## ChemComm



**View Article Online** 

## COMMUNICATION

CrossMark

Cite this: Chem. Commun., 2015, 51, 9189

Received 19th March 2015, Accepted 1st May 2015

DOI: 10.1039/c5cc02336j

www.rsc.org/chemcomm

## Efficient masking of corrosion and fission products such as Ni(II) and Pd(II) in the presence of the minor actinide Am(III) using hydrophilic anionic or cationic bis-triazines<sup>†</sup>

Frank W. Lewis,\*<sup>ab</sup> Laurence M. Harwood,\*<sup>a</sup> Michael J. Hudson,<sup>a</sup> Udo Müllich<sup>c</sup> and Andreas Geist<sup>c</sup>

Water soluble anionic and cationic bis-triazine ligands are able to suppress (mask) the extraction of corrosion and fission products such as Ni(II) and Pd(II) that are found in PUREX raffinates. Thus it is possible to separate these elements from the minor actinide Am(III). Although some masking agents have previously been developed that retard the extraction of Pd(II), this is the first time a masking agent has been developed for Ni(II).

After the removal of plutonium and uranium in the PUREX process, the dominant part of the long-term radiotoxicity and heat load of spent nuclear fuels arises from minor actinides such as americium. Separation of the minor actinides, which include Am, Cm and Np, from fission products such as the lanthanides by solvent extraction has been achieved using soft N-donor molecules containing the 1,2,4-triazine moiety.<sup>1-7</sup> Molecules such as the quadridentate ligands  $\text{CyMe}_4\text{-BTBP}\ 1^{8,9}$  and CyMe<sub>4</sub>-BTPhen  $2^{10-12}$  (Fig. 1) are able to extract and separate the minor actinides [Am(III), Cm(III)] from the lanthanides with very high selectivities. Indeed, CyMe<sub>4</sub>-BTBP 1 is the current European reference extraction reagent for further development of the SANEX (Selective ActiNide Extraction) process.<sup>13</sup> In this process the radionuclides and non-fissile fission products are in an aqueous nitric acid phase with the extraction reagent in an organic solvent. Furthermore, CyMe<sub>4</sub>-BTBP 1 is also being studied both for the direct selective extraction of Am(III) and Cm(III),<sup>14-17</sup> and for the co-extraction of all trans-uranic elements directly from PUREX raffinate.<sup>18</sup> Unfortunately, CyMe<sub>4</sub>-BTBP 1 not only co-extracts



**Fig. 1** Structures of CyMe<sub>4</sub>-BTBP **1**, CyMe<sub>4</sub>-BTPhen **2** and 3,3'-bis(1,2,4-triazine) ligands **3** and **4**.

the minor actinides in these processes but certain corrosion and fission products such as Ni( $\pi$ ), Pd( $\pi$ ), Ag( $\pi$ ) and Cd( $\pi$ ) and their presence in the waste stream can interfere with the extraction protocol. The solid state structures of some of these [M(CyMe<sub>4</sub>-BTBP)<sub>n</sub>]<sup>m+</sup> complexes have been recently reported.<sup>19</sup>

Although much progress has been made concerning the partitioning of the minor actinides from the lanthanides,<sup>1–7</sup> far less progress has been made on the separation of the minor actinides from corrosion and fission products such as Ni( $\pi$ ), Pd( $\pi$ ), Ag( $\pi$ ) and Cd( $\pi$ ). One way to separate these elements from the minor actinides could be to hold the fission products in the aqueous phase during the selective extraction of the actinides by CyMe<sub>4</sub>-BTBP 1 into the organic phase by using a hydrophilic complexing agent. This new reagent in the aqueous phase would need to be able to complex the corrosion and fission products selectively without complexing either the minor actinides. Such reagents could also be useful in conventional hydrometallurgy as selective complexing agents for certain precious metals. In addition, the hydrophilic reagent needs to be able to form water soluble complexes. L-Cysteine<sup>14–17</sup>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> Department of Chemistry, The University of Reading, Whiteknights,

Reading RG6 6AD, UK. E-mail: l.m.harwood@reading.ac.uk

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>b</sup> Department of Applied Sciences, Faculty of Health and Life Sciences, Northumbria University, Newcastle Upon Tyne NE1 8ST, UK.

