Novel DFO-SAM on mesoporous silica for iron sensing. Part I. Synthesis optimization and characterization of the material†
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The synthesis and the physico-chemical characterisation of a novel solid phase, designed for iron(III) sorption, are presented. The solid (indicated in the following as DFO-SAMMS) is made with a hydroxamate siderophore, the deferoxamine (DFO), covalently bound on a self-assembled monolayer on mesoporous silica (SAMMS). The data demonstrate that the DFO molecules are bound to the solid material, grafted on the surface and do not enter the silica pores. A new one-pot synthesis is presented in which DFO is dissolved in DMSO, and left to react with GPTMS with stirring overnight. In the same mixture, SAMMS is added to get the final product. The optimisation of experimental conditions of this novel one-pot synthesis is presented, with results indicating that a temperature of 90 °C, for the reaction between DFO and GPTMS, and the use of MCM-41 silica are the most convenient conditions. The kinetics of sorption reveals that the iron uptake is relatively fast, around 100 min at pH = 2.5, and from the sorption profile of iron(III), the estimated capacity of the product obtained under optimized conditions was higher than 0.3 mmol g⁻¹. The results found in the present research are very promising for application in real biological samples.

Introduction

Iron is an essential element that plays a crucial role in cell life such as uptake and transportation of oxygen to tissues and electron transfer processes in synthesis of nucleic acids. The presence of Fe(III) in biological systems has to be efficiently moderated as both its deficiency and overloading can induce various biological disorders.1,2 Excess of iron is related, in the presence of molecular oxygen, to the ability of the Fe(II)/Fe(III) redox cycle to generate dangerous hydroxyl free radicals, via Fenton reaction. The presence of reactive radicals, able to interact with a variety of molecules, nucleic acids, proteins and lipids, results in severe peroxidative damage.

Our body is not able to protect cells against iron overload, as a consequence of hereditary disorders or caused by multiple frequent blood transfusions of patients affected by different kinds of anemia, like β-thalassemia major, or sickle cell anemia. Irreversible tissue damage and fibrosis appear in various organs, in the absence of a chelation therapy. Moreover, in the past few decades, the role of iron in neuroinflammation and progression of neurodegenerative diseases, such as Alzheimer’s disease, has been clarified. Conversely iron deficiency can be equally harmful for organisms.

For these reasons, in analytical clinical chemistry, the ability to detect traces of iron(III) is extremely important. A device capable of selectively quantifying iron(III) could be also employed in studies on chelation therapy, to evaluate the ability of a sequestering agent, to estimate the half life of a drug and to measure the NTB (non-transferrin-bound) iron.

We intend to develop a sensor for signalling and quantifying iron(III), based on an active centre, a metal acceptor moiety with strong affinity for iron(III), linked to a solid phase with suitable properties.

DFO is a hydroxamate siderophore, employed in chelation therapy, which forms a 1 : 1 Fe : DFO octahedral complex with the six oxygen atoms of the hydroxamate groups.3 The terminal amino group is not involved in iron complexation and is suitable to be functionalized, for example in order to be grafted into a solid phase, or on a surface. Furthermore, the complex of DFO with Fe(III) in water is coloured: it has a maximum absorption at about 425 nm (ref. 4–6) and an extinction coefficient of about 2500–2800 M⁻¹ cm⁻¹ a feature which seems promising for sensing properties.

Thanks to the terminal amino groups, several strategies to immobilize DFO have been proposed on different insoluble substrates such as cellulose,7,8 nylon,9 acrylate polymers,10 Sepharose11 and silica materials.11–14
In this paper we characterized the properties of a novel solid phase for iron(III) detection, based on mesoporous silica (MS) on which DFO is covalently bound. The immobilization of the siderophore molecule has been firstly performed following a reaction reported for a glass slide. The synthesis of DFO self-assembled monolayers on MS (SAMMS) was then performed following a novel one-pot strategy. Two types of MS, with different pore sizes, have been considered: MCM-41 and MSU-H.

The existence of SAM on mesoporous silica in such hybrid materials is reported in several papers. An optimization of the synthesis, based on experimental design techniques, was employed to obtain the material with the best performance, i.e. the highest Langmuir sorption capacity.

The new materials were characterized with the common physico-chemical methods. The kinetics of sorption of iron(III) and the sorption isotherm profiles on the new materials are presented and discussed.

