

Cite this: *Chem. Sci.*, 2015, 6, 917

## Tandem redox mediator/Ni(II) trihalide complex photocycle for hydrogen evolution from HCl†

Seung Jun Hwang,<sup>a</sup> David C. Powers,<sup>a</sup> Andrew G. Maher<sup>ab</sup> and Daniel G. Nocera<sup>\*a</sup>

Photoactivation of M–X bonds is a challenge for photochemical HX splitting, particularly with first-row transition metal complexes because of short intrinsic excited state lifetimes. Herein, we report a tandem H<sub>2</sub> photocycle based on combination of a non-basic photoredox phosphine mediator and nickel metal catalyst. Synthetic studies and time-resolved photochemical studies have revealed that phosphines serve as photochemical H-atom donors to Ni(II) trihalide complexes to deliver a Ni(I) centre. The H<sub>2</sub> evolution catalytic cycle is closed by sequential disproportionation of Ni(I) to afford Ni(0) and Ni(II) and protolytic H<sub>2</sub> evolution from the Ni(0) intermediate. The results of these investigations suggest that H<sub>2</sub> photogeneration proceeds by two sequential catalytic cycles: a photoredox cycle catalyzed by phosphines and an H<sub>2</sub>-evolution cycle catalyzed by Ni complexes to circumvent challenges of photochemistry with first-row transition metal complexes.

Received 4th August 2014  
Accepted 7th October 2014

DOI: 10.1039/c4sc02357a

www.rsc.org/chemicalscience

### Introduction

The photochemical splitting of hydrohalic acids (HX) into H<sub>2</sub> and X<sub>2</sub> is an approach to solar fuel synthesis<sup>1</sup> that stores a comparable amount of energy to water splitting. In addition to the similar energy densities implicit in HX and H<sub>2</sub>O splitting chemistries, HX splitting mandates management of only two electrons and two protons, whereas H<sub>2</sub>O splitting requires management of four protons and four electrons.<sup>2–5</sup> Photocatalytic HX splitting requires accomplishing multielectron photochemical reactions to activate strong M–X bonds. Typically, photoreduction has been the limiting step in HX splitting photocatalysis and often X<sub>2</sub> elimination requires the use of chemical traps for evolved halogen equivalents.<sup>6–17</sup> Attempts at promoting HX splitting with first-row transition metal complexes are attractive given that these metals are typically earth abundant, but have been largely unsuccessful. The challenge of using first-row metal complexes as HX splitting photocatalysts is that photochemical activation of Ni(I) or Ni(II) halides frequently does not lead to photoreduction reactions,<sup>18,19</sup> likely due to the short excited state lifetimes of first-row transition metal complexes.<sup>20–22</sup>

To overcome the short excited state lifetimes typical of first-row complexes, we have pursued a photoredox strategy for H<sub>2</sub> evolution from HCl in which the photochemistry and H<sub>2</sub> evolution roles are separated between a photoredox mediator and a hydrogen-evolution catalyst, respectively.<sup>23</sup> We were attracted to this strategy because it does not rely on molecular excited states of first-row metal complexes. In our first foray into photoredox catalysis for H<sub>2</sub> evolution, we employed bipyridines as photoredox mediators and Ni polypyridyl complexes as H<sub>2</sub> evolution catalysts. H-atom abstraction (HAA) by the excited state of the bipyridine afforded a pyridinyl radical, which engaged with a Ni(II) halide complex to generate a Ni(I) intermediate *via* halogen radical abstraction. The resulting Ni(I) complex underwent disproportionation to a Ni(II) complex and a Ni(0) species, which subsequently engaged in protolytic H<sub>2</sub> evolution. While these efforts demonstrated a synthetic cycle for H<sub>2</sub> evolution, H<sub>2</sub>-evolution catalysis was not observed because the basic bipyridyl photoredox mediator was passivated in the presence of HCl.

To address the challenges of photoredox catalysis for H<sub>2</sub> evolution from HCl, we turned our attention to identifying a photoredox mediator that could function under acidic conditions. We now examine the role of phosphines as photoredox mediators under acidic conditions (pK<sub>a</sub> in CH<sub>3</sub>CN: PPh<sub>3</sub> = 7.64; pyridine = 12.53).<sup>24</sup> The photochemical homolysis of P–H bonds of 2° phosphines generates phosphinyl radicals that display sufficient lifetime (~160 μs) to participate in halogen-atom abstraction from a Ni(II) halide complex to furnish a reduced Ni intermediate that participates in an H<sub>2</sub> evolution cycle; the phosphine photoredox mediator is regenerated by HAA from solvent to close the photocycle.<sup>25–27</sup> The H<sub>2</sub>-evolution cycle may

<sup>a</sup>Department of Chemistry and Chemical Biology, 12 Oxford Street, Cambridge, MA 02138-2902, USA. E-mail: dnocera@fas.harvard.edu

<sup>b</sup>Department of Chemistry, Massachusetts Institute of Technology, 77 Massachusetts Avenue, Cambridge, MA 02139-4307, USA

† Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available: Experimental procedures and time-dependent photochemical data; transient absorption spectroscopy and electrochemical experimental details. CCDC 992218–992221. For ESI and crystallographic data in CIF or other electronic format see DOI: 10.1039/c4sc02357a



eventually be closed by thermally promoted protolytic H<sub>2</sub> evolution with HCl.