E-mail: frank.lewis@northumbria.ac.uk

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>c</sup> Karlsruher Institut für Technologie (KIT-INE), Institut für Nukleare Entsorgung, Hermann-von-Helmholtz-Platz 1, D-76344 Eggenstein-Leopoldshafen, Germany. E-mail: andreas.geist@kit.edu

<sup>†</sup> Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available: Experimental procedures, characterization data and spectra for all compounds. Procedures for solvent extraction measurements. See DOI: 10.1039/c5cc02336j

and a chelating agent derived from methionine<sup>20</sup> have previously been used as selective complexing or 'masking' agents for Pd(u), while *trans*-1,2-diaminocyclohexane- $N_iN_iN'$ ,N'-tetraacetic acid (CDTA) can prevent the extraction of Pd(u) and Zr(v) by hydrophobic O-donor ligands.<sup>21,22</sup> However, no reagents have been reported to date that can mask a range of corrosion and fission products.

It has been shown, however, that bidentate molecules containing the 1.2.4-triazine moiety do not interact significantly with Am( $\mathfrak{m}$ ) or with the trivalent lanthanides [Ln( $\mathfrak{m}$ )].<sup>23</sup> We considered, therefore, that hydrophilic bidentate 3,3'-bis(1,2,4-triazine) ligands could be capable of masking several fission and corrosion products based on a series of observations. Hydrophobic 3,3'-bis(1,2,4triazine) ligands such as 3 and 4 (Fig. 1) form strong complexes with several late transition metals such as  $Fe(\pi)$ ,  $Co(\pi)$ ,  $Ni(\pi)$ ,  $Cu(\pi)$ , Ru(II) and Pt(II).<sup>24–29</sup> Furthermore, it has been observed that hydrophobic 3,3'-bis(1,2,4-triazine) ligands do not extract or separate Am(III) or Eu(III).<sup>23</sup> Indeed, ligand 4 has been used as a phasetransfer agent in the separation of Am(III) from Eu(III) by the BTBPs but 4 does not itself extract either element.<sup>30</sup> We thus proposed that hydrophilic 3,3'-bis(1,2,4-triazine) molecules could be able to complex several corrosion and fission products and prevent their extraction by CyMe<sub>4</sub>-BTBP 1, without complexing either Am(m) or Ln(III). In this communication, we investigate the ability of two new hydrophilic 3,3'-bis(1,2,4-triazine) molecules to mask some problematic corrosion and fission products that are co-extracted from the PUREX raffinate by  $CyMe_4$ -BTBP 1 using Ni(II), Pd(II), Ag(I) and Cd(II) as exemplars for a wider range of elements.

The hydrophilic 3,3'-bis(1,2,4-triazines) chosen for consideration were molecules **10** and **13**. The former is a sulfonic acid derivative and the latter has quaternary ammonium groups. The novel tetrasulfonated 3,3'-bis(1,2,4-triazine) molecule **10** was synthesized as shown in Schemes 1 and 2. Intermediate **8** was synthesized from dithiooxamide **5** in two steps according to the literature (Scheme 1).<sup>23,27</sup>

Sulfonation of **8** with chlorosulfonic acid afforded the tetrasulfonyl chloride **9** and hydrolysis of **9** with sodium hydroxide in methanol gave the desired tetrasodium sulfonate 3,3'-bis(1,2,4-triazine) ligand **10** (Scheme 2).

The tetra quaternary ammonium salt 3,3'-bis(1,2,4-triazine) ligand **13** was synthesized as shown in Scheme 3. Intermediate **12** was synthesized from **6** and **11** according to the literature procedure<sup>31</sup> and subsequently reacted with triethylamine in methanol to afford the desired hydrophilic ligand **13** (Scheme 3).