Materials and methods

Chemicals

All chemicals were of analytical grade.

Mesoporous silica MCM-41 type, mesoporous silica MSU-H type, (3-glycidyloxypropyl)trimethoxysilane (GPTMS), dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO, anhydrous), acetonitrile, KNO₃, HNO₃ for trace analysis and NaOH were purchased from Sigma Aldrich. Deferoxamine mesylate salt (DFO) was obtained from Novartis. Trace reagents and NaOH were purchased from Sigma Aldrich. Toluenne (Carlo Erba) was distilled over Na under N₂ before use. An iron standard solution for ICP of 1000 mg L⁻¹ (Fluka) was used to obtain the proper Fe(III) concentration in the solution phase. Solutions were prepared with ultrapure water (Milli-Q).

Instrumentation

IR analyses were carried out with a FTIR Perkin Elmer 1600 apparatus with diffuse reflectance equipment. Thermal analysis was performed using a coupled differential scanning calorimeter-thermogravimetric apparatus, DSC-TGA TA Instruments SDT2960. The SEM and EDS analyses were performed using a Zeiss Evo-MA10-HR system equipped with an Oxford INCA Energy 350 x Max EDS detector for microanalysis. A cobalt standard was used for the calibration of the quantitative elementary analysis. TEM characterisation was carried out in the Department of Physics, Autonomous University of Barcelona, UAB (Bellaterra, Spain), on a JEOL JEM-2011 microscope operated at 200 kV. An Orion420 pH-meter, with a combined glass electrode, was used to determine the pH of all the solutions, standardized daily in [H⁺] concentration. The aqueous solutions were analysed for the iron content by an ICP-AES PerkinElmer Optima 3300 DV. The calibration curves were obtained according to the constructor indications. The LOD and LOQ were respectively 0.15 μM and 0.50 μM for Fe at 238.204 nm.

Gas adsorption analysis measurements using nitrogen at 77 K were conducted on a Thermo Electron Sorptomatic 1990.

Synthesis

DFO-mesoporous silica (MS) MCM-41 type and MSU-H type were obtained according to a synthesis employed to prepare DFO monolayers on silica slides, in a two step synthesis pathway. Afterwards, a novel one-pot synthesis was proposed. For simplicity, the two synthesis pathways are reported in Scheme 1.

Two step synthesis. 0.4 g of MS (previously dried at 130 °C overnight, to remove water from the material surface) were suspended in 20 mL of dried toluene. After that, 0.1 mL of GPTMS were added in order to obtain a concentration of 1 mmol g⁻¹ of silylated precursor. The suspension was refluxed overnight under a nitrogen atmosphere and filtered off at room temperature, according to the reaction reported in Scheme 1a. The functionalized MS was rinsed several times with toluene and dried under a vacuum. After that, the GPTMS-MS was suspended in 20 mL of anhydrous DMSO, in which 0.320 g of a DFO mesylate salt were dissolved. The suspension was stirred overnight at 70 °C under a nitrogen atmosphere. The DFO SAMMS was filtered off, washed several times with acetonitrile and dried under a vacuum.

Elemental analysis (MCM-41 silica):

GPTMS SAMMS: 7.61% C, 1.4% H, 0% N;
DFO-SAMMS (two steps): 15.03% C 2.49% H, 2.03% N;

One-pot synthesis. About 0.32 g of DFO (mesylate salt or free ligand) were dissolved in 20 mL of DMSO with 0.1 mL of GPTMS and stirred overnight at 70 °C under nitrogen. 0.4 g of MS (MCM-41 or MSU-H type), previously dried at 130 °C, were added to the reaction mixture, left stirring overnight under nitrogen, at thermostated temperature (see Experimental design section). The DFO-SAMMS was finally filtered off, washed several times with acetonitrile and dried under a vacuum (see Scheme 1b).

The DFO was used in the one pot reaction in two forms: mesylate salt, as received, or free amine. In the second case, the mesylate salt was dissolved in methanol and an equivalent

Scheme 1 (a) Synthesis of the DFO-SAMMS following the two step synthesis. (b) Synthesis of the DFO SAMMS following the novel one-pot synthesis.
amount of NaOH was added to the solution; after 20 minutes, the solvent was removed and the residue was washed three times with acetonitrile and dried before use.

