Dalton Transactions

PAPER

Cite this: Dalton Trans., 2015, 44, 14359

Mono- and di-cationic hydrido boron compounds†‡

Rajendra S. Ghadwal, *^{a,b} Christian J. Schürmann, ^b Diego M. Andrada^c and Gernot Frenking^c

Brønsted acid HNTf₂ (Tf = SO₂CF₃) mediated dehydrogenative hydride abstraction from (L¹)BH₃ (**3**) and $(L^{2})BH_{3}$ (4) $(L^{1} = IPrCH_{2} = 1,3-(2,6-di-isopropylphenyl)imidazol-2-methylidene (1); L^{2} = SIPrCH_{2} = 1,3-(2,6-di-isopropylphenyl)imidazol-2-methylidene)$ (2,6-di-isopropylphenyl)imidazolidin-2-methylidiene (2)) affords thermally stable hydride bridged monocationic hydrido boron compounds $[\{([L^1]BH_2]_2(\mu-H)](NTf_2)$ (5) and $[\{([L^2]BH_2]_2(\mu-H)](NTf_2)$ (6). Furthermore, hydride abstraction yields di-cationic hydrido boron compounds $[\langle (L^1)BH\rangle_2(\mu-H)_2](NTF_2)_2$ (7) and $[\langle (L^2)-H\rangle_2](NTF_3)_2$ $BH_2(\mu-H_2)[\text{NTF}_2]$ (8). Unique cationic boron compounds with $CH_2BH_2(\mu-H)BH_2CH_2$ (5 and 6) and $CH₂BH(\mu-H)₂BHCH₂$ (7 and 8) moieties feature a 3c–2e bond and have been fully characterized. Interesting electronic and structural features of compounds 5-8 are analysed using spectroscopic, crystallographic, and computational methods. PAPER

Consider a subject of the same of

Received 12th June 2015, Accepted 25th June 2015 DOI: 10.1039/c5dt02237a

<www.rsc.org/dalton>

Introduction

Investigation of thermally stable exotic main group compounds with elusive chemical and electronic properties has been a subject of considerable research interest.¹ Boron compounds play an important role in organic synthesis and materials science.² The search for new boron compounds with unique bonding motifs and electronic structures has been a major focus in molecular main group chemistry.³ A variety of mono-cationic boron compounds such as borinium $[R_2B]^+$, borenium $[(L)R_2B]^+$, and boronium $[(L)_2R_2B]^+$ species $(L = a$ neutral ligand) have been isolated and characterized.^{2c,4} Recent studies have demonstrated a remarkable activity of borenium compounds in Lewis acid catalysis as well as in the functionalization of small molecules.^{2c,5} Interestingly, so far only a few di-cationic boron compounds, in particular with a hydride ligand, have been isolated and adequately characterized (Scheme 1). $4c,6$

^aInstitut für Anorganische Chemie, Universität Bielefeld, Universitätsstrasse 25, 33615 Bielefeld, Germany. E-mail: rghadwal@uni-bielefeld.de

^bInstitut für Anorganische Chemie der Georg-August-Universität Göttingen, Tammannstrasse 4, 37077 Göttingen, Germany

Cowley et al. reported the first hydrido boron di-cation (A) stabilized by a pyridine ligand (Scheme 1).^{6f} A direct B-B coupling reaction of a mono-cationic species to afford a di-cationic boron compound (B) stabilized by a cyclic guanidine ligand has been recently described by Himmel and co-workers.^{4c} Singlet carbenes have been recognized as most suitable candidates for taming highly reactive main group species. $3n,7$ Therefore, boryl radicals, $3r,8$ borenium ions, $4e,5a, d,6b,9$ boryl anions,^{2e,3i,q,s,10} borylenes,^{3e,o,7b,11} diborene,^{3g,12} and diboryne^{3k} compounds have been successfully isolated by using

^cFachbereich Chemie, Philipps-Universität Marburg, Hans-Meerwein-Straße, 35032 Marburg, Germany

[†]This paper is dedicated to Professor Manfred Scheer on the occasion of his 60th birthday.

[‡]Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available: Crystallographic data and computational details. CCDC 1401734 (4), 1060035 (5), 1060034 (8) and 1060033 (9). For ESI and crystallographic data in CIF or other electronic format see DOI: 10.1039/c5dt02237a

Scheme 2 Synthesis of NHO–borane compounds 3 and 4.

N-heterocyclic carbenes (NHCs). Curran et al. employed an NHC and isolated a hydride-bridged boron di-cation (C) .^{6b} A carbodicarbene stabilized hydrido boron di-cation (D) has been reported very recently by Ong and co-workers.^{6a}

While the flanking substituents at the imidazole nitrogen atoms of an NHC are well endowed to encapsulate a reactive species to be stabilized, but they may prevent its accessibility for further reactions. $3n,13$ Moreover, NHC-coordinated main group species sometimes do not exhibit the expected reactivity owing to the diminished electrophilicity. This is due to the strong NHC–element interaction. To circumvent this situation and to expand the scope of reactive main group species to functionalize organic substrates, we became interested in the new class of carbon-donor ligands.¹⁴ N-Heterocyclic olefins (NHOs) and their borane adducts were already known as early as in 1993 ,¹⁵ interest in this class of ligands incited us very recently.¹⁶ NHOs (1 and 2, Scheme 2) are strong nucleophiles but rather weak electron donors (Lewis bases) than NHCs.¹⁷ Therefore, NHOs readily react with a NHC-stabilized dichlorosilylene $[IPr]SiCl₂$ to furnish silyl-functionalized NHOs and liberate free IPr (IPr = 1,3-(2,6-di-isopropylphenyl)imidazol-2 ylidene).¹⁸ Similarly, KC₈ reduction of (NHO)BRX₂ (R = I or Ph; $X = Cl$ or I) compounds led to the insertion of a borylene into a C–N bond to yield boryl-functionalized NHOs.^{14b} Herein, we report on a very facile route to NHO-stabilized mono- and dicationic hydrido boron compounds featuring $\left[CH_2BH_2(\mu-H)\right]$ - BH_2CH_2] and $[CH_2BH(\mu-H)_2BHCH_2]$ bonding motifs. Synthesis, characterization, reactivity, structure, and computational analysis of these compounds are presented. Published on 1996 and the space of the state of the

Results and discussion

The reaction of an NHO $(L^1 \text{ or } L^2)$ with (THF)BH₃ quantitatively yields $(L^1)BH_3$ (3) and $(L^2)BH_3$ (4) as white solids $(L^1 =$ IPrCH₂ (1) and L^2 = SIPrCH₂ (2); IPrCH₂ = 1,3-(2,6-di-isopropylphenyl)-imidazol-2-methylidene and $SIPrCH₂ = 1,3-(2,6-di$ isopropylphenyl)imidazolidin-2-methylidiene) (Scheme 2). Treatment of compounds 3 and 4 with 0.5 eq. of bis(trifluoromethane)sulfonimide $(HNTf₂)$ readily affords mono-cationic hydrido boron compounds $[\{(L^1)BH_2\}_2(\mu-H)](NTf_2)$ (5) and $[\mathrm{\{(L}^2)BH_2\}_2(\mu\text{-}H)]\text{(NTf}_2)}$ (6) in a high yield (Scheme 3).