Scheme 1 Synthesis of intermediate 8.







Scheme 3 Synthesis of guaternary ammonium salt masking agent 13.

Initial extraction experiments were performed in order to judge whether the molecules **10** and **13** had any potential for masking the above fission and corrosion products that would otherwise be extracted by  $CyMe_4$ -BTBP **1** into 1-octanol. Neither masking agent had any significant influence on the extraction or separation of Am(m) and Eu(m) by  $CyMe_4$ -BTBP **1**. Ni(n) extraction by **1** was suppressed both by **10** and **13**. Pd(n) extraction by **1** was suppressed by **13**. Ag(l) extraction by **1** seems to be suppressed by both **10** and **13** but the results were inconclusive. No results could be obtained for Cd(n) which was below the detection limit in all aqueous samples. Therefore, Cd(n) extraction by CyMe<sub>4</sub>-BTBP **1** was not suppressed by either masking agent. This is not surprising, as the BTBPs are quadridentate ligands and are thus stronger ligands toward Cd(n) than the bidentate ligands **10** and **13**.

More detailed experiments were then performed by varying the contact-time in the extraction experiments and adding a second extracting agent,  $N_*N_*N_*N_*$ -tetraoctyldiglycolamide (TODGA), which is used to accelerate the rate of actinide extraction by CyMe<sub>4</sub>-BTBP 1.<sup>17</sup> As shown in Fig. 2 left, CyMe<sub>4</sub>-BTBP 1 extracts Ni( $\pi$ ) slowly but with high distribution ratios (*D*) in the absence of a masking agent. For contacting times of several minutes,  $D_{Ni}(\pi) \approx 1$ , meaning that approximately 50% is extracted. However, once extracted, Ni( $\pi$ ) is difficult to remove from the organic phase since its distribution ratio is greater than 1000 at equilibrium.



Fig. 2 Influence of masking agents **10** and **13** on the extraction of Ni(II) into CyMe<sub>4</sub>-BTBP **1**. Organic phase: 10 mM CyMe<sub>4</sub>-BTBP **1** + 5 mM TODGA in 1-octanol. Aqueous phase: Ni(III), Pd(II), Ag(I), Cd(II) (1 mM each) with or without 20 mM masking agent **10** or **13** (left), or with varied concentrations of **13** (right) in 2 M HNO<sub>3</sub>. T = 20 °C, A/O = 1 ((CH<sub>2</sub>NEt<sub>3</sub>X)<sub>2</sub>-BT = ligand **13**, (PhSO<sub>3</sub>Na)<sub>2</sub>-BT = ligand **10**).

Addition of reagent **10** (20 mM) efficiently suppresses Ni( $\pi$ ) extraction ( $D_{Ni}(\pi) < 0.10$ ) by **1** even for longer contacting times of up to 20 minutes (Fig. 2 left). It is notable that, although some masking agents have previously been developed that retard the extraction of Pd( $\pi$ ),<sup>17,32</sup> none have so far been developed that can retard the extraction of Ni( $\pi$ ).

Adding **13** (20 mM) to the aqueous phase suppresses Ni( $\pi$ ) extraction by **1** but not to a large extent (after 10 min,  $D_{Ni}(\pi) \approx 1$ ). We then examined the ability of **13** to mask Ni( $\pi$ ) at different concentrations since it would be preferred over the sulfur-containing **10** from the viewpoint of high level waste treatment as the raffinate solution containing the corrosion and fission products and masking agent will be ultimately incinerated and vitrified following the separation process. Molecule **13** could be fully incinerated to innocuous gases if the bromide ion is exchanged for nitrate, whereas **10** would generate acidic waste on incineration owing to its sulfur content. As shown in Fig. 2 right, **13** does suppress the extraction of Ni( $\pi$ ) to a useful extent (at 100 mM **13**,  $D_{Ni}(\pi) \approx 0.1$  for contacting times of 5–10 minutes).

