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The layered perovskite LaTaO$_4$ has been prepared in its polar orthorhombic polymorphic form at ambient temperature. Although no structural phase transition is observed in the temperature interval 25°C < $T$ < 500°C, a very large axial thermal contraction effect is seen, which can be ascribed to an anomalous buckling of the perovskite octahedral layer. The non-polar monoclinic polymorph can be stabilised at ambient temperature by Nd-doping. A composition La$_{0.90}$Nd$_{0.10}$TaO$_4$ shows a first-order monoclinic-orthorhombic (non-polar to polar) transition in the region 250°C < $T$ < 350°C. Dielectric responses are observed at both the above structural events but, despite the ‘topological ferroelectric’ nature of orthorhombic LaTaO$_4$, we have not succeeded in obtaining ferroelectric $P$–$E$ hysteresis behaviour. Structural relationships in the wider family of $A_xB_yX_{3n+2}$ layered perovskites are discussed.

Introduction

The mechanism of the paraelectric-ferroelectric phase transition in most well-studied oxide ferroelectrics lies in the condensation of a polar soft-phonon mode, typically driven by off-centring of an octahedral ‘d$^0$’ cation’ or a ‘lone-pair cation’ via the 2nd-order Jahn–Teller effect. This is called proper ferroelectricity, and examples are the classic perovskite ferroelectrics BaTiO$_3$, PbTiO$_3$ and BiFeO$_3$. However, in recent years several new mechanisms that drive ferroelectricity have been discovered or postulated. These include so-called ‘geometric ferroelectricity’, first proposed theoretically for hexagonal YMnO$_3$, and later confirmed experimentally, and ‘hybrid improper ferroelectricity’ (HIF), which requires coupling of two distinct non-polar modes to produce a net polar symmetry. These latter two mechanisms are both types of improper ferroelectricity, where the polar modes arise as a natural ‘side-effect’ from strictly non-polar lattice modes. In turn these non-polar modes are generally driven by purely geometric (e.g., ionic size) effects: tilting of MnO$_6$ polyhedra in the case of YMnO$_3$, and octahedral rotations and/or cation ordering in the more general case of HIF. Significantly, the non-polar modes are the primary order parameters driving the phase transition, which then permit the polar modes as secondary order parameters. More recently, it has been observed that a purely ‘geometric’ effect can also operate to produce a proper ferroelectric; i.e., the lattice distortion is not driven by one of the two common ‘electronic’ factors above, but simply by a structural (size) mismatch of some sort. In this case, however, this geometric effect directly gives rise not only to a polar space group, but to the polarisation itself, without the need for a ‘slave’ polar mode. It has now been suggested that the name ‘topological ferroelectricity’ rather than geometric ferroelectricity should be applied to this type of mechanism. Note that use of the term ‘ferroelectric’ in these definitions refers to an intrinsically structural polarity, which is in principle switchable, and does not necessarily imply experimental observation of switchability. The mechanism has been proposed within the class of layered perovskites of generic formula $A_xB_yX_{3n+2}$, specifically for the cases BaMnF$_4$ (n = 2) and La$_2$Ti$_2$O$_7$ (n = 4). The key point is that the layered nature of the perovskite topology means that rigid octahedral tilting, ubiquitous in perovskite crystallography, can naturally give rise to a polarisation since the number of ‘oxygen planes’ is odd (Fig. 1); this contrasts with the case in three-dimensionally connected perovskites, where octahedral tilt modes are intrinsically centrosymmetric.