## Experimental

### Materials and methods

All reactions were carried out in an N<sub>2</sub>-filled glovebox. Anhydrous solvents were obtained by filtration through drying columns.<sup>28</sup> NMR chemical shifts are reported in ppm with the residual solvent resonance as internal standard. UV-vis spectra were recorded at 293 K in quartz cuvettes on a Spectral Instruments 400 series diode array and were blanked against the appropriate solvent. PhICl<sub>2</sub><sup>29</sup> and Ni(PPh<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>(CH<sub>2</sub>=CH<sub>2</sub>)<sup>30</sup> were prepared according to reported procedures. NiCl<sub>2</sub>dme (dme = 1,2-dimethoxyethane) and AgOTf (OTf = trifluoromethanesulfonate) were obtained from Strem Chemicals. Cl<sub>2</sub>PPh<sub>3</sub>, prepared by treatment of PPh<sub>3</sub> with PhICl<sub>2</sub>, displayed spectral features identical to those reported in the literature.<sup>31</sup> NiCl<sub>2</sub>(PPh<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub> (1), Ni(PPh<sub>3</sub>)<sub>4</sub> (5), tetrabutylammonium chloride (<sup>n</sup>Bu<sub>4</sub>NCl), tetraethylammonium chloride (<sup>n</sup>Et<sub>4</sub>NCl), and triphenylphosphine (PPh<sub>3</sub>) were obtained from Sigma Aldrich. All chemicals were used without further purification. Elemental analysis was obtained by Complete Analysis Laboratories, Inc., New Jersey. Evolved hydrogen was quantified by gas chromatography using a calibration curve derived from adding HCl to known quantities of NaH; over the relevant concentration range, the gas chromatograph response was linear. This procedure has previously been validated by comparison with Toepler pump combustion analysis.<sup>15</sup>

### Preparation of Ni(II) trihalide complexes

**Complex 2[ClPPh<sub>3</sub>].** A solution of PhICl<sub>2</sub> (9.1 mg, 3.30 × 10<sup>-5</sup> mol, 1.00 equiv.) in 1 mL of CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> was added to a solution of NiCl<sub>2</sub>(PPh<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub> (21.4 mg, 3.30 × 10<sup>-5</sup> mol, 1.00 equiv.) in 2 mL of CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> to prompt an immediate colour change from a light beige to blue. The solvent was removed *in vacuo*, and the resulting solid was treated with pentane. The pentane was decanted, and the resulting solid was dried *in vacuo* to afford 21.5 mg of title compound (90% yield). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (600 MHz, CD<sub>3</sub>CN) δ (ppm): 7.77 (m, 9H), 7.64 (m, 6H). μ<sub>eff</sub>(CH<sub>3</sub>CN) = 4.20 μ<sub>B</sub>. Anal. calcd (found) for C<sub>36</sub>H<sub>30</sub>Cl<sub>4</sub>NiP<sub>2</sub>: C, 59.63 (59.53); H, 4.17 (4.09). Crystals suitable for single-crystal diffraction analysis were obtained from a CH<sub>3</sub>CN solution of the complex layered with Et<sub>2</sub>O.

**Complex 2[TBA].** To a suspension of NiCl<sub>2</sub>(dme) (40.0 mg, 1.82 × 10<sup>-4</sup> mol, 1.00 equiv.) in CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> was added PPh<sub>3</sub> (47.7 mg, 1.82 × 10<sup>-4</sup> mol, 1.00 equiv.) and <sup>n</sup>Bu<sub>4</sub>NCl (50.6 mg, 1.82 × 10<sup>-4</sup> mol, 1.00 equiv.) as a solid. The reaction solution immediately turned from yellow to blue. The reaction mixture was stirred at 23 °C for 1 h. The reaction was concentrated to dryness and the residue was taken up in pentane and Et<sub>2</sub>O, solvent was decanted, and the residue was dried *in vacuo* to afford 119 mg of the title complex as a blue solid (98% yield). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (600 MHz, CD<sub>3</sub>CN) δ (ppm): 3.32 (q, 2H), 1.83 (m, 2H), 1.53 (m, 2H), 1.08 (t, 3H). μ<sub>eff</sub>(CH<sub>3</sub>CN) = 4.02 μ<sub>B</sub>. Anal. calcd (found) for C<sub>34</sub>H<sub>51</sub>Cl<sub>3</sub>NNiP: C, 60.97 (60.93); H, 7.68 (7.58); N,

