Reaction Chemistry & **Engineering**

COMMUNICATION

Cite this: React. Chem. Eng., 2017, ², 822

Received 3rd October 2017, Accepted 2nd November 2017

DOI: 10.1039/c7re00164a

rsc.li/reaction-engineering

Continuous direct anodic flow oxidation of aromatic hydrocarbons to benzyl amides†

Mikhail A. Kabeshov, Biagia Musio $\mathbf D$ and Steven V. Ley $\mathbf D^*$

The continuous production of benzyl amides by anodic oxidation in flow was developed. The stability and productivity of the equipment was examined over time and monitored by means of in-line UV analysis. The applicability of the method to twelve substrates was demonstrated.

Methods for the site-selective C–H functionalisation of hydrocarbons, without the need of installing an additional functional group, are becoming more widely used. 1 Their exploitation in multistep synthesis allows reducing the number of steps, increases atom efficiency and reduces waste.² Nevertheless, most of the modern C–H activation methods, although being usually chemo- and regioselective, require expensive and moisture- or air sensitive transition metal catalysts.³

Electrochemical methods for direct oxidation and reduction are increasingly important for modern synthetic chemistry mainly because they employ electric current instead of more obnoxious agents.⁴ Electrochemistry is therefore becoming more frequently used in the design of new atom-efficient, safe and more sustainable chemical processes.⁵ Also a range of products can sometimes be obtained from a common starting material by controlling either the electric current or potential.⁶

Carrying out electrochemical experiments in a continuous manner increases greatly their throughput and general applicability.⁷ A higher surface-to-volume ratio, characteristic for micro- and mesofluidic conditions, causes better conductivity of the reaction media, resulting in a lower concentration of the ancillary electrolyte required.⁸ Furthermore, a shorter distance between the anode and cathode enables the processes which involve highly unstable, reactive intermediates to proceed smoothly and with high level of selectivity due to fast

subsequent chemical reactions.⁹ It has previously been shown that replacing batch electrolysis with the continuous methods can reduce the formation of the overoxidation products since the reactor is constantly replenished with the starting materials and the desired products are removed to a benign environment.^{7d}

YAL SOCIETY
CHEMISTRY

The discovery reported here relates to the development of an electrochemical method to convert aromatic hydrocarbons into the benzyl amides. It is well known that alcohols can be converted into amides by the Ritter reaction – when reacting with nitriles and water under strong acidic conditions.¹⁰ This reaction proceeds through the formation of a carbocation which is sequentially trapped first by nitrile followed by a molecule of water. If instead the carbocation is generated by two-electron oxidation from the hydrocarbon, following the same trapping steps, the amide can be obtained analogously. This reaction has been observed when toluene and a few electron-rich toluene derivatives were oxidized electrochemically at the anode in batch mode using acetonitrile as a solvent and an undivided cell. 11 Unfortunately, these amides could only be isolated in low yields mainly due to overoxidation.^{11a} Considering that flow electrochemistry methods are often advantageous over the batch alternatives in terms of synthetic applicability, efficiency and throughput, we focused on the development of a continuous direct anodic oxidation of aromatic hydrocarbons to benzyl amides. COMMUNICATION

(a) Check for unders

a) Continuous direct annotic flow oxidation of
 $\frac{1}{2}$
 $\frac{$

> All the experimental studies were performed using an Ammonite® 8 reactor $(V = 1 \text{ mL})^{12}$ equipped with a platinum disk anode, circular stainless steel cathode, and perfluoroelastomer FFKM gasket. This unit, with the carbon polymer anode, was previously applied to the electrochemical methoxylation of N-formylpyrrolidine and p-methoxybenzyl alcohol deprotection allowing high conversion of the substrate in a single run. 13

> The reaction conditions for the continuous electrolysis at the constant current of p-tert-butyltoluene 1a in acetonitrile (0.1 M) as a model substrate were explored varying

Department of Chemistry, University of Cambridge, Lensfield Road, CB2 1EW, UK. E-mail: svl1000@cam.ac.uk

[†] Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available: The experimental methods, general procedure for the anodic oxidation, characterization of the synthesized compounds and copies of NMR spectra. See DOI: 10.1039/c7re00164a

