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Although patterning hundreds or thousands of electrochemical electrodes on lab-on-a-chip devices is straightforward and cost-effective using photolithography, easily making connections between hundreds of electrodes and external amplifiers remains a bottleneck. Here we describe two electrode addressing approaches using multiple fluid compartments that can potentially reduce the number of external connections by ~100-fold. The first approach enables all compartments on the device to be filled with solution at the same time, and then each fluid compartment is sequentially electrically activated to make the measurements. The second approach achieves lower measurement noise by sequentially filling recording chambers with solution. We propose an equivalent circuit to explain measurement noise in these recording configurations and demonstrate application of the approaches to measure quantal exocytosis from individual cells. A principle advantage of using these approaches is that they reduce the fraction of the microchip area that needs to be dedicated to making external connections and therefore reduces the cost per working electrode.

Introduction

The lab-on-a-chip field is driven by the ability of photolithography to pattern materials at a very high density in a rapid and economical manner. Among many other applications, photolithography has enabled the development of electrochemical electrode arrays that can be used, for example, for resolution of spatial gradients of analytes,1 measurement of multiple analytes in a sample,2 or high throughput measurement of transmitters released from individual cells.3–20 One limitation of electrochemical electrode arrays is that it is often inconvenient to make connections between external amplifiers and hundreds, or potentially even thousands, of electrodes on the device. This is particularly the case if the array device needs to be replaced regularly while performing assays in a high throughput manner.

A similar connectivity issue occurs in other high-density microdevices such as charge-coupled-device cameras or digital memory chips and is resolved using “time-division multiplexing” whereby data originating from multiple elements are sequentially read out using a relatively small number of data lines. In this way the number of external connections can be over a million-fold fewer than the number of elements to be read. Central to this approach are methods to address each data element. For example, if the elements are arranged in a rectangular array, each element can be read using an address consisting of the row and column number of the element.

Time-division multiplexing of electrochemical electrode arrays has been implemented with off-chip multiplexers.1 This reduces the number of external potentiostats required, but the number of connections required to the array is still equal to the number of working electrodes. Thus much of the chip “real estate” is used for making connections rather than serving as sensing elements. True on-chip addressing of electrochemical electrodes was carried out by Fiaccabrino et al., using an NMOS analog multiplexer fabricated on a silicon wafer.21 However, this application is limited to cases where the electrode array is directly patterned on silicon. Glass is often the preferred substrate for electrochemical electrode arrays because it has a high shunt resistance and low stray capacitance, and is transparent to allow combination of electrochemical and optical measurements.

Addressable electrochemical electrode arrays on a glass substrate have been reported where row and column electrodes are patterned in an interdigitated array to allow redox cycling to be activated at individually addressed sensing elements.22,23 This device appears to be limited to applications using redox cycling. In addition, since the array is placed in a single fluid compartment, the effective area of the generator and collector electrodes is larger, and thus the recordings are noisier (for reasons described in the Results section), than if an individual set of microelectrodes is used.

Here we present two straightforward approaches to address electrode arrays using multiple fluid compartments such as that found in widely used multi-well plates and demonstrate that
amperometric noise levels can be as low as those found with individual electrodes with one of the methods.

Materials and methods

Solutions and cell preparation

The standard cell bath solution consisted of (in mM): 150NaCl, 5KCl, 5CaCl₂, 2MgCl₂, 10HEPES, and 10glucose, pH 7.3. We used a “high-K⁺” solution to depolarize cells and trigger exocytosis that consisted of (in mM): 55NaCl, 100KCl, 5CaCl₂, 2MgCl₂, 10HEPES, and 10glucose, titrated to pH 7.3 with KOH.

Electrodes were tested using cyclic voltammetry with a solution consisting of 1 mM K₃[Fe(CN)₆] in 0.1 M KCl, pH 3.0 or 0.2 mM ferrocene carboxylic acid (FCA) in 150 mM NaCl and 10 mM HEPES, pH 7.3 or 0.5 mM FCA in 150 mM NaCl and 10 mM HEPES, pH 7.3 (as indicated in the Results section).