In this paper we explore some of the crystal chemistry of another member of the $A_xB_yX_{3n+2}$ family, viz. LaTaO$_4$. This compound can exist in two polymorphic forms when prepared by ‘traditional’ high-temperature solid-state routes and a third polymorph can also be prepared via decomposition of a...
hydrated precursor. Of the former two phases, one is orthorhombic and polar and the other monoclinic and centrosymmetric (hereafter designated O-LaTaO$_4$ and M-LaTaO$_4$, respectively, Fig. 1). Earlier studies suggest M-LaTaO$_4$ is the most stable form at ambient temperature, and that this phase undergoes a phase transition to O-LaTaO$_4$ at $\sim 175$ °C or 240 °C. In our study we have successfully prepared a near-pure sample of O-LaTaO$_4$ and we study the structural behaviour of this system versus temperature using high-resolution powder neutron diffraction. We also show that the M-LaTaO$_4$ phase can be stabilised further at ambient temperature by Nd-doping, and study the thermal evolution of this phase, and its transformation into the polar O-LaTaO$_4$ polymorph. Finally, we carry out some general structural comparisons of these phases and related ones, which may be of relevance to their physical properties.

**Experimental**

**Synthesis**

Several different procedures were trialled in order to produce phase-pure samples. Typically, stoichiometric ratios of dried component oxides (La$_2$O$_3$, Ta$_2$O$_5$ and Nd$_2$O$_3$; all >99%) were mixed, either by ball-milling at 600 rpm for 1 hour or manually in a pestle and mortar, before being pressed into a pellet and annealed at temperatures between 1200 °C and 1400 °C for 12 hours. For LaTaO$_4$ all these procedures produced phase mixtures of either orthorhombic and monoclinic polymorphs of LaTaO$_4$, or orthorhombic plus a small amount of an unidentified impurity. The highest phase fraction of LaTaO$_4$ (as the O-LaTaO$_4$ polymorph) was produced in a two-stage process: annealing at 1250 °C for 6 hours, followed by ball-milling, re-pelleting and annealing for a further 6 hours at 1450 °C. For the Nd-doped samples, La$_{1-x}$Nd$_x$TaO$_4$ 0.05 $< x <$ 0.60, starting mixtures were hand-ground, pelleted and annealed at 1200 °C for 6 hours, then re-ground, pelleted and annealed at 1350 °C for 12 hours. In each case, the best samples were reacted on Pt foil in open alumina crucibles. For both the O-LaTaO$_4$ and La$_{0.9}$Nd$_{0.1}$TaO$_4$ samples used for electrical characterisation a heating rate of 10 °C min$^{-1}$ was employed and at the end of the heating stage, the furnace was switched off and samples allowed to cool inside the furnace.

**Powder diffraction**

Preliminary phase purity and structure analysis for each material was confirmed by Rietveld refinement of X-ray powder diffraction (XPD) data collected on a PANalytical Empyrean X-ray diffractometer using Cu-K$_\alpha$ radiation.

Variable temperature neutron powder diffraction (NPD) data on LaTaO$_4$ and La$_{0.9}$Nd$_{0.1}$TaO$_4$ were collected on the high-resolution instrument (HRPD) at ISIS. For LaTaO$_4$ data were collected at ambient temperature and between 100 °C and 500 °C at 50 °C intervals; for La$_{0.9}$Nd$_{0.1}$TaO$_4$ date were collected between 100 °C and 600 °C at 50 °C intervals. In each case, data collection times were approximately 40 minutes for $\sim$5 g samples. For LaTaO$_4$, a preliminary dataset was collected at 25 °C on the GEM diffractometer.

All quantitative data analysis was carried out by Rietveld refinement using the GSAS program and its EXPGUI user interface. For each of the HRPD runs, detector banks at 2θ $\sim$ 168° and 90° were analysed simultaneously.

**Electrical properties**

Electrodes were fabricated on opposing faces of pelleted samples using either Ag paint or sputtered gold. Dielectric properties were measured from room temperature to 600 °C using either an Agilent 4294A or Wayne Kerr 6500B impedance ana-

![Fig. 1](a) Aristotype ABX$_4$ structure type, space group Cmcm, with no octahedral tilting. (b) O-LaTaO$_4$ structure, space group Cmc$_2$: note that the c-axis polarity arises as a natural consequence of the 'in-phase' tilting around the a-axis. (c) M-LaTaO$_4$ structure, space group P2$_1$/c: in this case the 'anti-phase' tilting around c permits retention of centrosymmetry.
lyser with 500 mV excitation and heating/cooling rates of 2 K min\(^{-1}\). Polarisations-field data were obtained using an aixACCT TF2000 analyzer with a TREK 4 kV voltage amplifier.

