# Chemical Science

## EDGE ARTICLE

## **RSCPublishing**

## Depleted uranium catalysts for chlorine production†

Amol P. Amrute, Frank Krumeich, Cecilia Mondelli and Javier Pérez-Ramírez\*

Cite this: Chem. Sci., 2013, 4, 2209

Received 24th November 2012 Accepted 1st February 2013

DOI: 10.1039/c3sc22067b

www.rsc.org/chemicalscience

## Introduction

Uranium compounds have been used as heterogeneous and homogeneous catalysts.<sup>1,2</sup> Their suitability for redox reactions is related to the wide range of oxidation states that uranium can assume (from II to VI), which in turn derives from the ability of its 5f-electrons to hybridise.<sup>3</sup> Specifically for the heterogeneous catalysis field, uranium oxides (mostly  $U_3O_8$ ) have been recognised since the 1920s for reactions of industrial relevance such as the oxidation of hydrocarbons and the partial oxidation of ethanol.<sup>4</sup>–<sup>6</sup> Later efforts extended the scope of uranium-catalysed transformations to comprise the oxidative destruction of volatile chlorinated hydrocarbons, $7,8$  the oxidative coupling of ethylene, acetylene, and acetaldehyde,<sup>3</sup> the esterification of formaldehyde, $3$  and NO<sub>x</sub> reduction.<sup>9</sup> Relevantly, uranium-based materials were once used in industry for the hydrocracking of shale oil  $($ UO<sub>3</sub>/Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>, UO<sub>3</sub>/CoMoO<sub>4</sub> $)$ <sup>10</sup> and in the ammoxidation of propylene to acrylonitrile  $(USb_xO_y)$ .<sup>11-13</sup>

Natural uranium consists of three isotopes,  $^{238}$ U,  $^{235}$ U, and  $234$ U, in the relative abundance of 99.275, 0.720, and 0.005%, respectively.<sup>14 238</sup>U and <sup>234</sup>U are  $\alpha$ -ray emitters, while <sup>235</sup>U emits both  $\alpha$ - and low-energy  $\gamma$ -rays. Alpha particles are much less

This study demonstrates depleted uranium as a remarkable heterogeneous catalyst for the oxidation of HCl to Cl<sub>2</sub>. This reaction comprises a sustainable approach to valorise byproduct HCl streams in the chemical industry. Bulk  $\alpha$ -U<sub>3</sub>O<sub>8</sub> showed an outstanding stability against chlorination, which is crucial for its durability in catalytic tests. UO<sub>2</sub> and  $\gamma$ -UO<sub>3</sub> transformed into  $\alpha$ -U<sub>3</sub>O<sub>8</sub> under reaction conditions. Uranium deposition on different carriers by dry impregnation concluded the superiority of zirconia as support. HAADF-STEM investigations revealed that the uranium oxide on the surface of this carrier is present in the form of a film-like nanostructure with a thickness ranging from a monolayer to 1 nm as well as atomic dispersion. The effect of variables (temperature, feed  $O<sub>2</sub>/HCl$  ratio, metal loading, and Cl<sub>2</sub> cofeeding) on the performance of  $U_3O_8/ZrO_2$  has been studied. The HCl conversion over this catalyst increased with reaction time as a likely consequence of in situ re-dispersion of the original uranium phase into atomically dispersed UO<sub>x</sub>. As demonstrated by H<sub>2</sub>-TPR, the uranium in the generated UO<sub>x</sub> phase is more oxidised than in the original  $U_3O_8$ . Such a highly dispersed active phase is produced faster in the uncalcined sample. The extraordinary stable  $Cl_2$  production over  $U_3O_8/ZrO_2$  at 773 K for 100 h on stream indicates its potential for application in high-temperature HCl oxidation. Under these conditions, other known catalytic materials suffer from significant deactivation. **EDGE ARTICLE**<br> **Depleted uranium catalysts for chlorine production the community of the state of the community of the state of th** 

penetrating than other forms of radiation, thus rendering uranium only a little hazardous (mainly from the  $\gamma$ -rays). Depleted uranium (DU), which is produced as a waste in the uranium enrichment process, is even considerably less radioactive (ca.  $0.2-0.4\%$   $^{235}$ U) and, thus, less harmful. To generate the carbon-neutral energy source, the demand of enriched uranium as a fissile nuclear fuel can be expected to increase, $2$ which represents a strong incentive for the development of novel applications of DU.

