Effect of electrolyte constituents on the motion of ionic species and recombination kinetics in dye-sensitized solar cells†
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The dynamic motion of ions in electrolyte solutions and its effect on recombination was investigated by the heterodyne transient grating method in addition to transient absorption and transient photocurrent methods in dye sensitized solar cells. Realignment of ionic species at the electrode/electrolyte interface was observed after the electron injection in TiO₂ on the order of μs. The process was affected by the total quantity of ionic species as well as cation species in the electrolyte. The recombination processes of the electrons were also affected by the constituents; the probability of the electron–electrolyte recombination decreased with decrease in I₂ concentration; the dominant recombination process changed from the electron–electrolyte to the electron–dye recombination by decreasing I⁻ concentration. It is concluded that sufficient I⁻ is necessary for the suppression of the electron–dye recombination and that sufficient I₂ is necessary for an efficient redox cycle, while low concentration of I₃⁻ ions at the electrolyte/TiO₂ interface is preferable to suppress the electron–electrolyte recombination. The effect of the cation size in an electrolyte solution on the charge dynamics was also investigated, and it was revealed that the steric hindrance of cations changed the penetration of ionic species into the nanoporous dye/TiO₂ electrode, causing a change in the electrostatic properties at the interface. The cation dependence indicated that the presence of large-sized cations suppressed the electron–electrolyte recombination by disturbing the approach of I₃⁻ paired with the cations. From the dyes to a semiconductor layer, dye regeneration by conversion from the dye cations reduced by redox species, electron diffusion in a semiconductor layer or recombination of the remaining electrons with electrolytes and dyes. Most of these charge transport processes occur at the semiconductor/electrolyte solution interface, and it was reported that the constituents in the electrolyte solution such as cation and redox species affect various processes and the performance of DSSCs as a result.9,14 It is generally known that the constituents in an electrolyte solution have an influence on the yield of electron injection, open-circuit voltage, electron diffusion coefficient and the rate of dye cation regeneration.4,6 As for the effect of additives, by putting 4-tert-buty1 pyridine (TBP) in an electrolyte solution, the open circuit voltage increases, while the electron injection yield decreases. This is because the conduction band edge of the semiconductor rises close to the LUMO of the dye molecules.10,15–17 It was reported on the cation effects that the flatband potential changes depending on the cation species, and that electron injection efficiency increased with increase in the charge/radius ratio of the cations due to improvement of the overlap between the conduction band state of the semiconductor and the LUMO orbital.
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Introduction

Dye sensitized solar cells (DSSCs) typically consist of a nanoporous layer of semiconductor materials such as a titanium oxide (TiO₂) layer, dyes, an electrolyte solution and a counter electrode. This simple configuration for light harvesting is strongly attractive, and much effort has been made on a search for new materials to achieve higher light harvesting efficiency by increasing light absorption in broader wavelength regions and reducing the loss in the photon-to-current conversion processes.1–3 Understanding of the working mechanism of the devices is also necessary, and has been studied especially for the last 20 years.4–6 DSSCs work in the following steps: absorption of light by dyes, electron injection from the dyes to a semiconductor layer, dye regeneration by conversion from the dye cations reduced by redox species, electron diffusion in a semiconductor layer or recombination of the remaining electrons with electrolytes and dyes. Most of these charge transport processes occur at the semiconductor/electrolyte solution interface, and it was reported that the constituents in the electrolyte solution such as cation and redox species affect various processes and the performance of DSSCs as a result.9,14 It is generally known that the constituents in an electrolyte solution have an influence on the yield of electron injection, open-circuit voltage, electron diffusion coefficient and the rate of dye cation regeneration.4,6 As for the effect of additives, by putting 4-tert-butyl pyridine (TBP) in an electrolyte solution, the open circuit voltage increases, while the electron injection yield decreases. This is because the conduction band edge of the semiconductor rises close to the LUMO of the dye molecules.10,15–17 It was reported on the cation effects that the flatband potential changes depending on the cation species, and that electron injection efficiency increased with increase in the charge/radius ratio of the cations due to improvement of the overlap between the conduction band state of the semiconductor and the LUMO orbital.
of the dye molecules based on the Marcus–Gerischer theory.18 The dye regeneration was accelerated using small cations,19 and the addition of appropriate cations in an electrolyte solution improved the performance of DSSCs.

