Binding modes of a core-extended metalloporphyrin to human telomeric DNA G-quadruplexes†
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The molecular recognition of human telomeric G-quadruplexes by a novel cationic π-extended NiII-porphyrin (NiII-TImidP4) is studied in aqueous solutions via (chir)optical spectroscopy, Fluorescence Resonance Energy Transfer (FRET) melting assay, and computational molecular modeling. The results are systematically compared with the recognition by a conventional meso-substituted NiII-porphyrin (NiII-TMPyP4), which allows us to pinpoint the differences in binding modes depending on the G-quadruplex topology. Importantly, FRET melting assays show the higher selectivity of NiII-TImidP4 towards human telomeric G4 than that of NiII-TMPyP4.

Introduction

Specific guanine-rich oligonucleotides can fold or assemble into quadruplex structures, i.e., four guanines (G) form a square-planar network via Hoogsteen hydrogen-bonds, a G-quartet, and G-quartets can stack on top of each other, stabilized by monovalent alkali cations such as potassium (K+) or sodium (Na+).1–4 There is solid evidence that the human telomeric DNA sequence d(TTAGGG)n forms intramolecular G-quadruplexes at the end of chromosomes, and that G-quadruplexes are over-represented in promoter regions such as oncogenes.5–9 Therefore, G-quadruplexes have been identified as therapeutic targets, and the search for new molecules (ligands) that stabilize G-quadruplex topologies has become an active field of research in anti-cancer drug design.10–13 This includes organometallic complexes, planar aromatic structures, and macrocyclic ligands such as porphyrin derivatives.14–17 Indeed, the structural and photophysical properties of porphyrins make these compounds interesting for DNA recognition and sensing.18–22 For instance, the tetra-kis(N-methylpyridinium-4-yl)porphyrin (TMPyP4, see Chart 1 left, without a metal center) can show different types of DNA binding modes, which depend on different factors such as the sequence and structure of DNA, the peripheral substituents on the porphyrin ring, and the composition of the solution.23–26

If the porphyrin possesses a central metal (metalloporphyrin), the binding to G-quadruplexes depends on the nature of the metal: octahedral complexes (e.g. CoII porphyrins, possessing axial ligands) bind externally to the G-quadruplex due to their 3D structures hindering intercalation in between G-quartets, whereas square-planar complexes (CuII or NiII porphyrins) can possibly intercalate in between G-quartets.14,23,27

Herein, we describe the molecular recognition of intramolecular G-quadruplexes (G4) by a metalloporphyrin

![Chart 1. Chemical structures of the metalloporphyrins under study: (a) NiII-TMPyP4 and (b) NiII-TImidP4.](image-url)
Ni\textsuperscript{II}-TImidP\textsubscript{4} (Chart 1, right). In particular, we focus on human telomeric DNA sequences that form G4, here 22-mer and 30-mer oligonucleotides. These G4 sequences were identified as therapeutic targets, for which binding to a ligand, such as a porphyrin derivative, may inhibit telomerase, an over-expressed enzyme in 85–90% cancers.\textsuperscript{13,17,28–30} In contrast with other metalloporphyrins studied so far for their interactions with DNA, the four positive charges of Ni\textsuperscript{II}-TImidP\textsubscript{4} are placed in the four \textit{meso} positions. Indeed, the porphyrin TImidP\textsubscript{4} has been well-studied (with or without a metal center) for its DNA-binding abilities, noticeably with G-quadruplexes.\textsuperscript{10,19,23,33} We carried out UV-Vis spectroscopy and Circular Dichroism (CD) in aqueous solutions, which allowed us to probe the G4/metalloporphyrin interactions as a function of the solution composition. Fluorescence Resonance Energy Transfer (FRET) melting assays provide valuable information on the stabilization and selectivity of the studied ligands towards G4. Molecular dynamics simulations are used to propose reliable supramolecular structures and to estimate binding free energies of the G4–porphyrin complexes. Finally, a comparison between CD experiments and computed chirality parameters support the identification of relevant binding modes.

Results and discussion

Synthesis of Ni\textsuperscript{II}-TImidP\textsubscript{4}

We have recently reported on the synthesis of a \pi-conjugated plane of this porphyrin. Our results are systematically compared to the recognition of human telomeric G4 to Ni\textsuperscript{II}-TMPyP\textsubscript{4} (Chart 1, left), for which the cationic substituents are placed in the four \textit{meso} positions. Indeed, the porphyrin TMPyP\textsubscript{4} has been well-studied (with or without a metal center) for its DNA-binding abilities, noticeably with G-quadruplexes.\textsuperscript{10,19,23,33} We carried out UV-Vis spectroscopy and Circular Dichroism (CD) in aqueous solutions, which allowed us to probe the G4/metalloporphyrin interactions as a function of the solution composition. Fluorescence Resonance Energy Transfer (FRET) melting assays provide valuable information on the stabilization and selectivity of the studied ligands towards G4. Molecular dynamics simulations are used to propose reliable supramolecular structures and to estimate binding free energies of the G4–porphyrin complexes. Finally, a comparison between CD experiments and computed chirality parameters support the identification of relevant binding modes.

