced in the graphite furnace.

Moreover, an ICP mass spectrometer, NexION 300X (Perkin Elmer, USA) was used to validate the results obtained for the water samples by means of HR CS GFMS.

2.2 Standards, reagents and samples

Two reference materials with a certified Cl isotopic composition (CRMs) were used in this work: SRM 975a Isotopic Standard for Chlorine (³⁵Cl atom percent: 75.774 ± 0.028%; ³⁷Cl atom percent: 24.226 ± 0.028%; absolute abundance ratio ³⁵Cl/³⁷Cl: 3.1279 ± 0.0047), available from the National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST, USA), and ERM-AE642 Cl in water (³⁷Cl content: 4.375 ± 0.026 10⁻⁶ mol g⁻¹; absolute abundance ratio ³⁵Cl/³⁷Cl: 0.01914 ± 0.00048), available from the Institute for Reference Materials and Measurements (IRMM, Belgium). A stock standard Cl solution, 1000 mg L⁻¹ (Merck, Germany) was also used. In all of these materials, Cl was present as NaCl.

Other Cl solutions were prepared with diclofenac sodium (2-[(2,6-dichlorophenyl)amino]benzeneacetic acid sodium salt, Fluka, Switzerland), KCl, NH₄Cl and HCl (Merck). High-purity water (Trace Select Ultra, Cl level lower than 1 µg kg⁻¹, Fluka) was used for the preparation of all solutions. A stock standard Pd solution of 10 g L⁻¹ (Merck) was diluted to 2 g L⁻¹, and this solution was applied as the chemical modifier. A stock standard Al solution of 1000 mg L⁻¹ (Merck) was also used to generate the AlCl molecule. A 10 g Ca L⁻¹ solution was prepared by dissolving CaCO₃ (Merck) in 5% (v/v) HNO₃ (Merck), and used for an interference study. All the reagents were of analytical grade purity or higher.

Finally, two CRM samples with certified Cl levels were analyzed in this work: ION-915, natural water from Lake Superior (lot 1109), and KEJIM-02, soft water from Kejimikujik Lake (lot 0914), both available from Environment Canada (Canada). Five mineral water samples were acquired at local stores and analyzed as well.



Table 1 Instrumental parameters used to determine Cl by monitoring the AlCl molecule using HR CS GFMS

Electronic transition	$X^1\Sigma^+ \rightarrow A^1\Pi$			
Wavelengths	262.238 nm (Al ³⁵ Cl) 262.222 nm (Al ³⁷ Cl)			
Number of detector pixels summed per line	3 (4.65 pm)			
Reactant/chemical modifier	Al (10 µg)/Pd (20 µg)			
Sample volume	10 µL			
Temperature program				
Step	Temperature/°C	Ramp/°C s ⁻¹	Hold/s	Ar gas flow/L min ⁻¹
Drying	90	3	20	2.0
Drying	110	5	20	2.0
Pyrolysis	500	300	25	2.0
Vaporization	2200	1500	5	0
Cleaning	2500	500	4	2.0

Table 2 Instrumental parameters used to determine Cl by means of ICPMS

RF power/W	1600
Plasma gas flow rate (Ar)/L min ⁻¹	18
Nebulizer gas flow rate (Ar)/L min ⁻¹	1.0
Auxiliary gas flow rate (Ar)/L min ⁻¹	1.2
Scan mode	Peak hopping
RPq	0.25
Dwell time/ms	150
Sweeps/reading	1
Readings/replicate	20
Number of replicates	10
Nuclides monitored	³⁵ Cl ⁺

2.3 Analysis of the samples

Samples were directly analyzed without any dilution step by means of HR CS GFMS, using the instrumental parameters shown in Table 1. Both a calibration curve prepared with five Cl standards covering the interval 1–10 mg L⁻¹ and isotope dilution (using a spike of 0.509 ³⁵Cl/³⁷Cl molar ratio and a Cl content of 1.09 mmol L⁻¹) were used for quantitation.

On the other hand, mineral water samples were also analyzed by means of ICPMS for validation purposes. The ICPMS parameters are shown in Table 2. In this case, samples were diluted using high-purity water and the calibration curve was constructed using five Cl standards that covered the interval between 100 and 1000 µg L⁻¹. In all cases, three different sample aliquots were analyzed.