Compounds 3-6 have been characterized by ${}^{1}H$, ${}^{11}B$ and ${}^{13}C$ NMR as well as IR spectroscopic studies. The exocyclic methylene (CH_2) group each in 3 and 4 appears as a broad signal in

Scheme 3 Synthesis of mono- and di-cationic hydrido boron compounds 5–8.

the ¹H NMR spectrum at δ 1.60 and δ 1.41 ppm, respectively. Each of compounds 5 (δ -15.4) and 6 (δ -16.4) exhibits a broad 11B NMR signal, which is shifted towards downfield (ca. 14 ppm) when compared with the respective starting compound 3 (δ -29.9) or 4 (δ -29.5). Moreover, the ¹¹B NMR chemical shift, each for 5 and 6, is ca. 10 ppm downfield compared to NHC-analogues. $6b$ This may be due to the lower basicity of L^1 and L^2 ligands than that of NHCs. Nevertheless, compounds 5 and 6 are stable both in solution $(CH_2Cl_2,$ CHCl₃, C₆H₅F, and 1,2-Cl₂C₆H₄) and solid phases at room temperature under an inert gas (Ar or N_2) atmosphere.

Suitable single crystals for X-ray diffraction study were obtained by a slow diffusion of n -hexane into a saturated dichloromethane solution of 5 at room temperature. The solidstate structure of 5 revealed the formation of a hydride bridged mono-cationic boron compound (Fig. 1). The B- $(\mu$ -H $)$ -B bond is apparently derived from a 3c–2e (three-center–two-electrons) interaction. The $C(0)-B(0)$ bond length of 1.630 Å in 5 is slightly shorter than that of 4 (1.68 Å). The $C(0)-C(1)$ bond lengths of 4 (1.452 Å) and 5 (1.467) are comparable with $C(sp^2)$ - $C(sp^3)$ single bond distances. All hydrogen atoms near the boron atom were located on difference Fourier maps and refined isotropically as independent atoms. Due to experimental restrictions, all B–H distances are underestimated. The electron density of hydrogen is always shifted towards the bonding partner and due to the lack of core electrons, a shortened B-H bond length is obtained in the IAM refinement.¹⁹

In order to shed light into the electronic structures, we carried out DFT calculations $(M06-2X/\text{def2-SVP})^{20}$ for 5 and 6. The optimized bond lengths and angles of 5 are in good agreement with the experimental values (Fig. 1). A comparison of the calculated structures of 5 and 6 indicates (Fig. S2 in the ESI \ddagger) very similar geometries for the H₂B–H–BH₂ moiety. Compound 6 presents a slightly longer B–μH bond length and more acute $C_{(carbene)}$ -C-B angles than those in 5. Based on the natural population analysis²¹ (Table 1) the boron fragment B_2H_5 is negatively charged by -0.23 e and -0.20 e for 5 and 6,

Fig. 1 (a) Molecular structure of compound 5. Hydrogen atoms except those on the $H_2B-H-BH_2$ moiety, isopropyl groups, and the anionic part have been omitted for clarity. Thermal ellipsoids are represented at the 50% probability level. Boron bound hydrogen atoms were refined without restraints. Selected experimental and theoretical [M06-2X/def2-SVP] bond lengths [Å] and the bond angle [°]: B0–C0 1.630(2) [1.654], B0–H5B 1.260(11) [1.304], B0–H0BA 1.12(2) [1.211], B0–H0BB 1.09(2) [1.212], C0–C1 1.467(2) [1.465], B30–C30 1.633(2) [1.647], B30–H5B 1.27(2) [1.313], B30–H3BA 1.10(2) [1.213], B30–H3BB 1.10(12) [1.217], C30–C31 1.468(2) [1.468], C1–C0–B0 111.1(2) [109.3], C31–C30–B30 110.2(1) [107.7]. (b) Optimized structure (M06-2X/def2-SVP) of compound 5.

Table 1 NPA charges (Q) and Wiberg Bond Order (WBO) values of compounds 5–9 at M06-2X/def2-TZVPP

Compound	Q(B)	$Q(\mu H)$	$Q({\bf C})$	$Q(C_{\rm carb})$	$Q(\rm N)$	$WBO(B-\mu H)$	$WBO(C-B)$	$WBO(B-B)$	$WBO(C-Ccarb)$
5.	-0.10	$+0.05$	-0.73	$+0.57$	-0.35	0.49	0.83	0.29	$1.08\,$
6	-0.10	$+0.03$	-0.75	$+0.69$	-0.42	0.50	0.81	0.29	1.10
⇁	$+0.11$	$+0.13$	-0.78	$+0.53$	-0.33	0.46	0.91	0.64	1.05
8	$+0.11$	$+0.13$	-0.80	$+0.66$	-0.39	0.46	0.90	0.65	1.05
9	-0.08	$\overline{}$	-0.74	$+0.69$	-0.42		0.78		1.11

respectively. Additionally, natural bond orbital $(NBO)^{22}$ analysis reveals the presence of a 3c–2e bond (Tables S2 and S3‡) B–μH–B where 47% is at the H atom and roughly 26% on each of the boron atoms. NBO results also point out that the ligands bind boron by C–B σ-bonds which are polarized toward the carbon end (∼70% at C).

The reaction of 3 and 4 with $HNTf_2$ in a 1:1 molar ratio cleanly yields di-cationic hydrido boron compounds 7 and 8 (Scheme 3) as white solids. Compounds 7 and 8 are rather poorly soluble in CH_2Cl_2 and $1,2-Cl_2C_6H_4$ but are freely dissolved in acetonitrile. The 11 B NMR spectrum of each of 7 (−19.89) and 8 (−19.78) exhibits a broad resonance, which is ca. 10 ppm downfield compared to that of 3 and 4. The molecular structure of 8 is shown in Fig. 2. The $BH₂BH₂$ core features two 3c–2e bonds with the B⋯B distance of 1.755 Å. This is actually similar to B…B distances in cationic (NHC)BH₂ 6b as well as in neutral RBH₂ dimers.²³ Similarly, the B…B distance in 8 is consistent with that of the parent B_2H_6 determined by electron diffraction (1.77 Å)²⁴ or X-ray methods (1.78–1.79 Å).²⁵

The exact location of the B–H hydrogen is uncertain due to the experimental restrictions. Nevertheless, DFT(M06-2X/def2- SVP) optimized structures present a reasonable agreement with the experimental structure (Fig. 2). The theoretically pre-

dicted B–H bond lengths are longer than the experimental values, which is a feature commonly observed between solidstate and theoretical structures which refer to isolated molecules.²⁶ The experimentally observed B–B distances are well represented by DFT calculations. The short B⋯B distances possibly indicate a weak bond. In fact, the B–B Wiberg Bond Indices (WBO in Table 1) are 0.64 au and 0.65 au for compounds 7 and 8, respectively. The increase in the bond order comes from the significantly shorter B–B distances in the dications. In this case, NBO calculations revealed the occurrence of two B-H-B 3c-2e bonds in the $HB(\mu-H)_2BH$ moiety where 43% is located at the H bridges and ∼28% at each boron atom (Tables S4 and S5‡). The NHO ligands have a C–B σ-bond where the polarization towards carbon is slightly lower (65% for 7 and 8) than that in 5 and 6. The calculated charge distribution for the latter di-cationic species suggests that a positive charge of roughly +1.5 e resides at the NHO ligands. The somewhat counter intuitive charge at the boron atom can be rationalized in terms of the donor-acceptor bonding model²⁷ which has successfully been used to explain the structure of boron compounds $3m,28$ and to predict new boron molecules with unusual bonding situations. $3k,3o,29$ Compounds 5-8 may be formally considered as complexes where a charged central

