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A series of N-alkyl 2,2’-dipyridylamine ligands of general formula \( [2-\text{C}_6\text{H}_2\text{NR}]_2\text{NR}^- \): \( \text{R} = \text{H}, \text{R}' = \text{Me}; \) \( \text{b} \); \( \text{R} = \text{H}, \text{R}' = \text{benzyl}; \) \( \text{c} \); \( \text{R} = \text{H}, \text{R}' = \text{methylcyclohexyl}; \) \( \text{d} \); \( \text{R} = \text{H}, \text{R}' = \text{neopentyl}; \) \( \text{e} \); \( \text{R} = \text{Me}, \text{R}' = \text{Me} \) were prepared by a modified method involving base-mediated N-alkylation with the respective alkyl halide. Reaction of the ligands, \( \text{a–e} \), with NiCl\(_2\)(DME) allowed for the isolation of \( \mu\)-Cl Ni(II) complexes: \([\text{Ni}(\mu-\text{Cl})\text{Cl}][\text{Cl}]_2\text{ (1a)}\); \([\text{Ni}(\mu-\text{Cl})\text{(b)Cl}][\text{Cl}]_2\text{ (1b)}\); \([\text{Ni}(\mu-\text{Cl})(\text{c)Cl})_2\text{ (1c)}\); \([\text{Ni}(\mu-\text{Cl})(\text{d)Cl})_2\text{ (1d)}\) and \([\text{Ni}(\mu-\text{Cl})(\text{e)Cl})_2\text{ (1e)}\). The complexes were characterised by FT-IR spectroscopy, magnetic susceptibility measurements, mass spectrometry, elemental analyses and in the case of \( 1\text{a} \), SCD analysis. In the case of complex \( 1\text{e} \), an acid-mediated hydrolysis process was identified. The product of hydrolysis, the protonated ligand and a tetra-chloronickelate salt \( (1\text{e-A})\), was characterised by SCD analysis. Activation of \( 1\text{a–e} \) with alkyl aluminium reagents generated highly active catalysts for the oligomerisation of ethylene, with activities of up to 864 kg oligomers mol\(^{-1}\) h\(^{-1}\) and high selectivity toward the formation of butenes. In general, \( \text{trans} \) 2-butene was observed as the major isomer, with the exception of \( 1\text{e} \). In the case of \( 1\text{e} \), the selectivity for 1-butene was 98%, thereby demonstrating the significant effect that the introduction of a low degree of steric pressure in the coordination sphere of the catalyst has on selectivity.

Introduction

The field of transition metal-mediated olefin oligomerisation and polymerisation has seen phenomenal growth over the past three decades. Initial reports detailed the application of early transition metal catalyst systems of Ti, Zr and Hf constrained geometry catalysts (CGC’s) which displayed exceptional activity in ethylene polymerisation.\(^1\) Despite their high activity, these catalyst systems generally showed low levels of chain entrapment in ethylene homopolymerisation as well as low levels of co-monomer enchainment in ethylene/α-olefin co-polymerisation.\(^2\) In addition, the inherent oxophilicity of these catalysts necessitate the rigorous exclusion of oxygen and water and precluded the use of polar α-olefins in co-polymerisation reactions. Brookhart, Grubbs and Gibson paved the way for the development of late transition metal catalysts of Ni and Pd which were capable of ethylene polymerisation/co-polymerisation with non-polar and polar α-olefins.\(^3\) Of particular significance was the work done on Ni and Pd systems ligated by diimine ligands, which displayed both remarkable activity and selectivity when applied to olefin homo- and co-polymerisation or oligomerisation reactions, thereby circumventing the inherent limitations of early transition metal catalyst systems.\(^4\) Seminal experimental and computational contributions by Brookhart and others elucidated the key features in homo- and co-polymerisation reactions of this class of catalysts. In the case of palladium, low temperature spectroscopic and computational investigations identified the catalyst resting states to be Pd–alkyl π-olefin species, establishing zero-order kinetics in olefin; barriers to insertion were determined to be in the range 17–18 kcal mol\(^{-1}\); branching in homopolymerisation was established to proceed \( \text{via} \) the isomerisation of π-agostic Pd–alkyl species with isomerisation barriers of 8–9 kcal mol\(^{-1}\); chain transfer proceeded \( \text{via} \) associative exchange of free olefin and that the degree of branching in the obtained polymer was independent of ethylene pressure while the morphology of the polymer was pressure dependent.\(^5\) For Ni(II) analogues, the degree of branching within the polymer formed during Ni(II) diimine catalysed polymerisation was found to differ significantly with varying reaction conditions and the structure of
the catalytically active species. The degree of branching was shown to be negligible at low reaction temperatures and high ethylene pressures when employing pre-catalysts with decreased steric bulk. In contrast, employing sterically encumbered pre-catalysts at high temperatures and low ethylene pressure led to the formation of highly branched polyethylene. Ethylene insertion barriers were found to be 4–5 kcal mol\(^{-1}\) lower in energy in comparison to their Pd congeners,\(^7\) while the energy barriers for insertion from sterically encumbered analogues were slightly lower than the less sterically congested Ni-alkyl species. With key mechanistic insights established, numerous reports have since been published detailing the catalytic application of complexes bearing nitrogen donor ligands in olefin transformations.\(^8\)

Gambarotta and co-workers recently reported the synthesis and application of Cr(\textit{iii}) complexes bearing \(N\)-alkyl dipryidylaldimin ligands in selective ethylene tetramerisation.\(^9\) They found that these complexes, after activation with an aluminium alkyl co-catalyst, catalysed the formation 1-octene selectively as well as a significant amount of wax. During the course of their catalytic investigations they found that the introduction of steric bulk in the form of Me-groups ortho to the pyridine nitrogen atoms switched the product selectivity from 1-octene to 1-hexene (Scheme 1).