Table 1 Anodic oxidation of p-tert-butyltoluene 1a in acetonitrile (0.1 M; flow rate 500 μ L min $^{-1}$) a

	t-Bu	Electrolyte $\ddot{}$ $\overline{2}$	t-Bu - F `NHAc	
	1a in $CH3CN$		3a Current (A)	
Entry	$[2]$, M	$[H2O]$, M	Current, A (charge, F)	Conversion 3a, b %
1	$LiBF4$, 0.1	None	0.20(2.5)	8
2	$LiBF4$, 0.1	0.05	0.20(2.5)	60
3	$LiBF4$, 0.1	0.1	0.20(2.5)	66
$\overline{4}$	$LiBF4$, 0.1	0.2	0.20(2.5)	56
5	LiBF ₄ , 0.05	0.1	0.20(2.5)	54
6	$LiBF_4$, 0.15	0.1	0.20(2.5)	59
$\overline{7}$	$LiBF4$, 0.1	0.1	0.23(2.9)	57
8	Bu_4NPF_6 , 0.01	None	0.20(2.5)	$\overline{7}$
9	Bu_4NPF_6 , 0.01	0.1	0.20(2.5)	48
10	Bu_4NPF_6 , 0.01	0.12	0.20(2.5)	46
11	Bu_4NPF_6 , 0.01	0.2	0.20(2.5)	43
12	Bu_4NPF_6 , 0.01	0.1	0.23(2.5)	46
13	Bu_4NPF_6 , 0.01	0.1	0.26(3.3)	45
	standard (neat TMSCl contained in the inner tube of a coaxial NMR tube). electrolyte, electric current and the concentration of water		a Black triangle represents input solution, white triangle represents output solution. b Determined by ¹ H NMR using the calibrated external desired product 3a (48% conversion; entry 9, Table 1). Apply-	
(Table 1). 13 First, the efficiency of $LiBF_4$ as an electrolyte was exam-			ing higher current did not improve the conversion due to the overoxidation side reactions (entries 12 and 13, Table 1). Un-	
ined. It was shown that the presence of water was crucial for			der these new conditions, the system remained stable and	
the reaction, since without water added only small amount of			fully homogeneous over time.	
the product was detected (entry 1, Table 1). Stoichiometric			One possible reason of the higher efficiency of the system	
amounts of water were found to be optimal as an excess led			based on the $LiBF_4$ electrolyte during the initial period, when	
to a decrease in conversion to 3a (entries 2 and 4, Table 1).			compared to Bu_4NPF_6 , could be the difference in the pH of	
			the two reaction mixtures (Scheme 1).	
Similarly, the use of stoichiometric amount of LiBF ₄ also pro-				
vided the highest conversion to 3a (compare entries 5 and 6			In the case of $LiBF_4$, the lithium cation Li^+ is initially read-	
with entry 3, Table 1). The lower conversion to 3a was ob-			ily reduced at the cathode not affecting the pH of the reac-	

 a Black triangle represents input solution, white triangle represents output solution. b Determined by $^1{\rm H}$ NMR using the calibrated external standard (neat TMSCl contained in the inner tube of a coaxial NMR tube).

First, the efficiency of L iBF₄ as an electrolyte was examined. It was shown that the presence of water was crucial for the reaction, since without water added only small amount of the product was detected (entry 1, Table 1). Stoichiometric amounts of water were found to be optimal as an excess led to a decrease in conversion to 3a (entries 2 and 4, Table 1). Similarly, the use of stoichiometric amount of $LIBF₄$ also provided the highest conversion to 3a (compare entries 5 and 6 with entry 3, Table 1). The lower conversion to 3a was observed at a higher current due to over-oxidation with the ptert-butylbenzaldehyde formation (determined by ¹H NMR in the crude reaction mixture) while some of the starting material 1a was still present (entry 7, Table 1). 14

Under the optimum conditions when using $LiBF₄$ as an electrolyte (entry 3, Table 1), it was found that the system was not stable over time and that the conversion towards the amide 3a deteriorated significantly (from 66% to 38% in 3 hours). The formation of an inorganic solid inside the cell channel was observed over the time that caused clogging and voltage instability. This solid, which reacted violently with water with the formation of hydrogen gas, is likely to contain lithium metal in agreement with the previous reports.^{11c} Subsequent loss of the current efficiency was therefore the most probable reason for the decreased conversion over time.