Fig. 2 (a) Molecular structure of compound 8. Hydrogen atoms except those on the HBHHBH moiety, isopropyl groups, and the anionic part have been omitted for clarity. Thermal ellipsoids are represented at the 50% probability level. Boron bound hydrogen atoms were refined without restraints. Selected experimental and theoretical [M06-2X/def2-SVP] bond lengths [Å] and the bond angle [°]: B1–C2 1.601(4) [1.593], B1–B1#1 1.755(7) [1.762], B1–H1 1.07(3)) [1.196], B1–H2 1.28(3) [1.330], C2–C3 1.488(3) [1.479], C2–B1–B1#1 123.6(3) [123.5], C3–C2–B1 118.6(2) [115.7]. (b) Optimized structure (M06-2X/def2-SVP) of compound 8.

Scheme 4 Reaction of 8 with L^2 to boronium ion 9.

boron fragment $\left(\mathrm{B_2H_5}^+ \right. \mathrm{in}$ the mono-cations and $\mathrm{B_2H_4}^{2+}$ in the di-cations) serves as an acceptor and the NHOs serve as donors, NHO \rightarrow B₂H_n^q</sup> \leftarrow NHO. The strong charge donation leads to negative charges at boron in the cations 5 and 6 and to rather small positive charges in the di-cations 7 and 8. A negative partial charge was previously found at the $\mathrm{BH_2}^+$ fragment in the cations $(L \rightarrow BH_2 \leftarrow L]^{+.3m}$

Treatment of 8 with two equivalents of the NHO (L^2) leads to the clean formation of a boronium compound $[[L^2)_2BH_2]$ [NTf₂] (9) (Scheme 4). The ¹¹B NMR spectrum of 9 shows a broad signal at δ −23.8 ppm. While hydrogen atoms of the $\rm BH_2$ group could not be located, the $^1\rm H$ NMR spectrum of compound 9 exhibits a remarkably up-field signal $(\delta 0.34$ ppm) for methylene (CH_2BH_2) protons, which has been confirmed by a 1 H $-{}^{13}$ C-HSQC (heteronuclear single quantum coherence) experiment. Colourless crystals of 9 were obtained from a solution of dichloromethane/n-hexane (4 : 1) at room temperature. The molecular structure of 9 features a $\text{CH}_2\text{BH}_2\text{CH}_2$ moiety with the C–B–C angle of 109.22° (Fig. 3). The C–B bond distance of 1.69 Å is comparable to that of 8.

Compounds 3–9 exhibit characteristic absorption bands for the terminal ν (B-H) stretching vibrations from 2230 to 2463 cm⁻¹. IR absorption bands in the 1561-1594 cm⁻¹ region may be assigned for the bridging ν (B–H) vibrations.³⁰

Fig. 3 Molecular structure of compound 9. Hydrogen atoms except those on the $BH₂$ moiety, isopropyl groups as well as the anionic part have been omitted for clarity. Thermal ellipsoids are represented at the 50% probability level. Boron bound hydrogen atoms were refined without restraints. Selected experimental and theoretical [M06-2X/def2- SVP] bond lengths [Å] and the bond angle [°]: B1–C2 1.689(3) [1.693], B1–C32 1.690(3) [1.694], B1–H1 1.12(2) [1.226], B1–H2 1.12(2) [1.226], C2–C3 1.456(3) [1.455], C32–C33 1.459(3) [1.455], C2–B1–C32 109.2(2) [109.2], C3–C2–B1 111.9(2) [107.8], C33–C32–B1 110.5(2) [107.6].

Experimental

All syntheses and manipulations were carried out under an inert atmosphere of dry argon or nitrogen gas using Schlenk line techniques and a glove box. CD_2Cl_2 , CH_2Cl_2 , $o-Cl_2C_6D_4$ and o -Cl₂C₆H₄ (over CaH₂), C₆D₆ and THF (over K-benzophenone ketyl) were dried and distilled under a dry argon atmosphere prior to use. All other solvents were dried and purified

by using a MBRAUN solvent purification system (MB SPS 800). 1 H, 11 B and 13 C NMR spectra were recorded using a Bruker Avance III 300 or a Bruker Avance DRX 500 spectrometer. ESI mass spectra were recorded with a Bruker micrOTOF or a Bruker maXis spectrometer. Melting points were measured with a Büchi Melting Point B-540 apparatus. Elemental analyses were performed at the Institute for Inorganic Chemistry, Universität Göttingen. (THF) $BH₃$ (Aldrich) and HNTf₂ (Aldrich) were used without further purification. $SIPrCH_2$ (L^1) (1) and IPrCH₂ (L^2) (2) were prepared by adopting the reported methods.^{14b,31}

Synthesis and characterization of compounds 3–9

 $(L¹)BH₃$ (3). A 1 M THF solution of (THF)BH₃ (3.6 mL, 3.60 mmol) was added to an *n*-hexane solution of L^1 (1) (1.44 g, 3.57 mmol) at room (25 °C) temperature. The resulting white slurry was stirred for 4 h. Filtration through a glass frit afforded a white solid, which was washed with 20 mL n -hexane and dried under vacuum to yield 3 (1.34 g, 90%). Colorless crystals of 2 were grown from a 20 mL CH_2Cl_2/n -hexane (1:1) solution. Mp.: 201 °C. MS (ESI, m/z [M]): 415.33 [M − H]⁺, 416.33 $[M]^+$. Elemental analysis for C₂₈H₄₁N₂B (416): C 80.75, H 9.92, N 6.73; found C 80.44, H 9.85, N 6.68. IR (cm^{−1}): 2960, 2871, 2322, 2258, 2230, 1560. ${}^{1}\text{H}$ NMR (300 MHz, CD₂Cl₂, 25 °C): δ 1.16 (d, 12H, J = 6.8 Hz, HCMe₂); 1.36 (d, 12H, J = 6.8 Hz, HCMe₂); 1.60 (br, 2H, CCH₂); 2.70 (sept, 4H, J = 6.8 Hz, $HCMe_2$); 6.98 (s, 2H, NCH); 7.36 (d, 4H, $J = 7.9$ Hz, $m-C_6H_3$); 7.56 (t, 2H, J = 7.7 Hz, p-C₆H₃). ¹³C{¹H} NMR (75 MHz, CD₂Cl₂, 25 °C): δ 16.55 (CCH₂); 22.97, 26.02 (HCMe₂); 29.49 (HCMe₂); 121.55 (NCH); 125.13 $(m-C_6H_3)$; 131.50, 131.98 $(p-C_6H_3)$ o - C_6H_3); 146.66 (ipso- C_6H_3); 165.41 (CCH₂) ppm. B{¹H}NMR (96 MHz, CD_2Cl_2 , 25 °C): δ –29.89 ppm. ¹¹B NMR (160 MHz, CD₂Cl₂, 25 °C): δ –29.89 (q, J_{B-H} = 85.43 Hz) ppm. **Detton Tansactions**

by using a MIEMATS article and second on 07 July 2016. A white version and detto interventional and the main of the second under water and the main of the second interventional and the main of the ma