2.09 (2.06). Complex 2[TEA] was prepared analogously by substitution of <sup>n</sup>Bu<sub>4</sub>NCl with <sup>n</sup>Et<sub>4</sub>NCl in 95% yield; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (600 MHz, CD<sub>3</sub>CN) δ (ppm): 3.48 (q, 2H), 1.45 (t, 3H). μ<sub>eff</sub>(CH<sub>3</sub>CN) = 4.17 μ<sub>B</sub>. Anal. calcd (found) for C<sub>26</sub>H<sub>35</sub>Cl<sub>3</sub>NNiP: C, 56.01 (56.14); H, 6.33 (6.26); N, 2.51 (2.67). Crystals suitable for single-crystal diffraction analysis were obtained from a CH<sub>3</sub>CN solution of the complex layered with Et<sub>2</sub>O and unit cell data matched literature reports.<sup>32</sup>

### Preparation of [ClPPh<sub>3</sub>]OTf

To a solution of Cl<sub>2</sub>PPh<sub>3</sub> (127 mg, 3.81 × 10<sup>-4</sup> mol, 1.00 equiv.) in CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> was added AgOTf (98.0 mg, 3.81 × 10<sup>-4</sup> mol, 1.00 equiv.) as a suspension in CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>. White solid immediately precipitated when AgOTf was added and the reaction mixture was stirred at 23 °C for 1 h before being filtered through Celite. The filtrate was concentrated *in vacuo* and the residue was taken up in THF and solvent was decanted, and the residue was dried *in vacuo* to afford 157 mg of the title compound as a white solid (92% yield). <sup>31</sup>P NMR (160 MHz, CD<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>) δ (ppm): 66.4; <sup>19</sup>F NMR (275 MHz, CD<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>) δ (ppm): -78.9. The spectral data is consistent with that reported for ClPPh<sub>3</sub>·AlCl<sub>4</sub>.<sup>33</sup> Crystals suitable for single-crystal diffraction analysis were obtained from a CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> solution layered with Et<sub>2</sub>O.

### Preparation of Ni(II) tetrachloride complex [NiCl<sub>4</sub>][Et<sub>4</sub>N]

A solution of <sup>n</sup>Et<sub>4</sub>Cl (30.2 mg, 1.82 × 10<sup>-4</sup> mol, 1.00 equiv.) in 2 mL of CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> was added to a solution of NiCl<sub>2</sub>(dme) (40.0 mg, 1.82 × 10<sup>-4</sup> mol, 1.00 equiv.) in 2 mL of CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> to prompt an immediate colour change from yellow to green. After stirring at 23 °C for 0.5 h, the reaction mixture was concentrated to dryness and the residue was taken up in pentane and solvent was decanted, and the residue was dried *in vacuo* to afford 77.2 mg of the title compound as a green solid (92% yield). Crystals suitable for single-crystal diffraction analysis were obtained from a CH<sub>3</sub>CN solution layered with Et<sub>2</sub>O and unit cell data matched literature reports.<sup>34</sup>

### Preparation of Ni(I) complexes

**Complex 3.** To a scintillation vial was added Ni(cod)<sub>2</sub> (58.0 mg, 2.10 × 10<sup>-4</sup> mol, 1.00 equiv.) and NiCl<sub>2</sub>(dme) (46.0 mg, 2.10 × 10<sup>-4</sup> mol, 1.00 equiv.) as solids, followed by 3 mL of PhCH<sub>3</sub>. To this solution was added PPh<sub>3</sub> (330 mg, 1.26 × 10<sup>-3</sup> mol, 6.00 equiv.) dissolved in 2 mL PhCH<sub>3</sub> and the reaction mixture was stirred at 23 °C for 12 h before being filtered through Celite. The filtrate was concentrated *in vacuo* to a volume of 1.5 mL, layered with hexanes, and cooled to -30 °C to afford yellow crystalline solid (80% yield). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (600 MHz, THF-*d*<sub>8</sub>) δ (ppm): 9.51 (br, s, 20H), 5.28 (br, s, 15H), 4.20 (br, s, 10H). μ<sub>eff</sub>(CH<sub>3</sub>CN) = 1.74 μ<sub>B</sub>. Crystals suitable for single-crystal diffraction analysis were obtained from a PhCH<sub>3</sub> solution of the complex layered with *n*-hexane and collected unit cell data matched literature reports.<sup>35</sup>

**Complex 4.** To a scintillation vial was added Ni(PPh<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>(CH<sub>2</sub>=CH<sub>2</sub>) (20.0 mg, 3.30 × 10<sup>-5</sup> mol, 1.00 equiv.) and NiCl<sub>2</sub>(PPh<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub> (21.4 mg, 3.30 × 10<sup>-5</sup> mol, 1.00 equiv.) as solids followed by 4 mL of Et<sub>2</sub>O. The reaction mixture was stirred at



23 °C for 0.5 h, during which time a yellow precipitate was observed. The mixture was concentrated to dryness and the residue was taken up in pentane and dried *in vacuo* to afford 18.4 mg of the title complex as a yellow solid (90% yield).<sup>36</sup>

