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Clathrate hydrates are typically stabilized by suitably sized hydrophobic guest molecules. However, it has been experimentally reported that isomers of amyl-alcohol C₅H₁₁OH can be enclosed into the S¹¹⁶⁴ cages in structure II (sII) clathrate hydrates, even though the effective radii of the molecules are larger than the van der Waals radii of the cages. To reveal the mechanism of the anomalous enclathration of hydrophobic molecules, we performed ab initio and classical molecular dynamics simulations (MD) and analyzed the structure and dynamics of a guest–host hydrogen bond for sII 3-methyl-1-butanol and structure H (sH) 2-methyl-2-butanol clathrate hydrates. The simulations clearly showed the formation of guest–host hydrogen bonds and the incorporation of the O–H group of 3-methyl-1-butanol guest molecules into the framework of the sII S¹¹⁶⁴ cages, with the remaining hydrophobic part of the amyl-alcohol molecule well accommodated into the cages. The calculated vibrational spectra of alcohol O–H bonds showed large frequency shifts due to the strong guest–host hydrogen bonding. The 2-methyl-2-butanol guests form strong hydrogen bonds with the cage water molecules in the sH clathrate, but are not incorporated into the water framework. By comparing the structures of the alcohols in the hydrate phases, the effect of the location of O–H groups in the butyl chain of the guest molecules on the crystalline structure of the clathrate hydrates is indicated.

1 Introduction

Clathrate hydrates are crystalline inclusion compounds consisting of hydrogen bonded water molecules forming cages that are stabilized by guest–host non-covalent interactions. Huge amounts of natural gas hydrates are located in the ocean floor and considered as potential future energy resources.1 Because of high occupancy and reaction selectivity of clathrate hydrates, various other industrial applications are suggested, such as gas storage, transportation, and gas sequestration.2–8 However, hydrates are usually stable under high pressure and at low temperature and these features often inhibit practical use of clathrate hydrates. For the effective use of clathrate hydrate, a detailed understanding and controlling of the stability are significant.

Clathrate hydrates are typically stabilized by suitably sized hydrophobic guest molecules. The van der Waals–Platteeuw theory,9 which mainly considers guest–host van der Waals interactions, is commonly used to estimate the phase equilibrium conditions of clathrate hydrates.10 Hydrophilic molecules like methanol, ethanol, and ammonia form hydrogen bonds with water and are historically considered thermodynamic hydrate inhibitors.11,12 However, recent experimental observations13–17 reported the formation, not inhibition, of clathrate hydrates with these hydrophilic guest molecules, which actually even show promoting effects.18–20 Methanol and ammonia clathrate hydrates are also considered as components of the astronomical bodies, such as in comets and Saturn’s moons, Titan and Enceladus.13,19,21 In clathrate hydrates enclosing hydrophilic molecules, the formation of a guest–host hydrogen bond is experimentally observed by powder and single X-ray diffraction,22–25 FTIR and Raman measurements.16,26 Molecular dynamics (MD) simulations of these systems show that guest–host hydrogen bonds induce Bjerrum defects in the water lattice hydrogen bond network and affect the molecular motion of the guest molecules.15,27–31 In these experimental and computational studies, the disorder of the water lattice induced by the guest–host hydrogen bond and dynamics of the guest molecules in the cages is revealed. This type of strong molecular interaction also exists in clathrate hydrates containing ionic species,17,32 halogen molecules,33,34 and polar molecules35 as guests and is reported to induce changes in the structures of the water lattice and occupancy of the guest substance. To make
use of the effects induced by hydrophilic molecules and their impact on the thermodynamics of the clathrate, a more detailed understanding of the microscopic structures and molecular interactions of the guest–host molecules is important.