Intrigued by these results, we set out to evaluate the effect that increasing the electron-donating ability of the \(N\)-alkyl substituent and the introduction of steric bulk in the ortho position of the pyridyl ring would have on activity and selectivity in Ni(\textit{ii})-catalysed ethylene oligo-/polymerisation. Herein we report our synthetic and catalytic application of a series of novel dinuclear Ni(\textit{ii}) \(N\)-alkyl dipryidylaldiminato complexes.
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Scheme 1 Switchable catalytic selectivity as a function of steric bulk in Cr(\textit{iii})-catalysed ethylene tetramerisation.
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Scheme 2 Synthesis of dinuclear Ni(\textit{ii}) \(N\)-alkyl 2,2'-dipyridylaldiminato complexes.

Results and discussion

Synthesis and characterisation

A series of known as well as novel \(N\)-alkyl-2,2'-dipyridylamine ligands of general formula (2-C\(_5\)H\(_3\)NR\(_2\))\(_2\)NR\(_2\), a: R = H, R' = Me; b: R = H, R' = benzyl; c: R = H, R' = methylcyclohexyl; d: R = H, R' = neopentyl; e: R = Me, R' = Me) were prepared by a modified method involving base-mediated \(N\)-alkylation (Scheme 2). The complexes were isolated as blue-green (1a), green (1b and 1c) or yellow-green (1d) paramagnetic and hygroscopic solids which displayed solubility in polar coordinating solvents and alcohols. In the case of complex 1e, a pale purple-pink solid was isolated. The complexes were found to be insoluble in ethers, alkanes and chlorinated solvents. Complexes 1a–1e were characterised by a range of spectroscopic and analytical techniques.

Characterisation of the complexes by FT-IR spectroscopy showed a shift to higher wavenumbers of the pyridyl ring imine absorption bands, observed in the range 1597–1600 and 1565–1581 cm\(^{-1}\) for the complexes. Analogous shifts have been observed previously for related Ni(\textit{ii}) complexes, which is indicative of ligand coordination to nickel.\(^12\) Characterisation by ESI-MS spectrometry of complexes 1a–1e revealed interesting solvent-dependent fragmentation behaviour. When acetonitrile−0.1% formic acid solution was employed as the solvent during the ESI-MS experiment, mass fragments corresponding to mononuclear, dinuclear and trinuclear species were observed (Fig. S1†). Species aggregation is a common phenomenon during the ESI-MS experiment and has been reported previously for palladium complexes.\(^13\) In contrast to what was observed when employing acetonitrile−formic acid as solvent, the ESI-MS spectra obtained when employing 100% MeOH as dissolution and introduction solvent show the absence of dinuclear and trinuclear species. Instead, in all cases isotope clusters were observed which correspond to a doubly-charged species with the formulation [M + Na + MeOH]\(^{2+}\) where M = [Ni(µ-Cl)(L)Cl]\(_2\) (Fig. S2†). The experimental solid state mag-
magnetic susceptibility values for complexes 1a–1e are in the range \(\mu_{\text{eff}} = 3.89 - 4.47 \mu_{B}\), higher than the spin-only value of 2.83\(\mu_{B}\) expected for two unpaired electrons.\(^{14}\) Despite this, experimentally determined values are within the range observed reported for high-spin dimeric Ni(n) complexes with \(S = 1.\(^{15}\)

The structure of complex 1a was unambiguously determined by SCD analysis on single crystals grown by vapor diffusion of diethyl ether into a methanol solution of the complex. The molecular structure consists of a MeOH-solvated \(\mu\)-Cl Ni(II)\(_2\) dimer, 1a-2MeOH (Fig. 1), residing on a crystallographic inversion center.

Metric parameters and crystallographic data are tabulated in Tables 1 and 2 respectively. The coordination sphere of the Ni(n) centre is distorted octahedral with the equatorial plane occupied by two bridging Cl atoms and the N atoms of the chelate ligand while the axial positions are occupied by a terminal Cl atom and a bound MeOH molecule.

The Ni–Cl1 and Ni–Cl2 bond lengths of 2.394(6) and 2.415(5) \(\text{Å}\) respectively fall within the expected range reported for other \(\mu\)-Cl Ni(II) dimeric structures.\(^{8,16}\) In addition the N1–O1 bond length of 2.165(1) \(\text{Å}\) falls within the range observed for MeOH solvated Ni(II) complexes.\(^{17}\) The deviation from planarity in the octahedral geometry is as a result of chelation of the ligand to the metal centre, with a Ni1–Ni1–N2 angle of 85.77\(^{\circ}\). The bound MeOH molecule is also slightly tilted within the crystal structure as observed by the O1–Ni1–Cl2 angle of 81.37\(^{\circ}\). All other bond lengths and angles fall within the expected range for this class of complexes.