As for the effect of $I_2^-/I$ redox species, the recombination of electrons is caused predominantly by $I_2^-$ ions rather than dye cations with sufficient redox concentration. The electron lifetime decreased with increase in $I_2^-$, proportional to the $I_2$ concentration, because it works as an electron acceptor via the electron–electrolyte recombination process.10 The effect of $I^-$ concentration was also investigated10,20 and significant increase in the electron lifetime was observed by increasing the lithium iodide ([LiI]) concentration from 10 to 20 mM. The effect was explained by the model that the dominant recombination channel of electrons was changed from the electron–dye recombination to the electron–electrolyte recombination within this concentration range.10

To clarify the role of the constituents in electrolyte solutions, the electron lifetimes or electron diffusion times were measured by intensity-modulated or time-resolved photocurrent or photo-voltage techniques,4,10 and the recombination processes were discussed.21–26 The transient absorption (TA) method has also been utilized to obtain various decay kinetics via absorption changes in the dye, dye cation.17,21,25–32 However, the discussions have been made based on the electron lifetime and diffusion coefficient only in a semiconductor, and the effect of the species on the liquid side have not been clarified fully. We need to get more information on the liquid side to understand the effect, because there are various possible recombination pathways depending on the species on the liquid side.

Recently, we demonstrated a new approach for investigating carrier dynamics in DSSCs via a refractive index change by using the heterodyne transient grating (HD-TG) method, combined with TA and transient photocurrent (TP) methods. We observed the dynamics after initial electron injection from dyes to a semiconductor, and the time range observed was on the order of nanoseconds to seconds.33,34 HD-TG provides information on the liquid side to understand the effect, because there are various possible recombination pathways depending on the species on the liquid side.

Here we report on the dependence of the constituents in an electrolyte solution, such as cations and redox species ($I_2^-$ and $I^-$), on the charge dynamics at the interface observed by the HD-TG, TA and TP methods. These constituents are frequently used as components in the electrolyte solutions, and are known to affect the performance of DSSCs. We discussed the ionic dynamics and effect on the recombination processes, utilizing the HD-TG responses with a combination of the information obtained from TA and TP. We could successfully show how to utilize these combination techniques to distinguish electron–dye and electron–electrolyte recombination, and how to study the effect of the ionic species on such recombination processes.

Experimental

Nanostructured TiO$_2$ films were prepared on fluorine-doped tin oxide (FTO) substrates by the doctor-blade technique.35,41 A colloidal TiO$_2$ suspension was prepared as described in a previous paper,41 and was spread on the FTO substrate by using a glass rod with adhesive tapes with a thickness of ~60 μm as spacers. Briefly, commercial TiO$_2$ powder (1 g, P25, Degussa) was ground in a mortar with a small amount of water (0.33 ml) including acetylacetone (33 μl) to prevent aggregation of the particles. After viscous TiO$_2$ paste was prepared, it was diluted by adding water (1 ml) slowly under continuous grinding. The film was sintered at 450 °C for 1 h and the final TiO$_2$ thickness was about 10 μm. It was then immersed in the N3 dye (cis-bis-(iso-thiocyanato)bis(2,2′-bipyridyl-4,4′-di-carboxylato) ruthenium(II)) bath for 40 h. The prepared sample was used as a working electrode, and an electrochemical cell was prepared by putting another glass plate together with a silicon rubber spacer, and the spacing between the working electrode and the glass plate was less than 1 mm. A platinum wire was put in the cell as a counter electrode. Electrochemical measurements were made by the two-electrode system, and the platinum wire was used as a reference-counter electrode. The electrochemical cell was kept under the short or open circuit conditions, and no external bias was applied to the cell (all results under the open circuit conditions are in the ESI†). The composition of the electrolyte was $I_2$ (0.3–30 mM) and LiI (3–300 mM) in acetonitrile (ACN). When an electrolyte solution was replaced, the electrochemical cell was kept immersed for at least 30 min in a new electrolyte solution. To study the effect of the concentration of $I^-$, LiClO$_4$ was added to keep the Li$^+$ concentration constant so that the cation effect was negligible. To study the cation effect, 1,2-dimethyl-3-propylimidazolium iodide (DMPII) and tetra-n-butylammonium iodide (TBAI) were dissolved in electrolyte instead of LiI while the concentrations of $I^-$ and $I_2$ were kept constant (30 mM for $I_2$, 300 mM for $I^-$).