3 Results and discussion

3.1 Cl monitoring by HR CS GFMS

3.1.1 Theoretical and experimental Cl isotopic monitoring.

Contrary to what occurs with other non-metals such as F, P and S, the number of articles devoted to Cl monitoring by HR CS GFMS is surprisingly low considering the importance of this

element,³⁶ which probably indicates that its determination is not straightforward. So far, only three articles have reported on the use of this technique to determine Cl. The first two articles selected AlCl as the target molecule,^{4,37} while the most recent one opted for SrCl.⁹ In this work, we have selected AlCl, not only because it is a fairly sensitive and stable molecule (bond dissociation energy, 511 kJ mol⁻¹), but also because Al is monoisotopic and, therefore, the potential isotopic shift should only obey Cl variations. Furthermore, the isotopic shifts observed for this molecule are sufficiently large to be appreciated by means of HR CS GFMS, as will be proved below.

The theoretical isotopic shift can be derived from the equation available in the classic book of Herzberg,³⁸ in a similar way as described by Russo *et al.*,¹⁹ as shown below:

$$\Delta\nu = (1 - \rho) \left[\omega'_e \left(v' + \frac{1}{2} \right) - \omega''_e \left(v'' + \frac{1}{2} \right) \right] - (1 - \rho^2) \left[\omega'_e x'_e \left(v' + \frac{1}{2} \right)^2 - \omega''_e x''_e \left(v'' + \frac{1}{2} \right)^2 \right] + (1 - \rho^3) \left[\omega'_e y'_e \left(v' + \frac{1}{2} \right)^3 - \omega''_e y''_e \left(v'' + \frac{1}{2} \right)^3 \right] \quad (1)$$

where $\Delta\nu$ is the isotopic shift in cm⁻¹, ν is the vibrational quantum number, ω_e is the harmonic frequency, $\omega_e x_e$ and $\omega_e y_e$ are the first and second anharmonic constants, respectively; $\rho = (\mu/\mu^i)^{1/2}$, where μ is the reduced mass of the molecule and i corresponds to the heavier isotope. The number of apostrophes denotes the electronic levels (two for the lower one, and one for the upper one) involved in the electronic transition.

The only difference with the equation used in ref. 19 is that we observed that, for the AlCl molecule and for the type of transitions investigated in our work (transitions between different vibrational levels), it is necessary to add a third term. In theory, the number of terms is infinite, but with these three terms shown above an excellent agreement between theoretical and experimental shifts can be obtained ($R^2 = 0.99987$).

Table 3 Experimental and theoretical isotopic shifts found for the analytical band heads of the AlCl molecule ($X^1\Sigma^+ \rightarrow A^1\Pi$ electronic transition) by HR CS GFMS for different vibrational transitions (v', v''). The isotopic shift was calculated using eqn (1) and converted to wavelength for correlation with experimental data. The relative sensitivity was compared with the most sensitive line (261.418 nm) and, when two separate peaks were observed, the Al³⁵Cl and Al³⁷Cl signals were summed

λ^0 /nm	λ_{exp} /nm	v', v''	$\Delta\lambda_{\text{calc}}$ /pm	$\Delta\lambda_{\text{exp}}$ /pm	Relative sensitivity/%
261.44	261.418	0,0	1.37	—	100
261.70	261.695	1,1	4.86	4.8	62
261.82	261.819	2,2	9.61	9.6	30
262.24	262.238	3,3	16.0	15.6	26
262.70	262.697	4,4	24.2	24.3	16
263.22	263.216	5,5	34.8	35.3	7.4
263.81	263.807	6,6	48.0	48.1	3.2
264.49	264.490	7,7	64.3	64.5	1.1

^a Data obtained from ref. 44.



Table 3 shows this comparison. The isotopic shift of the 261.418 nm transition could not be observed because the shift is too small for the resolution provided by the instrument (every pixel covers 1.47 pm in this wavelength region). For the rest of the transitions, the difference between the theoretical and experimental shifts was always lower than 2%.

It is important to stress that, unfortunately, the isotopic shift is inversely proportional to the sensitivity of the transition. This is probably due to the fact that the higher shifts occur for higher vibrational levels, which exhibit a lower population even at the relatively high temperature of a graphite furnace.

In order to better evaluate the potential of these transitions for isotopic analysis, a series of 2D spectra (abs. *versus* wavelength) were acquired for a Cl solution with a $^{35}\text{Cl}/^{37}\text{Cl}$ molar ratio close to unity (except for Fig. 1B, as will be discussed below). These spectra are shown in Fig. 1. As previously discussed, Fig. 1A shows that for the 261.418 nm transition only a broad band can be detected, as the signals for the two isotopes are not resolved. This transition is the one typically selected for Cl elemental analysis.^{4,37} The next transition in terms of sensitivity, located at 261.695 nm, still did not show two different peaks, but it could be seen that the peak maximum shifts as a function




