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Drug delivery systems allow tissue/cell specific targeting of drugs in order to reduce total drug amounts administered to an organism and potential side effects upon systemic drug delivery. Most drug delivery systems are polymer-based, but the number of possible materials is limited since many commercially available polymers induce allergic or inflammatory responses or lack either biodegradability or the necessary stability in vivo. Spider silk proteins represent a new class of (bio)polymers that can be used as drug depots or drug delivery systems. The recombinant spider silk protein eADF4(C16), which can be processed into different morphologies such as particles, films, or hydrogels, has been shown to fulfill most criteria necessary for its use as biomaterial. Further, eADF4(C16) particles have been shown to be well-suited for drug delivery. Here, a new method was established for particle production to reduce particle size and size distribution. Importantly, cellular uptake of these particles was shown to be poor in HeLa cells. Therefore, variants of eADF4(C16) with inverted net charge or incorporated cell penetrating peptides and receptor interacting motifs were tested, showing much better cellular uptake. Interestingly, uptake of all silk variant particles was mainly achieved by clathrin-mediated endocytosis.

Introduction

In principle drug delivery systems allow the achievement of constant drug levels at targeted locations within the body. Two generally different systems have been developed: depot systems located specifically within the tissue of choice or mobile systems that convey drugs embedded in a carrier to targeted tissues/cells. Hydrogels or films can be used as depots, whereas mostly particulate systems are used as drug delivery devices. Amongst the materials employed as carrier systems are inorganic (nano)particles, lipid vehicles, and most commonly synthetic polymers like poly(lactide), poly(lactic-co-glycolic acid), or poly(glycolic acid) and natural polymers such as gelatin, alginate, chitosan or silk proteins. Polymeric systems are the preferred material because many polymers show good biocompatibility, can be chemically modified according to the desired application, and are suitable for entrapment of therapeutic agents, allowing controlled release of the encapsulated drug over days or even months. However, synthetic polymers often need organic solvents and harsh formulation conditions for processing. Natural polymers, in contrast, are produced under mild environmental conditions (i.e. aqueous buffer systems) and show much higher biocompatibility.

Amongst natural polymers, silk proteins constitute a promising new material due to their biocompatibility and biodegradability. Recently, materials made of recombinantly produced spider silk proteins or silkworm fibroin have been shown to be well tolerated by cells. In this respect, particles made of eADF4(C16) have been previously used as drug delivery vehicles. eADF4(C16) is based on the repetitive core domain of the spidroin ADF4 of the European garden spider Araneus diadematus and can be processed into different morphologies including films, hydrogels, non-woven mats, capsules, and particles. Particles are produced with adjustable particle size by salting out, upon varying either protein concentration or mixing intensity with the salting-out reagent. Diameters of particles were in the range of 250 nm to 3 µm. Generally, cellular uptake of silk particles is rare probably due to the size of the particles, lack of binding ligands or the negative net/surface charge of some silk proteins.

Here, we used three approaches to improve cellular uptake of eADF4(C16) particles. Firstly, we established a new
technique to process spider silk proteins into particles with small diameters by using ionic liquids as a starting solvent. Secondly, silk proteins were functionalized with cell penetrating peptides (CPP) to enhance the cellular uptake of particles. CPPs are short (up to 30 amino acids), mostly cationic peptides, able to cross cellular membranes and, thereby, transport cargo (particles, DNA, RNA, proteins, liposomes) into cells. The transport across membranes occurs via energy-dependent and/or independent mechanisms, with the exact mechanism of how the particles cross membranes being largely unresolved. The first discovered CPP was part of the trans-activator of transcription (Tat) protein of the HI-Virus in 1989 (amino acid sequence GRKKRRQRRRPPQ). Nowadays, beyond protein-derived CPPs, designed peptides such as poly-arginines (.