## Results and discussion

We targeted phosphines as potential photoredox mediators for a tandem photoredox/transition metal catalysed H<sub>2</sub>-evolution photocycle from HCl based on their demonstrated ability to serve as photochemical H-atom donors.<sup>27</sup> To evaluate the viability of the proposed phosphine-mediated photoredox approach for H<sub>2</sub> evolution, we photolyzed Ni(II) complex NiCl<sub>2</sub>(PPh<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub> (**1**) in THF ( $\lambda > 295$  nm) in the presence of 1.0 equiv. PPh<sub>3</sub> and 15 equiv. of HCl. The light beige reaction solution turned pale blue upon photolysis. Analysis of the headspace by gas chromatography (GC) confirmed H<sub>2</sub> as the exclusive gaseous product under these conditions; integration of the chromatogram and comparison to a H<sub>2</sub> calibration curve generated from the reaction of NaH with HCl revealed that 3.1 turnovers had been achieved in 18 h. NiCl<sub>2</sub>(PPh<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub> participates in ligand dissociation equilibria to release PPh<sub>3</sub> (*vide infra*),<sup>37</sup> and thus H<sub>2</sub>-evolving photocatalysis was also observed in the absence of exogenous PPh<sub>3</sub>. Evaluation of the amount of H<sub>2</sub> evolved as a function of time (Fig. 1), showed that in the

presence of excess HCl, H<sub>2</sub> evolution continues and 9 turnovers were achieved after 44 h with no signs of deactivation.

During the photolysis of NiCl<sub>2</sub>(PPh<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>, the blue-coloured solution that initially develops persists throughout subsequent H<sub>2</sub> evolution and based on UV-vis measurements, appears to represent the photoreacting state of the catalyst system. The pale blue photoproduct was identified as Ni(II) trihalide complex 2[CIPPh<sub>3</sub>] by single-crystal X-ray diffraction analysis.<sup>‡</sup> In addition, comparison of the *in situ* UV-vis spectrum obtained during H<sub>2</sub>-evolving catalysis with a spectrum obtained from an authentic sample of 2[CIPPh<sub>3</sub>], prepared by treatment of NiCl<sub>2</sub>(PPh<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub> with 1.0 equiv. of PhICl<sub>2</sub> (Fig. S15<sup>†</sup>), confirmed the identity of the catalyst resting state. Independently isolated complex 2[CIPPh<sub>3</sub>] is chemically competent at H<sub>2</sub> generation under above photoreaction conditions.

Catalyst resting state 2[CIPPh<sub>3</sub>] is constituted of a Ni trichloride anion and a phosphonium cation. In order to establish the roles of both the Ni complex and phosphine in the observed H<sub>2</sub> evolution reaction, 2[TBA] and phosphonium cation [CIPPh<sub>3</sub>] OTf were independently prepared. As summarized in Table 1, Ni(II) complex 2[TBA] showed a similar activity toward HCl with 5.0 TON in 18 h. Complex 2[TBA] also participates in a minor equilibrium with free PPh<sub>3</sub> (*vide infra*) and thus both catalyst and photoredox mediator are present when 2[TBA] is employed as the photocatalyst. In contrast, phosphonium salt [CIPPh<sub>3</sub>]OTf does not produce H<sub>2</sub> under the same reaction conditions. Additionally, neither PPh<sub>3</sub> or [NiCl<sub>4</sub>][TEA]<sub>2</sub> is a competent H<sub>2</sub>-evolution catalyst, confirming the necessity of both Ni complex and phosphine for productive H<sub>2</sub> evolution chemistry.

Fig. 2 illustrates a tandem catalytic cycle that accounts for the photogeneration of H<sub>2</sub> from HCl catalyzed by the Ni phosphine complexes and phosphine photoredox mediators. Diphenyl phosphine is initially formed by photochemical cleavage of the P–C bond and H-atom abstraction from solvent.<sup>27</sup> Photochemical cleavage of the P–H bond in HPPh<sub>2</sub> generates an H-atom equivalent and a diphenylphosphinyl radical.<sup>27</sup> The H-atom participates in halogen-atom abstraction with Ni(II) resting state **2** to generate a Ni(I) intermediate while the accompanying diphenylphosphinyl radical participates in C–H abstraction with solvent to regenerate the diphenylphosphine and close the photoredox cycle. Ni(I) intermediate **3** undergoes disproportionation to afford NiCl<sub>2</sub>(PPh<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub> (**1**) and Ni(PPh<sub>3</sub>)<sub>4</sub> (**5**). Protonolysis of Ni(0) complex **5** affords H<sub>2</sub> and regenerates Ni(II) dihalide **1**, thus closing the hydrogen




Fig. 1 Photolysis of NiCl<sub>2</sub>(PPh<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub> in THF ( $\lambda > 295$  nm) in the presence of 15 equiv. of HCl affords H<sub>2</sub> as well as 2[CIPPh<sub>3</sub>]. (a) Thermal ellipsoid plot of 2[CIPPh<sub>3</sub>] drawn at the 50% probability level. The hydrogen atoms are omitted for clarity. (b) Time-dependent turnover number (TON) of H<sub>2</sub> produced by a 4.5 mM THF solution of NiCl<sub>2</sub>(PPh<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub> in the presence of 15 equiv. HCl ( $\lambda > 295$  nm).