In this study, we focus on amyl-alcohol isomers C\(_{8}\)H\(_{17}\)OH as guests in clathrate hydrate phases. One of the amyl-alcohol isomers, 3-methyl-1-butanol, is enclosed in the 5\(^{12}\)6\(^{8}\) cages of sH hydrate with xenon as help gas in the 5\(^{12}\) and 4\(^{5}\)6\(^{3}\) cages.\(^{36}\) A recent X-ray observation\(^{18}\) reported that this molecule can also be enclosed in the 5\(^{12}\)6\(^{8}\) cages in sII hydrates with methane as help gas in the 5\(^{12}\) cages. However, the length of the longest dimension of 3-methyl-1-butanol in a vacuum determined by DFT computations [B3LYP/6-311++G(d,p)] is 9.04 Å.\(^{16}\) This length is considered to be too long for the molecule to fit in the 5\(^{12}\)6\(^{4}\) cage of sII, where the length of the major axis is 6.29 Å. In comparison, the major axis of the 5\(^{12}\)6\(^{4}\) cage in sH hydrates is 8.44 Å.\(^{37}\) This enclathration of alcohol molecules is difficult to understand within the framework of the previous theory of the cage stability containing hydrophobic molecules. To understand this anomalous enclathration of a large molecule in the sII hydrate, Cha et al. synthesized clathrate hydrates containing eight amyl-alcohol isomers with methane as help gas and determined their structures.\(^{16}\) They found that amyl-alcohol isomers 3-methyl-1-butanol (9.04 Å end-to-end length in vacuum) and 2,2-dimethyl-1-propanol (an end-to-end length of 7.76 Å in a vacuum) form sII hydrates, while amyl-alcohol isomers, 2-methyl-2-butanol (an end-to-end length of 7.76 Å in a vacuum) and 3-methyl-2-butanol (an end-to-end length of 8.01 Å in a vacuum) form sH clathrate hydrates. The results were determined from Raman spectra measurements of the hydrate phases and observation that the free 3-methyl-1-butanol O–H stretching mode found in sH hydrates was not detected in sII hydrates. It was concluded that the O–H groups of the amyl-alcohol isomers, 2-methyl-2-butanol and methanol molecules occupied all 5\(^{12}\)6\(^{4}\) and 5\(^{12}\) cages, respectively. The unit cell of sH clathrate hydrates is hexagonal and composed of three 5\(^{12}\), two 4\(^{5}\)6\(^{3}\), and one 5\(^{12}\)6\(^{8}\) cages with 34 water molecules per unit cell. The 2-methyl-2-butanol guest occupies the 5\(^{12}\)6\(^{8}\) cages and methane the 5\(^{12}\) and 4\(^{5}\)6\(^{3}\) cages of the sH hydrate. Since the rotational relaxation time of the guest molecule is much larger than the time scale of the ab initio MD simulation, the initial configurations are generated by the classical MD simulations explained in the next section. The vibrational spectra of the guest molecules were computed by the Fourier transform of the velocity autocorrelation function of the hydrogen atom in the O–H group of the alcohol molecules computed from the MD simulation trajectories. The total simulation time was 9 ps. The initial 1 ps was used for the equilibration and the remaining 8 ps were used to average the physical values. The velocity autocorrelation function was calculated over 4 ps with a total of 200 autocorrelation functions calculated every 20 fs and then averaged. The resolution of the vibrational spectra was 8.64 cm\(^{-1}\). The Hann window function was employed for the Fourier transforms. In the initial 1 ps of equilibration, the velocity scaling method was employed to control the temperature to 113 K. The other 8 ps used to calculate the autocorrelation functions were performed in the constant NVE ensemble.

2 Computational methods

2.1 Ab initio MD simulation

To analyze the guest–host hydrogen bonding and vibrational spectra of the O–H group in the clathrate hydrate, ab initio MD simulations were performed on a single unit cell of the binary (3-methyl-1-butanol + methane) sII hydrate and two unit cells of the binary (2-methyl-2-butanol + methane) sH hydrate. To perform sufficiently long simulations to ensure resolution of the vibrational spectra, the Car–Parrinello MD\(^{38}\) simulation using density functional theory (DFT) in the CPMD package\(^{39}\) was employed as the ab initio MD method. The PBE\(^{40}\) approximation, which is employed in the previous computation to calculate vibrational spectra of methane clathrate hydrates,\(^{41}\) was employed for the exchange–correlation terms in DFT. The dispersion interaction between guest and host molecules is important to calculate the properties of clathrate hydrates\(^{42,43}\) in this study the empirical Grimme correction DFT-D\(^{2}\)\(^{44}\) for the dispersion force was applied. The valence core interaction was described by Troullier–Martins\(^{45}\) and norm-conserving pseudopotential using the Kleinman–Bylander\(^{46}\) separation scheme for carbon, hydrogen, and oxygen. The energy cutoff of the plane waves was 80 Ry and the Brillouin zone of the supercell was sampled at the \(\Gamma\) point. The simulation time-step was 0.097 fs and the fictitious electron mass was 400 a.u. for the Car–Parrinello method. Although in Car–Parrinello MD a redshift in the dynamics of light atoms due to the fictitious mass of the electron dynamics is recognized,\(^{47}\) the redshift has little effect on the comparison of the differences of the vibrational frequencies of the guest alcohol molecules between cages.\(^{48,49}\)