Endocytosis plays an important role in various unspecific and specific functions (e.g. nutrient uptake, signal transduction, regulation mechanism of cell migration, shape and volume, transcellular transport) of a cell. For the identification of internalization of substances, particles, etc. pharmacological inhibitors are commonly used. The choice of an inhibitor for uptake studies is not easy because many are not very specific or cause side effects. Ivanov compared the commonly used inhibitors for different endocytotic pathways. Based on this comparison dansylcadaverine and di-methyl-amiloride are the favourable inhibitors of clathrin-mediated endocytosis and macropinocytosis due to specificity and low side effects. These inhibitors were here used to determine the cellular uptake route of the individual spider silk particles.

**Experimental**

**Genetic modification of eADF4(C16)**

eADF4(C16) is based on 16 repeats of the consensus sequence of spidroin ADF4 of the European garden spider (Araneus diadematus) (C-module: GSSAAAAAASGPPGY GPENQGPSGPGGYGPPPGPG), and a T7-tag fused to the aminoterminal for detection purposes. Fusions were made using tags as described in Wohlrab et al. for each tag, DNA cassettes were created by annealing two synthetic oligonucleotides (.

| Table 1 Theoretical pl and MW of recombinant spider silk proteins calculated using ProtParam |
|-----------------------------------------------|--------------|--------------|
| eADF4(x16)                                      | 9.70          | 47 683       |
| Tat-eADF4(x16)where Tat do not have this T7-tag due to the cloning and expression system. Due to the T7-tag eADF4(C16) has a higher molecular weight than Tat-eADF4-(C16), and eADF4(C16)Tat has a higher molecular weight than Tat-eADF4(C16) and Tat-eADF4(C16)Tat.

**Spider silk particle formation**

Lyoophilized proteins were dissolved in 1-ethyl-3-methyl-imidazolium acetate (EMIM[acetate]) and stirred for 1 h at 95 °C. Particle formation was initiated by mixing 0.1 mg ml$^{-1}$ of
protein in EMiM[acetate] with 5 fold v/v excess of 2 M potassium phosphate, pH 8.23. After incubation for 1 h at 25 °C, the particles were centrifuged (15 min, 17 000g, 4 °C) and washed three times with ultrapure water.

**Dynamic light scattering (DLS) and zeta potential measurements**

Spider silk and control particles (sicastar®-redF, surface modified with COOH or NH2 groups, micromod, Rostock, Germany) were analyzed for their particle size (Z-average and width) and distribution indices using dynamic light scattering (ZetaSizer NanoZS, Malvern Instruments, Worcestershire, UK). The samples were measured \( n = 6; \) eADF4(c16)Tat \( n = 4 \) in MQ-H2O at a protein concentration of 0.5 mg ml\(^{-1}\) at 25 °C. The electrophoretic mobilities of all spider silk particles were measured in 10 mM KCl, pH 5.5 at 25 °C (ZetaSizer NanoZS). The zeta potential was calculated according to the theory of Smoluchowski \(^{36} \) based on the measured electrophoretic mobilities.

**Scanning electron microscopy**

20 µl of particle suspension were pipetted on Thermax™ plastic cover slips and air-dried. Samples were sputtered with a 2 nm layer of platinum (Sputter coater 208 HR, Cressington, Watford, UK) and analyzed using a Leo 1530 VP Gemini SEM (Zeiss, Germany) at 2–3 kV.

Cell samples were fixed with 2.5% v/v glutaraldehyde, 80 mM HEPES, 3 mM CaCl\(_2\), pH 7.3, and washed twice with fixation buffer without glutaraldehyde for 15 min. After washing two times with ultrapure water, the samples were dehydrated by incubation for 20 min in 25%, 50%, 70%, 95%, each, and three times in 100% acetone. Afterwards, all samples were critically point dried (transitional medium CO\(_2\), Balzers CPD 020), sputtered with a 2 nm layer of platinum and analyzed using a Leo 1540 CrossBeam VP Gemini SEM.