The principle and the setup of the HD-TG method were reported in detail in previous papers.13,36,42 In this study, the pump light source was the second harmonic of an Nd:YAG laser (Surelite, Continuum, Electro-Optics Inc.). The pump pulse had a wavelength of 532 nm, a pulse width of 5 ns, an intensity of ~0.5 mJ per pulse, and the probe light was a CW semiconductor laser with a wavelength of 635 nm. The pump and probe lights were incident from the FTO substrate side to avoid absorption of the pump light by iodine redox species. TP was measured using a potentiostat with a time resolution of 10 μs.
and was recorded on an oscilloscope at the same time as the HD-TG measurement. TA was measured at the same probe wavelength just by removing a plate of the transmission grating used for the HD-TG measurement. In addition, TA was measured at 785 nm, too (ESI†). Each response was recorded with an interval of 20 s to avoid the effect of the previous pump pulse. In the HD-TG and TA measurements, the probe light at 635 nm was kept irradiated, which corresponded to an intensity of about 0.3 sun, and the cell showed almost the same \( V_{oc} \) under 1 sun condition. In the TP response, there was a constant background current due to irradiation of the CW probe light, corresponding to a short-circuit current for a wavelength of 635 nm. To compare the results with a previous work, all data in this paper were taken under the short-circuit condition (the data taken under the open circuit condition is in the ESI†). The TP response was obtained under a constant background current. Thus, the TP response corresponds to a short-circuit current response generated by additional irradiation of the pump pulse with a wavelength of 532 nm.

Results and discussion

I₂ concentration dependence

Fig. 1(a) shows HD-TG and TA responses in LiI (300 mM)/I₂ (30 mM)/ACN. Four components appeared in the HD-TG response in the time region of 0.4 \( \mu \)s to 1 s as was previously reported. The first component (I) with a decay time less than 1 \( \mu \)s was assigned to the disproportionation reaction of I₂⁻. The second component (II) is a rising component observed in the time region of \( 10^{-6} \) to \( 10^{-5} \) s. This component is due to rearrangement of charged species on the liquid side in an electric double layer, which was induced by the electron trap in TiO₂ after the injection of electrons from photo-excited dyes to TiO₂. The third component (III) is a decaying component observed in the time region of \( 10^{-3} \) to \( 10^{-2} \) s, corresponding to the thermal diffusion response typically observed by the HD-TG method. The fourth component (IV) is a negative signal, which went back to the original baseline observed in the time region of \( 10^{-1} \) to 1 s, caused by the electron–electrolyte recombination and the following escape of I⁻ from the electric double layer. The second (II) and fourth (IV) components were referred to as \( A_{\text{rarrange}} \) and \( A_{\text{e/electrolyte}} \) respectively, in the following.

In the TA response shown in Fig. 1(a), three components were observed in the same time region above, as previously reported. The first component (i) with a decay time less than 1 \( \mu \)s was assigned to the disproportionation reaction of I₂⁻, the same as the first HD-TG component. The second rising component (ii) in \( 10^{-6} \)–\( 10^{-4} \) s corresponds to the electro-absorption effect of the dyes due to the Stark effect induced by ionic rearrangement, and the third decaying component...
In $10^{-4}$ to 1 s corresponds to electron loss by the diffusion from the TiO$_2$ layer to the electrode or by recombination processes. The second (ii) and the third (iii) components were denoted as $A_{\text{abs}}$ and $A_{\text{eject}}$, respectively, in the following. Herein, (i) in HD-TG and (i) in TA components were not discussed in this paper because of ambiguity of the assignment and overlap with the instrumental noise.