Table 1 TON of H<sub>2</sub> measured in the headspace upon photolysis of designated compounds in the presence of 15 equiv. HCl in THF for 18 h

Compound	TON
2[CIPPh <sub>3</sub> ]	2.0
PPh <sub>3</sub>	0
[NiCl <sub>4</sub> ][Et <sub>4</sub> N] <sub>2</sub>	0
2[TBA]	5.0
[CIPPh <sub>3</sub> ]OTf	0






Fig. 2 Proposed tandem catalytic cycles for H<sub>2</sub>-generation with Ni-based H<sub>2</sub>-evolution catalysts and phosphine-based photoredox mediators.

evolution cycle. The number of phosphine ligands bound to intermediates in the tandem cycle illustrated in Fig. 2 is unknown. Isolated complexes 2[ClPPh<sub>3</sub>], 3, 4 and 5, which display 1–4 phosphine ligands per Ni, are all competent catalysts for H<sub>2</sub> evolution and proceed with the same photoregulating state (2[ClPPh<sub>3</sub>]), demonstrating ligand dissociation equilibria<sup>37</sup> are established during catalysis (Fig. S16<sup>†</sup>).

In order to probe the contention that photogenerated diphenylphosphinyl radicals could mediate the reduction of a Ni–Cl bond from the Ni(II) trihalide complex, we carried out time-resolved photochemical experiments. On the picosecond timescale, a transient absorption (TA) difference spectrum obtained by laser flash photolysis ( $\lambda_{\text{exc}} = 310$  nm, THF solutions) of 2[TBA] exhibits a spectral growth centred at 506 nm with a lifetime of  $\sim 700$  ps (Fig. S18<sup>†</sup>). An identical spectral feature was observed during laser flash photolysis of PPh<sub>3</sub> solutions. This feature was assigned to be that of the singlet excited state of PPh<sub>3</sub>, and the observation of this signal in the TA spectrum of 2[TBA] supports the presence of a minor equilibrium between 2[TBA] and free PPh<sub>3</sub>.<sup>38</sup> Additional support for this ligand dissociation equilibrium is the observation that both 2[TBA] and PPh<sub>3</sub> both show an emission band centred at 500 nm with a 900 ps lifetime (Fig. S17<sup>†</sup>), which is well-matched to reported PPh<sub>3</sub> photophysics.<sup>39</sup> The similar emission lifetimes for both 2[TBA] and PPh<sub>3</sub> excludes dynamic quenching of the excited <sup>1</sup>PPh<sub>3</sub>\* species by the Ni complex and suggests that the relatively low steady-state emission intensity observed for 2[TBA] is due only to a low equilibrium concentration of PPh<sub>3</sub>.

The photochemistry of 2 and PPh<sub>3</sub> were also examined at longer time scales by nanosecond flash photolysis (Fig. 3). Flash





Fig. 3 (a) Nanosecond transient absorption (TA) spectroscopy of Ni complex 2[TEA] (—, red) and PPh<sub>3</sub> (—, black) is consistent with formation of diphenylphosphinyl radical. TA spectrum obtained by laser flash photolysis (310 nm pump) of a 1 : 1 THF : CH<sub>3</sub>CN solution recorded at a 1 μs delay. (b) Thermal ellipsoid of 6 drawn at the 50% probability level. The hydrogen atoms are omitted for clarity.

photolysis of either 2[TEA] (red spectrum, Fig. 3) or PPh<sub>3</sub> (black spectrum, Fig. 3) leads to the observation of TA signals that are ascribed to diphenylphosphinyl radical.<sup>27</sup> The lifetime of the diphenylphosphinyl radical derived from PPh<sub>3</sub> with and without the presence of 2[TBA] in solution is the same, consistent with no direct reaction between Ni(II) complex and diphenylphosphinyl radical (Fig. S19<sup>†</sup>). Substantial phosphine consumption is not required for H<sub>2</sub> evolution because the diphenylphosphine generated during catalysis is a competent photoredox carrier. Nanosecond-resolved TA spectra, collected by laser flash photolysis of diphenylphosphine in THF, display the spectral features of diphenylphosphinyl radical (Fig. S20<sup>†</sup>), confirming that phosphine mediators can be catalytic.<sup>27,40</sup>

That the photogenerated diphenylphosphinyl radical engages in HAA with THF to produce diphenylphosphine is confirmed by the isolation of complex 6, as a photo-byproduct of the photolysis of Ni complex 2[TEA]. Complex 6 features two C-bound tetrahydrofuran ligands on a phosphorus centre and could be derived from reaction of photogenerated diphenylphosphinyl radicals with furanyl radicals derived from HAA chemistry. Additionally we observed (GC-MS) octahydro-2,2'-bifuran (homocoupled THF) as a photochemical byproduct. While HAA from THF by diphenylphosphinyl radicals is endothermic (16 kcal mol<sup>-1</sup> uphill based on P–H and C–H bond






dissociation energies),<sup>41</sup> irreversible subsequent reactions, such as radical coupling to afford homocoupled THF sequester reactive radical intermediates.<sup>40</sup>