**Colloidal stability analysis**

Colloidal stability of spider silk and control particles was analyzed in 10 mM KCl, pH 5.5 using a LUMiFuge®114 (L.U.M. GmbH, Berlin, Germany) with a rotation frequency of 300, 600 and 900 rpm and different time intervals of 200, 300, and 1000 s. Particle suspensions were placed in tubes in horizontal positions on the disc of the LUMiFuge®114. Transparency of the suspensions was measured in the area between menisci and sediment in duplicates, three times for each protein using fresh preparations each time. Transmission was measured every 10 s over 1800 s, and the integral of transmission between meniscus and bottom of the vial was plotted against time.

**Cell culture**

HeLa cells (ACC-57, German collection of microorganism and cell cultures DSMZ, Leibnitz Institute, Braunschweig, Germany) were cultured in Dulbecco’s Modified Eagle Medium (DMEM) (Biochrom, Berlin, Germany) containing 10% v/v fetal bovine serum (Biochrom, Berlin, Germany), 1% v/v GlutaMAX (Gibco, Grand Island, USA) and 0.1% gentamicin sulfate (Sigma-Aldrich, Seelze, Germany). The viability of the cells was confirmed by trypan blue staining (Sigma-Aldrich, Seelze, Germany) before seeding the cells for experiments. Cells were cultured in a CO\(_2\)-incubator (Haereus, Hanau, Germany) at 95% humidity, 5% CO\(_2\) and 37 °C.

**Analysis of cell proliferation (cytotoxicity), proliferation rate and doubling time**

For cell proliferation analysis, cells were seeded on treated 96-well cell culture plates (Nunc, Langenselbold, Germany) with a density of 5000 cells cm\(^{-2}\) for 9 days. Cells were pre-incubated with spider silk or control particles (9.6 ng µl\(^{-1}\)) for 24 h at 37 °C. Medium was changed daily followed by analysis of cell viability using the CellTiter Blue assay. Cells were washed two times with phosphate buffered saline (PBS). Fresh media containing 10% v/v CellTiter Blue reagent® (Promega, Madison, USA) was added, and cells incubated for 2.5 h at 37 °C. Transformation of the blue fluorescent dye resazurin into red fluorescent resorufin (\( \lambda_{ex} = 530 \text{ nm}; \lambda_{em} = 590 \text{ nm} \)) was measured using a plate reader (Mithras LB 940, Berthold, Bad Wildbad, Germany) with 530 nm excitation and 600 nm emission filters and a counting time of 0.5 s. For each particle type, cell culture experiments were repeated 3 times with 3 replicates.

Proliferation rate (\( \mu \)) and doubling time \( (T_d) \) were calculated using a first order Monod-type kinetic model with the assumption that the mortality rate can be neglected (eqn (1)).

\[
X(t) = X_0 e^{\mu t} \tag{1}
\]

\( X(t) \) and \( X_0 \) are the concentrations of viable cells at time points \( t \) and 0. For more details concerning the calculation of proliferation rate \( \mu \) see Leal-Egana et al.\(^{20} \) The doubling time can be calculated using eqn (2).

\[
T_d = \frac{\ln 2}{\mu} \tag{2}
\]

**Uptake studies and identification of the uptake mechanism using flow cytometry**

HeLa cells were cultured on treated 6-well cell culture plates (Nunc, Langenselbold, Germany) with a density of 30 000 cells cm\(^{-2}\) in the presence of spider silk or control fluorescent particles for 6, 24, 48 and 72 h. Cells were washed with PBS twice, treated with trypan blue, washed again with PBS and pelleted using 0.05% Trypsin/EDTA and centrifugation (300g, 5 min, 25 °C). Cells were resuspended in fresh media, and uptake was measured using flow cytometry (Cytomics FC500, Beckman-Coulter, Krefeld, Germany). Cells only appeared fluorescent upon internalization of rhodamine-labeled particles (labeling via N-Hydroxysuccinimid ester chemistry\(^{19,57} \)). Endocytotic inhibitors were used for identification of the underlying uptake mechanism. Cells were seeded at a density of 50 000 cells cm\(^{-2}\) with spider silk or control silica particles for 6 and 24 h. 100 µM dansylcadaverin (DC) for inhibition of clathrin-mediated endocytosis and 100 µM di-methyl-amiloride (DMA)
for inhibition of macropinocytosis were added to cells 30 min prior to particle addition.