Chromaffin cells were isolated from bovine adrenal glands as described previously. Cells were cultured in Hibernate A media with calcium (BrainBits LLC, Springfield, IL, USA) in a refrigerator (4 °C) and used 1–6 days after isolation. In preparation for an experiment, cells were pipetted from the flask, then spun down at 100 g for 4 min. The supernatant was discarded and the cells were suspended and triturated in 5 ml of standard bathing solution followed by a second pelleting. The supernatant was again discarded and the cells were resuspended in 1 ml of standard bathing solution resulting in a typical cell density of ~2 × 10⁶ cells per ml. We loaded 50 µl of the cell solution on the microchip device and waited for 5 min to allow for cell settling. We then vigorously washed the device with the standard bathing solution twice to remove unattached cells. Exocytosis was triggered immediately following the wash by adding 100 µl of the “high-K⁺” solution.

Device fabrication

Microscope slides (25 × 75 × 1 mm, Fisherbrand, Fisher Scientific, Pittsburgh, PA, USA) were used as a transparent substrate and were cleaned by soaking in acetone for 5 min and then rinsed by methanol, isopropanol and DI water and then air dried. An Au film (~30 nm thick) was sputter deposited on top of a Ti adhesion layer (~2 nm thick).

The Au film was patterned using etching processes with S1813 photoresist (Rohm and Haas electronic materials, Philadelphia, PA, USA) as the masking material. First, the conductor-coated slides were cleaned by sonication in acetone for 10 min followed by exposure to air plasma (PDC-32G, Harrick Scientific Corp., Pleasantville, NY, USA) for 1 min at medium RF power level. S1813 photoresist was then spin coated (Laurrel Technologies Corp., North Wales, PA, USA) onto the coated slide at 2500 rpm for 60 s to give a thickness of ~2 µm. The coated glass slide was then baked on a hot plate at 115 °C for 2 min. Then it was exposed to UV light through a high-resolution (20 000 dpi) transparency mask (CAD/Art services, Inc. Bandon, OR) for about 43 s (NuArc 26-1KS Exposure unit, 1000 W metal halide lamp, 5.4 mW cm⁻²) and then developed in M351 solution (Rohm and Haas electronic materials) for ~1 min. Au/Ti films were wet etched using an Au etching reagent purchased from Sigma-Aldrich for ~5 s.

Following removal of the S1813 with acetone, the conductive films were patterned into 16 conductive traces with widths of 50 µm. Each trace leads to a 2 mm diameter pad at the edge of the chip to facilitate connection to a potentiostat.

The traces were insulated with SU8 photoresist (SU8 2025) except for 20 µm diameter openings and the connection pads. SU8 was first spin coated onto the device at 4000 rpm for 1 min to give a thickness of ~16 µm. Then it was baked on a hot plate at 65 °C for 3 min and then at 95 °C for 5 min. The SU-8 was exposed through the photomask for ~33 s and baked again at 65 °C for 1 min and 95 °C for 5 min. Afterwards, it was developed in the SU8 developer for ~10 min. Finally it was hard baked at 150 °C for 30 min to harden the film and seal cracks.

A polydimethylsiloxane (PDMS, Sylgard 184, Dow Corning, Midland, MI, USA) gasket made with 1 : 20 mixing ratio was sealed onto the device to define the six fluid compartments. A custom-built chamber was used to hold the microchip device and to facilitate connection of the potentiostat to the pads at the edge of the chip. Ag/AgCl wires were used as the reference electrodes as described in the text.

Cleaning the working electrode was important to ensure an active surface. Before use, the device was rinsed with deionized water, air dried and then treated with air plasma at the medium power setting for 30 s to etch any photoresist residue on the electrode surface. For the chip insulated with SU8, a 10 min incubation in 25% H₂O₂/50 mM KOH was applied followed by rinse in deionized water and air drying. A drop of solution containing 0.0025% poly(t-lysine) was applied to the electrode surface to aid in cell attachment to the electrode surface. Following the coating procedure, the device was thoroughly rinsed in deionized water and dried.