Synthesis of $(L^2)BH_3$ (4). Compound 4 was prepared by adopting a similar method as described for 3 using L^2 (2) $(1.37 \text{ g}, 3.38 \text{ mmol})$ and $(THF)BH₃ (3.4 mL, 3.4 mmol)$ as colorless crystals (1.20 g, 84%). Mp.: 202 °C. MS (ESI, m/z [M]): 417.34 $[M - H]^+, 418.34 [M]^+.$ Elemental analysis for $C_{28}H_{43}N_{2}B$ (418): C 80.36, H 10.36, N 6.69; found C 79.98, H 9.96, N 6.67. IR (cm^{−1}): 2924, 2854, 2347, 2273, 2239, 1531. ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CD_2Cl_2 , 25 °C): δ 1.29 (d, 12H, $J = 6.9$ Hz, HCMe₂); 1.34 (br, 2H, CCH₂); 1.41 (d, 12H, $J = 6.7$ Hz, HCMe₂); 3.22 (sept, 4H, $J = 6.8$ Hz, $HCMe_2$); 4.07 (s, 4H, NCH₂); 7.32 (d, 4H, J = 7.5 Hz, m-C₆H₃); 7.46 (t, 2H, J = 7.7 Hz, p-C₆H₃). ¹³C{¹H} NMR (75 MHz, CD_2Cl_2 , 25 °C): δ 18.46 (CCH₂); 23.72, 26.37 $(HCMe_2)$; 29.39 $(HCMe_2)$; 51.71 (NCH_2) ; 125.39 $(m-C_6H_3)$; 130.56, 132.60 $(p-C_6H_3, o-C_6H_3)$; 147.60 $(ipso-C_6H_3)$; 183.07 (CCH_2) ppm. ¹¹B{¹H} NMR (96 MHz, CD₂Cl₂, 25 °C): δ –29.51 ppm. ¹¹B NMR (160 MHz, CD₂Cl₂, 25 °C): δ –29.51 $(q, J_{B-H} = 88.28 \text{ Hz})$ ppm.

 $[\{(\mathbf{L}^1)\mathbf{BH}_2\}_2(\boldsymbol{\mu}_2\text{-H})]\mathbf{NTf}_2$ (5). To a 50 mL Schlenk flask containing 3 (0.50 g, 1.2 mmol) and $HNTf_2$ (0.17 g, 0.6 mmol) was added 10 mL of o-dichlorobenzene at room temperature. Effervescence indicated the formation of a gas (apparently H_2). Further stirring at room temperature for 2 h afforded a colorless clear solution, which was combined with 20 mL of

n-hexane. A white residue was separated out, which was washed with 5 mL of n-hexane and dried under vacuum to obtain compound 5 as a white solid (0.40 g, 60%). Mp.: 210 °C. MS (ESI, m/z [M]): 831.66 $[M^*]^+$ (M^{*} = cationic unit). Elemental analysis for $C_{58}H_{81}N_5B_2F_6O_4S_2$ (1112): C 62.64, H 7.34, N 6.30; found C 62.33, H 7.21, N 6.18. IR (cm^{−1}): 2924, 2854, 2463, 2412, 2067, 1565. ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CD_2Cl_2 , 25 °C): δ 1.13 (pseudo-t, 24H, HCMe₂); 1.53 (br, 2H, CCH₂); 2.31 (sept, 4H, $J = 6.8$ Hz, $HCMe₂$); 7.08 (s, 2H, NCH); 7.29 (d, $4H, J = 7.8$ Hz, m -C₆H₃); 7.55 (t, 2H, $J = 7.8$ Hz, p -C₆H₃). ¹³C{¹H} NMR (75 MHz, CD_2Cl_2 , 25 °C): δ 15.08 (CCH₂); 22.86, 25.78 $(HCMe₂)$; 29.47 $(HCMe₂)$; 122.91 (NCH) ; 125.27 $(m-C₆H₃)$; 130.84, 132.13 $(p-C_6H_3, o-C_6H_3);$ 146.03 $(ipso-C_6H_3);$ 158.67 (CCH₂) ppm. ¹¹B{¹H} NMR (96 MHz, CD₂Cl₂, 25 °C): δ –15.43 ppm. ¹⁹F (282 MHz, CD₂Cl₂, 25 °C) = δ –79.52 ppm.

 $[{(L^2)BH_2}_{2}(\mu_2-H)]NTf_2$ (6). Compound 6 was prepared by adopting a similar method as discussed for compound 5 using 4 (0.26 g, 0.62 mmol) and $HNTf_2$ (0.08 g, 0.31 mmol) as a white solid (0.19 g, 55%). Mp.: 211 °C. MS (ESI, m/z [M]): 835.70 $[M^*]^+$ $(M^* =$ cationic unit). Elemental analysis for $C_{58}H_{85}N_5B_2F_6O_4S_2$ (1116): C 62.42, H 7.68, N 6.28; found C 61.77, H 7.51, N 6.16. IR (cm−¹): 2932, 2844, 2459, 2420, 2053, 1560. ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CD_2Cl_2 , 25 °C): δ 1.17 (d, 12H, $J = 6.8$ Hz, HCMe₂); 1.20 (br, 2H, CCH₂); 1.24 (d, 12H, $J =$ 6.8 Hz, HCMe₂); 2.84 (sept, 4H, $J = 6.8$ Hz, $HCMe_2$); 4.05 (s, 4H, NCH₂); 7.23 (d, 4H, J = 7.8 Hz, m-C₆H₃); 7.41 (t, 2H, J = 7.7 Hz, $p\text{-}C_6H_3$). $^{13}C_1^{1}H$ } NMR (75 MHz, CD₂Cl₂, 25 °C): δ 16.56 (CCH₂); 23.63, 26.22 (HCMe₂); 29.39 (HCMe₂); 52.08 (NCH₂); 125.57, 126.30 $(m-C_6H_3)$; 131.34, 131.27, 132.52 $(p-C_6H_3)$ $o\text{-}C_6\text{H}_3$); 147.88, 147.12 (ipso- $C_6\text{H}_3$); 178.33 (CCH₂) ppm. ¹¹B 4H NMR (96 MHz, CD₂Cl₂, 25 °C): δ -16.38 ppm. ¹⁹F $(282 \text{ MHz}, \text{CD}_2\text{Cl}_2, 25 \text{ °C}) = \delta -79.53 \text{ ppm}.$