The rate for HAA from solvent by photogenerated diphenylphosphinyl is strongly correlated with solvent C–H bond energies.<sup>27,42</sup> We therefore anticipated that efficiency of the total catalytic process would be dictated by the turnover frequency of the photoredox mediator, which depends on the C–H BDEs of H-atom donor. The turnover frequency (TOF) of hydrogen generation from HCl with Ni complex 2 strongly depends on the solvent we employed, showing a positive correlation to the BDE of solvents: 0.34 h<sup>-1</sup> TOF in THF, 0.05 h<sup>-1</sup> TOF in CH<sub>3</sub>CN, and 0.02 h<sup>-1</sup> TOF in C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>6</sub> (92, 96, and 112 kcal mol<sup>-1</sup> of C–H BDEs respectively) (see Fig. S21† for details).<sup>43–45</sup>

To probe the potential fate of potential Ni(i) intermediates during catalysis, we examined the chemistry of isolated Ni(i) complexes. Ni(i) complex 3 can be isolated from the comproportionation reaction of NiCl<sub>2</sub>(PPh)<sub>2</sub> with Ni(PPh<sub>3</sub>)<sub>4</sub>. Based on the E°(Ni<sup>II</sup>/Ni<sup>I</sup>) and E°(Ni<sup>I</sup>/Ni<sup>0</sup>) measured by cyclic voltammetry in THF (Fig. S22†), comproportionation is thermodynamically favored. In contrast, in the presence of exogenous chloride ion, added as tetrabutylammonium chloride, disproportionation of Ni(i) complex 3 to Ni(ii) complex 1 and Ni(0) complex 5 is observed, as determined by both <sup>31</sup>P NMR and electronic absorption spectroscopy (Fig. S8 and S14,† respectively). During H<sub>2</sub> evolution photocatalysis, chloride is present in large excess (68 mM) with respect to potential Ni(i) intermediates.



To assess whether the initially produced Ni(i) complex (3) or the Ni(0) complex (5) generated by disproportionation are active for H<sub>2</sub> production, we examined the stoichiometric H<sub>2</sub>-evolution reaction chemistry of Ni(i) and Ni(0) complexes with HCl. The results of these experiments are summarized in Fig. 4. Treatment of Ni complexes 3, 4, and 5 with 15 equiv. HCl in THF generates H<sub>2</sub> in 44, 33, and 89% yields, respectively, along with Ni(ii) complex 1, NiCl<sub>2</sub>(PPh<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>. To gain insight into whether H<sub>2</sub> evolution proceeds by protonation of Ni(i) or Ni(0), generated by disproportionation reactions, electrochemical H<sub>2</sub> evolution was examined using Ni(ii) trihalide complex 2[TEA]. As illustrated in Fig. 4, Ni complex 2[TEA] exhibits two electrochemically irreversible waves for the Ni<sup>II/I</sup> and Ni<sup>I/0</sup> couples at -1.62 and -1.95 V vs. Fc<sup>+</sup>/Fc, respectively. In the presence of excess HCl (pK<sub>a</sub> = 8.9 in CH<sub>3</sub>CN)<sup>46</sup> these two peaks exhibit catalytic cathodic waves. The dominant CV features of the Ni<sup>I/0</sup> wave in the presence of excess HCl are consistent with Ni<sup>0</sup> being involved in the H<sub>2</sub> generating steps in our photocatalysis.

## Conclusions

As is common for first-row transition metal complexes, nickel halide complexes typically exhibit very short excited state lifetimes. Direct photoactivation of M–X bonds using the molecular excited states of these complexes has proven challenging owing to their short lifetimes. To circumvent the limitations imposed by short excited state lifetimes, we have developed a tandem photoredox/transition metal catalysis approach to H<sub>2</sub> evolution in which the chromophore and the H<sub>2</sub>-evolution catalyst are localized on different molecules. Using diaryl phosphines as photoredox mediators, we have demonstrated that relatively non-basic phosphines are capable of acting as photoredox mediators under acidic conditions. Robust photocatalytic systems have been developed by combining phosphine photoredox mediators and Ni phosphine H<sub>2</sub>-evolution catalysts. Time-resolved spectroscopy has revealed that phosphines serve as photochemical H-atom donors and activate the M–X bonds of Ni(ii) halide complexes *via* halogen-atom abstraction. The H<sub>2</sub>-evolution catalytic cycle is closed by sequential disproportionation of Ni(i) to afford Ni(0) and Ni(ii) and protolytic H<sub>2</sub> evolution from the Ni(0) intermediate. The described photoredox strategy is attractive in that independent optimization of photoredox mediator and H<sub>2</sub>-evolution catalyst provides multiple handles for system optimization.