Amperometric measurements

Amperometric measurements were performed using an EPC9 amplifier (HEKA, Lambrecht, Germany). After connecting one of the conductive stripes to the amplifier headstage, the counter/reference Ag/AgCl electrode was placed into the cell bath solution. A potential of 600 mV was applied between the working electrode and the reference electrode. Then 100 µl of the cell suspension solution was loaded onto the chip for amperometric recordings. After waiting for 5 min for the suspended cells in the solution to fall into each individual microwell and settle down on the electrode surface, 50 µl of the high K⁺ stimulation solution was added to the reservoir. Amperometric signals were recorded at a bandwidth of 5 kHz and sampled at a rate of 20k samples per s. It was then further low-pass filtered offline with a cutoff frequency of 1 kHz by using 6th order Bessel filter designed in Wavemetrics IFDL V4.0 (Wavemetrics, Lake Oswego, OR, USA).

Chronoamperometry experiments and simulations

Chronoamperometry experiments were performed by stepping the potential of the working electrode from −0.2 V to +0.5 V to elicit oxidation of FCA. The electrolyte solution contained
150 mM NaCl and 10 mM HEPES, pH 7.3. Parallel experiments were performed in the absence of FCA to determine the background current, which was subtracted to yield the faradaic current due to oxidation of FCA. The steady-state current was measured 6 s after the voltage step.

Simulations were performed using COMSOL software (Burlington, MA). The SU8 insulation was modelled as a reflecting boundary whereas the electrode surface was an absorbing surface with a fixed concentration of zero. A diffusion coefficient for FCA of $5.4 \times 10^{-6}$ cm$^2$ s$^{-1}$ was used. The diameter of the electrode was measured to be $\sim 23$ µm using a profilometer and this value was used in the simulation.

## Results and discussion

### Description of prototype and the two multiplexing methods

A prototype device to demonstrate the electrode addressing approaches is depicted in Fig. 1a. The prototype electrode arrays are fabricated using photolithography and wet etching processes as previously described. Sixteen conductive gold traces are patterned on a glass slide substrate. Most of the Au film is insulated with SU-8 photoresist whereas six ~20 µm-diameter openings are patterned in each trace with each opening serving as a working electrode residing in a separate fluid compartment. The six fluid compartments are fabricated by sealing a poly(dimethylsiloxane) (PDMS) gasket on top of the device that contains six openings that are ~1 cm in diameter.

Individual electrodes are addressed by making a “row” connection (potentiostat connected to a conductive trace) and a “column” connection (fluid compartment selected with an Ag/AgCl reference electrode connected to ground). Working electrodes in inactive compartments are “turned off” (disabled) using two methods.

**Method 1.** In the approach illustrated schematically in Fig. 1b, inactive fluid-filled compartments contain Ag/AgCl reference electrodes that are set to the same potential as the working electrode ($V_w$) so that the potential difference between the working electrode and the electrolyte solution is zero. Alternatively, the potential of the reference electrode can be offset from $V_w$ so that the potential of the working electrodes in inactive fluid compartments is fixed to any desired value.

**Method 2.** A second method for disabling inactive compartments is simply not to fill them with the electrolyte solution. In this method different fluid compartments are selected by sequentially filling the active compartment with the electrolyte solution and moving the ground/reference electrode to the active compartment as depicted in Fig. 1c.

Thus with the prototype device, 96 electrodes can be addressed with 16 row external connections plus either 1 or 6 reference electrodes. In general, if there are $N$ row conductive traces and $M$ fluid compartments, then $N \times M$ electrodes can be addressed with either $N + M$ (Method 1) or $N + 1$ (Method 2) external connections. For example, if $N = 16$ electrodes are patterned in each well of a $M = 96$-well plate, then 1536 electrodes can be addressed with either 112 (Method 1) or 17 (Method 2) connections.