Ni\textsuperscript{II}-TImidP\textsubscript{4} is well soluble in protic polar solvents such as water (green solutions) and is very poorly soluble in organic solvents such as CHCl\textsubscript{3} (brown turbid solutions). The UV-visible spectrum of Ni\textsuperscript{II}-TImidP\textsubscript{4} in water showed that the Soret absorption band is broad and split with a main absorption band centred at $\lambda = 436$ nm and a shoulder on the right (Fig. S3 in ESI†). This suggests that additional ligands (i.e. water molecules) are coordinated at the nickel center.\textsuperscript{34} Moreover, the four imidazolium rings acting as electron deficient units also favour the coordination of axial ligands on the central nickel(II). Knowing that square pyramidal and distorted octahedral nickel(II) porphyrin complexes are paramagnetic ($S = 1$, high-spin), axial coordination of one and/or two molecule(s) of solvent at the nickel(II) center may explain the observed broad and split signals for Ni\textsuperscript{II}-TImidP\textsubscript{4} by \textsuperscript{1}H NMR spectroscopy in d\textsuperscript{6}-methanol (Fig. S4 in ESI†). This is confirmed by the fact that the same phenomenon was observed with the porphyrin fused to three imidazolium rings in d\textsuperscript{6}-methanol, while sharp and well-defined signals were observed for this compound in a non-coordinating solvent like CDCl\textsubscript{3} (Fig. S5 in ESI†).

Binding to human telomeric sequences

The human telomeric sequence d(TTAGGG)\textsubscript{4} can adopt different intramolecular G-quadruplex structures with backbone loop-shapes depending on the aqueous solution conditions, especially on the nature of the salt.\textsuperscript{35–37} Human telomeric G-quadruplexes possess large structural diversity, as recently reviewed.\textsuperscript{38} The main human telomeric sequence studied here is d[AG\textsubscript{3}(T\textsubscript{2}AG\textsubscript{3})\textsubscript{3}], hereafter referred to as Tel22, presents a parallel and/or anti-parallel G4 loop structure depending on the alkali cation in aqueous solution. In solutions of potassium ions (K\textsuperscript{+}), this sequence forms a mixture of parallel and anti-parallel G-quadruplex conformations, in a dynamic equilibrium between hybrid structures.\textsuperscript{35,39–41} This is observed using circular dichroism (CD) experiments, showing a CD spectrum characterized by a positive maximum at 290 nm, a plateau at 265 nm, and a negative peak at 240 nm (Fig. 1 and S7 in ESI†).

In the presence of sodium ions (Na\textsuperscript{+}), Tel22 presents an anti-parallel “basket-type” structure, with a characteristic positive peak at 295 nm and an intense negative peak at 260 nm (Fig. 2 and S7 in ESI).\textsuperscript{37} The molecular recognition of Tel22 by each metalloporphyrin was studied under different solution conditions using CD and UV-Vis absorption. Fig. 1c shows the CD spectra of Tel22:Ni\textsuperscript{II}-TImidP\textsubscript{4} mixtures in an aqueous solution containing 100 mM KCl. The typical CD signal of this telomeric hybrid G4 structure in K\textsuperscript{+} solution, \textit{i.e.} a positive peak at 290 nm and a plateau at 265 nm, is maintained whatever the molar ratio. Moreover, we observe a weak negative induced CD signal (ICD) in the Soret band of the metalloporphyrin, which increases at a 1 : 5 molar ratio. This indeed suggests that Ni\textsuperscript{II}-TImidP\textsubscript{4} interacts with Tel22. In contrast, for mixtures of Tel22:Ni\textsuperscript{II}-TMPyP\textsubscript{4} (Fig. 1d), no ICD is observed at a 1 : 1 molar ratio, and at a molar ratio of 1 : 5 a weak negative ICD is observed but the CD signal of Tel22 (200–320 nm) completely vanishes. This is in agreement with other studies showing that the porphyrin TMPyP\textsubscript{4} can unfold G-quadruplex structures.\textsuperscript{42,43} The CD spectra indicate that the core-extended...
metalloporphyrin NiIII-TMIP4 stabilizes the initial G4 conformations of Tel22 in the presence of K+, while the binding to (an excess of) NiII-TMIP4 may lead to unfolding of the G-quadruplex conformations. This is likely due to the stronger intermolecular interactions of NiII-TMIP4 with DNA bases (see below).

This is supported by the UV-Vis absorption spectra shown in Fig. 1a and b. Pure NiII-TMIP4 shows two overlapping bands in the Soret region: one at 420 nm due to the diamagnetic four-coordinate form and one at 441 nm due to the paramagnetic diaquo complex (Fig. 1b). Upon interaction with Tel22, the UV-Vis spectrum shows a red-shifted absorption maximum ($\Delta\lambda_{\text{max}} \sim 8$ nm) and a large hypochromicity in the Soret band. This is different for NiIII-TMIP4, for which UV-Vis spectra show no change in the shape and a smaller hypochromicity in the Soret band upon binding to Tel22 (Fig. 1a).