**Results and discussion**

La\(\text{TaO}_4\)

All attempted preparations resulted in phase mixtures of either O-La\(\text{TaO}_4\) and M-La\(\text{TaO}_4\) or O-La\(\text{TaO}_4\) plus a small amount of unidentified impurity, as evidenced by XPD (see ESI† for standard XPD patterns). Comparing to previous studies, it is not entirely clear why previous authors have isolated predominantly the M-La\(\text{TaO}_4\) phase from apparently similar reactions; for example Cava\(^9\) used a much higher reaction temperature (1750 °C), whereas Siqueira\(^12\) used a lower temperature (1300 °C). It is possible that the present ball-milling method (not used in previous studies) is critical, although sample cooling rate is another parameter that has not been quoted or studied in detail in any of the studies so far. The sample with the highest phase fraction of O-La\(\text{TaO}_4\) (and negligible M-La\(\text{TaO}_4\)) was chosen for further study by NPD. A typical Rietveld fit is shown in Fig. 2. This refinement is based on a single phase fit to O-La\(\text{TaO}_4\), space group Cmce\(2_1\), with \(a \sim 3.94\), \(b \sim 14.7\), \(c \sim 5.6\) Å (Fig. 1(b)). Further details of the refinement model and outcomes are given in ESI†. No phase changes were detected throughout the temperature range studied, and the quality of fit to the O-La\(\text{TaO}_4\) model remained similar from 100 °C to 500 °C. However, an unusual trend in lattice parameters versus temperature was observed. Fig. 3 shows the thermal evolution of unit cell \(a\), \(b\), \(c\), and \(V\) parameters versus temperature. In particular note is the very large negative expansivity of the \(b\)-axis (\(\alpha_b \sim -40 \times 10^{-6} \text{ K}^{-1}\)) in the temperature range 100–300 °C, leading to a near-zero volume expansivity around 200–250 °C.

The crystal structure (Fig. 1(b), 4) consists of perovskite-like blocks of corner-shared octahedra extending in the \(ac\) plane, separated by the La\(^{3+}\) cations, which may be regarded as nine-coordinated to oxide. In order to pinpoint the structural features leading to the anomalous expansivity behaviour, we can define three parameters within these two distinct blocks: the thickness of the inter-layer block, \(d_1\), the thickness of the perovskite block, \(d_2\), and the angle of tilt within the corrugated octahedral layers, \(\omega\). From the geometry of these definitions it can be seen that

\[
b = 2(d_1 + d_2)
\]

and also that the tilt angle, \(\omega\), will have a significant effect on \(d_2\). Thermal evolution of these three parameters is shown in Fig. 5. As can be seen, the variation of the \(d_1\) parameter is insignificant over the temperature range studied. However, \(\omega\), and correspondingly \(d_2\), shows a significant change: contraction across the region 100–300 °C, followed by a plateau. We conclude that the structural effect driving the anomalous expansivity of the \(b\)-axis is this ‘buckling’ of the perovskite layer allowed by the flexibility of the inter-octahedral angle, \(\omega\), and perhaps driven by the differing changes in bonding requirements of the La and Ta sites (ESI†) versus temperature.

A recent variable temperature (90–350 K) structural study of some higher \(n\) members of this family, La\(_n\)(Ti,Fe\(_{1-n}\))O\(_{3n+2}\) \((n = 5\) and 6\)\(^15\) showed that in those cases the thermal variation in the interlayer distance parameter is also insignificant, whereas the thickness of the perovskite block showed a marked increase, with no observable anomalies.