Complex 1e displayed interesting solution behaviour during attempts at recrystallisation. The complex was isolated as a pale purple-pink solid, the colour of which suggested a square planar geometry around the metal centre, alluding to the metal centre being diamagnetic (Fig. S3†).

When 1e was dissolved in dichloromethane it formed a pink-red coloured solution. Previous literature reports have described square planar Ni(n) complexes as red or pink coloured solids.\(^{18}\) However, analytical data, specifically magnetic susceptibility data determined in the solid state, was consistent with a paramagnetic dinuclear chloro-bridged Ni(II) complex (\(\mu_{\text{eff}} = 4.47 \mu_{B}\)). Analysis of the complex by \(^1\)H NMR spectroscopy in CD\(_2\)Cl\(_2\) also showed broad, poorly resolved resonances, consistent with a paramagnetic transition metal complex (Fig. S4†). Prolonged storage of a solution of 1e in dichloromethane resulted in the formation of a pale-yellow precipitate, together with blue crystals (Fig. S3†). Removal of the supernatant and analysis of the pale-yellow precipitate by FT-IR spectroscopy showed absorption bands identical to commercially available NiCl\(_2\) (Fig. S5†). This initial result suggested that the complex dissociates in solution to generate the uncoordinated dipyridylamine ligand and nickel chloride. Layering of the pink-red solution with pentane and storage at 5 °C generated blue crystals which were analysed crystallographically (1e-A). The asymmetric unit consists of a tetrachloronickelate anion which is charge-balanced by two N-protonated 6,6'–dimethyl-2,2'-dipyridylamine ligands, which is effectively a tetrachloronickelate salt (Fig. 2). Selected bond lengths and angles (Table 3) as well as crystallographic parameters (Table 4), are tabulated. The cationic and anionic portions are effectively dissociated, as evidenced by Ni-N1 and Ni-N1' bond distances of 5.540 and 5.768 \(\text{Å}\). The Ni- and
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**Table 1** Selected bond lengths (Å) and angles (°) for complex 1a-2MeOH

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Bond lengths (Å)</th>
<th>Bond angles (°)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ni1–N1</td>
<td>2.036(2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ni1–N2</td>
<td>2.044(1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ni1–O1</td>
<td>2.165(1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ni1–Cl1</td>
<td>2.394(6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ni1–Cl2</td>
<td>2.415(5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

**Table 2** Crystallographic data pertaining to complex 1a-2MeOH

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1a-2MeOH</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Empirical formula</td>
<td>C(<em>{24})H(</em>{30})Cl(_4)N(_6)Ni(_2)O(_2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Temperature</td>
<td>100(2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crystal system</td>
<td>Monoclinic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Space group</td>
<td>P(_{2\text{1}})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>a (Å)</td>
<td>8.4860(14)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b (Å)</td>
<td>14.430(2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c (Å)</td>
<td>11.5135(19)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(\alpha) (°)</td>
<td>90.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(\beta) (°)</td>
<td>95.387(2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(\gamma) (°)</td>
<td>90.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(V) (Å(^3))</td>
<td>1403.64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Z</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(F(000))</td>
<td>712</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(D_c) (g cm(^{-3}))</td>
<td>1.6411</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(\mu) ((\mu) mm(^{-1}))</td>
<td>1.757</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reflections ([F_o &gt; 4(F_c)])</td>
<td>3237</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parameters</td>
<td>178</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GOF</td>
<td>1.045</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(R_1) (I &gt; 2(\sigma(I)))</td>
<td>0.0208</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(wR_2)</td>
<td>0.0263</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

**Fig. 1** Molecular structure of 1a-2MeOH drawn at 50% probability ellipsoids. Hydrogen atoms omitted for clarity.
O-atoms are located on an inversion centre and display H⋯Cl interactions related by symmetry of 2.198 Å. In addition, H⋯Cl interactions are observed between the N-Me and the Cl atoms bound to nickel with a distance of 3.619 Å (Fig. 3). The molecules are packed in rows parallel to the c axis, with the rows stabilised by π–π stacking interactions of 3.645 Å and 3.490 Å. Consecutive rows along the a axis are linked via H-bonding to each other.

Interleaving layers of metal anion, water and ligand pack infinitely along the b axis, in an a/b motif. A number of reports in literature detail the crystallographic characterisation of transition metal-/pyridinium ion-pairs, with only five reports corresponding to the preparation of tetrachloronickelate species.

In all cases, the synthetic procedure for the isolation of the ion-pairs requires the presence of hydrochloric acid to protonate the pyridine ligand and generate the tetrachloronickelate anion. We thus propose that the formation of species 1e-A is mediated by hydrochloric acid, known to be present in dichloromethane in trace quantities.

**Reactivity of complexes 1a–1e toward ethylene**

We evaluated the reactivity of complexes 1a–1e, in the presence of alkylaluminium reagents as co-catalysts, in ethylene oligo-/polymerisation. Our initial catalysis optimisation runs were conducted with complex 1a as pre-catalyst (Table 5). We found that pre-catalyst/co-catalyst interactions had an effect on the generation of an active catalyst system. Initial catalyst screening with complex 1a/MAO at Al : Ni ratio of 500 : 1 did not generate an active catalyst system (Table 5, entry 1), as evidenced by the absence of both oligomers and polymers in the reaction mixture. Previous reports of Ni(II)-catalysed ethylene oligomisation demonstrated an increase in catalytic activity when employing chlorobenzene as solvent.