As indicated above, a shoulder is noticed on the right which may indicate that one or two ligands (i.e. water molecules) are coordinated at the nickel center.34

For each metalloporphyrin, the same types of changes in the spectra were observed upon binding to the longer human telomeric sequence Tel30 (dT2AGGGTGTTAGGG), a sequence also presenting a mixture of anti-parallel and parallel G4 conformations in KCl solutions (Fig. S8 in ESI†). This indicates that larger G-quadruplexes structures have rather identical recognition modes by these metalloporphyrins.

In aqueous solutions containing 100 mM NaCl, the Tel22 adopts an anti-parallel G-quadruplex conformation. As observed in the CD spectra shown in Fig. 2a, this anti-parallel G4 conformation is maintained upon addition of NiIII-TMIP4 metalloporphyrin. No ICD in the Soret band is observed at 1 : 1 molar ratio, and only a weak ICD signal is observed with 5 equivalents of NiIII-TMIP4. In contrast, upon addition of NiII-TMIP4 metalloporphyrin, at a 1 : 1 molar ratio, an ICD is observed in the Soret band, and at 1 : 5 the CD signals characteristic of the G4 structure Tel22 start to vanish (Fig. 2d and 2f). The negative ICD signal is much more pronounced for the Tel22:NiII-TMIP4 mixture. This signal notably differs from what happens with the ZnII-TMIP4 octahedral complex, yielding a bisignated ICD peak, which was ascribed to an edge-stacking binding mode.44 The difference in binding modes to Tel22 between NiII-TMIP4 and NiIII-TMIP4 can be ascribed to the extended π-conjugated system and the saddle conformation of NiIII-TMIP4, as discussed here below. Again, the UV-Vis experiments are consistent with ICD signals in that they show that, upon interaction with Tel22, the NiII-TMIP4 shows larger perturbations in the Soret band than for NiIII-TMIP4 (Fig. 2a and b).

In order to assess the binding properties of NiIII-TMIP4 towards Tel22, a FRET melting assay was performed, as this is a valuable method to assess the stabilization and selectivity of G4 ligands.35,46 This method is based on the measurement of melting properties of a double-dye labelled oligonucleotide, as followed by fluorescence spectra showing FRET between the two dyes when the oligonucleotide is folded in G4 conformation. Here we used a modified human telomeric sequence end-capped with a fluorescein amidite dye (FAM) at the 5’-end and a tetramethylrhodamine (TAMRA) at the 3’-end. This labelled oligonucleotide FAM-5’-GGGGTTAGGGTAMRA-3’ named F21T was widely studied in the literature for G4-ligand studies. After a preliminary heating/cooling cycle of F21T, we added the studied porphyrin and followed the emission of the donor dye (i.e. FAM) as a function of temperature. This method has been shown to give more reproducible results than the sensitized emission of the acceptor (i.e. TAMRA).45 The G4 structure unfolds when the temperature increases and thereby the fluorescence emission of FAM occurs. This denaturation can be followed by plotting melting curves, which
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**Fig. 1** UV-Vis (top) and CD spectra (bottom) of (a) and (c) Tel22: NiII-TMIP4 1 : N and (b) and (d) Tel22: NiII-TMIP4 1 : N in TE buffer + 100 mM KCl. The molar ratio for (a) and (b) is 1 : 5.

**Fig. 2** UV-Vis (top) and CD spectra (bottom) of (a) and (c) Tel22: NiII-TMIP4 1 : N and (b) and (d) Tel22: NiII-TMIP4 1 : N in TE buffer + 100 mM NaCl. The molar ratio for (a) and (b) is 1 : 5.
show the increase in FAM fluorescence as a function of temperature for pure F21T and for the mixtures F21T/Ni\textsuperscript{II}-TImidP4 and F21T/Ni\textsuperscript{II}-TMPyP4 at a 1:5 molar ratio under K\textsuperscript{+} buffer conditions (Fig. 3a). The determination of the half-melting temperature difference ($\Delta T_{1/2}$) between the pure oligonucleotide and the same oligonucleotide bound to a ligand is a quantitative analysis of the stabilization effect induced by the ligand (Fig. 3b). The results show that the $\Delta T_{1/2}$ is around 25 °C and around 23 °C for F21T/Ni\textsuperscript{II}-TImidP4 and F21T/Ni\textsuperscript{II}-TMPyP4, respectively (Fig. 3). The latter value is in agreement with the one obtained by Romera et al., who studied the influence of the incorporation of a metal ion on the G4-binding properties of TMPyP4 porphyrin.\cite{47} Thus, the $\pi$-extended metalloporphylin Ni\textsuperscript{II}-TImidP4 could be considered as a good G4-ligand, as it induces an increase in the melting temperature of F21T close to, or slightly higher than, in the case of other well-considered G-quadruplex ligands.\cite{47}

In order to evaluate the selectivity of this ligand, a competitor double-stranded DNA ds20 (oligonucleotide 5’-CGTCACGTAAATCGGTTAAC-3’) hybridized with its complementary sequence, was added to the F21T/Ni\textsuperscript{II}-TImidP4 and F21T/Ni\textsuperscript{II}-TMPyP4 complexes in an excess of 15 equivalents as compared to F21T. The decrease in $\Delta T_{1/2}$ shows that the Ni\textsuperscript{II}-TImidP4 ligand is affected by the presence of the ds20 competitor; however this decrease is more pronounced for the Ni\textsuperscript{II}-TMPyP4 (Fig. 3b). Therefore, the core-extended metalloporphylin Ni\textsuperscript{II}-TImidP4 showed a higher selectivity for G-quadruplex DNA than the conventional Ni\textsuperscript{II}-TMPyP4.