La\(_{1-x}\)Nd\(_x\)Ta\(_4\) \((0.05 < x < 0.60)\)

The motivation for preparing this series was to stabilise the M-La\(\text{TaO}_4\) structure rather than O-La\(\text{TaO}_4\) phase at ambient temperature. This postulate was based on the previous observation by Cava\(^9\) that the M-Ln\(\text{TaO}_4\) to O-Ln\(\text{TaO}_4\) phase transition temperature increases rapidly with decreasing ionic size of Ln\(^{3+}\): La (175 °C) < Ce (818 °C) < Pr (1300 °C). These are the only three lanthanides that adopt either of these structure types under ambient pressure, although we note that pure M-Nd\(\text{TaO}_4\) can be prepared from the fergusonite polymorph under extreme conditions (8 MPa, 1500 °C).\(^16\) As the change in stability of the M- versus O-polymorphs appears to be so dramatically influenced by small changes in ionic size, it was anticipated that a small amount of Nd\(^{3+}\)-doping into O-La\(\text{TaO}_4\) would trigger a transformation to the M-phase; this was indeed found to be the case. For values of 0.05 ≤ \(x\) ≤ 0.40, preliminary analysis of XPD data suggested that the M-La\(\text{TaO}_4\) could be prepared almost phase-pure. For \(x = 0.50\) and 0.60 a significant additional phase (fergusonite type Ln\(\text{TaO}_4\)) was observed. Rietveld analysis of this series confirmed the extent of the M-La\(\text{TaO}_4\) solid solution in La\(_{1-x}\)Nd\(_x\)Ta\(_4\) as 0.05 ≤ \(x\) ≤ 0.40. Lattice parameters across the solid solution vary monotonically with \(x\); these were determined by using a model with fixed atomic coordinates. An example Rietveld plot is given in Fig. 6, and further details are given in the ESI†.

A sample of M-La\(_{0.9}\)Nd\(_{0.1}\)Ta\(_4\) was studied versus temperature by NPD, up to 600 °C. As anticipated, a phase transition from the M- to the O-phase was observed within the temperature range studied. This transition is of a first-order nature, as shown by the co-existence of both phases with the region
250 < T < 350 °C (M/O phase fractions (%)) at 250 °C, 300 °C and 350 °C are 90/10, 59/41 and 24/76, respectively). In fact, there is no simple group-subgroup relationship between the two crystal structures, so the transition is expected to be 1st order according to Landau theory. Rietveld fits are shown in Fig. 7(a) and (b) for single-phase fits to the M- and O-models at 100 and 500 °C, respectively. Attempts to refine the high-temperature phase in the centrosymmetric parent phase, space group \( \text{Cmcm} \), led to significantly poorer fits and unrealistic atomic displacement parameters (\( \text{Cmcm} \) model: 30 variables, \( \chi^2 = 13.5 \)). A similar contraction of the \( b \)-axis, as observed for the O-LaTaO\(_4\) phase, is seen here in the region 250 < T < 450 °C. Further details are given in ESL†.

**Electrical properties**

Dielectric data for O-LaTaO\(_4\) and M-La\(_{0.9}\)Nd\(_{0.1}\)TaO\(_4\) are shown in Fig. 8 (additional plots at a range of frequencies are provided in ESL†). It should be noted that the presence of other phase(s) in these samples are at level unlikely to contribute significantly to the overall dielectric response given the low volume fraction and similarity in relative permittivity. O-LaTaO\(_4\) exhibits a broad peak in permittivity with a maximum at ca. 200 °C, Fig. 8(a), which corresponds to the structural change observed in the diffraction data. The peak is observed on both heating and cooling, indicating this structural relaxation is reversible and shows no thermal hysteresis. Data for M-La\(_{0.9}\)Nd\(_{0.1}\)TaO\(_4\) show a sharp increase in permittivity beginning at ca. 250 °C on heating, corresponding to the M–O phase transition, Fig. 8(b). This feature shows a large thermal hysteresis consistent with the first order nature of the phase transition. A second feature in the permittivity in the form of a small peak at ca. 400 °C is evident on both heating and cooling (and with no temperature hysteresis). The overall dielectric response for this composition is reminiscent of that observed for the ferroelectric tetragonal tungsten bronze compound GdK\(_2\)Nb\(_5\)O\(_{15}\) recently reported by Gagou et al.;\(^{17}\) based on diffraction data the authors of that study assigned the small peak in permittivity to an antiferroelectric-paraelectric phase transition. Unfortunately we have insufficient high
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**Fig. 3** Thermal evolution of lattice parameters and unit cell volume for O-LaTaO\(_4\), derived from Rietveld refinement of NPD data.