In order to find sustainable alternative conditions, the anodic oxidation of p-tert-butyltoluene 1a was optimised using an electroneutral electrolyte Bu_4NPF_6 (entries 8-13, Table 1). As in the case of $LiBF_4$, a stoichiometric amount of water was found to be optimal for the experiments with Bu_4NPF_6 (entries 8–11, Table 1) providing the highest conversion to the

In the case of $LiBF_4$, the lithium cation Li^+ is initially readily reduced at the cathode not affecting the pH of the reaction mixture (red rectangle, Scheme 1). On the other hand, the anodic oxidation produces 1 eq. of H^+ per 1 F of electric current passed, resulting in an acidic pH of the reaction mixture as confirmed experimentally (pH $~\sim~$ 0–1). Conversely, when Bu₄NPF₆ is used as an electrolyte, as 1 eq. of H⁺ per 1 F of electric current passed is produced in the same way at the anode, 1 eq. of H^+ per 1 F is consumed at the cathode, maintaining the overall neutral pH of the reaction mixture

Scheme 1 Electrochemical processes for the systems using $LI = 1$ and Bu_4NPF_6 as electrolytes.

(green rectangle, Scheme 1). Knowing that the reduction potential $\text{E}(2\text{H}^+/\text{H}_2)$ at the cathode is proportional to $\text{ln}([\text{H}^+])$ according to the Nernst equation, the reduction of H^+ to H_2 and the overall electrolysis should proceed easier and at a lower voltage in the presence of a Brønsted acid.

With this information available, the influence of various Brønsted acid additives on the anodic oxidation of p-tertbutyltoluene 1a was explored (Table 2).

The continuous flow electrolysis could not be performed in pure acetonitrile as a solvent and toluenesulfonic acid $(pKa = -2.8)$ as an additive due to a solid formation (entry 1, Table 2). The addition of dichloromethane as a co-solvent (25% vol) was beneficial to keep the system homogeneous, but the amide 3a was still obtained with low conversion (48% conversion; entry 2, Table 2). When using trifluoroacetic acid (TFA; $pKa = 0.23$), a higher conversion to 3a was observed (68% conversion; entry 3, Table 2). This result could be further improved by using methanesulfonic acid (MSA; $pKa =$ −1.9; 72% conversion; entry 4, Table 2). Changing the concentration of MSA did not lead to any further improvement in the formation of 3a (entries 5 and 6, Table 2). Under the optimised conditions (entry 4, Table 2) the system remained fully homogeneous over the time.

Next, the stability of the electrolytic system was investigated (Fig. 1). Thus a mixture of the hydrocarbon 1a (0.1 M), H_2O (0.1 M), MSA (0.1 M) and Bu₄NPF₆ (0.01 M) in acetonitrile was continuously passed (500 μ L min⁻¹) through the reactor applying constant current of 0.20 A (2.5 F).

An online steady state monitoring was best achieved by means of a UV/vis detector (Flow-UV™)¹⁵ which was installed after the reactor (Fig. 1). The reaction pleasingly proceeded with the constant productivity of the amide 3a equal to 0.38 g h^{-1} over 9 hours (Fig. 1).

Once the stability and the applicability of the developed procedure to a large scale production were demonstrated, the generality of the methodology over a range of aromatic hydrocarbons was studied (Scheme 2). To simplify the downstreaming process, the efflux of the reactor was mixed with a second stream (500 μL min⁻¹) containing an ammonia solution in methanol (0.7 M). Full neutralisation of the acid was beneficial to reduce decomposition of the amide prod-

Table 2 Anodic oxidation of p-tert-butyltoluene 1a in acetonitrile (0.1 M) using Bu_4NPF_6 as an electrolyte and Brønsted acid additives⁴

Entry	Acid additive	$[Acid]$, M	Conversion 3a, $\frac{b}{b}$ %
1	TsOH·2H ₂ O	0.1	N/A
2^c	TsOH _{2H} ,O	0.1	48
3	TFA	0.1	68
4	MSA	0.1	72(63)
5	MSA	0.05	60
6	MSA	0.13	70

 a c(1a) = c(H₂O) = 0.1 M, c(Bu₄NPF₆) = 0.01 M, flow rate 500 mL min⁻¹. ^b Determined by ¹H NMR using the calibrated external standard (neat TMSCl contained in the inner tube of a coaxial NMR tube); isolated yield in brackets. ^c Solvent system: acetonitrile : dichloromethane = 3 : 1.