We performed cyclic voltammetry experiments to illustrate that Method 1 can correctly address an electrode in each fluid compartment. The first compartment contained the test analyte ferrocene carboxylic acid (FCA, 0.5 mM) whereas the second and third compartments contained only the background electrolyte solution and the fourth compartment contained 0.2 mM FCA. The cyclic voltammograms obtained upon sequentially activating each fluid compartment are depicted in Fig. 1d. Note that no faradaic currents are apparent when selecting the compartments with the background electrolyte solution, indicating a
lack of crosstalk with adjacent fluid compartments containing an electroactive analyte. In contrast, cyclic voltammograms in compartments 1 and 4 exhibited a normal S-shape with diffusion-limited currents of ~1.2 nA and ~0.4 nA, respectively.

Chronoamperometry calibration curves demonstrate a lack of crosstalk between compartments with Method 1. We filled the active fluid compartment with FCA at concentrations ranging from 0.1 to 1 mM and then stepped the electrode potential from −0.2 V to +0.5 V to measure the time course of the faradaic current as it decayed to a plateau value limited by the rate of diffusion of the analyte from the bulk solution. As shown in Fig. 2a, the current recorded in 1 mM FCA (solid line) displayed a steady-state current of ~1.8 nA. However, the current recorded using Method 1 from an adjacent fluid compartment containing only the electrolyte solution was minimal (dashed line). As shown in Fig. 2b, the steady-state currents acquired with either Method 1 (triangles) and Method 2 (circles) are very similar and are linearly proportional to the FCA concentration as expected for mass transport. In contrast, essentially zero steady-state current was measured from the same conductive trace in the adjacent fluid compartment using Method 1. Thus the crosstalk between adjacent fluid compartments using Method 1 is immeasurably small. With Method 2 crosstalk is impossible because the adjacent compartment has no fluid nor counter electrode and is therefore insulated by air.

Additionally, we performed COMSOL simulations to estimate the diffusion-limited faradaic current for our electrode geometry. The simulated steady-state currents are plotted as asterisks in Fig. 2b and agree well with the experimental results for both Methods 1 and 2. The smaller simulated current may be due to small errors in the values of the diffusion coefficient or electrode geometry used in the simulations.

**Noise of amperometric measurements with the multiplexing approaches.** Ideally, amperometric measurements carried out using the multiplexing approaches should be no higher in noise than recordings made from isolated electrodes without using multiplexing. In order to characterize amperometric noise we measure the current power spectral density ($S_I$) of electrochemical microelectrodes to understand how fluctuations in current vary with frequency.

It is well known that the current noise of electrochemical electrodes ($S_I$) is proportional to the electrode area for amperometric measurements.$^{27–31}$ We measured the double-layer capacitance of a conductive path as a measure of the working electrode area while varying the number of compartments that contained fluid. Fig. 3 demonstrates that the capacitance and noise ($S_I$) increase in proportion to the number of compartments containing the electrolyte solution regardless of whether the additional compartments are connected to ground or left “floating”.

The increase in noise by filling more fluid compartments is statistically significant regardless of whether the additional compartments are grounded ($p = 0.027$) or floating ($p = 0.025$, comparing 1 versus 4 compartments). On the other hand, differences in noise between floating and grounding the same number of compartments did not reach statistical significance ($p = 0.605$ for 1 compartment and $p = 0.924$ for 4 compartments).

**Analysis of the equivalent circuit explains why adding fluid to inactive compartments increases measurement noise.** It is not surprising that grounding multiple fluid compartments increases the capacitance and noise because the working electrode area increases in proportion to the number of electrodes on a conductive path that are electrically connected in parallel. On the other hand, it is not obvious why fluid compartments lacking a direct connection to ground should contribute to the noise. An explanation for this phenomenon, schematically depicted in Fig. 4a, is that the electrolyte solution in adjacent compartments will add capacitively coupled pathways to ground.