Generally, addition of I$_2$ to a LiI solution generates I$_3^-$ in the following equilibrium.

$$I_3^- \rightleftharpoons I_2 + I^- \quad (1)$$

The equilibrium constant for this reaction in acetonitrile was previously estimated to be $10^{-7}$ mol L$^{-1}$. Then, I$_3^-$ concentration in the electrolyte solution can be controlled by the I$_2$ concentration under constant I$^-$ concentration. Here, LiI (300 mM) electrolyte solutions with different I$_2$ concentrations, 0.3, 3, 30 mM, were used. In the presence of excess I$^-$, most I$_2$ is in the form of I$_3^-$. The I$_2$ concentration increased. It indicates that the rearrangement process would be accelerated by a stronger electrostatic interaction between the charged species, and if the former were the reason, the rearrangement response was affected more by I$_3^-$ concentration, and it is supported that the TA responses at 785 nm showed a similar tendency (ESI†). Hereinafter, the decaying component was referred to as $A_{\text{e/dye}}$ in the following.

The TA responses (Fig. 1(c)) had 2 components for all electrolyte solutions. The rising component, $A_{\text{abs}}$ around $10^{-5}$ s did not show any dependence. Since it was reported that the electron-absorption effect is caused by Li$^+$, it is reasonable that the response did not change by variation of the I$_3^-$ concentration under constant Li$^+$ concentration. The $A_{\text{eject}}$ intensity was reduced much for 0.3 mM, and it indicated that the signal intensity with decreasing the I$^-$ concentration was not almost observed for concentration lower than 30 mM. The TA responses shown in Fig. 2(b) had a decay component in the time region from $10^{-6}$ to $10^{-3}$, and the $A_{\text{abs}}$ component was not observed except for 300 mM I$^-$. The probe wavelength ($\lambda = 635$ nm) is in the region where the dye and the dye cation have a similar absorption strength, and TA monitors both the bleach recovery of the dye absorption (MLCT) and the decay of the dye cation absorption (LMCT). It was reported that regeneration of dye cations typically occurs on the order of nanoseconds but it becomes slower, extending to the order of milliseconds under lower I$^-$ concentrations. We considered that slower regeneration of the dye cations (electron–dye recombination) was observed for the lower I$^-$ concentration, and it is supported that the TA responses at 785 nm showed a similar tendency (ESI†). The electron–electrolyte recombination was accelerated by increasing the acceptor of electrons. This means that the low probability of the electron encounter with I$_3^-$ (or I$_2$) is effective for reducing the electron recombination. However, the TP decreased with decrease in I$_2$ concentration, and, that is to say, a sufficient quantity of I$_3^-$ is needed to keep the short-circuit current. Therefore, much I$_3^-$ is necessary in the bulk electrolyte solution but it should be reduced at the electrolyte/TiO$_2$ interface.

**I$^-$ concentration dependence**

The influence of I$^-$ concentration was investigated while maintaining the same ionic strength of the electrolyte solution by introducing LiClO$_4$ as a supporting electrolyte. As shown in Fig. 2(a), the response of the $A_{\text{rearrange}}$ component did not depend on the I$^-$ concentration. The recombination was accelerated by increasing the acceptor of electrons. This means that the low probability of the electron encounter with I$_3^-$ (or I$_2$) is effective for reducing the electron recombination. However, the TP decreased with decrease in I$_2$ concentration, and, that is to say, a sufficient quantity of I$_3^-$ is needed to keep the short-circuit current. Therefore, much I$_3^-$ is necessary in the bulk electrolyte solution but it should be reduced at the electrolyte/TiO$_2$ interface.