Fig. 4 (a) Protonation of Ni(i) and Ni(0) complexes afforded Ni(ii) chloride as well as H<sub>2</sub>. (b) Electrochemical response of electrolyte background (—, black), 1 mM Ni complex 2[TEA] (—, red) to addition of HCl 1.0 equiv. (—, blue), 5.0 equiv. (—, pink), 9.0 equiv. (—, green), 13.0 equiv. (—, dark blue) in CH<sub>3</sub>CN (0.1 M NBu<sub>4</sub>PF<sub>6</sub>; scan rate, 100 mV s<sup>-1</sup>). Glassy carbon working electrode, Ag/AgNO<sub>3</sub> reference, and Pt wire counter electrode.

## Acknowledgements

We gratefully acknowledge Robert L. Halbach and D. Kwabena Bediako for helpful discussions, and the funding from NSF Grant CHE-1332783 and a Ruth L. Kirchenstein National Research Service award (F32GM103211) for D.C.P.



## Notes and references

‡ Crystallographic data for 2[ClPPH<sub>3</sub>]: C<sub>36</sub>H<sub>30</sub>Cl<sub>4</sub>P<sub>2</sub>Ni, *M* = 725.05, orthorhombic, *Pbca*, *a* = 17.435(4), *b* = 15.662(3), *c* = 24.139(9), *V* = 6591(2), *Z* = 8,  $\mu$  = 1.036 mm<sup>-1</sup>, *T* = 100(2) K, *R*<sub>1</sub> = 0.0527, *wR*<sub>2</sub> = 0.0720 (based on all reflections), *GooF* = 1.030, reflections measured = 57 380, unique reflections = 5858, *R*<sub>int</sub> = 0.0741. Crystallographic data for 6: C<sub>40</sub>H<sub>48</sub>Cl<sub>4</sub>O<sub>4</sub>P<sub>2</sub>Ni, *M* = 855.23, monoclinic, *P2<sub>1</sub>/n*, *a* = 10.1261(8), *b* = 23.4579(18), *c* = 17.8192(14),  $\beta$  = 106.3550(12)°, *V* = 4061.4(5), *Z* = 4,  $\mu$  = 0.859 mm<sup>-1</sup>, *T* = 100(2) K, *R*<sub>1</sub> = 0.0788, *wR*<sub>2</sub> = 0.1328 (based on all reflections), *GooF* = 1.005, reflections measured = 44 051, unique reflections = 7212, *R*<sub>int</sub> = 0.0668. Crystallographic data for Cl<sub>2</sub>PPH<sub>3</sub>: C<sub>18</sub>H<sub>15</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>P, *M* = 333.17, monoclinic, *P2<sub>1</sub>/c*, *a* = 13.338(3), *b* = 14.376(3), *c* = 8.7454(17),  $\beta$  = 102.53(3)°, *V* = 1637.0(6), *Z* = 4,  $\mu$  = 0.484 mm<sup>-1</sup>, *T* = 100(2) K, *R*<sub>1</sub> = 0.0330, *wR*<sub>2</sub> = 0.0710 (based on all reflections), *GooF* = 1.060, reflections measured = 18 333, unique reflections = 2874, *R*<sub>int</sub> = 0.0308. Crystallographic data for [ClPPH<sub>3</sub>]OTf: C<sub>19</sub>H<sub>15</sub>ClF<sub>3</sub>O<sub>3</sub>PS, *M* = 446.79, monoclinic, *P2<sub>1</sub>/n*, *a* = 11.255(2), *b* = 9.1501(18), *c* = 18.658(4),  $\beta$  = 93.04(3)°, *V* = 1918.7(7), *Z* = 4,  $\mu$  = 0.438 mm<sup>-1</sup>, *T* = 100(2) K, *R*<sub>1</sub> = 0.0425, *wR*<sub>2</sub> = 0.0737 (based on all reflections), *GooF* = 1.031, reflections measured = 18 058, unique reflections = 3392, *R*<sub>int</sub> = 0.0362.