 $[\{(\text{L}^1)\text{BH}_2\}_2]$ (NTf₂)₂ (7). To a 50 mL Schlenk flask containing 3 (0.66 g, 1.57 mmol) and $HNTf_2$ (0.44 g, 1.57 mmol) was added 20 mL of fluorobenzene at room temperature. Further stirring at room temperature for 4 h afforded a white suspension, which was combined with 10 mL of n -hexane. A white solid was separated out, which was washed with 5 mL of n-hexane and dried under vacuum to obtain compound 7 (0.82 g, 74%). Mp.: 202 °C. Elemental analysis for $C_{60}H_{80}N_6B_2F_{12}O_8S_4$ (1391): C 51.80, H 5.80, N 6.04; found C 51.10, H 5.62, N 5.75. IR (cm−¹): 2920, 2854, 2723, 2600, 2369, 1589, 1561. ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CD₃CN, 25 °C): δ 1.20 (d, 12H, $J = 6.8$ Hz, HCMe₂); 1.33 (d, 12H, $J = 6.8$ Hz, HCMe₂); 1.78 $[\text{br, 2H, CCH}_2]$; 2.44 (sept, 4H, $J = 6.8$ Hz, $HCMe_2$); 7.50 (d, 4H, $J = 7.9$ Hz, m -C₆H₃); 7.53 (s, 2H, NCH); 7.65 (t, 2H, $J = 7.3$ Hz, $p\text{-}C_6H_3$). $^{13}\text{C}_1^{1}\text{H}$ } NMR (75 MHz, CD₃CN, 25 °C): δ 15.49 (CCH₂); 22.71, 25.54 (HCMe₂); 29.95 (HCMe₂); 125.87 (NCH); 126.30 $(m-C_6H_3)$; 131.33, 132.81 (p-C₆H₃, o-C₆H₃); 146.78 (ipso-C₆H₃); 157.03 (CCH₂) ppm. ${}^{11}B_1^1H$ } NMR (160 MHz, CD₃CN, 25 °C): δ −19.89 ppm. ¹⁹F (282 MHz, CD₃CN, 25 °C) = δ −80.16 ppm.

 $[{(L^2)BH_2}]$ (NTf₂)₂ (8). Under similar experimental conditions as described for 7, treatment of 4 (0.27 g, 0.64 mmol) with $HNTf_2$ (0.18 g, 0.64 mmol) afforded compound 8 as a white solid (0.39 g, 86%). Mp.: 197 °C. MS (ESI, m/z [M]): 834.68, 833.68 $[M^*]$ ⁺ (M^* = cationic unit). Elemental analysis for $C_{60}H_{84}N_6B_2F_{12}O_8S_4$ (1395): C 51.65, H 6.07, N 6.02; found C 51.22, H 5.85, N 5.95. IR (cm−¹): 2924, 2836, 2724, 2584, 2365, 1594, 1564. ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CD₃CN, 25 °C): δ 1.31 (d, $12H, J = 6.8$ Hz, $HCMe₂$); 1.36 (d, $12H, J = 6.8$ Hz, $HCMe₂$); 1.44 (br, 2H, CCH₂); 3.06 (sept, 4H, $J = 6.8$ Hz, $HCMe₂$); 4.21 (s, 4H, NCH₂); 7.41 (d, 4H, $J = 7.8$ Hz, m -C₆H₃); 7.52 (t, 2H, $J = 7.7$ Hz, $p\text{-}C_6H_3$). $^{13}C_1^{1}H$ } NMR (75 MHz, CD₃CN, 25 °C): δ 16.82 (CCH₂); 23.54, 26.24 (HCMe₂); 29.70 (HCMe₂); 52.65 (NCH₂); 126.19, 126.59 $(m-C_6H_3)$; 131.33, 131.94, 132.61 $(p-C_6H_3)$ $o\text{-}C_6\text{H}_3$); 147.41, 147.88 (ipso- $C_6\text{H}_3$); 177.31 (CCH₂) ppm. ¹¹B 4H NMR (96 MHz, CD₂Cl₂, 25 °C): δ -19.78 ppm. ¹⁹F $(282 \text{ MHz}, \text{CD}_3\text{CN}, 25 \text{ °C}) = \delta - 80.13 \text{ ppm}.$

 $[\{(\text{L}^2)_2\text{BH}_2\}](\text{NTf}_2)_2$ (9). To a 50 mL fluorobenzene solution of 8 (2.50 g, 1.79 mmol) was added L^2 (1.45 g, 3.58 mmol) at room temperature and stirred for 4 h. Removal of the volatiles under vacuum afforded an off-white solid. The residue was dissolved in 20 mL of dichloromethane and 10 mL of n -hexane was added. The resulting solution was stored at 3 °C for two days to yield a colorless crystalline solid of compound 9 (2.70 g, 68%). Mp.: 273 °C. MS (ESI, m/z [M]): 821.67, 822.67, 820.67 $[M^*]$ ⁺ $(M^* =$ cationic unit). Elemental analysis for $C_{58}H_{82}N_5BF_6O_4S_2$ (1102): C 63.20, H 7.50, N 6.35; found C 63.01, H 7.31, N 6.19. IR (cm−¹): 2922, 2853, 2724, 2586, 1711, 1595, 1566. ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CD_2Cl_2 , 25 °C): δ 0.35 (br, 2H, CCH₂); 0.98 (d, 12H, $J = 6.7$ Hz, HCMe₂); 1.15 (d, 12H, $J = 6.8$ Hz, HCMe₂); 2.76 (sept, 4H, $J = 6.8$ Hz, HCMe₂); 3.96

 $(s, 4H, NCH₂)$; 7.14 (d, 4H, J = 7.9 Hz, m-C₆H₃); 7.34 (t, 2H, J = 7.7 Hz, p-C₆H₃). ¹³C{¹H} NMR (75 MHz, CD₂Cl₂, 25 °C): δ 22.53 (CCH₂); 23.46, 26.56 (HCMe₂); 29.49 (HCMe₂); 51.58 (NCH₂); 125.62 (m-C₆H₃); 131.06, 131.74 (p-C₆H₃, o-C₆H₃); 147.30 (ipso- C_6H_3); 181.15 (CCH₂) ppm. ¹¹B{¹H} NMR (96 MHz, CD₂Cl₂, 25 °C): δ -24.03 ppm. ¹⁹F (282 MHz, CD₂Cl₂, 25 °C) = δ –79.55 ppm.

Crystallographic details

Suitable single crystals were selected from the mother liquor under Schlenk conditions and covered with perfluorinated polyether oil on a microscope slide, which was cooled under a nitrogen gas flow using the X-Temp2 device.³² The diffraction data of compounds 5, 8 and 9 were collected at 100 K on a Bruker D8 three circle diffractometer, equipped with a SMART APEX II CCD detector and an INCOATEC microfocus source (Ag K_{α} radiation) with INCOATEC Quazar mirror optics (Table 2). The diffraction data of compound 4 were collected at 100 K on a Bruker D8 three-circle diffractometer, equipped with a SMART APEX II CCD detector and an INCOATEC microfocus source (Mo K_{α} radiation) with INCOATEC Quazar mirror optics. The data were integrated with SAINT³³ and a multi-scan absorption correction with $SADABS^{34}$ was applied. The structure solution was performed with SHELXT³⁵ and structure refinement was performed with SHELXL,³⁶ using the graphical user interface SHELXLE.³⁷ All non-hydrogen atoms were Public Cost Case Article is 10.35 PM. The Cost Article 2016. This article is licensed on 16/2024 11:33:35 PM. This article is 10.31:35 PM. Cost 2015. Downloaded to 2012. Downloaded to 2012. Downloaded to 2012. Downloaded

refined with anisotropic displacement parameters. All hydrogen atoms, except those bound to boron atoms, were assigned to ideal positions and refined using a riding model with U_{iso} constrained to 1.2 (1.5) times the U_{eq} value of the parent carbon atom. The positions of boron bound hydrogen atoms were found by difference Fourier analysis and the positions were refined.