**Fig. 4** View of adjacent octahedral blocks in the O-LaTaO\(_4\) structure, with the definitions of the parameters, \( d_1, d_2 \) and \( \omega \) shown.
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250 < T < 350 °C (M/O phase fractions (%)) at 250 °C, 300 °C and 350 °C are 90/10, 59/41 and 24/76, respectively). In fact, there is no simple group-subgroup relationship between the two crystal structures, so the transition is expected to be 1st order according to Landau theory. Rietveld fits are shown in Fig. 7(a) and (b) for single-phase fits to the M- and O-models at 100 and 500 °C, respectively. Attempts to refine the high-temperature phase in the centrosymmetric parent phase, space group \( \text{Cmcm} \) (Fig. 1(a)), led to significantly poorer fits and unrealistic atomic displacement parameters (\( \text{Cmcm} \) model: 30 variables, \( \chi^2 = 13.5 \)). A similar contraction of the \( b \)-axis, as observed for the O-LaTaO\(_4\) phase, is seen here in the region 250 < T < 450 °C. Further details are given in ESL†.

**Electrical properties**

Dielectric data for O-LaTaO\(_4\) and M-La\(_{0.9}\)Nd\(_{0.1}\)TaO\(_4\) are shown in Fig. 8 (additional plots at a range of frequencies are provided in ESL†). It should be noted that the presence of other phase(s) in these samples are at level unlikely to contribute significantly to the overall dielectric response given the low volume fraction and similarity in relative permittivity. O-LaTaO\(_4\) exhibits a broad peak in permittivity with a maximum at ca. 200 °C, Fig. 8(a), which corresponds to the structural change observed in the diffraction data. The peak is observed on both heating and cooling, indicating this structural relaxation is reversible and shows no thermal hysteresis. Data for M-La\(_{0.9}\)Nd\(_{0.1}\)TaO\(_4\) show a sharp increase in permittivity beginning at ca. 250 °C on heating, corresponding to the M–O phase transition, Fig. 8(b). This feature shows a large thermal hysteresis consistent with the first order nature of the phase transition. A second feature in the permittivity in the form of a small peak at ca. 400 °C is evident on both heating and cooling (and with no temperature hysteresis). The overall dielectric response for this composition is reminiscent of that observed for the ferroelectric tetragonal tungsten bronze compound GdK\(_2\)Nb\(_5\)O\(_{15}\) recently reported by Gagou et al.;\(^{17}\) based on diffraction data the authors of that study assigned the small peak in permittivity to an antiferroelectric-paraelectric phase transition. Unfortunately we have insufficient high
temperature diffraction data for our La$_{0.9}$Nd$_{0.1}$TaO$_4$ sample in this region to be able to make a similar comparison, but it is worthy to note that short range antiferroelectric ordering has been reported in isostructural BaMnF$_4$,$^{18}$ which arises from an alternate rotation direction of perovskite blocks along the $a$-axis giving an incommensurate modulation. Also, if the “anti-polar” alternate octahedral rotations have no long-range order but are present as nano-domains or interspersed in a manner akin to stacking faults, these are unlikely to be readily detectable by diffraction.