In the absence of a masking agent, Pd(n) is extracted rapidly by CyMe<sub>4</sub>-BTBP 1 (equilibrium is attained within <5 minutes with  $D_{\rm Pd}(n) \approx 20$ , Fig. 3 left). The strong attraction by CyMe<sub>4</sub>-BTBP 1 for Pd(II) arises from the formation of a 1:1 square-planar complex with Pd(II).<sup>19</sup> Adding 10 (20 mM) to the aqueous phase slightly lowers Pd(II) extraction by 1 ( $D_{Pd}(II) \approx 10$ ) without affecting the extraction kinetics. On the other hand, addition of 13 (20 mM) to the aqueous phase efficiently suppresses Pd(II) extraction by CyMe<sub>4</sub>-BTBP 1 (for contacting times up to 40 min,  $D_{Pd}(n) < 0.01$ ). A slow increase in Pd(II) extraction was observed with contact time indicating that 13 masks  $Pd(\pi)$  kinetically. However, for practical reasons  $Pd(\pi)$  extraction is efficiently suppressed by 13 during the contact times typically used in waste treatment. Increasing the concentration of 13 further suppresses the extraction of Pd(II) by CyMe<sub>4</sub>-BTBP 1 (Fig. 3 right). However, owing to the low concentrations of Pd(n) in the aqueous phase the D values are not precise (D values below 0.1 have errors up to  $\pm 20\%$ ).

In the absence of a masking agent, Ag(I) is rapidly extracted by CyMe<sub>4</sub>-BTBP **1** ( $D_{Ag}(II) > 1000$ , Fig. 4 left). Both masking agents **10** and **13** suppress the extraction of Ag(I) by CyMe<sub>4</sub>-BTBP **1** to some extent (with 20 mM **10**,  $D_{Ag(I)} < 2$ , with 20 mM **13**,  $D_{Ag(I)} \approx 6$ ).



**Fig. 3** Influence of masking agents **10** and **13** on the extraction of Pd(II) into CyMe<sub>4</sub>-BTBP **1**. Organic phase: **10** mM CyMe<sub>4</sub>-BTBP **1** + 5 mM TODGA in 1-octanol. Aqueous phase: Ni(II), Pd(II), Ag(I), Cd(II) (1 mM each) with or without 20 mM masking agent **10** or **13** (left), or with varied concentrations of **13** (right) in 2 M HNO<sub>3</sub>. T = 20 °C, A/O = 1 ((CH<sub>2</sub>NEt<sub>3</sub>X)<sub>2</sub>-BT = ligand **13**, (PhSO<sub>3</sub>Na)<sub>2</sub>-BT = ligand **10**).



**Fig. 4** Influence of masking agents **10** and **13** on the extraction of Ag(I) into CyMe<sub>4</sub>-BTBP **1**. Organic phase: 10 mM CyMe<sub>4</sub>-BTBP **1** + 5 mM TODGA in 1-octanol. Aqueous phase: Ni(III), Pd(II), Ag(I), Cd(II) (1 mM each) with or without 20 mM masking agent **10** or **13** (left), or with varied concentrations of **13** (right) in 2 M HNO<sub>3</sub>. T = 20 °C, A/O = 1 ((CH<sub>2</sub>NEt<sub>3</sub>X)<sub>2</sub>-BT = ligand **13**, (PhSO<sub>3</sub>Na)<sub>2</sub>-BT = ligand **10**).

However, both masking agents also precipitate some Ag(I), as seen from the formation of a precipitate upon adding the masking agent to the aqueous phase and from the measured Ag concentrations in the aqueous samples before extraction. The *D* values shown in Fig. 4 are based on the fraction of Ag(I) remaining in solution. In the case of **13**, Ag(I) is precipitated as AgBr in an ion exchange reaction. Fig. 4 right shows the effect of adding varied concentrations of **13** on the extraction of Ag(I) by CyMe<sub>4</sub>-BTBP **1**.