The sII clathrate hydrate is cubic and composed of sixteen 5\(^{12}\) cages and eight 5\(^{12}\)6\(^{4}\) cages formed by 136 water molecules. In this calculation, 3-methyl-1-butanol and methane molecules occupied all 5\(^{12}\)6\(^{4}\) and 5\(^{12}\) cages, respectively. The unit cell of sH clathrate hydrates is hexagonal and composed of three 5\(^{12}\), two 4\(^{5}\)6\(^{3}\), and one 5\(^{12}\)6\(^{8}\) cages with 34 water molecules per unit cell. The 2-methyl-2-butanol guest occupies the 5\(^{12}\)6\(^{8}\) cages and methane the 5\(^{12}\) and 4\(^{5}\)6\(^{3}\) cages of the sH hydrate. Since the rotational relaxation time of the guest molecule is much larger than the time scale of the ab initio MD simulation, the initial configurations are generated by the classical MD simulations explained in the next section. The vibrational spectra of the guest molecules were computed by the Fourier transform of the velocity autocorrelation function of the hydrogen atom in the O–H group of the alcohol molecules computed from the MD simulation trajectories. The total simulation time was 9 ps. The initial 1 ps was used for the equilibration and the remaining 8 ps were used to average the physical values. The velocity autocorrelation function was calculated over 4 ps with a total of 200 autocorrelation functions calculated every 20 fs and then averaged. The resolution of the vibrational spectra was 8.64 cm\(^{-1}\). The Hann window function was employed for the Fourier transforms. In the initial 1 ps of equilibration, the velocity scaling method was employed to control the temperature to 113 K. The other 8 ps used to calculate the autocorrelation functions were performed in the constant NVE ensemble.

2.2 Classical MD simulation

Classical MD simulations were performed on 2 \times 2 \times 2 replicas of the sII hydrate unit cells (1088 water molecules) and 3 \times 4 \times 4 replicas of sH hydrate unit cells (1632 water molecules) to calculate the probability and dynamical properties of hydrogen
bonds in the amyl-alcohol clathrate hydrates. Initial positions of oxygen atoms in the clathrate hydrate unit cell are those obtained by X-ray diffraction\textsuperscript{50} and proton configurations are determined to satisfy the ice rule, zero net-dipole moment and minimum potential energy.\textsuperscript{51} The GROMACS\textsuperscript{52,53} computational package was used to generate trajectories of clathrate hydrates at the respective temperatures. The TIP4P/ice\textsuperscript{54} water model, which is known to give reasonable prediction of phase diagrams of clathrate hydrates,\textsuperscript{55} was used in the simulations. The flexible general AMBER force field\textsuperscript{56} (GAFF) was used for 3-methyl-1-butanol, 2-methyl-2-butanol, and methane molecules. The partial charge distribution of the amyl-alcohols and methane molecules was determined by the ChelpG method at the MP2/aug-cc-pVTZ level of theory with Gaussian 03\textsuperscript{57} on the optimized structure with MP2/6-311++G(d,p). Simulations were performed for 10 ns with a small time step of 0.2 fs, using the NPT ensemble with Nosé–Hoover and Parrinello–Rahman algorithms for the thermostat and barostat, respectively. The simulation conditions were set to be different temperatures (113 K, 200 K, 270 K) and a pressure of 6 MPa. The initial 1 ns of the simulation was used for equilibration and remaining 9 ns were used for the calculation of the statistical average of the properties. The short range cutoff was 14 Å and the calculation of the Coulomb potential was the statistical average of the properties. The short range cutoff was 14 Å and the calculation of the Coulomb potential was performed with the particle mesh Ewald (PME) method.