Polarisation-field ($P-E$) measurements were carried out on O-LaTaO$_4$ in an attempt to confirm the ferroelectric nature of this polymorph. $P-E$ data obtained at room temperature under an applied field of 100 kV cm$^{-1}$ at 1 kHz showed a linear dielectric response and no evidence of ferroelectric switching (see ESI†). The coercive field for isostructural BaMgF$_4$ is known to increase dramatically with frequency,$^{19,20}$ suggesting a high nucleation energy for switching. In order to investigate this, measurements were repeated at lower frequencies (down to 0.1 Hz). Unfortunately, however, under these conditions the
The (orthorhombic) phase of La$_{0.9}$Nd$_{0.1}$TaO$_4$ is only stable at temperatures above our measuring capabilities. Evidence for ferroelectric switching was obtained. The polar may be described in space group from an aristotype phase having no octahedral tilting, which both structure types, M- and O-, can be regarded as derived methods, López-Pérez and Íñiguez found that in the BaMF$_4$ family was driven by a single polar soft-mode, essentially a rigid octahedral tilt. However, employing similar distortions of the outer octahedra previously been noted that the distortion of the outer octahedra.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Phase</th>
<th>$\Delta_1$ (Å)</th>
<th>$\Delta_2$ (°)</th>
<th>Distortion type</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>O-LaTaO$_4$ (100 °C)</td>
<td>0.044</td>
<td>5.9</td>
<td>Edge</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O-LaTaO$_4$ (500 °C)</td>
<td>0.073</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>Edge</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M-La$<em>{0.9}$Nd$</em>{0.1}$TaO$_4$ (100 °C)</td>
<td>0.039</td>
<td>4.8</td>
<td>Indistinct</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O-La$<em>{0.9}$Nd$</em>{0.1}$TaO$_4$ (500 °C)</td>
<td>0.053</td>
<td>5.1</td>
<td>Edge</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O-BaMnF$_4$ (25 °C)</td>
<td>0.024</td>
<td>4.3</td>
<td>Indistinct</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O-BaCoF$_4$ (25 °C)</td>
<td>0.047</td>
<td>3.3</td>
<td>Axial</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O-BaNiF$_4$ (25 °C)</td>
<td>0.055</td>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>Axial</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M-NaCrF$_4$ (25 °C)</td>
<td>0.031</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>Edge</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NaAlF$_4$ (25 °C)</td>
<td>0.018</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>Edge</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BiReO$_4$ (25 °C)</td>
<td>0.056</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>Edge</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O-La$_{1-x}$Ti$_2$O$_7$ (calc)</td>
<td>0.088/0.071</td>
<td>6.2/5.6</td>
<td>Apex</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O-Sr$_2$Nb$_2$O$_7$ (25 °C)</td>
<td>0.125/0.084</td>
<td>7.3/5.6</td>
<td>Apex</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
are greater than those of the inner ones; this is a natural consequence of the weaker inter-block versus intra-block bonding (i.e., the inner octahedra are directly linked to six neighbouring octahedra, whereas the outer ones are linked to four).

As a further comparison to these values, the corresponding $\Delta_1$ and $\Delta_2$ values for the tetragonal ferroelectric phase of BaTiO$_3$ at room temperature are 0.067 Å and 2.5°, respectively.\textsuperscript{27}

It can be immediately seen that all the examples given do have significant octahedral distortions. Moreover, these distortions have a significant component along the polar $c$-axis in the O-phases, and hence will contribute to any net polarisation. From the relatively limited data available it can also be suggested that (i) the oxide members of the $n = 2$ series generally have larger distortions than the fluorides, (ii) the $n = 4$ members of the series have enhanced octahedral distortions relative to the $n = 2$ members, even in the case of the ‘inner’ octahedral layers. However, the representation of the octahedral distortions in terms of these two numerical parameters does not necessarily tell the full story; the nature of the distortion differs, as represented in Fig. 9. In general, the B cation in a perovskite can be considered to be displaced towards an octahedral apex, edge or face (compare the tetragonal, orthorhombic and rhombohedral ferroelectric phases of BaTiO$_3$, for example). Also shown in Table 1, and illustrated for selected examples in Fig. 9, is a rough classification of the different distortion types present in this family. As can be seen, for the fluorides there is no clear trend to the distortion types, whereas for the oxides there are two distinct types of displacement: edge-oriented for the $n = 2$ O-phases and apex-oriented for the $n = 4$ O-phases. Due to the limited data available, it is dangerous to draw any global conclusion from this, but it is clear that the $d^0$ cations do display the types of distortion commonly seen in oxides; whether there is an inherent preference for a particular cation to show a particular type of distortion is unclear. Such effects have been discussed by Halasyamani and co-workers,\textsuperscript{28} who suggest that Ti$^{4+}$, Nb$^{5+}$ and Ta$^{5+}$ show roughly similar preferences for edge- and apex-distortions. Therefore it is likely that the specific details of inter-block versus intra-block bonding and the nature of the A cation in these complex, highly distorted structures is also significant.