**Supramolecular structures of Ni\textsuperscript{II}-porphyrin-Tel22 complexes**

Molecular dynamics (MD) simulations were carried out to give insights into the structures and binding modes of the studied porphyrins to model intramolecular G4: anti-parallel “basket-type” G4 (with Na\textsuperscript{+}, PDB ID: 143D), parallel G4 (with K\textsuperscript{+}, PDB ID: 1KF1) and hybrid G4 (mixed parallel/anti-parallel strands, PDB ID: 2HY9) structures of Tel22.\cite{37,41,48} Only 1:1 complexes were considered for the sake of computational cost, taking into account the water explicitly (TIP3P model). MD simulations were carried out on a 100 ns timescale, allowing us to explore the movements of a single porphyrin around each G4 type and the possible interaction sites. Five starting conformations were considered, as depicted in Fig. 4.

**Ni\textsuperscript{II}-TImidP4–G4 complexes.** Ni\textsuperscript{II}-TImidP4 has a peculiar non-planar saddle shaped conformation of the porphyrin macrocycle, as shown in Fig. 4. This is in accordance with (i) the crystal structure of a porphyrin fused to two imidazole rings which are also saddle shaped,\cite{31} and (ii) with experimental evidence and modeling studies showing that highly substituted porphyrins adopt very distorted non-planar conformations, including saddle shaped distortions.\cite{49}

Of the five starting conformations of this porphyrin in interaction with the anti-parallel G-quadruplex, the outcome of the five starting conformations, MD runs reveal two preferential binding modes, namely Bot and Groove conformations, see Fig. 5 and S10 and Table S1 in ESI.\footnote{In the Bot conformation, the saddle shape of Ni\textsuperscript{II}-TImidP4 perfectly accommodates the d(TTA) loop structure (Fig. 5a). The imidazolium groups strongly interact with negatively-charged phosphate groups.}
due to electrostatic interactions. Interestingly, the binding occurs on both facing imidazolium moieties with the two bottom d(TTA) loops. The \( \pi \)-stacking interactions between the G-quartet and Ni\textsuperscript{II}\(\text{Ti}mid\text{P}4 \) are expected to be weak since the saddle shape of the porphyrin, together with the specific d(TTA) loop structure of this anti-parallel G4, covers the G-quartets. Note that different side-groove binding modes are observed (Fig. S10 in ESI†), for which Ni\textsuperscript{II}\(\text{Ti}mid\text{P}4 \) is nested in the wide grooves of the anti-parallel G4, as for other organometallic complexes.\textsuperscript{50}

Three preferential binding modes are also obtained for Ni\textsuperscript{II}\(\text{Ti}mid\text{P}4 \)-parallel G-quadruplex complexes, namely Bot, Top and Groove, see Fig. 5b and S10.\textsuperscript{†} In contrast to interactions with anti-parallel G4, Top conformations are accessible since the loops do not cover the G-quartets in this structure. The Groove binding mode is comparable to the one with anti-parallel G4, the imidazolium interacting here with dT12 (Fig. 4b). Note that MD simulations starting from hybrid parallel/anti-parallel G4 folding (PDB ID: 2HY9) yield the same preferential binding modes (Bot, Top, Groove). In this case the Bot conformation shows a \( \pi \)-stacking between Ni\textsuperscript{II}\(\text{Ti}mid\text{P}4 \) and 3′-terminal adenine (Fig. S11†).

Ni\textsuperscript{II}\(\text{TMPyP}4 \)-G4 complexes. Ni\textsuperscript{II}\(\text{TMPyP}4 \) possesses a planar central porphyrin macrocycle, in strong contrast with the saddle shape of Ni\textsuperscript{II}\(\text{Ti}mid\text{P}4 \). Furthermore, the cationic pyridinium moieties are located at the four meso positions (Chart 1) while for Ni\textsuperscript{II}\(\text{Ti}mid\text{P}4 \) the imidazolium moieties are fused to the \( \beta \)-pyrrolic positions, which lead to different G4 binding modes from MD simulations (Fig. 6 and S12†). Inter-
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**Fig. 5** Conformations and zooms of representative snapshots at the end of MD simulations of 1:1 Ni\textsuperscript{II}\(\text{Ti}mid\text{P}4 \) complex with (a) anti-parallel and (b) parallel G-quadruplexes. Porphyrins are coloured by binding modes (i.e., bot, top, and groove in black, violet and red/green, respectively). Relevant phosphates, residues are shown in orange. Relevant distances involving electrostatic and van der Waals interactions are shown in blue and grey, respectively.