When conducting our reactions in chlorobenzene as solvent, no ethylene oligomerisation or polymerisation was observed (Table 5, entry 2). We found that activation of complex 1a with MAO at Al : Ni ratios of ≥1000 : 1 in toluene generated a catalyst system capable of oligomerising ethylene with activities of 123 kg oligomers molNi⁻¹ h⁻¹ and turn-over frequencies of 4 × 10³ h⁻¹ (Table 5, entry 3). The formation of long-chain oligomers or polymer was not observed. The catalyst system displayed high selectivity toward the formation of 1- and 2-butenes (98%), with up to 47% 1-butene formed. The formation of small amounts of internal C₆-isomers and

---

**Table 3**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Bond lengths (Å)</th>
<th>Bond angles (°)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ni1–Cl1</td>
<td>2.275(6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ni1–Cl2</td>
<td>2.258(7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cl1⋯H1</td>
<td>2.321</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cl2⋯H7A</td>
<td>3.620</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cl1–Ni1–Cl2</td>
<td>116.44(2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cl1–Ni1–Cl1</td>
<td>100.12(2)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 4**

Crystallographic data pertaining to complex 1e-A

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Property</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Empirical formula</td>
<td>C₂₆H₃₂Cl₄N₆NiO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Temperature</td>
<td>100(2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crystal system</td>
<td>Monoclinic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Space group</td>
<td>C₂/c</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>a/Å</td>
<td>21.751(4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b/Å</td>
<td>11.949(2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c/Å</td>
<td>13.415(2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>α (°)</td>
<td>90.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>β (°)</td>
<td>123.276(2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>γ (°)</td>
<td>90.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V/Å³</td>
<td>2915.0(8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Z</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F(000)</td>
<td>1336</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D (g cm⁻³)</td>
<td>1.6411</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>μ/mm⁻¹</td>
<td>1.064</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reflections [Fo &gt; 4Fo]</td>
<td>3380</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parameters</td>
<td>180</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GOF</td>
<td>1.058</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R₁ (I &gt; 2σ)</td>
<td>0.0326</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>wR₂</td>
<td>0.0749</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

**Fig. 2** Molecular structure of the crystals isolated as 1e-A, drawn at 50% probability. Ni and O atoms located on a centre of inversion. Selected hydrogen atoms omitted for clarity.

**Fig. 3** Crystal packing for 1e-A along the b-axis, showing the rhombus-shaped packing around the Ni-centre, with interleaving layers annotated as a and b.
C₈-isomers was also observed. However, less than 1% of the higher olefin fraction corresponds to 1-hexene and 1-octene.

Contrary to what has been reported previously for Ni(n) phosphino-imine complexes,18 activation of complex 1a with MMAO with Al : Ni ratios of 500 : 1 and 1000 : 1 did not generate an active catalyst system (Table 5, entries 4 and 5).

Activation of complex 1a with DEAC at an Al : Ni ratio 125 : 1 generated highly active species, capable of oligomerising ethylene with high activities and at low co-catalyst loading (Table 5, entry 6). Increasing the amount of DEAC to an Al : Ni ratio of 250 : 1 resulted in the formation of an active species capable of dimerising ethylene to butenes with an activity of 589 kg_{oligomers} mol_{Ni}^{-1} h^{-1} and a turn-over frequency of 21 × 10^3 h^{-1} (Table 5, entry 7). A selectivity of 99% to butenes was observed, with the selectivity toward 1-butene found to be 26%. No significant differences were observed in the selectivity towards butenes and specifically 1-butene, when employing activated complex 4a with either MAO or DEAC as co-catalyst. The significant difference in catalytic activity as a function of the co-catalyst employed may be as a result of the different active species which is formed during activation employing MAO or DEAC. Brookhart and co-workers demonstrated experimentally that ethylene insertion to produce oligomers and polymers proceed from the catalyst resting state, the Ni-ethyl π-ethene species.22 Assuming that an analogous Ni-ethyl π-ethene species is the catalyst resting state for our catalyst system, alkylation and alkyl-abstraction by DEAC, in the presence of ethylene would generate the catalyst resting state directly. In contrast, alkylation and alkyl-abstraction by MAO under identical conditions would generate the Ni-methyl π-ethene species, which would need to undergo a number of chain propagation and termination steps to generate the catalyst resting state. It is this reason which we believe account for the increased catalytic activity for ethylene oligomerisation observed when employing DEAC as co-catalyst. Next, we evaluated complexes 1a–1e comparatively in ethylene oligomerisation, employing DEAC as co-catalyst, with an Al : Ni ratio of 250 : 1. Under the evaluated reaction conditions, complexes 1a–1e were highly active catalysts for the oligomerisation of ethylene, forming butenes as major products (Table 5, entries 7–11). The observed activity varied between 499–662 kg_{oligomers} mol_{Ni}^{-1} h^{-1}, while the observed turn-over frequencies varied between 18–24 × 10^3 h^{-1}, in the range observed for previously reported Ni(n) phenyl-ether pyrazole complexes.8