Cell staining for fluorescence microscopy

HeLa cells were cultured on µ-slides (8-well, ibidi GmbH, Martinsried, Germany) at a cell density of 20,000 cells cm\(^{-2}\) and incubated for 6, 24, 48 and 72 h with rhodamine-labeled particles at 37 °C. The cells were fixed with 4% w/v paraformaldehyde for 15 min at 25 °C, washed with PBS and permeabilized with 0.1% v/v Triton X-100 for 3 min. After two additional PBS washing steps, cells were incubated with HCS CellMask™ Blue stain (Invitrogen, Darmstadt, Germany) for 30 min and washed again with PBS. All samples were kept in PBS. Fluorescence microscopy was performed using a LifeCell microscope (DMI6000, Leica, Wetzlar, Germany).

Results and discussion

Spider silk protein modification

Recombinant spider silk protein eADF4(C16) has been well investigated in recent years.\(^{19,22-24,49,55,58-62}\) Here, the protein was modified with different tags for stimulating internalization of respective protein particles by HeLa cells (Fig. 1). A Tat-peptide was fused either to the carboxy-, the aminoterminal or both termini of eADF4(C16), and an R8- or an RGD-peptide was fused with the aminoterminal of eADF4(C16).\(^{49}\) To study particle-charge-dependence of cellular uptake, the recently established positively charged eADF4(κ16) was used.\(^{50}\) In this variant, all glutamic acid residues of eADF4(C16) are replaced by lysine ones rendering the protein polycationic under neutral conditions (in contrast to polyanionic eADF4-(C16)). All modifications were analyzed and confirmed by MALDI-TOF MS (data not shown).

Particle production and characterization

Particles were produced by salting out of the protein dissolved in EMiM[acetate]. In contrast to previously published methods\(^{23}\) the ionic liquid EMiM[acetate] was used to minimize the particle diameter and distribution. In addition to “single-protein” particles also eADF4(C16) and eADF4(κ16) blend particles were produced.

All spider silk particles had spherical morphologies (Fig. 2A, Fig. S1†), indicating that modifying the sequence as well as blending had no influence on particle formation. Particles were also analyzed concerning size and surface potential (Table 2). Commercially available silica particles with a theoretical diameter of 100 nm were used as control. Their measured diameter was 113.5 ± 0.5 nm. Spider silk particles had diameters between 239 and 294 nm except for eADF4(κ16) (324 nm) and blend particles (393 nm). Importantly, the previously reported broad particle size distribution could be significantly reduced.\(^{24}\) Many studies investigated the uptake of particles produced from different materials such as polystyrene,\(^{63,64}\) chitosan based polymers,\(^{65}\) silica,\(^{66}\) or poly(lactic-co-glycolic acid) (PLGA).\(^{67}\) Independently from the