**Fig. 6** Conformations and zooms of representative final snapshots from 100 ns MD simulations of 1:1 Ni\textsuperscript{II}\(\text{TMPyP}4 \) with (a) anti-parallel and (b) parallel G-quadruplexes. Porphyrins are coloured by binding modes (i.e., bot, top, and groove in black, violet and red, respectively). Relevant phosphates groups are coloured in orange. Relevant distances involving predicted electrostatic and van der Waals interactions are shown in blue and grey, respectively.
Table 1  Binding energies for 1:1 complexes of NiII-TimidP4 (a) or NiII-TMPyP4 (b) – anti-parallel or parallel G-quadruplexes estimated from 100 ns MD simulations. Non-covalent binding energies are decomposed in electrostatic and van der Waals contributions ($E_{\text{elec}}, E_{\text{vdW}}$, in kcal mol$^{-1}$) and the relative binding free energies are computed in the MM-GBSA approach ($\Delta \Delta G_{\text{MM-GBSA}}$, kcal mol$^{-1}$)

(a) NiII-TimidP4 conformation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Anti-parallel G-quadruplex</th>
<th>Parallel G-quadruplex</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$E_{\text{elec}}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bot</td>
<td>$-166.1$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Groove A</td>
<td>$-112.7$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Groove B</td>
<td>$-87.6$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(b) NiII-TMPyP4 conformation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Anti-parallel G-quadruplex</th>
<th>Parallel G-quadruplex</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$E_{\text{elec}}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bot</td>
<td>$-172.1$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Top</td>
<td>$-124.1$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Groove</td>
<td>$-194.0$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


estingly, the Bot binding configuration consists in the perpendicu-
lar approach of the porphyrin with respect to the anti-
parallel G4 (Fig. 5a), via electrostatic interactions between the
phosphate backbone and pyridinium moieties (ca. 4.5 Å) and
$\pi$-stacking interactions with thymine in the loop (dT6). A side-
groove binding mode is also observed, for which three pyri-
dinium moieties interact with G4 phosphate groups through
electrostatic interactions.

For complexes with the parallel G4 structure (Fig. 6b), the
G-quartets are uncovered and solvent-accessible, which allows the planar structure of NiII-TMPyP4 to interact via $\pi$-$\pi$-stacking interactions. Such interactions allow both stable Bot and Top
supramolecular complexes in which this porphyrin is also elec-
trostatically anchored to phosphate groups (Fig. 6b). Note that side-groove supramolecular complexes are also observed, in which NiII-TMPyP4 is anchored to backbone phosphates through
electrostatic interactions (Fig. S12†).

Binding energies in NiII-porphyrin–Tel22 complexes

To assess the preferential binding modes over the confor-
mations obtained by MD, binding free energies ($\Delta \Delta G_{\text{MM-GBSA}}$, Table 1) for each porphyrin–G4 complex are estimated and are rationalized by calculating electrostatic and van der Waals energy contributions along MD runs, see Table 1. Although electrostatic contributions play a major role, the van der Waals interactions cannot be neglected since they significantly con-
tribute to the total non-covalent interaction energy $E_{\text{nc}}$ ($E_{\text{nc}} = E_{\text{elec}} + E_{\text{vdW}}$). For example, in the Bot conformation of NiII-
TmidP4 with anti-parallel G-quadruplex, it must be stressed that strong electrostatic contributions are in line with strong van der Waals contributions (Table 1a), because this porphyrin is anchored to G4 by both electrostatic interactions and $\pi$-$\pi$-stacking interactions with loop nucleobases (Fig. 5a).

By analysing the estimates of the relative binding free ener-
gies ($\Delta \Delta G_{\text{MM-GBSA}}$, both NiII-porphyrins preferentially bind to
anti-parallel G-quadruplexes in Bot or Groove conformations,
respectively ($\Delta \Delta G_{\text{MM-GBSA}} = 0$ being by definition the most
stable structure calculated for each type of complex), which are therefore the most likely conformations in Na\textsuperscript{+} solutions. Note that the binding free energy for Bot or Groove A conformations of NiII-TmidP4 with anti-parallel G4 is in the same range, while electrostatic and van der Waals contributions are signifi-
cantly lower in Groove A. This can be rationalized by a lower
destabilization of the G4 internal structure.

However, the preferential binding modes of the two studied
porphyrins with parallel G4 are different. For NiII-TmidP4, the
Top conformation is the most stable one, with a partial overlap of
the aromatic core to the top G-quartet. The same Top preferen-
tial binding mode is obtained for conformations with the hybrid parallel/anti-parallel G4 (see Fig. S11†), which altogether indicates that this is likely the preferential binding
mode for NiII-TmidP4–Tel22 in K\textsuperscript{+} solutions. In contrast, the
Bot is the preferential conformation for NiII-TMPyP4. In this
case, the total non-covalent interactions are the strongest,
due to the core of the porphyrin is fully adsorbed, $\pi$-stacked
to a G-quartet, while the four pyridinium groups accommodate
close to DNA phosphate groups. This is in line with experi-
mental CD spectra, showing the highest ICD signals for this
mixture at a 1 : 1 molar ratio, whereas almost no ICD is
observed for NiII-TmidP4 under the same conditions. Note
that the Bot and Top binding modes allowed an intercalative
binding mode for 1 : 2 porphyrin–G4 complexes, in which a
porphyrin was located in between two G-quartets structures, as
suggested in the literature.51