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Entry</th>
<th>Complex</th>
<th>Co-catalyst</th>
<th>Al : Ni</th>
<th>Activity (kg_{oligomers} mol_{Ni}^{-1} h^{-1})</th>
<th>TOF (&gt;10^3 h^{-1})</th>
<th>% C₄</th>
<th>% C₆</th>
<th>% C₈</th>
<th>1-C₄: trans 2-C₄: cis 2-C₄</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1a</td>
<td>MAO</td>
<td>500 : 1</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>1a</td>
<td>MAO</td>
<td>500 : 1</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>1a</td>
<td>MAO</td>
<td>1000 : 1</td>
<td>123</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>47 : 42 : 21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>1a</td>
<td>MMAO</td>
<td>500 : 1</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>1a</td>
<td>MMAO</td>
<td>1000 : 1</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>1a</td>
<td>DEAC</td>
<td>125 : 1</td>
<td>421</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>97</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>33 : 39 : 28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>1a</td>
<td>DEAC</td>
<td>250 : 1</td>
<td>589</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>26 : 46 : 28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>1b</td>
<td>DEAC</td>
<td>250 : 1</td>
<td>662</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>&gt;99</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>36 : 38 : 26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>1c</td>
<td>DEAC</td>
<td>250 : 1</td>
<td>527</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>&gt;99</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>22 : 48 : 30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>1d</td>
<td>DEAC</td>
<td>250 : 1</td>
<td>600</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>&gt;99</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>34 : 40 : 26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>1e</td>
<td>DEAC</td>
<td>250 : 1</td>
<td>499</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>&gt;99</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>98 : 1.2 : 0.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>1a</td>
<td>DEAC</td>
<td>250 : 1</td>
<td>864</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>&gt;99</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>27 : 44 : 29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>1a</td>
<td>DEAC</td>
<td>250 : 1</td>
<td>249</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>20 : 50 : 30</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* n (pre-catalyst): 10 µmol. Solvent (V): PhMe, 50 ml. Ethylene P: 5 bar. Reaction T: 30 °C. Reaction time: 30 min. TOF: n (ethylene consumed)/n (nickel)·h. MAO: methylaluminoxane. MMAO: modified methylaluminoxane. DEAC: diethyl aluminium chloride. * Chlorobenzene as solvent. * Ethylene P: 10 bar. * Reaction time: 90 min. * In all cases, the percentage of 1-hexene and 1-octene within the C₆- and C₈-fraction is less than 1%.
reactivity and the bridgehead N-alkyl substituents could be established. Thus the effect of the N-alkyl substituents on the observed catalytic activity is negligible. On the contrary, comparing the activity of 1a and 1e, the introduction of sterically bulky substituents in the ortho positions of the pyridylamine ligand result in a decrease in activity, from 589 kg\textsubscript{oligomers} mol\textsubscript{Ni}\textsuperscript{−1} h\textsuperscript{−1} to 499 kg\textsubscript{oligomers} mol\textsubscript{Ni}\textsuperscript{−1} h\textsuperscript{−1}. The observed decrease is as a result of increased barriers to associative exchange and -hydrogen transfer with increased steric bulk, leading to lower observed catalytic activity, as well as a less electrophilic metal centre which decreases the rate of ethylene insertion.\textsuperscript{22} When considering the selectivity toward 1-butene for complexes 1a–1d, no clear trend emerges (Table 5, entries 7–10). This again highlights the negligible effect the bridgehead N-alkyl substituents has on the observed selectivity. In general, for complexes 1a–1d, the major isomer formed during catalysis is the thermodynamically favoured trans 2-butene, in the range 38–46% (Table 5, entries 7–10, Fig. S6†). The percentages of 1-butene and cis 2-butene formed was found to vary as well, in the range 22–36% and 26–30% respectively. The varying selectivity for 1- and 2-butenes may be attributed to secondary isomerisation reactions which are difficult to control. These processes have been identified employing low temperature spectroscopic techniques,\textsuperscript{22} and have been observed previously in Ni(II)-catalysed ethylene oligomerisation.\textsuperscript{18} In contrast, when employing complex 1e as catalyst, the observed selectivity for 1-butene is 98% (Table 5, entry 11, Fig. S7†). This marked increase in 1-butene selectivity is attributed to the presence of the \( \text{O-Me} \) substituents which retards the isomerisation of 1-butene, by increasing steric pressure within the nickel coordination sphere. This is as a result of the re-inserted olefin being oriented within the plane of the metal centre prior to elimination, which destabilises the active species and decreases the propensity toward isomerisation. In addition, it has been established by both experiment and theory, that the olefin isomerisation barriers are much higher for sterically bulky Ni-alkyl olefin species, in comparison to their less sterically bulky analogues.\textsuperscript{6,7,24} It is these factors, a combination of steric and electronic effects, which we believe account for the observed difference in butene selectivity for complexes 1a and 1e.

Finally, we evaluated the effect of varying reaction parameters on activity employing complex 1a and DEAC as co-catalyst. It should be noted that we did not evaluate the effect of temperature due to the highly exothermic nature of the oligomerisation reaction. We found that increasing ethylene pressure from 5 bar to 10 bar, resulted in a significant increase in catalytic activity, which was found to be 864 kg\textsubscript{oligomers} mol\textsubscript{Ni}\textsuperscript{−1} h\textsuperscript{−1} to a TOF of 31 × 10\textsuperscript{3} h\textsuperscript{−1} (Table 5, entry 12). This increase is attributable to an increased equilibrium concentration of monomer present in solution at higher ethylene pressures and has been observed previously for Ni(II) catalyst systems capable of oligomerising and polymerising ethylene.\textsuperscript{17,18,25} Increasing the reaction time, from 30 minutes to 90 minutes resulted in a dramatic decrease in catalytic activity, from 589 kg\textsubscript{oligomers} mol\textsubscript{Ni}\textsuperscript{−1} h\textsuperscript{−1} to 249 kg\textsubscript{oligomers} mol\textsubscript{Ni}\textsuperscript{−1} h\textsuperscript{−1} (Table 5, entry 13). This decrease is consistent with decomposition of the catalytically active species and is generally observed for nickel- and palladium-catalysed olefin oligo- or polymerisation reactions.\textsuperscript{26} Our results have demonstrated the significant impact that tuning the coordination sphere of the catalyst can have on the observed product selectivity. In this example, the introduction of methyl groups in the ortho position of the dipyrindylamine ligands increases olefin isomerisation barriers, thereby leading to the preferential formation of 1-butene.