![Fig. 2](https://example.com/figure2.png) SEM images (A) and colloidal stability analysis (B) of spider silk and control silica particles. For SEM, spider silk particles were prepared from a 0.1 mg ml\(^{-1}\) solution in EMiM[acetate] and analyzed in the dehydrated state. Colloidal stability of particles from a 2 mg ml\(^{-1}\) solution was determined in 10 mM KCl, pH 5.5. Scale bars: 100 nm.
Table 2  Particle size, particle size distribution index, zeta potential calculated using the theory of Smoluchowski55 and electrophoretic mobility of spider silk and control silica particles. Particle sizes were analyzed in MQ-H2O, electrophoretic mobilities were measured in 10 mM KCl at pH 5.5 (n = 6; eADF4(C16)Tat n = 4)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>(Spider silk) particles</th>
<th>Particle size/nm</th>
<th>Particle size distribution index</th>
<th>Zeta potential/mV</th>
<th>Electrophoretic mobility/10^{-8} m^2 s^{-1} V^{-1}</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>eADF4(x16)</td>
<td>325 ± 38</td>
<td>0.193 ± 0.091</td>
<td>13.2 ± 5.3</td>
<td>1.04 ± 0.42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blend</td>
<td>393 ± 135</td>
<td>0.545 ± 0.152</td>
<td>-5.7 ± 7.5</td>
<td>-0.45 ± 0.58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tat-eADF4(C16)Tat</td>
<td>282 ± 61</td>
<td>0.165 ± 0.077</td>
<td>-8.4 ± 5.3</td>
<td>-0.66 ± 0.42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>eADF4(C16)R8G</td>
<td>242 ± 19</td>
<td>0.123 ± 0.027</td>
<td>-17.1 ± 3.3</td>
<td>-1.35 ± 0.26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>eADF4(C16)Tat</td>
<td>294 ± 50</td>
<td>0.166 ± 0.136</td>
<td>-23.0 ± 7.0</td>
<td>-1.80 ± 0.55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tat-eADF4(C16)</td>
<td>239 ± 17</td>
<td>0.106 ± 0.055</td>
<td>-23.5 ± 3.6</td>
<td>-1.85 ± 0.28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>eADF4(C16)RGD</td>
<td>263 ± 7</td>
<td>0.157 ± 0.074</td>
<td>-24.8 ± 2.7</td>
<td>-1.88 ± 0.36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>eADF4(C16)</td>
<td>242 ± 22</td>
<td>0.123 ± 0.087</td>
<td>-26.7 ± 2.6</td>
<td>-2.09 ± 0.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SiNH2</td>
<td>113 ± 1</td>
<td>0.014 ± 0.001</td>
<td>-55.0 ± 1.0</td>
<td>-4.32 ± 0.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SiCOOH</td>
<td>114 ± 2</td>
<td>0.020 ± 0.015</td>
<td>-55.9 ± 2.3</td>
<td>-4.39 ± 0.18</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3  Proliferation rates (µ) and doubling times of HeLa cells cultured with spider silk or control silica particles for 9 days. Starting cell density was 5000 cells cm^{-2}

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>(Spider silk) particles</th>
<th>µmax/d^{-1}</th>
<th>Doubling time/h</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>No particles</td>
<td>0.38 ± 0.03</td>
<td>43.9 ± 3.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>eADF4(x16)</td>
<td>0.36 ± 0.03</td>
<td>47.0 ± 4.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blend</td>
<td>0.38 ± 0.01</td>
<td>44.1 ± 0.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tat-eADF4(C16)Tat</td>
<td>0.37 ± 0.02</td>
<td>45.3 ± 3.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>eADF4(C16)R8G</td>
<td>0.37 ± 0.01</td>
<td>45.2 ± 1.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>eADF4(C16)Tat</td>
<td>0.36 ± 0.01</td>
<td>46.8 ± 0.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tat-eADF4(C16)</td>
<td>0.37 ± 0.01</td>
<td>45.0 ± 1.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>eADF4(C16)RGD</td>
<td>0.37 ± 0.01</td>
<td>44.5 ± 0.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>eADF4(C16)</td>
<td>0.40 ± 0.01</td>
<td>42.1 ± 0.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SiNH2</td>
<td>0.38 ± 0.02</td>
<td>44.3 ± 2.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SiCOOH</td>
<td>0.39 ± 0.04</td>
<td>43.0 ± 4.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Cytotoxicity

Cytotoxicity of spider silk and control silica particles was analyzed using the CellTiter Blue® assay over 9 days after particle addition (24 h). HeLa cells cultured in the presence of spider silk or control silica particles showed indistinguishable growth behavior in comparison to HeLa cells grown in the absence thereof. Proliferation rates (µ) and doubling times (T_d) also showed no significant differences (Table 3). Therefore, none of the particles seemed to have any cytotoxic effect on HeLa cells.