Binding modes and (chir)optical properties

Both NiII-TMPyP4 and NiII-TmidP4 inherently exhibit $C_{2v}$ sym-
metry. These molecules are achiral and are CD-silent under the aqueous solution conditions used here (see Fig. S13 in ESIF). However, the electrostatic and $\pi$-$\pi$-stacking interactions with G-quadruplexes induce geometrical deformations that can break the inherent central symmetry of porphyrins. This is
what is observed by the induced CD (ICD) signals reported here. Indeed, for specific mixtures our experiments exhibit a weak negative ICD band around 435 nm, i.e. in the Soret band of the metalloporphyrin assigned to a π-π* transition on the tetrapyrrole moiety. In view of correlating the ICD signals with binding modes, the geometrical deformations were assessed from MD simulations, and the chirality parameters were estimated, see Fig. 7.

We focus on specific geometrical parameters of NiII-TimidP4: the angles θ1,2,3,4 formed by the Ni-atom, the N-atom of the pyrrole units and the corresponding CH-imidazolium moieties (Fig. 7a). For NiII-TMPyP4, the geometrical parameters are defined as the angles formed by the Ni-atoms, the N-atom of the pyrrole units and the centres of mass of the corresponding pyrrole C-C bonds. Δθ_up and Δθ_down are defined as the angle differences between each facing angle (for example, θ1 − θ2 and θ3 − θ4). The “Up” annotation refers to the side in close contact with the G-quadruplex along MD runs. An ICD signal in the Soret region is observed if and only if each parameter is non-zero. The chirality parameters were estimated for the various binding modes if each parameter is non-zero. The chirality parameters are defined as the angles formed by the Ni-atoms, the N-atom of the pyrrole units and the centres of mass of the corresponding pyrrole C-C bonds. Δθ_up and Δθ_down are defined as the angle differences between each facing angle (for example, θ1 − θ2 and θ3 − θ4). The “Up” annotation refers to the side in close contact with the G-quadruplex along MD runs. An ICD signal in the Soret region is observed if and only if each parameter is non-zero. The chirality parameters were estimated for the various binding modes if each parameter is non-zero.

Conclusions

The recognition modes of a new cationic π-extended metalloporphyrin NiII-TimidP4 towards human telomeric G-quadruplexes have been studied. By comparing (chir)optical spectroscopy and MD simulations, we have revealed the differences in preferential binding modes with respect to a conventional meso-substituted NiII-TMPyP4. We show that NiII-TimidP4 maintains both anti-parallel and parallel G4 conformations up to 5 equivalents of Tel22. Importantly, FRET melting assays show the higher stabilization and selectivity of NiII-TimidP4 towards human telomeric G4 than with the conventional NiII-TMPyP4. These results can have significant implications in the context of G4 as therapeutic targets.

Experimental

General experimental

Reagents and solvents were purchased from commercial suppliers (Aldrich, Fluka, or Merck) and were used without further purification. The oligonucleotides (ODNs) were purchased from Eurogentec (Belgium) with the highest purity grade (UltraPure Gold™, >95% pure in sequence) in a dried state, and the purity of the ODN sequences was checked with MALDI-ToF.

Synthetic procedures

General procedure for synthesis of NiII-TimidP4. The corresponding porphyrin fused to four imidazoles was used as a starting material and its synthesis was previously reported by the parallel or hybrid G4 (i.e. structures relevant to K+ solutions) than with the anti-parallel G4 (i.e. structures relevant to Na+ solutions), see Fig. 7b and S11.† Indeed, in the anti-parallel G4, the d(TTA) loops surround the Top and Bottom faces of the G-quartets, yielding a globular shape, which makes it easier for the curved-aromatic porphyrin NiII-TimidP4 to be nested in a wide Groove, leading to a minute conformational change in this metalloporphyrin. This is in fair agreement with the experimental CD shown in Fig. 1 and 2: the ICD signals of this metalloporphyrin are very weak in the presence of NaCl (i.e., anti-parallel conformation) and only appear at a 1 : 5 molar ratio.

Besides, the averaged chirality parameters Δθ for NiII-TMPyP4:Tel22 are slightly lower than for NiII-TimidP4:Tel22 (Fig. 6b), i.e. the planar tetapyrrole is less deformed upon interaction with G4. However, the deformation of the core is not the only parameter influencing the chirality of the molecule. Indeed the rotation of the rings in the meso position can also affect the CD response. For TimidP4, the rotation is locked and only the deformation of the core is possible, while for TMPyP4 the relative orientation of the pyridinium groups can also induce chirality.
one of us. The porphyrin fused to four imidazoles (18 mg, 0.0107 \times 10^{-3} \text{ mmol}) was dissolved in DMF (5 mL) and an excess of iodomethane (1 mL) was added. The reaction mixture was vigorously stirred under an atmosphere of argon for 48 hours. Then, the excess of iodomethane and DMF were evaporated under reduced pressure to leave the crude product which was purified by column chromatography on alumina (CHCl3 + 2% MeOH). The solvents were evaporated and NiII-TimP4 was obtained in 56% yield (16 mg). ^{1}H NMR (300 MHz, CD3OD, 25 °C): δ = 2.69 (s, Bu), 3.96 (s br, NCH3), 8.00–9.80 (m, Hmeso + C-Himidazolium) ppm. ^{13}C NMR (151 MHz, CD3OD, 25 °C): δ = 33.2, 35.4, 44.7, 64.9, 101.4, 130.2, 160.0, 177.3 ppm. HR ESI-TOF MS: \text{m/z} calculated for NiC72H40N12^{4+}: 292.6496 [M – 4]^{4+}; found: 292.6498. UV/Vis (H2O): \lambda_{max} (e) = 436 (134 500), 458 (70 300), 548 (5400), 592 (9700), 639 nm (10100 L mol^{-1} cm^{-1}).