Conclusions

A series of chloro-bridged dinuclear Ni(II) complexes, 1a–1e, ligated by N-alkyl dipyrindylaldehyde ligands were prepared. Characterisation by various spectroscopic and analytical techniques identified the complexes as paramagnetic Ni(II) complexes. During ESI-MS analysis of the complexes, interesting solvent-dependent fragmentation and aggregation processes were identified. In the case of complex 1e a unique acid-mediated hydrolysis process was identified, the product of which was characterised crystallographically. Following activation with alkylaluminium reagents, complexes 1a–1e generated species capable of oligomerising ethylene with high activity, up to 864 kg\textsubscript{oligomers} mol\textsubscript{Ni}\textsuperscript{−1} h\textsuperscript{−1} and high selectivity toward 1-butene, 98% in the case of 1e. Consistent with previous literature reports on Ni(II)-catalysed ethylene oligomerisation, an increase in ethylene pressure was found to increase the observed catalytic activity, while an increase in reaction time resulted in a decrease in the observed catalytic activity.

Experimental section

General considerations

All transformations were performed using standard Schlenk techniques under a nitrogen atmosphere. Solvents were dried by refluxing over the appropriate drying agents followed by distillation prior to use and all other reagents were employed as obtained. ESI-MS (positive ion mode) analyses were performed on Waters API Quattro Micro and Waters API Q-TOF Ultima instruments by direct injection of sample. FT-IR analysis was performed on a Thermo Nicolet AVATAR 330 instrument, and was recorded as neat spectra (ATR) unless otherwise specified. Melting point determinations were performed on a Stuart Scientific SMP3 melting point apparatus and are reported as uncorrected. Magnetic susceptibility measurements were recorded on a Sherwood Scientific MK1 magnetic susceptibility balance. Samples were weighed and sealed in a glovebox prior to analysis. Measurements were conducted in duplicate. MAO (1.0 M in toluene, Sigma-Aldrich), MMAO (7 wt% in heptanes, Azko-Nobel) and DEAC (1.8 M in toluene, Sigma-Aldrich) was purchased from commercial sources and used as received. NiCl\textsubscript{2}(DME) was prepared according to a modified literature procedure, where the NiCl\textsubscript{2}-2H\textsubscript{2}O in the literature procedure was replaced with NiCl\textsubscript{2}-6H\textsubscript{2}O.\textsuperscript{26} Satisfactory micro-
analysis could not be obtained for all the prepared complexes and in some cases (1a, 1b, 1c and 1d) the data was fitted to species which showed trace solvent inclusion.

Synthesis of N-alkyl-2,2′-dipyridylamine ligands, 1a–1c

Synthesis of 2,2′-dipyridyl-N-methylamine (a). To a stirred slurry of KOH (786 mg, 14.018 mmol) in DMSO (10 ml) was added solid 2,2′-dipyridylamine (600 mg, 3.504 mmol). The reaction mixture was stirred for 45 min after which neat iodomethane (497 mg, 3.504 mmol) was added neat. The reaction mixture was stirred at room temperature for 20 h. After the allotted time the reaction mixture was quenched with water (50 ml) and the aqueous layer extracted with EtOAc (3 x 100 ml portions). The organic layer was separated, dried over MgSO4 and the solvent removed in vacuo. The orange-brown crude oil was purified by flash chromatography employing EtOAc–hexane (9:1) as eluent. The pure product was obtained as a yellow oil. Yield: 582 mg, 89%. FT-IR (ATR, neat, ν): 1580 and 1557 cm⁻¹ (C=N). ^1H NMR (CDCl3, 300 MHz): δ 8.33–8.36 (dd, 2H, δ_HH 7.92 Hz, H3); δ 7.51–7.57 (m, 2H, H2); δ 6.15–7.19 (dt, 2H, δ_HH 8.51 Hz, H4); δ 6.84–6.88 (m, 2H, H2); δ 3.63 (s, 3H, H3).