### 3 Results and discussion

Fig. 1 shows snapshots of the 3-methyl-1-butanol and 2-methyl-2-butanol molecules enclosed in the large cages of sII and sH hydrates, respectively, obtained from the \textit{ab initio} MD simulations. In the $5^{12}6^4$ cages of sII, strong guest–host hydrogen bonds are formed between 3-methyl-1-butanol and water molecules, observed in both \textit{ab initio} and classical MD simulations. Furthermore, in many of the $5^{12}6^4$ cages, the O–H groups of the amyl-alcohol molecules were inserted between two water molecules in the hydrogen bonding network of the cages. The O–H group of 3-methyl-1-butanol in the $5^{12}6^4$ cages pushes open the wall of the cages as shown in Fig. 1(a) and (b). This structural feature has been previously observed in other alcohol guest molecules in hydrate phases.\textsuperscript{29,58}

The probability of the hydrogen bonding computed from 10 ns of the classical MD simulation is shown in Table 1. The probability of the formation of hydrogen bonds with $i$ molecules, $P_i (i = 1, 2 \text{ or none} 0)$ is defined as

$$P_i = \frac{N_i}{N_{tot}},$$

where $N_i$ is the number of guest molecules forming hydrogen bonds with $i$ molecules. $N_{tot}$ is simply the total number of guest molecules. The formation of the hydrogen bond was assigned by the H⋯B distance in the A–H⋯B bonding, less than 2.4 Å, and the A–H⋯B angle, greater than 150°.

The RO–H$_2$⋯O$_w$ guest–host hydrogen bond in the sII hydrate of 3-methyl-1-butanol was formed in 98% and 85% of the trajectory time at 113 K and 270 K, respectively. These probabilities are higher than other alcohol molecules such as ethanol, 1-propanol and 2-propanol in the sII clathrate hydrates calculated in previous classical MD simulations, which formed with about 83% and 48% probability at 100 K and 250 K, respectively.\textsuperscript{29} In the \textit{ab initio} MD simulation at 113 K, all eight 3-methyl-1-butanol molecules in the unit cell formed hydrogen bonds with water molecules during the 8 ps simulation time. This high probability of guest–host hydrogen bonding shows the contribution of guest-water hydrogen bonding in stabilizing the hydrate structure, which is also evidenced in previous studies.\textsuperscript{16} The guest–host hydrogen bond allows the O–H group of 3-methyl-1-butanol to be incorporated into the cages and the hydrophobic part to fit into the $5^{12}6^4$ cage. Without this particular form of hydrogen bonding, the amyl-alcohol guest

![Fig. 1 Snapshots of the $5^{12}6^4$ cages in sII and $5^{12}6^4$ cages in sH clathrate hydrates from the \textit{ab initio} MD simulations. The guest–host hydrogen bonds are observed in both structures. The O–H group of 3-methyl-1-butanol molecules in sII hydrates is incorporated into $5^{12}6^4$ cages and forms hydrogen bonds with (a) two or (b) three water molecules. The O–H group of 2-methyl-2-butanol molecules in sH hydrates forms hydrogen bonds with (c) two or (d) one water molecules. The H atom in the alcohol O–H group is free from the hydrogen bonding in the snapshot (d).](image-url)

### Table 1 Averaged probability of hydrogen bonds

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Temp./K</th>
<th>N bond</th>
<th>$\text{H}_2\text{O} \cdot \text{O}_w$</th>
<th>$\text{O}_w \cdot \text{H}_w$</th>
<th>$\text{H}_2\text{O} \cdot \text{O}_w$</th>
<th>$\text{O}_w \cdot \text{H}_w$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>113</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.017</td>
<td>0.004</td>
<td>0.147</td>
<td>0.022</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.983</td>
<td>0.597</td>
<td>0.853</td>
<td>0.958</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>0.399</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>0.020</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>200</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.054</td>
<td>0.018</td>
<td>0.291</td>
<td>0.052</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.946</td>
<td>0.680</td>
<td>0.709</td>
<td>0.932</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>0.302</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>0.016</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>270</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.152</td>
<td>0.057</td>
<td>0.430</td>
<td>0.114</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.848</td>
<td>0.691</td>
<td>0.570</td>
<td>0.882</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>0.252</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>0.005</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
molecule would be too large to fit into the $5^{12}6^4$ sII hydrate cage. The oxygen atoms of the alcohol molecules act as one or two hydrogen bond proton acceptors from the cage water molecules. The alcohol O–H groups inserted into both five- and six-member water rings in the cages. Configurations where the guest alcohol O atom (Og) hydrogen bonds with the H atoms (Hw) of two water molecules were most important in the lower temperature simulations, as shown in Table 1.