Elemental analysis (MCM-41 silica):

DFO-SAMMS (one pot under optimised conditions): 12.51% C, 2.52% H, 2.55% N.

Kinetic and isotherm experiments

Kinetic profiles and sorption isotherms were acquired following a continuous procedure. About 50 mg of the solid phase were put in contact with a known volume of 0.1 M KNO₃ solution at different iron concentrations (from 0 to 1 mM) to obtain the sorption isotherms, or with the same iron concentration (e.g. 10 μM), to evaluate kinetic profiles. The temperature was controlled at 25.0(2) °C, the pH was adjusted to 2.50(3) and the solution was gently stirred. At a given time, in the case of kinetic experiments, or after equilibration, in the case of isotherm experiments, a small amount of solution was collected, diluted with 0.5% v/v HNO₃ in a new disposable testing tube and analysed by ICP-OES to determine the iron content. The amount of sorbed iron was determined by the difference from the total metal ion content.

Results

Physico-chemical characterization

FT-IR analysis. In Fig. 1, as an example, the FT-IR spectra of DFO-SAMMS, obtained for the two step reaction and MCM-41 silica, are shown with the black line. The spectrum of GPTMS-MS is also reported with the grey line for comparison.

The FT-IR spectrum of DFO SAMMS presents an amide I band of the C=O stretching vibrations, at 1630 cm⁻¹, at a wavenumber a little lower than that expected (1695–1650 cm⁻¹), possibly due to intra-molecular hydrogen bonding that reduces the frequency of the carbonyl stretching, according to what is observed in a deferoxamine sample or DFO graoted on a MS-coated wafer. At a higher wavenumber region, between 3200 and 3370 cm⁻¹, the stretching vibrations of N–H and O–H overlap in a unique band. This band is also observed on DFO samples.

The amide I band is absent in the GPTMS-MS spectrum, while the O–H stretching vibrations, present in the high wavenumber region, even if at small intensity, could be caused by a partial hydrolyzation of the epoxy group.

FT-IR spectra of the final products, similar to the black line reported here, were obtained also for the MS MSU-H, and in the case of products obtained by one pot synthesis.

SEM/TEM images and EDS analysis. TEM analysis was performed on the various DFO-SAMMS. As an example, the images obtained with the one-pot synthesis with the materials loaded with Fe(III) are shown in Fig. 2. The results of the micro-elementary analysis are also shown in the same figure. With these techniques only the surface is available for the analysis and the atoms “hidden” inside the pore structure are not detected. The iron content was found to be between 1 and 2 wt%.

Similar results were found on the same samples by SEM-EDS analysis: the Si/O ratio, equal to 3.1(2)/6.8(2), was as expected, and the iron percentage was estimated to be around 1.1 to 1.4 wt%.

The iron percentage corresponds to an amount of 0.2 mmol g⁻¹, not so far from the value found for maximum sorption capacity from sorption isotherms (see below), suggesting that in the case of one-pot synthesis, the DFO-GPTMS fragment is likely larger than the pore size of both the types of SAMMS (the declared pore diameters are 2.5 nm for the MCM-41 and 7.1 nm for the MSU-H) and DFO should be present mainly on the silica surface.

TGA analysis. The thermogravimetric analysis is a common tool to estimate the organic material bound on a MS or a silica structure.

In Fig. 3, the TGA profiles of GPTMS-MS and DFO-SAMMS referred to as the MCM-41 MS type, obtained with the two step synthesis, are reported with black and grey lines, respectively.

The TGA of GPTMS-MS shows a large weight loss of about 13.2%, due to GPTMS molecules bound on the surface and, in this case, also inside the pore structure. Indeed, the GPTMS can
enter the hexagonal channels of the matrix. The weight loss of DFO-SAMMS increases only by 1.2%, with respect to that of GPTMS-MS, meaning that not all the GPTMS has reacted with the DFO, but only a part of it, most likely that present on the surface. This is confirmed by elemental analysis findings.

From nitrogen elemental analysis, the amount of DFO in the hybrid materials is determined. As expected, in GPTMS-MS, no nitrogen is present, while in DFO-SAMMS prepared by the two step synthesis, it corresponds to about 0.24 mmol g$^{-1}$. The carbon percentage in the DFO-SAMMS exceeds that expected from the nitrogen content, meaning that there is unreacted GPTMS.