In summary, we report the first examples of hydrophilic 3,3'-bis(1,2,4-triazine) ligands, and their application as masking agents in order to suppress the extraction of certain problematic corrosion and fission products that are to be found in nitric acid solutions of PUREX raffinates. The bidentate tetrasulfonated ligand **10** efficiently suppresses the extraction of Ni( $\pi$ ) by solutions of CyMe<sub>4</sub>-BTBP **1**. Interestingly, **10** has little effect on the suppression of Pd( $\pi$ ) extraction by **1**. The reasons for the different complexation behavior of the ligands towards Ni( $\pi$ ) and Pd( $\pi$ ) are unclear at this point, and will be the subject of further ongoing studies in our laboratory. Neither masking agent is able to prevent the extraction of Cd( $\pi$ ), which is known to be strongly extracted by solutions of CyMe<sub>4</sub>-BTBP **1** and TODGA.<sup>14–17</sup>

This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 3.0 Unported Licence.

Dpen Access Article. Published on 01 May 2015. Downloaded on 3/6/2025 4:24:37 AM.

We propose that these water soluble, bidentate triazine ligands warrant further study as masking agents. They could find applications in future analytical or industrial separations as versatile masking agents for the removal of several fission and corrosion products.

We acknowledge Financial support from the Commission of the European Community under the ACSEPT (FP7-CP-2007-211267) and SACSESS (FP7-CP-2012-323282) projects. We also gratefully acknowledge the use of the Chemical Analysis Facility at the University of Reading.

## Notes and references

- 1 F. W. Lewis, M. J. Hudson and L. M. Harwood, Synlett, 2011, 2609–2632.
- 2 M. J. Hudson, L. M. Harwood, D. M. Laventine and F. W. Lewis, *Inorg. Chem.*, 2013, **52**, 3414–3428.
- 3 M. J. Hudson, F. W. Lewis and L. M. Harwood, in *Strategies and Tactics in Organic Synthesis*, ed. M. Harmata, Elsevier, Amsterdam, 2013, vol. 9, pp. 177–202.
- 4 P. J. Panak and A. Geist, Chem. Rev., 2013, 113, 1199-1236.
- 5 J.-H. Lan, W.-Q. Shi, L.-Y. Yuan, Y.-L. Zhao, J. Li and Z.-F. Chai, *Inorg. Chem.*, 2011, **50**, 9230–9237.
- 6 C.-L. Xiao, Q.-Y. Wu, C.-Z. Wang, Y.-L. Zhao, Z.-F. Chai and W.-Q. Shi, *Inorg. Chem.*, 2014, 53, 10846–10853.
- 7 J.-H. Lan, W.-Q. Shi, L.-Y. Yuan, J. Li, Y.-L. Zhao and Z.-F. Chai, *Coord. Chem. Rev.*, 2012, **256**, 1406–1417.
- 8 A. Geist, C. Hill, G. Modolo, M. R. St. J. Foreman, M. Weigl, K. Gompper, M. J. Hudson and C. Madic, *Solvent Extr. Ion Exch.*, 2006, 24, 463–483.
- 9 D. Magnusson, B. Christiansen, M. R. S. Foreman, A. Geist, J.-P. Glatz, R. Malmbeck, G. Modolo, D. Serrano-Purroy and C. Sorel, *Solvent Extr. Ion Exch.*, 2009, 27, 97–106.
- 10 F. W. Lewis, L. M. Harwood, M. J. Hudson, M. G. B. Drew, J. F. Desreux, G. Vidick, N. Bouslimani, G. Modolo, A. Wilden, M. Sypula, T.-H. Vu and J.-P. Simonin, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2011, 133, 13093–13102.
- 11 F. W. Lewis, L. M. Harwood, M. J. Hudson, M. G. B. Drew, V. Hubscher-Bruder, V. Videva, F. Arnaud-Neu, K. Stamberg and S. Vyas, *Inorg. Chem.*, 2013, 52, 4993–5005.