**General procedure for synthesis of NiII-TMPyP4.** The corresponding nickel(n) porphyrin bearing four pyridyl groups in meso positions was obtained following a previously reported synthetic procedure. Alkylation of this compound following the same protocol for the synthesis of NiII-TimP4 afforded NiII-TMPyP4. ^{43}

**Preparation of the porphyrin–G4 mixtures**

The buffer was prepared using tris(hydroxymethyl) amino-methane ([HOCH2]3CNH2), EDTA and Milli-Q water. The oligonucleotides were dissolved in a volume of TE buffer (pH 7.4, 10 mM Tris buffer and 1 mM EDTA) at a concentration of 100 μM. All ODN solutions obtained were centrifuged for 2 minutes at 2000 rpm. Small volumes of this solution were used in order to prepare different aliquots, to which were added TE buffer, or TE buffer + 3 M KCl or 3 M NaCl in order to obtain a final volume of 300 μL and solutions in pure TE buffer or TE buffer + 100 mM K+ ions or TE buffer + 100 mM Na+ ions, respectively. The final solution was mixed using a vortex. The concentration of the aliquot of DNA in the buffer solution was determined by UV-Vis at 25 °C using the specific extinction coefficients at 260 nm (ε_{260}) of each DNA, which are 228 500 L mol^{-1} cm^{-1} and 306 900 L mol^{-1} cm^{-1}, for Tel22 ([dAG3(T2AG3)]) and Tel30 ([dGT2A(GGGTTA)GG]), respectively. The NiII-porphyrin samples were also dissolved in TE buffer (pH 7.4, 10 mM Tris buffer and 1 mM EDTA) or in TE buffer + 100 mM KCl or NaCl and the molar ratio between metalloporphyrins and DNA was adjusted using the calculated molar concentrations of DNA (around 3.5 μM). The molar extinction coefficient (ε) for NiII-TMPyP4 at 418 nm is 149 000 L mol^{-1} cm^{-1} and for NiII-TimP4 is 134 500 L mol^{-1} cm^{-1} at 436 nm. The solution of metalloporphyrin was then added at a concentration of ~1 μM, and the mixture was equilibrated at 25 °C for 5 minutes. The FRET spectra were recorded using a Chirascan™ Plus instrument equipped for fluorescence measurements. The samples were excited at 492 nm and the fluorescence emission spectra were recorded between 500 and 700 nm. The temperature was varied from 25 °C to 96 °C at a rate of 1 °C min^{-1}. The melting of the F21 T was monitored by measuring the fluorescence of FAM (at 516 nm), as described in ref. 45. The FAM emission intensity was normalized and ΔT_{1/2} was defined as the temperature for which the normalized emission equals 0.5. For the selectivity studies, a solution of 15 equivalents of a dsDNA competitor (~3 μM) was added into the F21 T/metalloporphyrin solution and the final solution was equilibrated at 25 °C for 5 minutes. The dsDNA competitor is 5′-CGTACA-GCTAATTGCTTAAAC-3′ hybridized with its complementary sequence.

**Molecular modeling simulations**

**Force field parameterization.** Force field parameters of NiII-TMPyP4 and NiII-TimP4 metalloporphyrins were derived from G4AFF and quantum mechanics calculations at the (IEFPCM)ωB97X-D/6-31+G(d,p)/LANL2DZ level. The ωB97X-D functional was parameterized to accurately take into account non-covalent interactions. The effective core potential LANL2DZ (Los Alamos National Laboratory 2 double-ζ) was used for nickel and the 6-31+G(d,p) basis set for carbon, nitrogen and hydrogen atoms. All calculations were carried out in an implicit water solvent using the integral equation formalism variant of the polarizable continuum model (IEFPCM) since the experiments were performed in aqueous solution. The NiII-TimP4 geometry was extrapolated from the crystal-line structure of the porphyrin bis(imidazolium) salt (counter anions = BF_{4}^{-}) where the two imidazolium rings are fused to two neighboring pyrrole units, similarly to the procedure previously reported for the parent bis(imidazole). The optimization steps were performed within C_{2v} symmetry. Both singlet and triplet states have been considered. The triplet state is the most stable for NiII-TMPyP4 metalloporphyrin; while the