In the one-pot reaction, the DFO-GPTMS moieties, prepared before being grafted on the MS, are too large to enter the pores of the silica structure, so they react with the free –OH groups present on the surface. The TGA of one-pot DFO-SAMMS, not reported, confirms the above hypothesis: the weight loss is 8.3% w/w, lower than that of DFO-SAMMS obtained by the two step synthesis, where there was the contribution coming from the GPTMS inside the pores.

This hypothesis seems to be confirmed by elemental analysis, performed on the optimized product. From N%, the concentration of DFO on silica was found to be around 0.3 mmol g$^{-1}$, consistent with other findings (see below) and in pretty good agreement with the C%, roughly assuming that the number of carbon atoms comes from the DFO-GPTMS adduct.

$N_2$ adsorption/desorption. The nitrogen adsorption/desorption experiments were conducted on the non-derivatized silica MCM-41 and on the DFO-SAMMS obtained by the one-pot synthesis.

The results are reported in Fig. 4 and they clearly demonstrate that the pore volume of the native material compared to that of the hybrid one is not significantly different, demonstrating that the DFO-GPTMS units do not enter the silica pores.

**Kinetic studies**

The kinetics of the metal uptake has been studied according to the procedure described in the Experimental section.

In Fig. 5, as an example, two kinetic profiles where the fraction of the sorbed metal, $f$, is reported as a function of time, are shown. They referred to SAMMS obtained with the one-pot synthesis, the experimental data are reported with symbols, while the continuous lines are the curves calculated with the fitting parameters of the model that better describe the profile.

The results of the fitting of the kinetics data for the DFO-SAMMS are reported in the caption of the figure. They are referred to as the pseudo first order kinetic equation. The equilibrium was reached after 200 minutes; in the following isotherm experiments, this duration was considered as the minimum to reach equilibrium conditions.
Under similar experimental conditions (pH, temperature, stirring and ionic strength), a lower equilibrium rate was observed for the DFO-SAMMS prepared by the two step synthesis for both the types of silica. The possible explanation could be that the one-pot strategy assures a better organization of the active sites that makes the solid phase more available for the metal. As is evident in Fig. 5, none of the solid phases gave a quantitative sorption, since the fraction of metal ion sorbed was around 0.7, but this pH value was chosen to prevent iron precipitation.

Sorption isotherms

From the analytical point of view, our concern in the development of the solid sorbents was to improve the maximum sorption capacity, i.e. in the present study, the number of DFO molecules on the silica surface. The Langmuir and the Freundlich models are often used to describe the relationships between the sorbed quantity, q (mmol g⁻¹), and $c_{eq}$ (M) that is the remaining solute concentration, when the equilibrium has been reached. Their different performances have been reviewed many times²³ and they will not be further commented here. Sorption isotherms were obtained at 25 °C in 0.1 M KNO₃ at pH 2.30(5) for all solid phases.

The DFO-SAMMS with a more compact pore structure (MCM-41) reveals a maximum uptake capacity, higher than that found for the DFO-SAMMS MSU-H type. The consequence is probably due to the higher surface area of the MCM-41 type ($1 \times 10^7$ cm² g⁻¹ instead of $7.6 \times 10^6$ cm² g⁻¹ for the MSU-H type) that assures a more uniform coverage of the silane and finally of the DFO molecule.

The sorption uptake process is better described by the Langmuir model. The $q_{max}$ is 0.14 mmol g⁻¹ for the MCM-41 type and 0.06 mmol g⁻¹ for the MSU-H type.

The results, in terms of maximum sorption capacity ($q_{max}$), obtained in the case of the one-pot synthesis, from the beginning, revealed to be more promising. This is the reason why we decided to optimize this synthesis, according to the experimental design technique. The rationale of the choice of the experiments and the discussion on the results will be commented in the next paragraph.

Experimental design for optimisation of Langmuir capacity

Preliminary results have shown that the one-pot synthesis (method b) was more convenient, in terms of type and amount of solvent, simplification of the procedure and slightly faster kinetics of the hybrid material.

We selected the maximum sorption capacity, as found from the sorption isotherm experiments, described above, as the response to maximize the yield of the synthesis, being the valuable parameter for a solid performance. Before discussing the experimental design, few preliminary considerations about the solvent and the form of the reagents have to be made.