Computational details

The geometries of compounds 5–9 have been optimized using the functional M06-2 X^{20a} combined with the def2-SVP basis set.^{20b} Stationary points were located with the Berny algorithm³⁸ using redundant coordinates. Analytical Hessians were computed to determinate the nature of the stationary points.³⁹ All geometry optimizations were performed using the Gaussian 09 suite of programs.⁴⁰ The NBO^{21,22,41} analyses have been carried out with the GENNBO 5.9^{42} program at the M06-2X/ def2-TZVPP level of theory.

Conclusions

In conclusion, mono-cationic $[\{(\text{L}^1)\text{BH}_2\}_2(\mu\text{-H})](\text{NTf}_2)$ (5) and $[{(L^2)BH_2}'_2(\mu-H)](NTf_2)$ (6) and di-cationic $[{(L^1)BH}_2'(\mu-H)_2]$ $(NTf_2)_2$ (7) and $[\{(L^2)BH\}_2(\mu\text{-}H)_2](NTf_2)_2$ (8) hydrido boron compounds are readily accessible by a hydride abstraction reaction of 3 and 4 with a commercially available Brønsted acid. Structure and bonding of these compounds featuring $CH_2BH_2(\mu\text{-}H)$ - BH_2CH_2 (5 and 6) and $CH_2BH(\mu\text{-}H)_2BHCH_2$ (7 and 8) scaffolds have been analysed using experimental and theoretical methods. The NHO ligand forms a C–B σ -bond, where the polarization towards carbon is slightly lower (65% for 7 and 8) than that for 5 and 6. NBO calculations revealed the occurrence of two B–H–B 3c–2e bonds in the $HB(\mu-H)_2BH$ moiety where 43% is located at the H bridges and ∼28% at each boron atom.

Crystallographic data of compounds 4, 5, 8 and 9 have been deposited with the Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre.

Acknowledgements

We are thankful to the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (DFG) (GH 129/4-1) for financial support.

Notes and references

- 1 (a) B. D. Rekken, T. M. Brown, J. C. Fettinger, H. M. Tuononen and P. P. Power, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2012, 134, 6504–6507; (b) P. P. Power, Nature, 2010, 463, 171–177; (c) R. C. Fischer and P. P. Power, Chem. Rev., 2010, 110, 3877–3923.
- 2 (a) A. J. Lennox and G. C. Lloyd-Jones, Chem. Soc. Rev., 2014, 43, 412–443; (b) T. Banu, K. Sen, D. Ghosh, T. Debnath and A. K. Das, RSC Adv., 2014, 4, 1352–1361; (c) T. S. De Vries, A. Prokofjevs and E. Vedejs, Chem. Rev.,

2012, 112, 4246–4282; (d) M. Yamashita and K. Nozaki, in Synthesis and Application of Organoboron Compounds, ed. E. Fernández and A. Whiting, Springer International Publishing, 2015, vol. 49, ch. 1, pp. 1–37; (e) Y. Segawa, M. Yamashita and K. Nozaki, Science, 2006, 314, 113–115; (f) Contemporary Boron Chemistry, ed. M. G. Davidson, K. Wade, T. B. Marder and A. K. Hughes, The Royal Society of Chemistry, Cambridge, UK, 2000.

- 3 (a) H. Braunschweig, W. C. Ewing, K. Geetharani and M. Schafer, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2015, 54, 1662–1665; (b) P. Q. Huang and C. H. Lai, Comput. Theor. Chem., 2015, 1051, 17–23; (c) R. Koppe and H. Schnockel, Chem. Sci., 2015, 6, 1199–1205; (d) F. Dahcheh, D. W. Stephan and G. Bertrand, Chem. – Eur. J., 2015, 21, 199–204; (e) D. A. Ruiz, M. Melaimi and G. Bertrand, Chem. Commun., 2014, 50, 7837–7839; (f) P. Bissinger, H. Braunschweig, A. Damme, I. Krummenacher, A. K. Phukan, K. Radacki and S. Sugawara, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2014, 53, 7360–7363; (g) P. Bissinger, H. Braunschweig, A. Damme, T. Kupfer, I. Krummenacher and A. Vargas, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2014, 53, 5689–5693; (h) T. B. Tai and M. T. Nguyen, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2013, 52, 4554–4557; (i) D. A. Ruiz, G. Ung, M. Melaimi and G. Bertrand, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2013, 52, 7590–7592; (j) H. Braunschweig, T. Herbst, K. Radacki, C. W. Tate and A. Vargas, Chem. Commun., 2013, 49, 1702–1704; (k) H. Braunschweig, R. D. Dewhurst, K. Hammond, J. Mies, K. Radacki and A. Vargas, Science, 2012, 336, 1420– 1422; (l) G. Frenking and N. Holzmann, Science, 2012, 336, 1394–1395; (m) M. A. Celik, R. Sure, S. Klein, R. Kinjo, G. Bertrand and G. Frenking, Chem. – Eur. J., 2012, 18, 5676–5692; (n) H. Braunschweig, R. D. Dewhurst, K. Hammond, J. Mies, K. Radacki and A. Vargas, Science, 2012, 336, 1420–1422; (o) R. Kinjo, B. Donnadieu, M. A. Celik, G. Frenking and G. Bertrand, Science, 2011, 333, 610–613; (p) Z. Liu, J. Chem. Phys., 2010, 132; (q) H. Braunschweig, C. W. Chiu, K. Radacki and T. Kupfer, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2010, 49, 2041–2044; (r) S. H. Ueng, A. Solovyev, X. Yuan, S. J. Geib, L. Fensterbank, E. Lacote, M. Malacria, M. Newcomb, J. C. Walton and D. P. Curran, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2009, 131, 11256–11262; (s) H. Braunschweig, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2007, 46, 1946–1948. Out
complexity are interest and homogeneous components, all hydrosome accepts are are interest and the position of the position of the position of the common components, estimated under the set of the common components, e
	- 4 (a) Y. Shoji, N. Tanaka, K. Mikami, M. Uchiyama and T. Fukushima, Nat. Chem., 2014, 6, 498–503; (b) C. Reus and M. Wagner, Nat. Chem., 2014, 6, 466–467; (c) S. Litters, E. Kaifer, M. Enders and H. J. Himmel, Nat. Chem., 2013, 5, 1029–1034; (d) C. I. Someya, S. Inoue, C. Prasang, E. Irran and M. Driess, Chem. Commun., 2011, 47, 6599–6601; (e) D. P. Curran, A. Solovyev, M. Makhlouf Brahmi, L. Fensterbank, M. Malacria and E. Lacote, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2011, 50, 10294–10317; (f) W. E. Piers, S. C. Bourke and K. D. Conroy, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2005, 44, 5016– 5036; (g) A. Prokofjevs and E. Vedejs, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2011, 133, 20056–20059; (h) B. Inés, M. Patil, J. Carreras, R. Goddard, W. Thiel and M. Alcarazo, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2011, 50, 8400-8403; (i) J.-H. Tsai, S.-T. Lin,

R. B.-G. Yang, G. P. A. Yap and T.-G. Ong, Organometallics, 2010, 29, 4004-4006; (j) C. Bonnier, W. E. Piers, M. Parvez and T. S. Sorensen, Chem. Commun., 2008, 4593– 4595.