The electron–electrolyte recombination was accelerated by increasing the acceptor of electrons. This means that the low probability of the electron encounter with I$_3^-$ (or I$_2$) is effective for reducing the electron recombination. However, the TP decreased with decrease in I$_2$ concentration, and, that is to say, a sufficient quantity of I$_3^-$ is needed to keep the short-circuit current. Therefore, much I$_3^-$ is necessary in the bulk electrolyte solution but it should be reduced at the electrolyte/TiO$_2$ interface.
Although $I_3^-$ concentration depended on the $I^-$ concentration due to the equilibrium shown in (1), it remained 30 mM for 30 and 300 mM of $I^-$. However, the $A_{\text{electrolyte}}$ component was almost lost for a concentration less than 30 mM. Thus, the reason for decrease in the electron–electrolyte recombination could not be explained with the $I_3^-$ concentration dependence. On the other hand, the $I^-$ concentration dependence on the TA responses indicated a slow decay of dye cations for concentrations less than 30 mM, which caused electron–dye recombination. Considering these HD-TG and TA results, it is concluded that the decrease in electron–electrolyte recombination under low $I^-$ concentration was due to reduction of the accumulated electrons in $TiO_2$, caused by the electron–dye recombination process. Thus, the TP decrease for lower $I^-$ concentrations was not caused by the electron–electrolyte recombination but by increase in the electron consumption by the electron–dye recombination prior to electron–electrolyte recombination.

Cation dependence

Cation species, which influence the electrolyte/$TiO_2$ interface, are also an important factor to control the charge dynamics and the performance of DSSCs. To clarify the effect, different cations, $Li^+$, $DMPI^+$ and $TBA^+$ were compared. The order of the ionic radius for the cations is $Li^+ < DMPI^+ < TBA^+$. Fig. 3 shows the cation dependence on the HD-TG and TP responses. The response of the $A_{\text{rearrange}}$ component for $TBA^+$ was slower than those for $Li^+$ and $DMPI^+$. It indicates that $TBA^+$ delayed the rearrangement process of the charged species as compared to the cases for $Li^+$ and $DMPI^+$. The cations used in this study had a different size and different adsorption ability on $TiO_2$. $TBA^+$ is bulky and less adsorptive than $Li^+$ and $DMPI^+$. It is supposed that the steric hindrance of $TBA^+$ interrupted the ionic species exchange, causing slower rearrangement for $TBA^+$ than those for $Li^+$ or $DMPI^+$.

The intensity of the $A_{\text{electrolyte}}$ component also depended on the cation species. The signal intensity decreased in the order of $Li^+$, $DMPI^+$, $TBA^+$, and was totally lost for $TBA^+$. Since the order is same as the size of the cations, it is supposed that the steric hindrance for larger cations restricted their penetration into the dye/$TiO_2$ electrode, which lowered the screening effect of the negative charge accumulated at the $TiO_2$/solution interface. It is assumed that this hindrance of cation penetration reduces the local concentration of the counter anions, $I_3^-$ (or $I_2$) causing less probability of electron–electrolyte recombination.

The background current, corresponding to the short-circuit current generated by the constant probe light intensity, was increased in the order of $Li^+ > DMPI^+ > TBA^+$, as previously reported. With regard to the TP, the total transient current, equal to the area of the TP peaks, was in the order of $Li^+ > DMPI^+ > TBA^+$, although the peak current for $DMPI^+$ was...
This is because anions are paired with cations, similarly as the former process, as was confirmed from Fig. 2(b). It is noted that the redox potential of the electrolytes and the electrostatic interactions between the electrons in TiO₂, and electrons in TiO₂ was lowered for larger cations due to their steric hindrance, the flatband potential were not changed. 44 Thus, the driving force for the dye regeneration caused by Ie and electrons in TiO₂ was not affected, and the probability of electron–dye recombination was increased due to the long lifetime of the dye cation under low I− concentration.