DMSO, used in the synthesis, is not a common solvent, but sometimes it is used as a mild oxidation reagent in order to convert alcohol to aldehyde or ketone, or to open epoxide rings in order to form aldehyde or hydroxy ketone.²⁴

The deferoxamine has a low solubility in many solvents and the DMSO seems to be the correct choice in terms of solvation of all the reagents involved in the synthesis. With this choice, the variable temperature in the second step of the one-pot synthesis assumes an important role: if it is too high, it could definitely promote the conversion of the epoxy-group of the GPTMS with formation of by-products, while for low values, the reaction does not start.

The most appropriate chemical form of DFO was also tested, in some preliminary experiments. As told before, the DFO is sold as a mesylate salt, in a slightly acid form. In principle the acid could catalyse the reactions reported in Scheme 2. For this reason, the effects of deferoxamine in the basic form and in the mesylate form were tested. In the Experimental section, the procedure to obtain what will be named, in the following tables and figures, neutral DFO is described. The DFO in the mesylate form was used as received.

The effect of the pore dimensions of the silica materials on the new one-pot synthesis was also tested. In principle, the larger pores of MSU-H can allocate the DFO-GPTMS moiety inside them, at least to some extent, better than MCM-41 and this could affect the sorption capacity.

Finally, we selected three variables that reasonably have influence on the number of active sites of the solid phase: the temperature of the second step of the reaction, the form of DFO (mesylate or free ligand) and the type of silica, MCM-41 or MSU-H. The levels of the variables, which define the experimental domain, are reported in Table 2.

As reported above, to describe the response, we selected the maximum uptake capacity, as evaluated from the Langmuir sorption isotherm.

### Table 1: Values of $q_{max}$ and fitting parameters of the sorption isotherms of all the one-pot DFO-SAMMS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Langmuir</th>
<th>Freundlich</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$q_{max}$ mmol g⁻¹</td>
<td>$K_L$, L mol⁻¹</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.33(6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.16(1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.33(4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.25(3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.22(2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.14(1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>0.12(2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>0.13(3)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Scheme 2: Epoxide side reaction promoted by DMSO.
Table 2  Levels of the experimental domain

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>−1</th>
<th>+1</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Temperature (°C)</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>120</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Type of DFO</td>
<td>Mesylate</td>
<td>Neutral</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Type of silica</td>
<td>MCM-41</td>
<td>MSU-H</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

On these bases, a $2^3$ full factorial design was considered. The design of experiments and the experimental plan are presented in Table 3. The experimental response values are also reported in the last column. Any central point was planned.

The equation of the model is:

$$R = b_0 + b_1 x_1 + b_2 x_2 + b_3 x_3 + b_{12} x_1 x_2 + b_{13} x_1 x_3 + b_{23} x_2 x_3$$  \(1\)

where $x_1$ is temperature, $x_2$ the type of DFO and $x_3$ the type of silica.

In order to determine the coefficient of the $2^3$ factorial design, m-files in MATLAB® environment were used. The values of the coefficients are shown in Fig. 6, where asterisks indicate the significance of the same coefficients according to the usual convention: * $p < 0.05$, ** $p < 0.01$, and *** $p < 0.001$.

If we introduce the coefficients, the eqn (1) becomes:

$$R = 0.208(1) - 0.038(1)x_1 - 0.005(1)x_2 - 0.057(1)x_3 + 0.021(1)x_1 x_2 + 0.022(1)x_1 x_3 - 0.025(1)x_2 x_3$$  \(2\)

where the values in brackets are the standard deviations. The percentage of explained variance is 99.9%, while the explained variance in cross-validation is 98.9%.

The sign of the coefficients indicates in which way the variable has to be set in order to increase the response. In this case, $T$ and type of silica have a strong effect on the yield and also their interaction is important. They should be set at their low level to maximise the yield ($R$).

Apparently $x_3$, the form under which DFO is employed, is not significant, while the interactions of this variable with $T$ and type of silica are. Consequently, all the variables are important and must be carefully set to have the highest sorption capacity.

Table 3  The design of experiments, the experimental plan and the response obtained from the sorption isotherms at pH 2.50 (last column)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>2³ experimental design</th>
<th>Experimental plan</th>
<th>Response</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>No.</td>
<td>T</td>
<td>DFO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>−1</td>
<td>−1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>−1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>−1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>−1</td>
<td>−1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>−1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>−1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The isoreponse plane shows how the response, calculated on the basis of eqn (2), varies depending on a couple of variables, keeping the third one at a fixed level.