Synthesis of 2,2′-dipyridyl-N-benzylamine (b). The same synthetic procedure as outlined above for ligand a was employed for the synthesis of b, using benzyl chloride as reagent. The product was isolated as a bright-yellow solid after recrystallisation from hexane. Yield: 755 mg, 82%. FT-IR (ATR, neat, ν): 1581 and 1561 cm⁻¹ (C=N). ^1H NMR (CDCl3, 300 MHz): δ 8.31–8.33 (dd, 2H, δ_HH 7.63 Hz, H3); δ 7.48–7.54 (m, 2H, H2); δ 7.33–7.36 (br, dd, 2H, δ_HH 7.34 Hz, H4); δ 7.25–7.28 (m, 2H, H3); δ 7.15–7.20 (m, 3H, H6). 13C{1H} NMR (CDCl3, 75.38 MHz): δ 143.8 (C5); δ 143.2 (C6); δ 141.1 (C7); δ 120.4 (C8); δ 119.0 (C9); δ 119.0 (C10); δ 115.3 (C11); δ 114.9 (C12); δ 111.0 (C13); δ 132.8 (C14); δ 24.3 (C15). ESI-MS: m/z 214 [M + H]+. % Found (% calc.) for C12H12N2: M: 213.11; H: 8.53; N: 15.56.

Synthesis of 2,2′-dipyridyl-N-methylcyclohexylamine (c). The same synthetic procedure as outlined above for ligand a was employed for the synthesis of c, using bromomethylcyclohexane as reagent. The product was isolated as an orange oil after purification by flash chromatography (EtOAc–hexane 1:4). Yield: 823 mg, 88%. FT-IR (ATR, neat, ν): 1580 and 1558 cm⁻¹ (C=N). ^1H NMR (CDCl3, 300 MHz): δ 8.32–8.34 (dd, 2H, δ_HH 7.78 Hz, H3); δ 7.48–7.54 (m, 2H, H2); δ 7.06–7.10 (dt, 2H, δ_HH 8.51 Hz, H4); δ 6.82–6.86 (dt, 2H, δ_HH 1.79 Hz, H2); δ 5.51 (s, 2H, H3).

Synthesis of 2,2′-dipyridyl-N-neopentylidinoline (d). The same synthetic procedure as outlined above ligand a was employed for the synthesis of d, using neopentyl iodide as reagent. The product was isolated as a colourless oil after purification by flash chromatography (EtOAc–hexane 1:4). Yield: 823 mg, 88%. FT-IR (ATR, neat, ν): 1581 and 1559 cm⁻¹ (C=N). ^1H NMR (CDCl3, 300 MHz): δ 8.31–8.34 (dd, 2H, δ_HH 7.78 Hz, H3); δ 7.47–7.53 (m, 2H, H2); δ 7.03–7.06 (dt, 2H, δ_HH 8.36 Hz, H4); δ 6.82–6.87 (dt, 2H, δ_HH 7.19 Hz, H3); δ 5.42 (s, 2H, H3).

Synthesis of (6,6′-dimethyl-2,2′-dipyridyl)-N-methylamine (e). To a stirred solution of 2-bromo-6-methylpyridine (499 mg, 2.9 mmol) and 2-amino-6-methylpyridine (345 mg, 3.19 mmol) in anhydrous PhMe (45 ml) was added Pd2(dba)3 (133 mg, 0.145 mmol), rac-BINAP (68 mg, 0.110 mmol) and KOtBu (531 mg, 4.73 mmol) in rapid succession. The reaction mixture was heated for 15 h while stirring at 90 °C. After the allotted time the reaction mixture was quenched with EtOH (15 ml), filtered through celite and the solvent removed in vacuo. The crude product amine was isolated as a brown oil and was sufficiently pure (determined by ^1H NMR spectroscopy) and employed directly in the methylation step. The same synthetic procedure as outlined above (a) was employed for the synthesis of e using 6-methyl-N-(6-methylpyridin-2-yl)pyridin-2-amine as starting amine and iodomethane as reagent. The product was isolated as a yellow oil after purification by flash chromatography employing pentane as eluent. Yield: 289 mg, 46% overall. FT-IR (ATR, neat, ν): 1591 and 1568 cm⁻¹. ^1H NMR (CDCl3, 300 MHz): δ 7.40 (t, 2H, δ_HH 7.48 Hz, H3); δ 6.93 (d, 2H, δ_HH 8.36 Hz, H2); δ 6.70 (d, 2H, δ_HH 7.19 Hz, H1); δ 3.63 (s, 3H, H3); δ 2.48 (s, 6H, H4). 13C{1H} NMR (CDCl3, 75.38 MHz): δ 157.45 (C5); δ 157.00 (C6); δ 137.13 (C7); δ 115.83 (C8); δ 111.10 (C9); δ 35.84 (C10); δ 24.43 (C11). ESI-MS: m/z 214 [M + H]+. % Found (% calc.) for C12H12N2: M: 213.11; H: 8.53; N: 15.56.

Synthesis of µ-Cl Ni(u)-N-alkyl dipyridylaldiminato complexes, 1a–1e

Synthesis of [Ni(µ-Cl){2,2′-dipyridyl-N-methylamine}Cl]2, 1a. To a stirred slurry of NiCl2(DME) (237 mg, 1.079 mmol) in DCM (20 ml) was added a solution of 2,2′-dipyridyl-N-methylamine (200 mg, 1.079 mmol) in DCM (5 ml). The reaction mixture was stirred for 23 h at room temperature. After the allotted time the solvent was removed in vacuo and the blue-green solid residue obtained dissolved in MeCN and cannula-filtered into another dry Schlenk tube. The blue-green filtrate was reduced in volume and layered with Et2O to form a blue-green solid which was filtered, washed with ether and dried in vacuo. Yield: 282 mg, 83%. FT-IR (ATR, neat, ν): 1598, 1577 cm⁻¹ (C=N). ESI-MS m/z 342 [M + Na + MeOH]^+. µ_eff: 4.15µB. % Found (Calc.) for [C22H22ClN4Ni20.5CH2Cl2]: C: 40.23 (40.21); H: 3.41 (3.45); N: 12.42 (12.50).