Fig. 1 Spectra of AlCl_3 (1 : 1, $^{35}\text{Cl}/^{37}\text{Cl}$ molar ratio solution) at wavelengths of: (A) 261.418 nm; (B) 261.695 nm; (C) 261.819 nm; (D) 262.238 nm; (E) 262.697 nm; (F) 263.216 nm; (G) 263.807 nm; and (H) 264.490 nm. They were obtained for 400 ng of Cl, 10 μg of Al and 20 μg of Pd. However, 1B shows two different spectra: in black, the one obtained for 400 ng of Cl of CRM NIST 975a (^{35}Cl , 75.774%), and in red, the one obtained for 400 ng of Cl of CRM AE642 (^{37}Cl , 98.122%).



of the isotopic composition. This aspect can be appreciated in Fig. 1B, which compares the signal obtained for a spike enriched in ^{37}Cl with that occurring for another solution richer in ^{35}Cl . This is similar to the situation found by Wiltsche *et al.* when monitoring atomic lines for B isotopic analysis.³³ The next transition, 261.819 nm (Fig. 1C), already shows two different peaks, the left one corresponding to Al^{37}Cl and the right one to Al^{35}Cl , although they are not well resolved. That would affect the ratio finally obtained, as the tailing of the Al^{37}Cl peak has a clear effect on the Al^{35}Cl signal, thus resulting in a 35/37 ratio that is biased high.

The 261.238 nm transition (Fig. 1D) shows a better situation, as the peaks, while still not fully resolved, do not seem to overlap sufficiently to influence the ratio obtained in a significant way, since the ratio of both peaks is close to unity. This spectrum hints for the first time at the possibility of carrying out isotopic analysis by HR CS MAS with good resolution and in a straightforward manner, as the band head of each Cl isotope is separated, *i.e.*, they act like two different molecules absorbing at different wavelengths. The situation for the next transitions (Fig. 1E–H) shows an even higher separation between the peaks, but at the cost of a decreasing signal. This can be problematic as the effect of the background structure may begin to affect the ratios obtained. Still, these transitions may be useful for higher Cl levels. For determinations at the mg L^{-1} level, the transition shown in Fig. 1D was considered as the most suitable and was further used throughout the work.

3.1.2 Optimization of the working parameters. Once the most suitable wavelength was selected, other aspects were investigated. Two factors that can be critical for the formation of the AlCl molecule in the graphite furnace are (i) the amount of Al added, that should be enough to aim at the maximum Cl conversion into AlCl , and (ii) the amount of the chemical modifier added, which may help in stabilizing both Al, and particularly, Cl species. Pd was chosen as the chemical modifier and the amount of both Al and Pd were optimized, as shown in Fig. 2.

Only a small AlCl absorbance variation was observed for Al contents greater than 5 μg (Fig. 2A). Nonetheless, an amount of 10 μg was chosen for further studies to ensure the correct formation of the AlCl molecule. As for the Pd content (see Fig. 2B), it is clear that it has a significant influence on the AlCl signal. Low Pd levels lead to poor sensitivity, while very high contents also provide a very low signal, probably because AlCl is no longer formed in significant amounts owing to the strong Pd competition. The optimum values range from 5 to 20 μg of Pd. A value of 20 μg was chosen for further studies.

The temperature program was subsequently optimized as well (see Fig. 3). In the presence of Pd, a stable signal was obtained for a pyrolysis temperature of up to 500 $^{\circ}\text{C}$, with good agreement between the theoretical and experimental isotopic ratios. As for the vaporization temperature, a plateau is reached for temperatures of 2100 $^{\circ}\text{C}$ or higher. The use of 2200 $^{\circ}\text{C}$ guarantees maximum sensitivity, a good peak definition while still preserving the lifetime of the graphite parts.

Finally, the AlCl signal obtained for five very different Cl species (diclofenac sodium, HCl, KCl, NH_4Cl and NaCl) under




Fig. 2 Optimization of the (A) Al and (B) Pd amount used to monitor Al^{35}Cl by HR CS GFMS for 200 ng of Cl at the 262.238 nm line. 20 μg of Pd were added during the Al optimization, and 10 μg of Al were added during the Pd optimization. The three central pixels were summed for quantitation. Error bars represent the standard deviation ($n = 5$).




Fig. 3 Optimization of the pyrolysis and vaporization temperatures to monitor AlCl by HR CS GFMS for 200 ng of Cl ($^{37}\text{Cl}/^{35}\text{Cl}$ molar ratio, 1.08) at the 262.222 (Al^{37}Cl) and 262.238 (Al^{35}Cl) nm transitions when adding 10 μg of Al and 20 μg of Pd. A pyrolysis temperature of 300 $^{\circ}\text{C}$ was set for the vaporization study, and a vaporization temperature of 2400 $^{\circ}\text{C}$ for the pyrolysis study. The three central pixels of each transition were summed for quantitation. Error bars represent the standard deviation ($n = 5$).

these optimum conditions was recorded. The temporal signal profiles obtained for Al^{35}Cl are shown in Fig. 4. As can be seen, no significant difference between them was observed (variations of both the peak area and the peak height were smaller than 6%). The same conclusion was reached for the Al^{37}Cl transition.