**FRET melting assays**

Fluorescence resonance energy transfer (FRET) melting assays were performed according to Mergny et al. using a synthetic double-dye labelled oligonucleotide F21 T 5′-FAM-GGG (TAGAG)₃-TAMRA-3′ (from Eurogentec, Belgium). The solutions were prepared at a concentration of around 200 nM (base concentration) in 10 mM lithium cacodylate buffer (pH = 7.2) in the presence of 10 mM KCl + 90 mM LiCl (K⁺ medium). The oligonucleotide was first heated to 90 °C for 3 min under the corresponding buffer conditions and then put on ice to support the formation of G4 secondary structure. The metalloporphyrin was then added at a concentration of ~1 μM, and the mixture was equilibrated at 25 °C for 5 minutes. The FRET spectra were recorded using a Chirascan™ Plus instrument equipped for fluorescence measurements. The samples were excited at 492 nm and the fluorescence emission spectra were recorded between 500 and 700 nm. The temperature was varied from 25 °C to 96 °C at a rate of 1 °C min^{-1}. The melting of the F21 T was monitored by measuring the fluorescence of FAM (at 516 nm), as described in ref. 45. The FAM emission intensity was normalized and ΔT_{1/2} was defined as the temperature for which the normalized emission equals 0.5. For the selectivity studies, a solution of 15 equivalents of a dsDNA competitor (~3 μM) was added into the F21 T/metalloporphyrin solution and the final solution was equilibrated at 25 °C for 5 minutes. The dsDNA competitor is 5′-CGTACA-GCTAATTGCTTAAAC-3′ hybridized with its complementary sequence.

**UV-Vis absorption and circular dichroism spectroscopy**

The UV-Vis absorption and circular dichroism (CD) measurements were recorded using a Chirascan™ Plus CD Spectrometer from Applied Photophysics. The measurements were carried out using 1 mm suprasil quartz cells from Hellma Analytics. The spectra were recorded between 200 and 650 nm, with a bandwidth of 1 nm, time per point 1 s and 2 repetitions. The buffered water solvent reference spectra were recorded as baselines and were automatically subtracted from the CD spectra of the samples.
the singlet state is the most stable for Ni\textsuperscript{II}-TImidP4 metalloporphyrin. The energy differences $\Delta E$ between triplet and singlet states are $-5.6$ and 28.0 kcal mol$^{-1}$ for Ni\textsuperscript{II}-TMPyP4 and Ni\textsuperscript{II}. TImidP4 metalloporphyrins, respectively. The presence of close imidazolium moieties around the nickel-atom strongly affects the porphyrin ligand field nickel state switching from triplet to singlet. Partial atomic charges were assigned using Antechamber programs after single point calculations at the aforesaid level of theory. Such calculations were carried out using Gaussian09 program.\textsuperscript{57} Non-covalent parameters for the nickel-atom were derived from MCPP\textsuperscript{58} (Metal Center Protein Builder) parameters included in the AmberTools package.\textsuperscript{59,60} Porphyrin parameters are available in the ESL.\textsuperscript{†}

**Molecular dynamics simulations.** Solvated Tel22 G-quadruplex structures were obtained from the PDB structures (anti-parallel structure with Na$, PDB ID: 143D; parallel structure with K$: 1KF1; hybrid structure, PDB ID: 2HY9).\textsuperscript{37,48,61} The FF12SB force field as implemented in the Amber12 package\textsuperscript{60} was used to describe G-quadruplex structures. MD simulations were first performed on pure anti-parallel or parallel G-quadruplex structures. Water molecules were described as the “three-point” TIP3P model.\textsuperscript{62,63} The minimum distance between any atom of the system and the edge of the periodic box was set up at 15 Å. Water molecules were minimized prior to the entire system minimization. Boxes were thermally equilibrated by performing 50 ps heating MD simulation from 0 to 350 K. Then, 100 ps NPT MD simulations were carried out in order to obtain a solvent box density of 1 g cm$^{-3}$. Finally, 100 ns NVT MD simulations were carried out. The equilibrated G-quadruplex parallel and anti-parallel structures were used for 1:1 metalloporphyrin-G-quadruplexes MD simulations. Five different binding modes were used as initial structures for 1:1 metalloporphyrin–anti-parallel/parallel G-quadruplex structures (Fig. 3). The same protocol was used to equilibrate 1:1 complex structures (i.e., minimization, heating, and density equilibration). 100 ns MD simulations were then achieved in the (NVT) ensemble.

**Binding free energy calculations.** The MM-GBSA (molecular mechanics-Generalized Born surface area) script as implemented in the Amber12 package was used to calculate the metalloporphyrin binding energies ($\Delta G_{\text{binding}}$). The internal contribution as well as non-covalent contributions were calculated using FF12SB and our own force field for G-quadruplexes and porphyrins, respectively (cut-off at 12 Å). The electrostatic solvation free energy was calculated by using the modified GB model in which effective Born radii are re-scaled to account for the interstitial space between atoms.\textsuperscript{54,65} The nonpolar contributions to solvation free energy were calculated using the linear combination of pairwise overlaps as implemented in the Amber12 package. Although MM-GB/PBSA fails to accurately predict absolute binding free energy, it is a reliable approach to rank several binding modes involving similar systems. MM-GBBSA has been shown to provide better results than MM-PBSA for this purpose.\textsuperscript{66} Relative $\Delta G_{\text{binding}}$, defined as $\Delta G_{\text{binding}} = 0$, is calculated with respect to the most stable structure for each 1:1 complex.
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