We suggest that, although rigid octahedral tilting in the O-phases intrinsically provides a polarisation, in reality the octahedra are far from rigid, and the complex nature and differences in these deviations from ideality are not necessarily straightforward to predict, depending on a subtle difference in compatibility of the perovskite blocks and separating layers, together with the size and electronic nature of the B cations.

Conclusions and further work

We have studied various aspects of the phase behaviour of LaTaO$_4$ and related members of the $A_nB_nX_{3n+2}$ series of layered perovskites. This work demonstrates that, under appropriate reaction conditions, the polar O-LaTaO$_4$ phase can be stabilised at room temperature, whereas previous work had suggested that the centrosymmetric M-LaTaO$_4$ polymorph is dominant. Variable temperature powder diffraction studies reveal an unusual anisotropic thermal expansion effect, driven by anomalous behaviour of inter-octahedral bond angles. This structural anomaly correlates with a dielectric maximum. Despite the polar nature of this polymorph it has not been possible to demonstrate ferroelectric switching under the conditions employed here.

Further, we have shown that the M-LaTaO$_4$ polymorph can be stabilised to room temperature by a small amount of Nd doping at the La site: a consequence of the smaller A-cation
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Fig. 9 Nature of the octahedral distortions in selected members of the $A_nB_nX_{3n+2}$ family: (a) O-LaTaO$_4$ at 100 °C (present study) (b) M-NaCrF$_4$ at 25 °C (ref. 23) (c) O-Sr$_2$Nb$_2$O$_7$ at 25 °C (ref. 24). Note that the latter contains two distinct octahedral sites.
size. In the series La$_{x}$Nd$_{1-x}$TaO$_4$ we have shown that the stability range of the M-phase at ambient temperature extends to $x \sim 0.50$. For the $x = 0.1$ case, we have shown that a first-order phase transition from the M- to the O-phase occurs in the region 250–350 °C. It is anticipated that the temperature of this phase transition will increase markedly for higher $x$-values, and further work on this is prompted. The dielectric data for $x = 0.1$ also suggests a further structural feature to be present at ca. 400 °C, and the intriguing possibility of antiferroelectric ordering; this also requires further study.

By comparing the present crystallographic data with selected previous examples of this structural family, we conclude that significant octahedral distortions are prevalent in all cases. This supports the previous theoretical studies, which suggest that although rigid octahedral tilting drives the transition into the polar O-phase, octahedral distortions are key in enhancing and stabilising the polarisation in this topological ferroelectric family. Although some broad general comments can be made on the nature and magnitude of these distortions, there is insufficient high quality structural data available to clarify specific ‘design’ principles, for the optimisation of net polarisation. The contributions of octahedral tilting versus octahedral distortion should therefore be considered on a case-by-case basis, and further systematic structural studies, on a more diverse range of compositions and structural variants, need to be carried out.

The transformation of an ambient temperature centrosymmetric phase into a higher temperature polar phase is highly unusual; indeed we are aware of no other examples of this amongst oxide ferroelectrics. It is anticipated that both the M- and O-polymorphs within this family will transform to an aristotype centrosymmetric phase (space group Cmcm) at high temperature. Although examples of the aristotype phase have been structurally characterised (e.g., BiReO$_4$ and Sr$_3$Ta$_2$O$_7$, which transforms to the polar O-phase at $-107$ °C), the nature of this transition has not been studied in detail previously. This would provide a very interesting study, in order to probe the relative importance of the octahedral tilt and distortion modes near $T_C$.

Note added in proof

We note the recent observation of a uniaxial NTE in another family of layered perovskites (Senn et al., Phys. Rev. Lett., 2015, 114, 035701).
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