Fig. 4 Comparison of the temporal peak profiles obtained for five different Cl species (250 ng of Cl) when monitoring the 262.238 nm Al^{35}Cl transition. The three central detector pixels were summed to represent the profile.

This confirms that the method developed shows potential for the monitoring of Cl isotopes regardless of the particular form in which Cl is found in the samples.

3.2 Quantification of the isotopic shift

3.2.1 Signal evaluation. Traditionally, in GFAAS it is preferable to integrate the absorbance peak along time, as it typically leads to better precision, and it may minimize the influence of the matrix on the final result. However, when the aim is to determine the isotopic composition, the situation could be different. Since there is no information on this issue, a study was performed evaluating the signals both in terms of the peak area and of the peak height, and also investigating the optimum number of detector pixels to be considered, which is another parameter that can significantly influence the final result.^{39–41} Fig. 5A shows the results obtained during this study of the main five AlCl transitions for which two separate Al^{37}Cl and Al^{35}Cl were detected, as discussed in Section 3.1.1.

These results were obtained *via* analysis of a CRM (NIST 975a) with a certified Cl ratio that is shown in the figure (dotted line). As can be seen, the results for the first transition evaluated (around 261.82 nm) are biased high. This was expected because the two peaks for Al^{37}Cl and Al^{35}Cl are not well resolved in this case (see Fig. 1C). However, the difference with the certified value is lower when the peak height is selected. This difference




Fig. 5 (A) Evaluation of the accuracy and precision obtained for the quantification of the Cl ratio for a solution (200 ng of Cl) of CRM NIST 975a ($35/37 = 3.1279 \pm 0.0047$) for different AlCl transitions as a function of the way in which the signal is processed and quantified. The values in blue were obtained using the peak area, and the values in green using the peak height, while a lighter color intensity indicates a higher number of detector pixels (1, 3, 5 or 7) selected in both cases. In the case of using the peak height, the absorbance recorded by those detector pixels at the time at which the maximum peak height appears was summed. Error bars represent the standard deviation ($n = 5$). (B) Comparison of the variations observed for a series of 20 replicates for a solution (200 ng of Cl) of CRM NIST 975a when monitoring the individual 262.222 (Al^{37}Cl) and 262.238 (Al^{35}Cl) nm transitions (left y-axis) or when calculating the Cl ratio from the same set of data (right y-axis). The red dashed line represents the certified value. The blue line and the blue interval surrounding it represent the average value of the 20 replicates and its uncertainty (as the standard deviation), respectively.



increases with the number of detector pixels chosen for quantitation (which is logical, because the degree of peak overlap is reduced when less pixels are selected). The precision obtained is also better for the peak height.

The results obtained for the next transition (around 262.24 nm, spectrum shown in Fig. 1D) are significantly better, and they are in good agreement with the reference value using both the peak area and the peak height. This is the transition that was initially selected as most promising, and these results confirmed its selection for Cl monitoring at the mg L^{-1} level.

The following transitions offer results that tend to be biased low. As discussed before, the problem of such transitions is that the sensitivity decreases with every one of them and the influence of the structured background on the ratio becomes more pronounced (see Fig. 1E–G). This influence is more significant when the peak area is selected instead of the peak height, as could be anticipated. The precision, as shown by the error bars, is also significantly better when using the peak height. Therefore, overall, the use of the peak height seems to be preferable for this type of analysis, and was adopted for further work. As for the number of pixels, it seems to be a critical aspect only if the Al^{37}Cl and Al^{35}Cl peaks are closely located. As a general rule, a number of 3 pixels was selected (central pixel ± 1), but this is a parameter that should be evaluated also depending on the analyte content for every particular situation, as higher contents may lead to peak broadening and a higher chance of spectral overlap.

The precision that can be achieved under these optimum conditions was evaluated by isotope analysis of a solution of

NIST 975a with a Cl concentration of 20 mg L^{-1} . The results obtained after 20 replicates are shown in Fig. 5B. As can be seen, the typical variation observed for a particular individual isotopic AlCl transition is rather high (approx. 8%), but when isotope ratios are calculated a much better value is obtained, as all the correlated sources of noise are compensated. In this way, a 2% RSD value can be considered as typical for Cl isotopic analysis under these conditions. This value is clearly insufficient if the goal is to monitor natural isotopic variations, which for Cl are expected to be much lower, but it may be enough for performing tracer experiments or to use isotope dilution for calibration, thus opening new possibilities for the HR CS GFMS technique. Furthermore, it can be stressed that, unlike what occurs with most MS techniques, in which at least correction for the instrumental mass bias is required, the results demonstrate that an accurate ratio, within the uncertainty mentioned above, can be directly obtained without performing any type of correction.