- 5 (a) J. M. Farrell, R. T. Posaratnanathan and D. W. Stephan, Chem. Sci., 2015, 6, 2010–2015; (b) M. Ingleson, in Synthesis and Application of Organoboron Compounds, ed. E. Fernández and A. Whiting, Springer International Publishing, 2015, vol. 49, ch. 2, pp. 39–71; (c) Z. X. Wang, L. L. Zhao, G. Lu, H. X. Li and F. Huang, in Frustrated Lewis Pairs I: Uncovering and Understanding, 2013, vol. 332, pp. 231–266; (d) A. Prokofjevs, A. Boussonniere, L. Li, H. Bonin, E. Lacote, D. P. Curran and E. Vedejs, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2012, 134, 12281–12288; (e) Y. Y. Xu, Z. Li, M. Borzov and W. L. Nie, Prog. Chem., 2012, 24, 1526–1532.
- 6 (a) W. C. Chen, C. Y. Lee, B. C. Lin, Y. C. Hsu, J. S. Shen, C. P. Hsu, G. P. Yap and T. G. Ong, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2014, 136, 914–917; (b) A. Prokofjevs, J. W. Kampf, A. Solovyev, D. P. Curran and E. Vedejs, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2013, 135, 15686–15689; (c) H. Braunschweig, M. Kaupp, C. Lambert, D. Nowak, K. Radacki, S. Schinzel and K. Uttinger, Inorg. Chem., 2008, 47, 7456–7458; (d) D. Vidovic, M. Findlater and A. H. Cowley, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2007, 129, 8436–8437; (e) R. Dinda, O. Ciobanu, H. Wadepohl, O. Hubner, R. Acharyya and H. J. Himmel, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2007, 46, 9110–9113; (f) I. Vargas-Baca, M. Findlater, A. Powell, K. V. Vasudevan and A. H. Cowley, Dalton Trans., 2008, 6421–6426. Paper Moore Common Common
	- 7 (a) M. Soleilhavoup and G. Bertrand, Acc. Chem. Res., 2015, 48, 256–266; (b) F. Dahcheh, D. Martin, D. W. Stephan and G. Bertrand, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2014, 53, 13159–13163; (c) Y. Wang and G. H. Robinson, Inorg. Chem., 2014, 53, 11815–11832; (d) J. Bohnke, H. Braunschweig, W. C. Ewing, C. Horl, T. Kramer, I. Krummenacher, J. Mies and A. Vargas, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2014, 53, 9082–9085; (e) R. S. Ghadwal, R. Azhakar and H. W. Roesky, Acc. Chem. Res., 2013, 46, 444–456; (f) Y. Xiong, S. L. Yao, S. Inoue, J. D. Epping and M. Driess, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2013, 52, 7147–7150; (g) Y. Xiong, S. L. Yao and M. Driess, Z. Naturforsch., B: Chem. Sci., 2013, 68, 445–452; (h) C. D. Martin, M. Soleilhavoup and G. Bertrand, Chem. Sci., 2013, 4, 3020–3030; (i) T. Rovis and S. P. Nolan, Synlett, 2013, 1188–1189; (j) D. Martin, M. Soleilhavoup and G. Bertrand, Chem. Sci., 2011, 2, 389–399; (k) Y. Xiong, S. Yao and M. Driess, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2009, 131, 7562– 7563; (l) P. de Fremont, N. Marion and S. P. Nolan, Coord. Chem. Rev., 2009, 253, 862–892; (m) C. A. Dyker and G. Bertrand, Science, 2008, 321, 1050–1051; (n) S. Diez-Gonzalez and S. P. Nolan, Coord. Chem. Rev., 2007, 251, 874–883; (o) R. S. Ghadwal, R. Azhakar, H. W. Roesky, K. Pröpper, B. Dittrich, C. Goedecke and G. Frenking, Chem. Commun., 2012, 48, 8186–8188; (p) R. S. Ghadwal, H. W. Roesky, C. Schulzke and M. Granitzka, Organometallics, 2010, 29, 6329–6333; (q) R. S. Ghadwal, S. S. Sen, H. W. Roesky, M. Granitzka, D. Kratzert, S. Merkel and D. Stalke, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2010, 49, 3952–3955;
- (r) R. S. Ghadwal, H. W. Roesky, S. Merkel, J. Henn and D. Stalke, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2009, 48, 5683–5686.
- 8 (a) J. C. Walton, M. M. Brahmi, J. Monot, L. Fensterbank, M. Malacria, D. P. Curran and E. Lacote, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2011, 133, 10312–10321; (b) J. C. Walton, M. M. Brahmi, L. Fensterbank, E. Lacote, M. Malacria, Q. Chu, S. H. Ueng, A. Solovyev and D. P. Curran, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2010, 132, 2350–2358; (c) S. H. Ueng, M. M. Brahmi, E. Derat, L. Fensterbank, E. Lacote, M. Malacria and D. P. Curran, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2008, 130, 10082–10083.
- 9 (a) P. Eisenberger, B. P. Bestvater, E. C. Keske and C. M. Crudden, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2015, 54, 2467– 2471; (b) T. Matsumoto and F. P. Gabbaï, Organometallics, 2009, 28, 4252–4253.
- 10 (a) J. Monot, A. Solovyev, H. Bonin-Dubarle, E. Derat, D. P. Curran, M. Robert, L. Fensterbank, M. Malacria and E. Lacote, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2010, 49, 9166–9169; (b) H. Braunschweig, C. W. Chiu, K. Radacki and T. Kupfer, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2010, 49, 2041–2044.
- 11 L. Kong, Y. Li, R. Ganguly, D. Vidovic and R. Kinjo, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2014, 53, 9280–9283.
- 12 (a) H. Braunschweig and C. Horl, Chem. Commun., 2014, 50, 10983–10985; (b) P. Bissinger, H. Braunschweig, A. Damme, T. Kupfer and A. Vargas, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2012, 51, 9931–9934; (c) Y. Wang, B. Quillian, P. Wei, C. S. Wannere, Y. Xie, R. B. King, H. F. Schaefer III, P. v. R. Schleyer and G. H. Robinson, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2007, 129, 12412–12413.
- 13 Y. Wang, Y. Xie, P. Wei, R. B. King, H. F. Schaefer III, P. v. R. Schleyer and G. H. Robinson, Science, 2008, 321, 1069–1071.
- 14 (a) R. S. Ghadwal, S. O. Reichmann and R. Herbst-Irmer, Chem. – Eur. J., 2015, 21, 4247–4251; (b) R. S. Ghadwal, C. J. Schürmann, F. Engelhardt and C. Steinmetzger, Eur. J. Inorg. Chem., 2014, 2014, 4921–4926.