The cation properties such as size, affected the electron–electrolyte recombination process, too, as shown in Fig. 3, because the cation size influenced the penetration of cations into nanoporous dye/TiO₂ electrode. For the case of a larger cation, such as TBA⁺, steric hindrance prevented the cation approach to the interface and lowered the screening effect of the negative charge at the dye/TiO₂ electrode surface, and, as a result, the approach of I− (or I₂) to the interface was prohibited. This is why the electron–electrolyte recombination process was not observed for TBA⁺. For DMPI⁺, the probability for electron–electrolyte recombination was smaller than that for Li⁺ but not totally lost.

As a result, it is supposed that the presence of large-sized cations suppresses electron–electrolyte recombination by disturbing the approach of I− (or I₂) to the interface due to steric hindrance of the cations. However, since it is difficult for large

**Discussion and summary**

From the results on the A_rearrange component, the total amount of ionic species affected the rearrangement of the charged species at the electrolyte/TiO₂ interface because this component did not change under the same ionic strength, and it is likely that I⁻ is mainly involved in this process. The rearrangement was delayed as an increase in the amount of ionic species, which was caused by diffusivity decrease of the involved ions with increase in the ion concentration.

The size of the ionic species also affects the rearrangement process because of difference in the ion penetration into the dye/TiO₂ electrode due to steric hindrance of the ions. It is well known that Li⁺ screen the negative charge of the injected electrons at the TiO₂ surface, 49 and the effect of the screening was lowered for larger cations due to their steric hindrance, where the electrostatic force applied to ions would be increased. In such electrostatic interactions between the electrons in TiO₂, cations and anions affected the rearrangement of ionic species by changing the cation size.

The redox (I⁻, I₂) concentrations and the cation species also had an influence on the recombination processes, by changing the ratio of electron–electrolyte recombination and electron–dye recombination, or by increasing or decreasing the probability of each process. The electron–electrolyte and electron–dye recombination were observed by the A_electrolyte component in the HD-TG response, and the A_dye component in the TA response, respectively. From the I₂ concentration dependence (Fig. 1(b)), the probability and the rate of electron–electrolyte recombination increased as the I₂ concentration increased, which indicates that I⁻ (or I₂) is the main acceptor for the electron capture. This electron–electrolyte recombination process was totally lost by decreasing I⁻ concentration as shown in Fig. 2(a), because the dominant recombination process changed from the electron–electrolyte to electron–dye recombination, which is a faster recombination process than the former process, as was confirmed from Fig. 2(b). It is noted that I₂ or I⁻ concentration dependences were studied under the almost constant Li⁺ concentration and ionic strength, and it is considered that the redox potential of the electrolytes and the flatband potential were not changed. 44 Thus, the driving force for the dye regeneration caused by I⁻ and electrons in TiO₂ was not affected, and the probability of electron–dye recombination was increased due to the long lifetime of the dye cation under low I⁻ concentration.

The redox (I⁻, I₂) concentrations and the cation species also had an influence on the recombination processes, by changing the ratio of electron–electrolyte recombination and electron–dye recombination, or by increasing or decreasing the probability of each process. The electron–electrolyte and electron–dye recombination were observed by the A_electrolyte component in the HD-TG response, and the A_dye component in the TA response, respectively. From the I₂ concentration dependence (Fig. 1(b)), the probability and the rate of electron–electrolyte recombination increased as the I₂ concentration increased, which indicates that I⁻ (or I₂) is the main acceptor for the electron capture. This electron–electrolyte recombination process was totally lost by decreasing I⁻ concentration as shown in Fig. 2(a), because the dominant recombination process changed from the electron–electrolyte to electron–dye recombination, which is a faster recombination process than the former process, as was confirmed from Fig. 2(b). It is noted that I₂ or I⁻ concentration dependences were studied under the almost constant Li⁺ concentration and ionic strength, and it is considered that the redox potential of the electrolytes and the flatband potential were not changed. 44 Thus, the driving force for the dye regeneration caused by I⁻ and electrons in TiO₂ was not affected, and the probability of electron–dye recombination was increased due to the long lifetime of the dye cation under low I⁻ concentration.