3.2.2 Correction for spectral overlap in the case of high 37/35 ratios. Despite the satisfactory results obtained so far for solutions showing a $^{35}\text{Cl}/^{37}\text{Cl}$ molar ratio close to the natural one, when performing tracer experiments or using isotope dilution, ratios that differ from the natural one are often used. That could represent a potential problem, because the tailing of the Al^{37}Cl may influence the Al^{35}Cl signal (see Fig. 1) in cases when spikes with a high 37/35 ratio are used. Thus, in order to check the robustness of the method developed, solutions with very different ratios were prepared by mixing different amounts of two reference materials (NIST 975a and ERM-AE642), and their isotope ratio was calculated experimentally by HR CS GFMS using the optimum parameters discussed before and summarized in Table 1. The results obtained are shown in Fig. 6A. As can be seen, a very good agreement between theoretical and experimental values was obtained for 37/35 ratios up to 1.5. However, spikes showing a higher 37/35 ratio tend to provide results that are biased low, which indicates a potential overlap, as discussed above.

This problem could be solved using signal deconvolution. However, most deconvolution approaches are not really suitable for this particular situation, because they are based on Gaussian or Lorentzian functions, which assume symmetric peak profiles. That is clearly not the case in this work, as the peaks show a longer right tail (see Fig. 1). Thus, a different model was evaluated to circumvent this problem, following the steps shown in Fig. 7. Fig. 7A shows the initial peak profile, which shows a potential overlap of the Al^{37}Cl tail on the Al^{35}Cl signal. Fig. 7B shows the same data in more detail, focusing in the range between pixel 91 and pixel 150, and also showing the individual detector pixels recorded. After examining this area, those values that could be affected by the presence of the Al^{35}Cl molecule are removed, and the rest of the data is fitted using an asymptotic regression model (Fig. 7C). Then, finally, the contribution of the Al^{37}Cl signal can be subtracted.

Fig. 6B shows the results obtained when this correction is applied to those values with a 37/35 ratio higher than 1.5. As can be seen, a good agreement between theoretical and experimental data is now obtained for the entire interval investigated.




Fig. 6 Correlation between the experimental (as obtained via HR CS GFMS) and theoretical (as prepared by properly mixing two certified reference materials) $^{37}\text{Cl}/^{35}\text{Cl}$ ratio when monitoring the 262.222 nm (Al^{37}Cl) and 262.238 nm (Al^{35}Cl) transitions for solutions containing 200 ng of Cl. (A) without any mathematical deconvolution and (B) after mathematical deconvolution, as shown in Fig. 7. Error bars represent the standard deviation ($n = 5$).






Fig. 7 Spectral overlap correction performed at 262.238 nm for a Cl (500 ng) spike with a $^{37}\text{Cl}/^{35}\text{Cl}$ molar ratio = 2.5. (A) Raw spectrum obtained at the time that provides the peak maximum; (B) effect of the Al^{37}Cl signal tail on the Al^{35}Cl peak; (C) application of the asymptotic regression model ($y = a - bc^x$) using Origin 9.1 software; (D) estimation of the actual Al^{35}Cl peak.




Fig. 8 Study of the Ca interference. (A) Al^{35}Cl absorbance signal, as recorded at 262.238 nm, and (B) $\text{Al}^{35}\text{Cl}/\text{Al}^{37}\text{Cl}$ absorbance ratio as a function of the Ca content, for solutions containing 100 ng of Cl, 10 μg of Al and 20 μg of Pd. Error bars represent 2 s ($n = 5$).

Therefore, this model can be considered as suitable for spectral overlap correction.

3.3 Ca interference

One of the main differences between HR CS GFMAAS and HR CS GFAAS is that the former is more prone to suffer from chemical

interferences, because the presence of other elements in the matrix may result in the formation of a molecule different from the target one at some point of the pyrolysis and/or vaporization steps. This fact can affect the absorbance finally obtained and make it difficult to develop a straightforward calibration procedure. Therefore, it is important to choose a target molecule that is stable even at high temperatures, such as the case of AlCl (bond dissociation energy, 511 kJ mol^{-1}), to minimize this effect. However, that is not the only issue to consider, because the concentration of the competing species may also play a role. One element that is usually present at high levels in many types of samples and can interact with Cl is Ca (CaCl bond dissociation energy, 409 kJ mol^{-1}). Thus, this potential issue was investigated in more detail for varying amounts of Ca.