In order to quantify how this affects measurement noise, we carry out an analysis of the equivalent circuit for the device. Thermal current noise ($S_T$) is proportional to the area, or more precisely, the real part of the admittance of the equivalent circuit.$^{30,31}$ Fig. 4b depicts the equivalent circuit for a
configuration of four conductive paths and three fluid compartments. Each rectangle represents the admittance \( Y_1 \), inverse of impedance \( Z_1 \) of the interface between the electrode surface and the electrolyte solution in each fluid compartment.

Consider the case when all \( M \) compartments have fluid and only one “active” compartment is grounded with \( N \) electrodes in each compartment. The impedance of one conductive trace \( Z_{\text{total}} \) is given by:

\[
Z_{\text{total}} = Z_1 \left[ \frac{Z_1 + \frac{Z_1}{N - 1}}{(M - 1)} \right]
\]

resulting in a total admittance given by:

\[
Y_{\text{total}} = Y_1 \left[ 1 + \frac{(M - 1)(N - 1)}{N} \right]
\]

If \( N \) is large,

\[
Y_{\text{total}} \approx MY_1
\]

Therefore, the total admittance, and thus noise, is proportional to the number of fluid-filled compartments when each compartment contains many electrodes.

Thus with Method 1, the convenience of carrying out rapid multi-well experiments with vastly simplified connectivity comes with the price of increased measurement noise. However, this increase in noise is acceptable for many
applications (see next section). Although the technique and measurements are quite different, a similar tradeoff of noise for throughput is made by placing many recording units in parallel during “Population Patch Clamp” measurements (12).

With Method 2, low noise is obtained by filling one compartment at a time with fluid. Sequential recordings are carried out by adding fluid to each compartment while removing fluid from previously used compartments. Fig. 5 demonstrates that removing the fluid can reverse the noise increase that occurs upon addition of fluid to multiple compartments. Thus, with Method 2, low noise can be achieved with vastly simplified external connections, but at the price of lower throughput in that it takes some time to dry and fill each compartment.

**Application of the two multiplexing approaches to record quantal exocytosis from chromaffin cells.** Neurons and neuroendocrine cells release transmitters by the process of exocytosis as vesicles laden with transmitter fuse with the cell membrane and release their contents into the extracellular space. If an electrochemical microelectrode is located immediately adjacent to a cell, then exocytosis of a readily oxidized transmitter such as catecholamine can be detected. In fact, single-vesicle fusion events (“quantal” exocytosis) can be recorded as the vesicle contents are oxidized on the electrode surface to produce spikes of current during amperometric recordings. Our group and others are developing arrays of electrochemical microelectrodes to facilitate high-throughput measurement of quantal exocytosis. Therefore we applied the proposed multiplexing approaches to record quantal exocytosis from bovine adrenal chromaffin cells.

Fig. 6a demonstrates that Method 1 can be used to record quantal exocytosis sequentially from four cells placed in four fluid compartments using a single conductive trace/potentiostat. Amperometric recordings of exocytosis were carried out while holding the working electrode at a potential of +0.6 V relative to the Ag/AgCl reference electrode. Spikes of amperometric current resulting from the release of catecholamines upon exocytosis of individual secretory granules are identified by their characteristic time course and area and were not observed before depolarizing cells with a high K+ solution or when the electrode was held at 0 V (data not shown). The baseline standard deviation of each recording ranged from 0.40 to 0.44 pA (200 Hz bandwidth) and is therefore lower than for Method 1 and is comparable to the noise measured from an individual electrode with a diameter of 20 μm.\(^\text{18,30}\)

The spikes measured with the two methods were comparable in magnitude and time course. The spike area was 2.4 ± 0.2 pC for Method 1 (mean ± SEM, 89 spikes from 4 cells) versus 2.0 ±
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