Particle internalization

HeLa cells were incubated with spider silk or control particles for 6, 24, 48 and 72 h at 37 °C and analyzed using flow cytometry (Fig. 3A) or fluorescence microscopy (Fig. 3B, shown for eADF4(C16), eADF4(x16) and blends). After 6 h of incubation, all spider silk particles were indistinguishably internalized by HeLa cells (between 10 and 30% of cells showed internalized particles) except for eADF4(x16) particles where 64% of the cells contained particles (Fig. 3A). After 24 h of incubation, 92% of the cells showed internalized eADF4(x16) particles, after 48 h 95% and after 72 h 97%, with all of the cells containing more than one internalized particle (Fig. 3B). Similar internalization numbers have been previously reported for particles produced from silk fibroin and albumin.25 As expected, polyanionic eADF4(C16) particles were internalized by only 19% of the HeLa cells after 24 h, with the amount of cells not increasing after 48 and 72 h.

Blend particles were internalized from about 45% of the cells after 24 h, 57% after 48 h and 60% after 72 h, thus, the number of cells with internalized blend particles was between that of cells containing eADF4(C16) and eADF4(x16) particles. Further, each cell (5000 cells measured per set) contained on average more blend particles than eADF4(C16) particles, but less than eADF4(x16) particles. This result was expected since cells usually show a negative surface potential (HeLa cells approximately −50 mV69), and, therefore, charge–charge interactions with positively charged particles are pronounced.

The number of cells containing particles made of all CPP-fusion proteins was increased in comparison to that of eADF4(C16) alone. No significant differences were obtained when the
Tat peptide was fused to the amino- or carboxyterminus or to both. Interestingly, the uptake of the CPP- and RGD-fusion particles was similar to that of blend particles.

Identification of the internalization mechanism of spider silk particles

To get a closer look at the internalization mechanism of spider silk particles, SEM visualization of cell surfaces was performed. The particles could be seen in different stages of internalization (Fig. 4). Furthermore, HeLa cells were cultured in the presence of different endocytotic inhibitors (dansylcadaverine (DC): inhibitor of clathrin-mediated endocytosis; dimethyl-amiloride (DMA): inhibitor of macropinocytosis6,21,70–72) before adding the silk particles. After particle addition, the cells were incubated for 6 and 24 h and analyzed using flow cytometry (Table 4 and Table S1†).

The number of cells containing eADF4(C16) particles was reduced from 7.8% to 5.4% after 6 h and from 19% to 8.5% after 24 h in the presence of DC. Further, particles made of eADF4(C16) were the only ones being internalized by less cells in the presence of DMA after 6 h (2.4%) compared to internalization by cells in the presence of DC. After 24 h of incubation, the number of cells containing eADF4(C16) particles in the presence of DMA (7.7%) was again similar to that of cells in the presence of DC. The number of cells containing eADF4(C16) particles was reduced from 7.8% to 5.4% after 6 h and from 19% to 8.5% after 24 h in the presence of DC. Further, particles made of eADF4(C16) were the only ones being internalized by less cells in the presence of DMA after 6 h (2.4%).

![Image](image_url)
Fig. 4 SEM image of eADF4(k16) particle uptake by HeLa cells after 24 h of incubation. The cells were fixed, dehydrated and critical point dried. The arrows indicate a particle on the surface (dashed) and a particle which is half-way internalized (line) at the moment the cells were fixed. Scale bar: 200 nm.