- 12 A. Afsar, L. M. Harwood, M. J. Hudson, P. Distler and J. John, *Chem. Commun.*, 2014, **50**, 15082–15085.
- 13 S. Bourg, C. Hill, C. Caravaca, C. Rhodes, C. Ekberg, R. Taylor, A. Geist, G. Modolo, L. Cassayre, R. Malmbeck, M. Harrison, G. de Angelis, A. Espartero, S. Bouvet and N. Ouvrier, *Nucl. Eng. Des.*, 2011, 241, 3427-3435.
- 14 A. Wilden, C. Schreinemachers, M. Sypula and G. Modolo, *Solvent Extr. Ion Exch.*, 2011, **29**, 190–212.
- 15 D. Magnusson, A. Geist, A. Wilden and G. Modolo, Solvent Extr. Ion Exch., 2013, **31**, 1–11.
- 16 A. Wilden, G. Modolo, C. Schreinemachers, F. Sadowski, S. Lange, M. Sypula, D. Magnusson, A. Geist, F. W. Lewis, L. M. Harwood and M. J. Hudson, *Solvent Extr. Ion Exch.*, 2013, **31**, 519–537.
- 17 G. Modolo, A. Wilden, H. Daniels, A. Geist, D. Magnusson and R. Malmbeck, *Radiochim. Acta*, 2013, **101**, 155–162.
- 18 E. Aneheim, C. Ekberg, M. R. S. Foreman, E. Lofstrom-Engdahl and N. Mabile, Sep. Sci. Technol., 2012, 47, 663–669.
- 19 E. Aneheim, B. Grüner, C. Ekberg, M. R. St. J. Foreman, Z. Hájková, E. Löfström-Engdahl, M. G. B. Drew and M. J. Hudson, *Polyhedron*, 2013, **50**, 154–163.
- 20 E. Aneheim, C. Ekberg and M. R. St. J. Foreman, *Hydrometallurgy*, 2012, **115–116**, 71–76.
- 21 M. Sypula, A. Wilden, C. Schreinemachers, R. Malmbeck, A. Geist, R. Taylor and G. Modolo, *Solvent Extr. Ion Exch.*, 2012, 30, 748–764.
- 22 P. K. Nayak, R. Kumaresan, S. Chaurasia, K. A. Venkatesan, G. G. S. Subramanian, S. Rajeswari, M. P. Antony, P. R. Vasudeva Rao and B. M. Bhanage, *Radiochim. Acta*, 2015, **103**, 359–368.
- 23 M. J. Hudson, M. R. St. J. Foreman, C. Hill, N. Huet and C. Madic, Solvent Extr. Ion Exch., 2003, 21, 637-652.
- 24 R. E. Jensen and R. T. Pflaum, Anal. Chim. Acta, 1965, 32, 235-244.
- 25 J. Breu, K.-J. Range and E. Herdtweck, Monatsh. Chem., 1994, 125, 119-140.
- 26 V. Maheshwari, P. A. Marzilli and L. G. Marzilli, *Inorg. Chem.*, 2008, 47, 9303–9313.
- 27 Y. Chen, X. Zhou, X.-H. Wei, B.-L. Yu, H. Chao and L.-N. Ji, *Inorg. Chem. Commun.*, 2010, **13**, 1018–1020.
- 28 F.-R. Dai, Y.-H. Wu, L.-Y. Zhang, B. Li, L.-X. Shi and Z.-N. Chen, Eur. J. Inorg. Chem., 2011, 2306–2316.
- 29 S. Roy, T. Blane, A. Lilio and C. P. Kubiak, *Inorg. Chim. Acta*, 2011, 374, 134–139.
- 30 J. Narbutt and J. Krejzler, Radiochim. Acta, 2008, 96, 219-223.
- 31 C. Yang and Y.-D. Cui, Synth. Commun., 2001, 31, 1221-1225.
- 32 E. Aneheim, C. Ekberg and M. R. St. J. Foreman, Solvent Extr. Ion Exch., 2013, 31, 237-252.