Fig. 1 Anodic oxidation of p-tert-butyltoluene 1a monitored by Flow-UV™.

ucts 3 under strong acidic conditions. The evaporation of solvent in vacuo was sufficient to obtain the crude products 3 (Scheme 2).

The amide derivatives of various para- (3a–3g; 3k–3l), meta- (3i) and ortho-substituted (3j) benzene derivatives were obtained in good yields. The anodic oxidation was successful for the preparation of either moderately electron-rich (3a, 3c), electron-neutral (3b, 3f, 3k) or electron-poor (3d, 3f–3j, 3l)

Scheme 2 Scope of the anodic oxidation of aromatic hydrocarbons (isolated yields are listed).

derivatives. A number of functional groups were tolerated by the method: such as halogen (3d–3f, 3k–3l), nitrile (3g, 3j), ketone (3i), ester (3h). The synthesis of both primary (3a–3j) and secondary (3k–3l) benzyl amides was also successfully achieved. In all the cases, the amides 3 were isolated as the major products. Residual starting materials were the second major components of all the reaction mixtures while only traces of other by-products, such as substituted benzaldehydes or benzyl alcohols, were minor components (<2%).

Conclusions

In conclusion, the preparation of benzyl amides from a number of aromatic hydrocarbons was achieved by a stable and continuous flow anodic oxidation. The addition of a Brønsted acid was crucial to maintain the process stability over 9 hours with the productivity of 9.12 g per day. The results described here open up to the application of electrochemical methodologies to large-scale production.

Conflicts of interest

There are no conflicts or financial interest to declare.

Acknowledgements

The authors are grateful to EPSRC (grants EP/K009494/1 and EP/K039520/1) for financial support. The authors are also grateful to Dr Bashir Harji (Cambridge Reactor Design Ltd) for technical support and assistance.

Notes and references

- 1 (a) H. Yi, G. Zhang, H. Wang, Z. Huang, J. Wang, A. K. Singh and A. Lei, Chem. Rev., 2017, 117, 9016; (b) T. Gensch, M. N. Hopkinson, F. Glorius and J. Wencel-Delord, Chem. Soc. Rev., 2016, 45, 2900; (c) S. M. Paradine, J. R. Griffin, J. Zhao, A. L. Petronico, S. M. Miller and M. C. White, Nat. Chem., 2015, 7, 987.
- 2 (a) J. Wencel-Delord and F. Glorius, Nat. Chem., 2013, 5, 369; (b) D. Y.-K. Chen and S. W. Youn, Chem. – Eur. J., 2012, 18, 9452; (c) C. Leitner and T. Gaich, Chem. Commun., 2017, 53, 7451.
- 3 (a) K. S. Egorova and V. P. Ananikov, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2016, 55, 12150; (b) F. Rodesly, J. Oble and G. Poli, J. Mol. Catal. A: Chem., 2017, 426, 275; (c) N. V. Tzouras, I. K. Stamatopoulos, A. T. Papastavrou, A. A. Liori and G. C. Vougioukalakis, Coord. Chem. Rev., 2017, 343, 25.
- 4 (a) M. Yan, Y. Kawamata and P. S. Baran, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2017, DOI: 10.1002/anie.201707584, ASAP.
- 5 (a) K. D. Moeller, Synlett, 2009, 8, 1208; (b) B. R. Rosen, E. W. Werner, A. G. O'Brien and P. S. Baran, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2014, 136, 5571; (c) J. Yoshida, K. Kataoka, R. Horcajada and A. Nagaki, Chem. Rev., 2009, 108, 2265; (d)

E. J. Horn, B. R. Rosen and P. S. Baran, ACS Cent. Sci., 2016, 2, 302; (e) A. G. O'Brien, A. Maruyama, Y. Inokuma, M. Fujita and P. S. Baran, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2014, 53, 11868; (f) H.-B. Zhao, Z.-J. Liu, J. Song and H.-C. Xu, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2017, 56, 12732; (g) X.-Y. Qian, S.-Q. Li, J. Song and H.-C. Xu, ACS Catal., 2017, 7, 2730.