Fig. 2 and 3 show the radial distribution function (RDF) for the hydrogen atom in the alcohol O–H group to the oxygen atoms in the cage water molecules calculated from the trajectory of ab initio MD and classical MD simulations. The first peak of the RDF is at 1.63 Å and 1.69 Å from the ab initio and classical MD simulations, respectively. These distances are smaller than the previous observation by classical MD, about 1.8 Å for ethanol and 2-propanol molecules, 1.78 Å for 1-propanol,29 and 2.2 Å in tert-butylamine59 in the $5^{12}6^4$ cage in sII hydrate. On the other hand, the first peak of the RDF for the oxygen atom in the alcohol O–H group to the hydrogen atom in water molecules ($O_g$ – $H_w$) is at 1.7 Å at all temperatures and structures from classical MD simulations. This distance for the ($O_g$ – $H_w$) hydrogen bond is similar to the corresponding hydrogen bonding length in ethanol, 1-propanol, 2-propanol29 and tert-butylamine molecules in the sII hydrate59 (~1.7 Å). The difference of the hydrogen bond length mainly appears in the $H_g$ – $O_w$ RDF.

Fig. 4(a) shows the calculated vibrational spectra of the hydrogen atoms in the O–H groups of 3-methyl-1-butanol molecules in the $5^{12}6^4$ cage of sII hydrate calculated by ab initio MD. The calculated vibrational spectrum of the isolated alcohol molecule in a vacuum is also shown for comparison. The vibrational peak of the stretching vibrational mode of the free O–H for an isolated molecule is located at 3500 cm$^{-1}$. In comparison, the vibrational peak of the hydrogen bonded O–H in the cages is shifted to lower frequency, in the range between 2800–3400 cm$^{-1}$, along with a broadening in the peak shape. This result supports the interpretation of the previous experimental Raman observation of amyl-alcohols in the sII hydrate,16 in that the undetected peak of the free O–H stretching vibration in the sII hydrate is caused by the guest–host hydrogen bond and red shift of the O–H stretching frequency. The amyl-alcohol molecules in sII hydrates form hydrogen bonds with water molecules forming the cages with high probability and as a consequence, the vibrational modes of the amyl-alcohol molecules are drastically altered.

In the sH 2-methyl-2-butanol hydrate, the probability of the hydrogen bond was smaller than that in the sII hydrate enclosing 3-methyl-1-butanol ($H_g$ – $O_w$ in Table 1). In this case, about 85% of hydrogen atoms of O–H groups ($H_g$) in the 2-methyl-2-butanol molecules form guest–host hydrogen bonds in the cages of sH, but 15% of the $H_g$ atoms did not form any guest–host hydrogen bonds even at 113 K as shown in Fig. 1(c) and (d), respectively. The oxygen atoms in the alcohol O–H form the guest–host hydrogen bondings in higher probability than the hydrogen atoms, about 95%. Fig. 4(b) shows the vibrational spectra of the hydrogen atoms in O–H groups of 2-methyl-2-butanol molecules in the $5^{12}6^8$ cage of sH hydrate calculated from the ab initio MD simulations. The red solid line is the vibrational spectrum of selected alcohol molecules in sH hydrate forming a guest–host hydrogen bond as shown in the snapshot in Fig. 1(c). The hydrogen bonded O–H stretching
bonding strength of pinacolone and hydrate is consistent with the observations of the hydrogen butanol. This seemingly weaker hydrogen bonding in the sII hydrate enclosing 3-methyl-1-butanol molecule in a sH cage that has no Hg atom in the O–H group from \(\text{C}_0\)/\(\text{C}_1/\text{C}_1/\text{C}_1\) vibrational spectrum of 2-methyl-2-butanol in the sH hydrates is smaller than that in the sII hydrate containing 3-methyl-1-butanol molecule. Therefore the half of the 3-methyl-1-butanol molecules is not encumbered by nearby methyl groups. As such, the O–H group of 2-methyl-2-butanol cannot as easily be incorporated into the hydrogen bonding network of the cage water molecules. A similar trend is also observed in other amyl-alcohol molecules discussed in the previous experimental work, namely, 2,2-dimethyl-1-propanol forming sII and 3-methyl-2-butanol forming sH hydrate. As shown here, the structure of the clathrate hydrates forming guest–host hydrogen bonds can strongly be affected by the microscopic structure of the guest molecule, including the position of the alcohol O–H groups and the size of the molecules.