- 15 (a) N. Kuhn, H. Bohnen, J. Kreutzberg, D. Blaser and R. Boese, Chem. Commun., 1993, 1136–1137; (b) N. Kuhn, H. Bohnen, G. Henkel and J. Kreutzberg, Z. Naturforsch., B: Chem. Sci., 1996, 51, 1267–1278.
- 16 (a) E. Rivard, Dalton Trans., 2014, 43, 8577–8586; (b) S. Kronig, P. G. Jones and M. Tamm, Eur. J. Inorg. Chem., 2013, 2013, 2301–2314; (c) C. J. Berger, G. He, C. Merten, R. McDonald, M. J. Ferguson and E. Rivard, Inorg. Chem., 2014, 53, 1475–1486; (d) Y. Wang, M. Y. Abraham, R. J. Gilliard, Jr., D. R. Sexton, P. Wei and G. H. Robinson, Organometallics, 2013, 32, 6639–6642; (e) A. C. Malcolm, K. J. Sabourin, R. McDonald, M. J. Ferguson and E. Rivard, Inorg. Chem., 2012, 51, 12905–12916.
- 17 (a) B. Maji, M. Breugst and H. Mayr, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2011, 50, 6915–6919; (b) B. Maji and H. Mayr, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2012, 51, 10408–10412; (c) B. Maji, M. Horn and H. Mayr, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2012, 51, 6231–6235.
- 18 R. S. Ghadwal, S. O. Reichmann, F. Engelhardt, D. M. Andrada and G. Frenking, Chem. Commun., 2013, 49, 9440–9442.
- 19 A. O. Madsen, H. O. Sorensen, C. Flensburg, R. F. Stewart and S. Larsen, Acta Crystallogr., Sect. A: Fundam. Crystallogr., 2004, 60, 550–561.
- 20 (a) Y. Zhao and D. G. Truhlar, Theor. Chem. Acc., 2008, 120, 215–241; (b) F. Weigend and R. Ahlrichs, Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys., 2005, 7, 3297–3305.
- 21 A. E. Reed, R. B. Weinstock and F. Weinhold, J. Chem. Phys., 1985, 83, 735–746.
- 22 (a) A. E. Reed, L. A. Curtiss and F. Weinhold, *Chem. Rev.*, 1988, 88, 899–926; (b) A. E. Reed and F. Weinhold, J. Chem. Phys., 1985, 83, 1736–1740.
- 23 (a) R. J. Wehmschulte, A. A. Diaz and M. A. Khan, Organometallics, 2003, 22, 83–92; (b) U. D. Eckensberger, M. Weber, J. Wildt, M. Bolte, H.-W. Lerner and M. Wagner, Organometallics, 2010, 29, 5301–5309; (c) Y. Shoji, T. Matsuo, D. Hashizume, H. Fueno, K. Tanaka and K. Tamao, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2010, 132, 8258–8260.
- 24 K. Hedberg and V. Schomaker, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1951, 73, 1482–1487.
- 25 H. W. Smith and W. N. Lipscomb, J. Chem. Phys., 1965, 43, 1060–1064.
- 26 V. Jonas, G. Frenking and M. T. Reetz, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1994, 116, 8741–8753.
- 27 G. Frenking, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2014, 53, 6040–6046.
- 28 N. Holzmann, M. Hermann and G. Frenking, Chem. Sci., 2015, 6, 4089–4094.
- 29 (a) N. Holzmann, A. Stasch, C. Jones and G. Frenking, Chem. – Eur. J., 2011, 17, 13517–13525; (b) G. Frenking, Nature, 2015, 522, 297–298; (c) H. Braunschweig, R. D. Dewhurst, F. Hupp, M. Nutz, K. Radacki, C. W. Tate, A. Vargas and Q. Ye, Nature, 2015, 522, 327–330.
- 30 O. Thomas, Organoborane Chemistry, Academic Press Inc. (London) Ltd, New York, 1975.
- 31 S. M. I. Al-Rafia, A. C. Malcolm, S. K. Liew, M. J. Ferguson, R. McDonald and E. Rivard, Chem. Commun., 2011, 47, 6987–6989.
- 32 (a) D. Stalke, Chem. Soc. Rev., 1998, 27, 171–178; (b) T. Kottke and D. Stalke, J. Appl. Crystallogr., 1993, 26, 615–619.
- 33 Bruker AXS Inc., Bruker Apex CCD, SAINT v8.30C, Bruker AXS Inst. Inc., Madison, WI, USA, 2013.
- 34 L. Krause, R. Herbst-Irmer, G. M. Sheldrick and D. Stalke, J. Appl. Crystallogr., 2015, 48, 3–10.
- 35 G. M. Sheldrick, Acta Crystallogr., Sect. A: Fundam. Crystallogr., 2015, 71, 3–8.
- 36 G. M. Sheldrick, Acta Crystallogr., Sect. C: Cryst. Struct. Commun., 2015, 71, 3–8.
- 37 C. B. Huebschle, G. M. Sheldrick and B. Dittrich, Acta Crystallogr., Sect. A: Fundam. Crystallogr., 2011, 67, C592.
- 38 C. Y. Peng, P. Y. Ayala, H. B. Schlegel and M. J. Frisch, J. Comput. Chem., 1996, 17, 49–56.
- 39 J. W. McIver and A. Komornicki, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1972, 94, 2625–2633.
- 40 M. J. Frisch, G. W. Trucks, H. B. Schlegel, G. E. Scuseria, M. A. Robb, J. R. Cheeseman, G. Scalmani, V. Barone, B. Mennucci, G. A. Petersson, H. Nakatsuji, M. Caricato, X. Li, H. P. Hratchian, A. F. Izmaylov, J. Bloino, G. Zheng, J. L. Sonnenberg, M. Hada, M. Ehara, K. Toyota, R. Fukuda, J. Hasegawa, M. Ishida, T. Nakajima, Y. Honda, O. Kitao, H. Nakai, T. Vreven, J. J. A. Montgomery, J. E. Peralta, F. Ogliaro, M. Bearpark, J. J. Heyd, E. Brothers, K. N. Kudin, V. N. Staroverov, R. Kobayashi, J. Normand, K. Raghavachari, A. Rendell, J. C. Burant, S. S. Iyengar, J. Tomasi, M. Cossi, N. Rega, J. M. Millam, M. Klene, J. E. Knox, J. B. Cross, V. Bakken, C. Adamo, J. Jaramillo, R. Gomperts, R. E. Stratmann, O. Yazyev, A. J. Austin, R. Cammi, C. Pomelli, J. W. Ochterski, R. L. Martin, K. Morokuma, V. G. Zakrzewski, G. A. Voth, P. Salvador, J. J. Dannenberg, S. Dapprich, A. D. Daniels, O. Farkas, J. B. Foresman, J. V. Ortiz, J. Cioslowski and D. J. Fox, Gaussian 09, Revision C.01, Gaussian, Inc., Wallingford, CT, 2009. **Outon Terristicions**

19 A.O. Madeen, H.O. Socretaer, G. Fiersburg, R. F. Socvetar 3. Studies ART (m. Notice and A. This article is like the same Access Article is like the same Access Article is like the same Access Art
	- 41 J. P. Foster and F. Weinhold, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1980, 102, 7211–7218.
	- 42 E. D. Glendening, J. K. Badenhoop, A. E. Reed, J. E. Carpenter, J. A. Bohmann, C. M. Morales and F. Weinhold, GENNBO 5.9 ver, Theoretical Chemistry Institute, University of Wisconsin, Madison, WI, 2009.