Table 4 Cells at a starting density of 50 000 cells cm⁻² were incubated in the presence of particles for 24 h in the absence and presence of endocytosis inhibitors (100 µM of dansylcadaverine (DC) or di-methylamirolide (DMA)) at 37 °C. Inhibitors were added 30 min before particle addition.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>(Spider silk) particles</th>
<th>Cells with particles/%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>−</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>eADF4(k16)</td>
<td>92.2 ± 7.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>blend</td>
<td>44.9 ± 18.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>eADF4(C16)R8G</td>
<td>64.5 ± 9.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tat-eADF4(C16)</td>
<td>51.0 ± 13.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>eADF4(C16)RGD</td>
<td>46.9 ± 8.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>eADF4(C16)</td>
<td>19.0 ± 6.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SiNH₂</td>
<td>2.1 ± 1.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SiCOOH</td>
<td>3.0 ± 2.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

compared to internalization by cells in the presence of DC. After 24 h of incubation, the number of cells containing eADF4(C16) particles in the presence of DMA (7.7%) was again similar to that of cells in the presence of DC.

eADF4(k16) particle internalization was severely inhibited with DC after 6 h (27.3%) and 24 h (47.3%) whereas in the presence of DMA the internalization was less inhibited after 6 h (44%) and 24 h (73%). Accordingly, numbers of cells with blend, Tat-eADF4(C16), eADF4(C16)R₈G or eADF4(C16)-RGD particles decreased moderately in the presence of DMA in comparison to that in the presence of DC (Table 4) indicating that macropinocytosis plays a minor and clathrin-mediated endocytosis a major role in silk particle uptake in the used experimental setup.

Generally, three different groups can be identified which differ in particle internalization. The first group is eADF4(C16) particles with indistinguishable inhibition of internalization in the presence of both inhibitors. The second group contains Tat-eADF4(C16), eADF4(C16)RGD, and blend particles with a decreased cellular uptake in the presence of DC and a slightly less decreased uptake in the presence of DMA indicating that clathrin-mediated endocytosis is the main route of particle uptake with macropinocytosis playing a medium role. Unexpectedly, macropinocytosis played a more important role in case of eADF4(C16)RGD, despite most well-known receptors involved in receptor-mediated endocytosis (e.g. LDL receptor73) being internalized via clathrin-mediated endocytosis. Here, the amino acid sequence of the RGD peptide (GRGDSP) is optimized for the recognition by α5β1- and αβ3-integrin.49,74 These integrin receptors are internalized by clathrin-dependent and –independent as well as caveolin-mediated endocytosis75–80 which would explain the involvement of macropinocytosis in eADF4(C16)RGD particle internalization. The third group represents eADF4(k16) and eADF4(C16)R₈G particles which were internalized by most cells. Here, uptake is severely inhibited in the presence of DC, whereas inhibition of uptake is only moderate in the presence of DMA, indicating that here macropinocytosis only plays a minor role.

The fact that eADF4(k16) and eADF4(C16)R₈G internalization is higher than that of other modified particles (Fig. 3) makes them promising candidates for drug delivery vehicles.

Conclusions

A new route for producing spider silk particles has been established using the ionic liquid EMIM[acetate] as a starting solvent. Particles made therefrom show small diameters and are quite homogenous with a narrow particle size distribution. Beneath previously employed engineered spider silk proteins several variants with different (cell penetrating) peptide tags were used to produce particles. The tags and blending of proteins had no influence on particle formation but on the electrophoretic mobility, zeta potential and therefore on the colloidal stability. Interestingly, the uptake efficiency of spider silk particles by HeLa cells was highest in the presence of a poly-arginine tag (zeta potential～17.1 mV) or when using the polycationic mutant (without tag) eADF4(k16) (zeta potential 13.2 mV) in which all naturally occurring glutamic acid residues have been replaced by lysine ones. Both the number of cells containing spider silk particles as well as the number of internalized particles was increased (Fig. 3B). Uptake mostly occurred through clathrin-mediated endocytosis for all used spider silk particles as determined by using dansylcadaverine. In combination with the recently reported encapsulation efficiency of low molecular weight drugs, low molecular weight proteins or even nucleic acids by eADF4(k16) particles,96 engineered silk particles show high potential as novel drug delivery systems.
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