Fig. 8A shows that, indeed, the presence of a high amount of Ca may represent a problem. As can be seen, for low levels of Ca the signal of AlCl is hardly affected. However, for Ca/Cl molar ratios higher than 2, the AlCl signal tends to rise. Even though ultimately the AlCl molecule is formed (which is logical because it is more stable and there is more Al available – 10 μg – than Ca), Ca seems to act like a chemical modifier,⁴² helping in forming AlCl with more efficiency. However, for Ca/Cl ratios higher than 90 the signal for AlCl begins to decrease, until it reaches a point where AlCl is practically not formed owing to the higher availability of Ca atoms.

While it could be interesting to further investigate on the vaporization mechanism of AlCl in the presence of Ca and Pd, such aspect is out of the scope of the present work. What is relevant here is to stress that the presence of a high but unknown amount of Ca in the sample will make it very difficult to obtain accurate results when constructing the calibration curve with aqueous standards, as this element will have a clear impact on the AlCl absorbance finally obtained.



Table 4 Determination of Cl by HR CS GFMS when constructing the curve with Cl aqueous standard solutions or by means of isotope dilution (ID). Experimental uncertainties are expressed as 95% confidence intervals ($n = 3$)

Sample	Cl concentration/mg L ⁻¹		
	Certified	HR CS GFMS	ID-HR CS GFMS
ION-915	1.42 ± 0.02	2.04 ± 0.15	1.49 ± 0.08
KEJIM-02	5.79 ± 0.41	5.72 ± 0.77	5.78 ± 0.07

Table 5 Cl determination by means of HR CS GFMS (using ID for calibration) and of ICPMS in five different commercial mineral water samples. Uncertainties are expressed as 95% confidence intervals ($n = 3$)

Sample	Cl concentration/mg L ⁻¹		
	Label	ID-HR CS GFMS	ICPMS
Veri	1.9	1.99 ± 0.15	1.87 ± 0.07
Fontar	5.1	5.27 ± 0.35	5.06 ± 0.38
Solán de Cabras	7.4	7.33 ± 0.30	7.17 ± 0.30
Aquarel Abetos	8.5	8.52 ± 0.17	8.08 ± 0.52
Fontecabras	49.5	49.9 ± 2.5	54.5 ± 2.8

Isotope analysis brings a new possibility to circumvent this kind of problem, which so far has been limited to MS techniques. Instead of using the cumbersome standard addition method, or matrix-matched standards, the use of isotope dilution (ID) can help in successfully overcoming this type of interference. In fact, Fig. 8B shows that, despite the high fluctuations observed for the individual AlCl signal for varying amounts of Ca, the value obtained for the Al³⁵Cl/Al³⁷Cl ratio remains very stable, which clearly indicates that ID may provide satisfactory results in this case.

3.4 Cl determination in water using ID for calibration

To explore the robustness of an ID method in comparison with a conventional calibration strategy, two different natural water CRMs (ION-915 and KEJIM-02) were selected for analysis. For the latter approach, a calibration curve was prepared with 5 points ranging from 1 to 10 mg L⁻¹. The calibration curve showed a R^2 coefficient of 0.9998, a limit of detection (LOD) of 0.30 mg L⁻¹ and a limit of quantification (LOQ) of 1.0 mg L⁻¹. The results obtained for the samples are shown in Table 4. As can be seen, a result that is in good agreement with the Cl certified value was obtained for KEJIM-02, but the same was not true for the other sample, ION-915, for which a result biased high was found. This fact could be explained considering the Ca/Cl content of the samples, which is much higher in the case of ION-915 (13.7 mg L⁻¹ Ca) than that of KEJIM-02 (0.852 mg L⁻¹ Ca), thus likely influencing the absolute AlCl absorbance signal, as demonstrated in the previous section.

Alternatively, the same samples were also analyzed using the conditions shown in Table 1 and ID for calibration, as described in Section 2.3. The calculations for the ID technique and for the

estimation of the LOD are discussed elsewhere.⁴³ The LOD was estimated to be of 0.25 mg L⁻¹. As also shown in Table 4, the concentrations found by means of ID for both samples were in good agreement with the certified values, proving that this calibration technique can circumvent the interference detected in a simple way.

To further test the robustness of the ID approach, five commercial mineral water samples covering a wide range of Cl levels were also subjected to analysis. The results obtained are shown in Table 5. As can be seen, an excellent agreement with the expected values (as indicated by the producer in the label) was always attained. No difference could be drawn by means of the *t*-Student test (95% confidence interval). The results obtained by means of ICPMS are also provided as a means of further validation.