The other significant factor on the stability of the clathrate hydrates is the conformers of the guest molecules. Recently Frankcombe and Kroes calculated the formation energies of the clathrate hydrates and showed the significant impact of the gauche configurations of the guest molecules on the hydrate stability. In the case of the butane molecules in the sII 5\(^1\)2\(^6\)4 cages, the gauche conformation is more favorable than the trans conformation. To understand the relation between the conformers and the structure of the clathrate hydrates, we calculated the probability of the conformers of the alcohol guest molecules. The calculated probability of the gauche structure at 270 K was 40.9% in 3-methyl-1-butanol molecules in the sII 5\(^1\)2\(^6\)4 and 4.41% in the 2-methyl-2-butanol molecules in the sH 5\(^1\)2\(^6\)8 cages. The length of the gauche conformation of the 3-methyl-1-butanol molecule is smaller than the trans conformation of the molecule. Therefore the half of the 3-methyl-1-butanol molecules is compactly packed as the gauche structure in the sII hydrate to fit the size of the 5\(^1\)2\(^6\)4 cages. On the other hand, most of the 2-methyl-2-butanol molecules in the sH 5\(^1\)2\(^6\)8 cages form a trans structure. As such, the 3-methyl-1-butanol molecules are fitted to the 5\(^1\)2\(^6\)2 cages by the guest–host hydrogen bonding and forming the gauche conformation.

Another possibility for the incorporation of 3-methyl-1-butanol molecules into the sII hydrate lattice is the displacement of a water molecule by the alcohol O–H group. The incorporation of the alcohol O–H groups as part of the water cages is possible and would retain the 28 oxygen vertices of the 5\(^1\)2\(^6\)4 cage. However, this would introduce Bjerrum L-defects into the hydrogen bonding network, and make the cage structures more disordered. In one simulation, we artificially removed a water molecule from the hydrate lattice and computed the vibrational spectra of 3-methyl-1-butanol with ab initio MD. Fig. 5 shows the O–H vibrational spectra
molecular rotations have larger relaxation time.ing in the 5126 cages of sII as shown in Table 1, the relaxation times in NMR spectroscopy.61,62 The
hydrogen bond correlation function, SHB(t), describes the probability that guest–host hydrogen bond pair remains stable at all times up to t. Fig. 7 shows that the hydrogen bond correlation function, SHB(t), of 3-methyl-1-butanol in the sII 51264 cages decays faster than that of 2-methyl-2-butanol in the sH 51268 cages. Despite having greater hydrogen bonding probability, the guest–host hydrogen bond pairs for 3-methyl-1-butanol in the sII 51264 cages break and reform more frequently than those for the 2-methyl-2-butanol in the sH 51268. This more frequent transition of the guest–host hydrogen bonding pair indicates the lower potential barrier of the transition and results in the faster decay of M2(t) for 3-methyl-1-butanol in the sII 51264 cages.
In the 10 ns of the classical MD simulation, we also observed guest–guest hydrogen bonds in the sII hydrate. Since all 51264 cages in sII hydrates share hexagonal faces with other 51264 cages, the rotating alcohol O–H groups in the adjacent cages can be found close to each other. As shown in Table 1, about 4% of the alcohol molecules formed guest–guest hydrogen bonds through the hexagonal faces at 270 K. The same geometric criteria of the OHg···Ow distance and the OHg···Ow angle as

\[
\cos \theta(t) = \mu(0) \mu(t)
\]  

(2)