The cation properties such as size, affected the electron–electrolyte recombination process, too, as shown in Fig. 3, because the cation size influenced the penetration of cations into nanoporous dye/TiO₂ electrode. For the case of a larger cation, such as TBA⁺, steric hindrance prevented the cation approach to the interface and lowered the screening effect of the negative charge at the dye/TiO₂ electrode surface, and, as a result, the approach of I⁻ (or I₂) to the interface was prohibited. This is why the electron–electrolyte recombination process was not observed for TBA⁺. For DMPI⁺, the probability for electron–electrolyte recombination was smaller than that for Li⁺ but not totally lost.

As a result, it is supposed that the presence of large-sized cations suppresses electron–electrolyte recombination by disturbing the approach of I⁻ (or I₂) to the interface due to steric hindrance of the cations. However, since it is difficult for large

**Fig. 3** (a) HD-TG and (b) TP responses for a DSSC with 30 mM I₂/ACN in the presence of 300 mM LiI, DMPII and TBAI. The horizontal axis was plotted on a logarithmic scale. Each horizontal black dot line shows the background of signals. The black dot line in (b) shows the zero current.
cations to approach the interface, the conduction band energy of TiO₂ is increased, causing increase in the open-circuit voltage and decreases in the short-circuit current as was previously reported.⁹,¹⁰,¹⁴ To avoid decrease in the short-circuit current and to suppress electron-electrolyte recombination, it is possible that a mixture of large and small cations is used at the same time, where large cations work to prevent I₃⁻ (or I₂) penetration and small cations work for the increase in the short-circuit current. Since the steric hindrance of the large cations depends on the structure of the nanoporous dye/TiO₂ electrode, the ratio of the large and small cation species should be optimized. Moreover, it is suggested that I⁻ concentration should be sufficiently high to prevent the electron–dye recombination process, and also lower I₂ concentration is preferable to decrease in the probability of electron-electrolyte recombination as long as the quantity of I₃⁻ in the bulk is sufficiently high for the redox cycling.

Conclusions

We investigated the dependence of the constituents and their concentrations in the electrolyte solution on the dynamics of electrons and ion species in DSSCs, which are known to have a large influence on the performance of DSSCs. By combination of the HD-TG, TA and TP methods, we could obtain a microscopic point of view on the interface between the working electrode and an electrolyte solution. The concentration dependences of I⁻ and I₃⁻ (or I₂) on the carrier dynamics indicated that the decrease in the I₃⁻ (or I₂) concentration at the dye/TiO₂ electrode was effective for reducing the probability of electron-electrolyte recombination, while sufficient I⁻ is necessary to inhibit the electron–dye recombination and sufficient I₃⁻ ions are necessary to cycle the redox reaction efficiently.

The cation dependence indicated that the cation size influenced penetration of the cations into a nanoporous dye/TiO₂ electrode. It is understood that the steric hindrance disturbed the screening effect for negative charge at the dye/TiO₂ electrode interface, and the hindrance also prevented I₃⁻ (or I₂) approach to the interface, paired with the cations. These results indicate the possibility to control the probability of electron-electrolyte recombination by the cation size and its concentration in an electrolyte solution.

HD-TG can detect the dynamics of charged species on the liquid side in an electric double layer, including the penetration of ionic species into nanoporous dyes/TiO₂ electrode. Since prevention of the penetration into the interface for the electron acceptor in the electrolyte, such as I₃⁻ (or I₂) is one of the key issues for improvement of the performance of DSSCs, this approach is useful for estimation of the effect of the acceptor penetration. The electron–dye recombination can be estimated by TA, and the output current was obtained by TP, and injection efficiency can be estimated. Since we can investigate the effect of the constituents in an electrolyte solution by combination of these techniques, we expect that our approach was efficient to optimize the electrolyte, and it would accelerate the development of new DSSCs.
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