4 Conclusion

This work explores for the first time the potential of HR CS GFMS for isotope analysis, focusing on Cl monitoring after the formation of the AlCl molecule. The results obtained confirm that, by monitoring molecular instead of atomic spectra, it is possible to observe an isotopic shift that is large enough to appreciate two different peaks, each one corresponding to an isotope, which are sufficiently resolved to allow their quantification, regardless of the chemical form in which Cl is present in the sample.

The method developed, based on the addition of Pd and Al, and the monitoring of peak height values, enables the isotopic analysis of Cl at the mg L⁻¹ level with precision values around 2% RSD, not requiring any method for mass bias correction. However, if a spike with a high 37/35 ratio is to be monitored and a spectral overlap is detected, it is necessary to perform a deconvolution to correct for this interference.

Furthermore, it was demonstrated that using ID for calibration is feasible in this context and provides a novel – so far only used by MS techniques – and a very powerful strategy to determine Cl in samples in which otherwise chemical interferences are detected, as demonstrated for water analysis.

Acknowledgements

This work has been funded by the Spanish Ministry of Economy and Competitiveness (project CTQ2012-33494) and the Aragón Government (Fondo Social Europeo). The authors are also grateful to Capes/PDSE for the mobility grant under process BEX 4250/14-1.

References

- 1 R. B. Evans, *Lung*, 2004, **183**, 151–167.
- 2 M. D. Huang, H. Becker-Ross, S. Florek, U. Heitmann and M. Okrus, *Spectrochim. Acta, Part B*, 2006, **61**, 959–964.
- 3 A. Doyle, A. Saavedra, M. L. B. Tristão, L. A. N. Mendes and R. Q. Aucélio, *Spectrochim. Acta, Part B*, 2013, **86**, 102–107.
- 4 M. Fechetia, A. L. Tognon and M. A. M. S. da Veiga, *Spectrochim. Acta, Part B*, 2012, **71–72**, 98–101.