- 1 A. J. Esswein and D. G. Nocera, *Chem. Rev.*, 2007, **107**, 4022.
- 2 D. G. Nocera, *Inorg. Chem.*, 2009, **48**, 10001.
- 3 A. F. Heyduk and D. G. Nocera, *Science*, 2001, **293**, 1639.
- 4 A. J. Esswein, A. S. Veige and D. G. Nocera, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2005, **127**, 16641.
- 5 N. Elgrishi, T. S. Teets, M. B. Chambers and D. G. Nocera, *Chem. Commun.*, 2012, **48**, 9474.
- 6 T. R. Cook, Y. Surendranath and D. G. Nocera, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2009, **131**, 28.
- 7 T. S. Teets and D. G. Nocera, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2009, **131**, 7411.
- 8 T.-P. Lin and F. P. Gabbaï, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2012, **134**, 12230.
- 9 H. Yang and F. P. Gabbaï, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2014, **136**, 10866.
- 10 W. E. Van Zyl, J. M. López-de-Luzuriaga, J. P. Fackler Jr and R. J. Staples, *Can. J. Chem.*, 2001, **79**, 896.
- 11 J. P. Fackler Jr, *Inorg. Chem.*, 2002, **41**, 6959.
- 12 J. S. Ovens and D. B. Leznoff, *Dalton Trans.*, 2011, **40**, 4140.
- 13 T. A. Perera, M. Masjedi and P. R. Sharp, *Inorg. Chem.*, 2014, **53**, 7608.
- 14 A. R. Karikachery, H. B. Lee, M. Masjedi, A. Ross, M. A. Moody, X. Cai, M. Chui, C. D. Hoff and P. R. Sharp, *Inorg. Chem.*, 2013, **52**, 4113.
- 15 D. C. Powers, M. B. Chambers, T. S. Teets, N. Elgrishi, B. L. Anderson and D. G. Nocera, *Chem. Sci.*, 2013, **4**, 2880.
- 16 E. I. Carrera, T. M. McCormick, M. J. Kapp, A. J. Lough and D. S. Seferos, *Inorg. Chem.*, 2013, **52**, 13779.
- 17 For a recent counter-example, see: D. C. Powers, S. J. Hwang, S.-L. Zheng and D. G. Nocera, *Inorg. Chem.*, 2014, **53**, 9122.
- 18 C. H. Lee, D. A. Lutterman and D. G. Nocera, *Dalton Trans.*, 2013, **42**, 2355.
- 19 S. J. Tereniak, E. E. Marlier and C. C. Lu, *Dalton Trans.*, 2012, **41**, 7862.
- 20 E. A. Juban, A. L. Smeigh, J. E. Monat and J. K. McCusker, *Coord. Chem. Rev.*, 2010, **254**, 2677.
- 21 C. Creutz, M. Chou, T. L. Netzel, M. Okumura and N. Sutin, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1980, **102**, 1309.
- 22 C. H. Lee, T. R. Cook and D. G. Nocera, *Inorg. Chem.*, 2011, **50**, 714.
- 23 D. C. Powers, B. L. Anderson and D. G. Nocera, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2013, **135**, 18876.
- 24 K. Haav, J. Saame, A. Kütt and I. Leito, *Eur. J. Org. Chem.*, 2012, 2167.
- 25 Y. Sakaguchi and H. Hayashi, *J. Phys. Chem. A*, 2004, **108**, 3421.
- 26 D.-L. Versace, J. C. Bastida, C. Lorenzini, C. Cachet-Vivier, E. Renard, V. Langlois, J.-P. Malval, J.-P. Fouassier and J. Lalevée, *Macromolecules*, 2013, **46**, 8808.
- 27 S. K. Wong, W. Sytnyk and J. K. S. Wan, *Can. J. Chem.*, 1971, **49**, 994.
- 28 A. B. Pangborn, M. A. Giardello, R. H. Grubbs, R. K. Rosen and F. J. Timmers, *Organometallics*, 1996, **15**, 1518.
- 29 X.-F. Zhao and C. Zhang, *Synthesis*, 2007, **4**, 551.
- 30 K. D. Schramm and J. A. Ibers, *Inorg. Chem.*, 1980, **19**, 2441.
- 31 T. Yano, M. Hoshino, M. Kuroboshi and H. Tanaka, *Synlett*, 2010, **5**, 801.
- 32 M. C. Smith, S. C. Davies, D. L. Hughes and D. J. Evans, *Acta Crystallogr., Sect. E: Struct. Rep. Online*, 2001, **57**, m509.
- 33 A. Schmidpeter and S. Lochschmidt, *Inorg. Synth.*, 1990, **27**, 253.
- 34 G. D. Stucky, J. B. Folkers and T. J. Kistenmacher, *Acta Crystallogr.*, 1967, **23**, 1064.
- 35 D. D. Ellis and A. L. Spek, *Acta Crystallogr., Sect. C: Cryst. Struct. Commun.*, 2000, **56**, 1067.
- 36 N. C. Norman, A. G. Orpen, M. J. Quayle and G. R. Whittell, *Acta Crystallogr., Sect. C: Cryst. Struct. Commun.*, 2002, **58**, m160.
- 37 G. Bontempelli, F. Magno, M. D. Nobili and G. Schiavon, *J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans.*, 1980, 2288.
- 38 Y. Sakaguchi and H. Hayashi, *Chem. Phys. Lett.*, 1995, **245**, 591.
- 39 L. Maini, D. Braga, P. P. Mazzeo and B. Ventura, *Dalton Trans.*, 2012, **41**, 531.
- 40 M. L. Kaufman and C. L. Griffin, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 1965, **12**, 769.
- 41 R. Waterman, *Curr. Org. Chem.*, 2008, **12**, 1322.
- 42 J. P. Roth, S. Lovell and J. M. Mayer, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2000, **122**, 5486.
- 43 L. J. J. Laarhoven, P. Mulder and D. D. M. Wayner, *Acc. Chem. Res.*, 1999, **32**, 342.
- 44 A. Cherkasov and M. Jonsson, *J. Chem. Inf. Comput. Sci.*, 2000, **40**, 1222.
- 45 W. van Scheppingen, E. Dorrestijn, I. Arends and P. Mulder, *J. Phys. Chem. A*, 1997, **101**, 5404.
- 46 V. Fourmond, P.-A. Jacques, M. Fontecave and V. Artero, *Inorg. Chem.*, 2010, **49**, 10338.