- 6 G. Laudadio, N. J. W. Straathof, M. D. Lanting, B. Knoops, V. Hessel and T. Noël, Green Chem., 2017, 19, 4061.
- 7 (a) D. S. P. Cardoso, B. Šljukić, D. M. F. Santos and C. A. C. Sequeira, Org. Process Res. Dev., 2017, 21, 1213–1226; (b) K. Watts, W. Gattrell and T. Wirth, Beilstein J. Org. Chem., 2011, 7, 1108; (c) S. Suga, M. Okajima, K. Fujiwara and J.-I. Yoshida, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2001, 123, 7941; (d) J. Kuleshova, J. T. Hill-Cousins, P. R. Birkin, R. C. D. Brown, D. Pletcher and T. Underwood, Electrochim. Acta, 2012, 69, 197; (e) R. Hayashi, A. Shimizu, Y. Song, Y. Ashikari, T. Nokami and J.-I. Yoshida, *Chem. - Eur. J.*, 2017, 23, 61; (f) D. Pletcher, R. A. Green and R. C. D. Brown, Chem. Rev., 2017, DOI: 10.1021/acs.chemrev.7b00360, ASAP. **Exaction Chemistry 6 Engineering**

derivatives. A number of functional groups verte colored by 3). Both α , Det article. Common Access Articles. Although and α of the anticle is licensed to all the constrained by an
	- 8 (a) R. A. Green, R. C. D. Brown and D. Pletcher, J. Flow Chem., 2016, 6, 191; (b) M. Atobe, H. Tateno and Y. Matsumura, Chem. Rev., 2017, DOI: 10.1021/acs. chemrev.7b0353, ASAP; (c) Y. Liu and X. Jiang, Lab Chip, 2017, DOI: 10.1039/c7lc00627f, ASAP.
	- 9 M. A. Kabeshov, B. Musio, P. R. D. Murray, D. L. Browne and S. V. Ley, Org. Lett., 2014, 16, 4618.
	- 10 (a) D. Jiang, T. He, L. Ma and Z. Wang, RSC Adv., 2014, 4, 64936; (b) A. Guérinot, S. Reymond and J. Cossy, Eur. J. Org. Chem., 2012, 19; (c) J. J. Ritter and P. P. Minieri, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1948, 70, 4045; (d) R. Sanz, A. Martinez, V. Guilarte, J. M. Alvarez-Gutierrez and F. Rodriguez, Eur. J. Org. Chem., 2007, 4642.
	- 11 (a) I. N. Rozhkov and I. Y. Alyev, Tetrahedron, 1975, 31, 977; (b) L. Eberson and B. Olofsson, Acta Chem. Scand., 1969, 23, 2355; (c) E. A. Mayeda and L. L. Miller, Tetrahedron, 1972, 28, 3375; (d) T. Tajima, H. Ishii and T. Fuchigami, Electrochem. Commun., 2002, 4, 589.
	- 12 Ammonite by Cambridge reactor Design (CRD): [http://www.](http://www.cambridgereactordesign.com/ammonite/ammonite.html) [cambridgereactordesign.com/ammonite/ammonite.html](http://www.cambridgereactordesign.com/ammonite/ammonite.html) (last visited on 19/09/17).
	- 13 (a) R. A. Green, R. C. D. Brown, D. Pletcher and B. Harji, Electrochem. Commun., 2016, 73, 63; (b) R. A. Green, R. C. D. Brown and D. Pletcher, Org. Process Res. Dev., 2015, 19, 1424; (c) R. A. Green, K. E. Jolley, A. A. M. Al-Hadedi, D. Pletcher, D. C. Harrowven, O. De Frutos, C. Mateos, D. J. Klauber, J. A. Rincón and R. C. D. Brown, Org. Lett., 2017, 19, 2050.
	- 14 Lower flow rates with the current adjusted did not affect conversion to 3a whereas the concentration of the substrate 1a is reported to be optimal for 2e[−] oxidation processes using the Ammonite 8 set-up.
	- 15 Flow-UV by Uniqsis Ltd: [http://www.uniqsis.com/](http://www.uniqsis.com/paProductsDetail.aspx?ID=Flow-UV) [paProductsDetail.aspx?ID=Flow-UV](http://www.uniqsis.com/paProductsDetail.aspx?ID=Flow-UV) (last visited on 19/09/17).