- 5 J. S. de Gois, É. R. Pereira, B. Welz and D. L. G. Borges, *Spectrochim. Acta, Part B*, 2015, **105**, 12–17.
- 6 M. U. Rahim, X. Gao and H. Wu, *Fuel*, 2014, **129**, 314–317.
- 7 T. A. Labutin, A. M. Popov, S. M. Zaytsev, N. B. Zorov, M. V. Belkov, V. V. Kiris and S. N. Raikov, *Spectrochim. Acta, Part B*, 2014, **99**, 94–100.
- 8 P. A. Mello, J. S. Barin, F. A. Duarte, C. A. Bizzi, L. O. Diehl, E. I. Muller and E. M. M. Flores, *Anal. Bioanal. Chem.*, 2013, **405**, 7615–7642.
- 9 É. R. Pereira, B. Welz, A. H. D. Lopez, J. S. de Gois, G. F. Caramori, D. L. G. Borges, E. Carasek and J. B. de Andrade, *Spectrochim. Acta, Part B*, 2014, **102**, 1–6.
- 10 *Handbook of Environmental Isotope Geochemistry*, ed. M. Baskaran, Springer-Verlag, Berlin-Heidelberg, 2012.
- 11 N. C. Sturchio, J. K. Böhlke, A. D. Beloso Jr, S. H. Streger, L. J. Heraty and P. B. Hatzinger, *Environ. Sci. Technol.*, 2007, **41**, 2796–2802.
- 12 A. Sun, Q. Xu, S. Xu, H. Shen, J. Sun and Y. Zhang, *Chem. Geol.*, 2014, **381**, 21–25.
- 13 C. Luo, Y. Xiao, H. Wen, H. Ma, Y. Ma, Y. Zhang, Y. Zhang and M. He, *Appl. Geochem.*, 2014, **47**, 141–149.
- 14 J. Fietzke, M. Frische, T. H. Hansteen and A. Eisenhauer, *J. Anal. At. Spectrom.*, 2008, **23**, 769–772.
- 15 Y. Zakon, L. Halicz and F. Gelman, *Anal. Chem.*, 2014, **86**, 6495–6500.
- 16 C. Wiegert, M. Mandalakis, T. Knowles, P. N. Polymenakou, C. Aeppli, J. Macháčková, H. Holmstrand, R. P. Evershed, R. D. Pancost and Ö. Gustafsson, *Environ. Sci. Technol.*, 2013, **47**, 6449–6456.
- 17 M. Wu, Y. Qian, J. M. Boyd, S. E. Hrudey, X. C. Le and X.-F. Li, *J. Chromatogr. A*, 2014, **1359**, 156–161.
- 18 *Isotopic Analysis: Fundamentals and Applications Using ICP-MS*, ed. F. Vanhaecke and P. Degryse, Wiley-VCH, Weinheim, 2012.
- 19 R. E. Russo, A. A. Bol'shakov, X. Mao, C. P. McKay, D. L. Perry and O. Sorkhabi, *Spectrochim. Acta, Part B*, 2011, **66**, 99–104.
- 20 R. E. Russo, X. Mao, J. J. Gonzalez, V. Zorba and J. Yoo, *Anal. Chem.*, 2013, **85**, 6162–6177.
- 21 X. Mao, A. A. Bol'shakov, D. L. Perry, O. Sorkhabi and R. E. Russo, *Spectrochim. Acta, Part B*, 2011, **66**, 604–609.
- 22 A. Sarkar, X. Mao and R. E. Russo, *Spectrochim. Acta, Part B*, 2014, **92**, 42–50.
- 23 M. Dong, X. Mao, J. J. Gonzalez, J. Lu and R. E. Russo, *Anal. Chem.*, 2013, **85**, 2899–2906.
- 24 A. Sarkar, X. Mao, G. C. Y. Chan and R. E. Russo, *Spectrochim. Acta, Part B*, 2013, **88**, 46–53.
- 25 X. Mao, A. A. Bol'shakov, I. Choi, C. P. McKay, D. L. Perry, O. Sorkhabi and R. E. Russo, *Spectrochim. Acta, Part B*, 2011, **66**, 767–775.
- 26 B. Welz, H. Becker-Ross, S. Florek and U. Heitmann, *High-Resolution Continuum Source AAS. The Better Way to Do Atomic Absorption Spectrometry*, Wiley-VCH, Weinheim, 2005.
- 27 M. Resano, F. Vanhaecke and M. T. C. de Loos-Vollebregt, *J. Anal. At. Spectrom.*, 2008, **23**, 1450–1475.
- 28 B. Welz, S. Morés, E. Carasek, M. G. R. Vale, M. Okruss and H. Becker-Ross, *Appl. Spectrosc. Rev.*, 2010, **45**, 327–354.
- 29 M. Resano and E. García-Ruiz, *Anal. Bioanal. Chem.*, 2011, **399**, 323–330.
- 30 M. Resano, M. R. Flórez and E. García-Ruiz, *Spectrochim. Acta, Part B*, 2013, **88**, 85–97.
- 31 B. Welz, M. G. R. Vale, É. R. Pereira, I. N. B. Castilho and M. B. Dessuy, *J. Braz. Chem. Soc.*, 2014, **25**, 799–821.
- 32 M. Resano, M. Aramendía and M. A. Belarra, *J. Anal. At. Spectrom.*, 2014, **29**, 2229–2250.
- 33 H. Wiltse, K. Prattes, M. Zischka and G. Knapp, *Spectrochim. Acta, Part B*, 2009, **64**, 341–346.
- 34 B. Welz, F. G. Lepri, R. G. O. Araujo, S. L. C. Ferreira, M. D. Huang, M. Okruss and H. Becker-Ross, *Anal. Chim. Acta*, 2009, **647**, 137–148.
- 35 D. J. Butcher, *Anal. Chim. Acta*, 2013, **804**, 1–15.
- 36 M. Resano, M. R. Flórez and E. García-Ruiz, *Anal. Bioanal. Chem.*, 2014, **406**, 2239–2259.
- 37 U. Heitmann, H. Becker-Ross, S. Florek, M. D. Huang and M. Okruss, *J. Anal. At. Spectrom.*, 2006, **21**, 1314–1320.
- 38 G. Herzberg, *Molecular Spectra and Molecule Structure. I. Spectra of Diatomic Molecules*, D. Van Nostrand, 2nd edn, New York, 1950.
- 39 U. Heitmann, B. Welz, D. L. G. Borges and F. G. Lepri, *Spectrochim. Acta, Part B*, 2007, **62**, 1222–1230.
- 40 M. Resano, J. Briceño and M. A. Belarra, *Spectrochim. Acta, Part B*, 2009, **64**, 520–529.
- 41 J. Briceño, M. A. Belarra, K. A. C. de Schampelaere, S. Vanblaere, C. R. Janssen, F. Vanhaecke and M. Resano, *J. Anal. At. Spectrom.*, 2010, **25**, 503–510.
- 42 R. Nowka, K. Eichardt and B. Welz, *Spectrochim. Acta, Part B*, 2000, **55**, 517–524.
- 43 M. Resano, M. Aramendía and F. Vanhaecke, *J. Anal. At. Spectrom.*, 2006, **21**, 1036–1044.
- 44 R. W. B. Pearse and A. G. Gaydon, *The Identification of Molecular Spectra*, Chapman & Hall, 3rd edn, London, 1963.