Synthesis of [Ni(µ-Cl){2,2′-dipyridyl-N-benzylamine}Cl]2, 1b. Complex 1b prepared according to the same synthetic procedure as outlined for complex 1a, with 2,2′-dipyridyl-N-benzylamine employed as ligand. Yield: 272 mg, 90%. FT-IR (ATR, neat, ν): 1597, 1578 cm⁻¹ (C=N). ESI-MS m/z 418 [M + Na + MeOH]^+. µ_eff: 3.89µB. % Found (Calc.) for [C23H24ClN4Ni20.2CH2Cl2]: C: 51.82 (51.85); H: 4.33 (4.39); N: 10.75 (10.78).

Synthesis of [Ni(µ-Cl){2,2′-dipyridyl-N-methylcyclohexylamine}]Cl2, 1c. Complex 1c prepared according to the same synthetic procedure as outlined for complex 1a, with 2,2′-dipyridyl-N-methylcyclohexylamine employed as ligand. Yield: 241 mg, 81%. FT-IR (ATR, neat, ν): 1600, 1577 cm⁻¹ (C=N),
ESI-MS m/z 424 [M + Na + MeOH]^{2+}. μ_{eff}: 4.01μ_B. % Found (Calc.) for C_{14}H_{12}Cl_2Ni_2N_2: C: 48.45 (48.95); H: 4.83 (5.14); N: 10.11 (9.88).

Synthesis of [Ni(μ-Cl)]2(2,2'-dipryl)-N-neopentylamine][Cl]_2, 1d. Complex 1d prepared according to the same synthetic procedure as outlined for complex 1a, with 2,2'-dipryl-N-neopentylamine employed as ligand. Yield: 258 mg, 74%. FT-IR (ATR, neat, ν): 1600, 1581 cm\(^{-1}\) (C≡N). ESI-MS m/z 398 [M + Na + MeOH]^{2+}. μ_{eff}: 4.32μ_B. % Found (Calc.) for [C_{14}H_{14}Cl_2Ni_2N_2: C: 45.71 (45.86); H: 4.71 (4.71); N: 11.24 (11.32).

Synthesis of [Ni(μ-Cl)][6,6'-dimethyl-2,2'-dipryl-N-methylamine][Cl]_2, 1e. Complex 1e prepared according to the same synthetic procedure as outlined for complex 1a, with 6,6'-dimethyl-2,2'-dipryl-N-methylamine employed as ligand. Yield: 196 mg, 53%. FT-IR (ATR, neat, ν): 1600, 1581 cm\(^{-1}\) (C≡N). ESI-MS m/z 370 [M + Na + MeOH]^{2+}. μ_{eff}: 4.47μ_B. % Found (Calc.) for C_{26}H_{30}Cl_4Ni_2: C: 45.49 (45.54); H: 3.98 (4.41); N: 12.06 (12.06).

Isolation of [6-methylpyridinium-6'-methyl-N-methylpyridin-2-amine]_2[Ni(Cl)]_4^{2-}

A solution of complex 1e (30 mg, 0.043 mmol) was dissolved in dichloromethane (0.5 ml). The pink-red solution was layered with pentane and stored at 5 °C. The formation of a yellow precipitate was observed, with concomitant formation of blue crystals. The yellow precipitate was analysed by FT-IR, spectral features were consistent with NiCl_2. The blue crystals were isolated and characterised by X-ray crystallography.

X-Ray crystal structure determination

Single crystals of complexes 1a-2MeOH and 1e-A were mounted on a nylon loop and centred in a stream of cold nitrogen at 100(2) K. Crystal evaluation and data collection were performed on a Bruker-Norusim SMART Apex II CCD diffractometer with Mo K\(_{α}\) radiation (λ = 0.71073 Å). Data collection, reduction and refinement were performed using SAINT\(^{27}\) and SADABS,\(^{28}\) which forms part of the APEX II software package. The structures were solved by direct methods and refined by full-matrix least-squares on \(F^2\) using SHELX-97\(^{29}\) within the X-Seed graphic user interface.\(^{30}\) All non-hydrogen atoms were refined anisotropically and all hydrogen atoms were placed using calculated positions and riding models.

Procedure for preparative-scale ethylene oligomerisation

In a glovebox under a nitrogen atmosphere, a 250 ml Parr high-pressure autoclave was charged with the required amount of solvent and co-catalyst, and was sealed prior to being attached to the ethylene feed. The reactor was brought to temperature at which point a dispersion of the pre-catalyst in toluene (total volume: 50 ml) was added \(via\) syringe under positive ethylene pressure. The ethylene feed was maintained at the required pressure throughout the catalytic run, with the volume of ethylene consumed monitored throughout the catalytic run. After the allotted time, the reactor was cooled to −78 °C and the reaction mixture quenched with MeOH (5 ml).

A liquid sample was filtered through a syringe filter and analyzed by GC-FID employing \(p\)-xylene as internal standard, taking care to maintain the temperature below −20 °C to minimize the loss of volatile reaction products. The observed oligomers were quantified against calibrated standards. Quenching the reaction mixture did not precipitate any polymers and no long-chain oligomers were observed after work-up.
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