Fig. 6 shows the second Legendre polynomial M2(t) for the cosine of the rotation angle of the O–H bond, for 3-methyl-1-
butanol in the sII hydrate and 2-methyl-2-butanol in the sH hydrate. The M2(t) function is closely linked with relaxation times in NMR spectroscopy.61,62 The M2(t) decays faster at higher temperatures as the alcohol guests gain greater rotational freedom in the cages. The relaxation times of intramolecular geometry changes are known to have a relaxation time of ~1 ps28,29,59 and molecular rotations have larger relaxation time.

\[
M_2(t) = \frac{1}{2}(3\cos^2 \theta(t) - 1)
\]  

(3)

Despite the higher probability of guest–host hydrogen bonding in the 51264 cages of sII as shown in Table 1, the relaxation of the M2(t) curves for 3-methyl-1-butanol in the 51264 cages is more quick than that of 2-methyl-2-butanol in the larger 51268 of the sH cages (the relaxation times of M2(t) determined by
used for guest–host hydrogen bonds were used to determine the presence of guest–guest hydrogen bonding. A snapshot of a guest–host hydrogen bond in the cages is shown in Fig. 8. In previous studies, the guest–guest interactions have always been excluded when estimating the thermodynamic stability of the hydrate phase. However, as seen in this study, such guest–guest interactions can have important consequences in the hydrate stability.

We should mention that we used full occupancy of the small cages with methane molecules. Previous MD simulations reported that the relaxation time is affected by the occupancy of clathrate hydrates. More detailed analysis focusing on the occupancy and types of guest molecules should be performed in future considering varying degrees of occupancy of the small cages.

4 Conclusions

*Ab initio* and classical molecular dynamics simulations were performed to study the effects of guest–host hydrogen bonding on the structure and stability of two amyl-alcohol clathrate hydrates. The simulations showed the formation of guest–host hydrogen bonds and large frequency shift of the O–H stretching vibration of the 3-methyl-1-butanol molecule in sII clathrate hydrate. The guest–host hydrogen bond was quite stable and the calculated O–H vibrational frequency shift was consistent with previous experimental Raman spectra where the free O–H stretching vibrational peak of amyl-alcohol in sII hydrate was not observed. The O–H group of 3-methyl-1-butanol molecules was incorporated into the water lattice of the 51264 cages. As a result, the large alcohol molecule can fit the relatively small 51264 cages.

The gauche structure of 3-methyl-1-butanol molecules was observed in the sII 51264 cages and the effect of the conformation of the guest molecules on the cage stability was indicated. Guest–host hydrogen bonding was also observed in sH clathrate hydrate containing 2-methyl-2-butanol. Relatively smaller probability of the guest–host hydrogen bond and frequency shift of the O–H stretching vibration than in the sII hydrate were observed in this case. About 15% of the hydrogen atoms in O–H groups of the enclathrated 2-methyl-2-butanol molecules did not form hydrogen bonds at 113 K and this existence of a non-hydrogen bonded, free hydrogen atom of the O–H group of alcohol molecules is consistent with Raman observations. The differences in the behavior of the guest–host hydrogen bonds were related to the location of the O–H group in the alcohol molecules. 3-Methyl-1-butanol is primary alcohol and is easily incorporated into the hydrate cage. In contrast, 2-methyl-2-butanol is a tertiary alcohol with the O–H group near the center of the molecule, making the formation of strong hydrogen bonds with the cage water molecules more difficult due to the steric effects from the adjacent methyl groups. Therefore, the 3-methyl-1-butanol molecules are enclosed in the 51264 cage while the 2-methyl-2-butanol is observed in the larger 51268 cages in the sH hydrate. The position of the hydrophilic part of guest molecules plays an important role in determining the stability of the cages and the structure of the clathrate hydrate.
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Fig. 7 Decay of the $S_{	ext{HB}}$ with time for 3-methyl-1-butanol in the sII (top) and 2-methyl-2-butanol in the sH hydrate (bottom). The continuous time of the guest–host hydrogen bond pair of the sII 3-methyl-1-butanol hydrate is shorter than that of the sH 2-methyl-2-butanol hydrate.

Fig. 8 Snapshot of the guest–guest hydrogen bond between 3-methyl-1-butanol molecules in sII hydrate observed in the classical MD simulation at 270 K. The guest–guest hydrogen bonding was occurred through a hexagonal face. The probability was about 0.04.
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