In Fig. 7 the isoreponse plot, for the variables temperature and type of silica, when DFO is in its mesylate form, is shown. It can be seen that the highest value of the maximum sorption capacity is obtained at low temperature (90 °C), with the MCM-41 MS type. The effect of the temperature is important for both the types of silica: the maximum sorption capacity increases, decreasing $T$. There is a strong interaction between the two variables, this effect being definitely more important for MCM-41 than for MSU-H.

For the first one, the synthesis at 120 °C ($T$ at level +1) gives a maximum uptake capacity of 0.16 mmol g⁻¹, but it becomes 0.32 mmol g⁻¹ for $T = 90$ °C ($T$ at level: −1). In the case of MSU-H, from 0.15 mmol g⁻¹, obtained at a high $T$ level, an increase to 0.22 mmol g⁻¹ was observed for the same decrease of temperature.
Using neutral DFO, as shown in Fig. 8, the effect of the temperature is again more important for MCM-41 than for MSU-H. Actually, using MSU-H, the $T$ is not important and the maximum sorption capacity remains around 0.15 mmol g$^{-1}$, while in the case of MCM-41, the temperature at a low level gives a product with the best performance, since the response is 0.33 mmol g$^{-1}$. We did not explore the reason why MSUH-based materials exhibit lower performance than those based on MCM 41, being outside the purpose of the present research.

In conclusion, the maximum response is obtained using the MCM-41 MS type, and 90 °C is the correct temperature for the coupling between the GPTMS and the DFO. The form of the deferoxamine is not important for the reaction with the MCM-41 silica, while it assumes significance when the MSU-H type is used. The explanation is probably related to the different pore sizes.

The validation of the model cannot be performed in the central points of the design, since two of the three variables are qualitative. We decided not to perform experiments at intermediate values of $T$, due to the limited availability of the ligand. The maximum sorption capacity of 0.33 mmol g$^{-1}$ was considered satisfactory and totally unexpected before starting the optimization. Under these conditions, several batches have been produced, always with a reproducible value of the maximum sorption capacity, with a coefficient of variability around 6%, on data obtained from 6 different batches, by different operators.

The material is stable for at least three cycles of Fe(III) sorption/desorption. From our evidence, the recycling of the material is only limited by mechanical chipping, while it resulted being stable for months, dry or wet, in its free or bound to iron forms, when not stirred all the time. It was decided to use the DFO as a free amine instead of the mesylate salt, because all the silica synthesized with the basic form were colourless, while the other ones had a very pale yellow colour and this could be an advantage when the solid is employed as a colorimetric sensor.

Conclusions

A novel solid phase based on DFO-SAMMS with MCM-41 silica type design for iron(III) sorption was obtained. The data of physico-chemical characterisations demonstrated that the DFO molecules are bound to the solid material, which are grafted to the surface and do not enter the silica pores. The one-pot synthesis was preferred to the previously reported two step strategy. We optimized the experimental conditions of the new one-pot synthesis, resulting in the temperature of 90 °C and the use of MCM-41 silica type as the most convenient ones, while the use of neutral or the mesylate form of DFO was not an important variable. The final product showed a satisfactory coverage of active sites. We found that optimisation of preparative steps, based on DOE, such as the one presented here, is convenient and satisfactory, as observed in other cases. Indeed, the maximum sorption capacity (achieved from the sorption isotherm of iron(III) for the product obtained under optimized conditions) was higher than 0.3 mmol g$^{-1}$. The iron uptake was relatively fast, around 100 min, at pH = 2.5.

In perspective, our intent is to further characterize the iron uptake to get a quantitative descriptor of the sorption reaction, such as a distribution coefficient and the exchange constant. We do believe that it is possible, in principle, not only to quantify the total amount of iron present in a sample, but also to get information about speciation of iron in that medium. We intend to apply the same strategy, employed several times by our group with synthetic resins and a large variety of metal ions in beverage and natural waters. Encouraging results have been obtained and will be published soon. Since the solid phase becomes intensively reddish-brown coloured, our intent is also to directly estimate the degree of complexation from spectrophotometric measurement of the solid. Also in this case the first results are promising.
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Fig. 8 Isoreponse curve for the variables temperature and type of silica, using neutral DFO.