The heterogeneously catalysed oxidation of HCl to  $Cl<sub>2</sub>$ (Deacon reaction) $15$  is an attractive route to recycle chlorine from byproduct HCl streams in the chemical industry, namely in the production of polyurethanes and polycarbonates.<sup>16</sup>–<sup>18</sup> Two industrial catalysts based on RuO<sub>2</sub>, featuring high activity at a relatively low temperature and remarkable stability, have been recently introduced:  $RuO<sub>2</sub>/SiO<sub>2</sub>/TiO<sub>2</sub>$ -rutile (by Sumitomo) and  $RuO<sub>2</sub>/SnO<sub>2</sub>-Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>$  (by Bayer).<sup>19–27</sup> The wide use of ruthenium catalysts for HCl oxidation is hindered by its high and fluctuating market price.<sup>16</sup> This drawback triggered research efforts to develop alternative cost-effective systems.  $CeO<sub>2</sub>$ -based catalysts represent tangible steps along this direction.<sup>28,29</sup>

Uranium oxide-based catalysts for HCl oxidation have recently been patented.<sup>30,31</sup> High single-pass HCl conversion at high temperature and practically negligible active phase loss have been claimed as the key characteristics of these systems. To assess the real potential of uranium-based catalysts for industrial application, further knowledge needs to be gathered. The optimal combination of active phase and support will be

Institute for Chemical and Bioengineering, Department of Chemistry and Applied Biosciences, ETH Zurich, Wolfgang-Pauli-Strasse 10, CH-8093 Zurich, Switzerland. E-mail: jpr@chem.ethz.ch; Fax: +41 44 6331405; Tel: +41 44 6337120

 $\dagger$  Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available: XRD patterns, H<sub>2</sub>-TPR profiles, and TEM images of differently treated uranium oxides. See DOI: 10.1039/c3sc22067b

#### **Chemical Science Edge Article**

derived only based on a deeper understanding of activity and stability descriptors. The catalyst performance should be then put into perspective with respect to other known catalytic systems and evaluated in an industrially relevant time frame. Herein, we systematically investigated uranium oxides in bulk and supported forms for HCl oxidation. Catalytic tests at ambient pressure in a continuous flow fixed-bed reactor combined with detailed characterisation of the catalysts prior to and after reaction have been applied to gather a solid knowledge of the Deacon chemistry of these materials.

### Results and discussion

#### Bulk uranium oxides

As starting point, the main binary oxides of uranium were considered in this study, namely,  $UO<sub>2</sub>$ ,  $U<sub>3</sub>O<sub>8</sub>$ , and  $UO<sub>3</sub>$ . The X-ray diffractograms of the solids as well as their corresponding structures are displayed in Fig. 1a and b. According to the XRD phase analysis, the catalysts were identified as uranium dioxide (JCPDS 05-0550),  $\alpha$ -triuranium octoxide (JCPDS 31-1424), and  $\gamma$ -uranium trioxide (JCPDS 31-1422) with small amounts of  $\beta$ - and  $\alpha$ -forms. The crystal structure of  $UO<sub>2</sub>$  is of fluorite type with face-centred cubic atomic arrangement. Uranium and oxygen atoms are octaand tetrahedrally coordinated, respectively.<sup>14</sup>  $\alpha$ -U<sub>3</sub>O<sub>8</sub>, one of the two forms  $(\alpha, \beta)$  of this oxide which are stable at ambient temperature,<sup>3</sup> crystallises in an orthorhombic structure. All of the uranium atoms are coordinated with oxygen atoms forming pentagonal pyramids.<sup>1,14</sup>  $\gamma$ -UO<sub>3</sub>, the most stable of the seven crystalline phases  $(\alpha, \beta, \delta, \varepsilon, \gamma, \zeta, \varepsilon)$  and  $\eta$ ) of this oxide,<sup>3</sup> belongs to the tetragonal crystal system and is characterised by octa- and dodecahedral coordination of uranium to oxygen. All of the three oxides possess a very low total surface area  $(S<sub>BET</sub>,$  Table 1). Chemical Science<br>
Selection a deeper understanding of accidy and partern due valued on 10 February 2013. Download or a method on 10 February 2013. Download the same of the common common common common common common common

The reducibility of these materials was studied under a diluted  $H_2$  flow up to 1100 K (Fig. 1c). The reduction profile of  $UO<sub>2</sub>$  shows a little H<sub>2</sub> consumption at *ca.* 880 K. As the XRD

pattern of the reduced sample (Fig. S1 in the ESI†) was unaltered with respect to that of the fresh solid, this feature was attributed to the removal of oxygen species that are known to accommodate in the lattice of the fluorite structure of  $UO<sub>2</sub>$  upon exposure to air.<sup>1</sup> For  $\alpha$ -U<sub>3</sub>O<sub>8</sub>, a single and broad peak centred at *ca*. 975 K was evidenced, which is assigned to the reduction of  $U_3O_8$  to  $UO_2$ .<sup>8</sup> The reduction profile of  $\gamma$ -UO<sub>3</sub> displays a broad signal composed by two main contributions at ca. 880 and 963 K, due to the transitions  $UO_3 \rightarrow U_3O_8$  and  $U_3O_8 \rightarrow UO_2$ , respectively (Fig. 1c).<sup>32</sup> The formation of UO<sub>2</sub> from both  $\alpha$ -U<sub>3</sub>O<sub>8</sub> and  $\gamma$ -UO<sub>3</sub> was confirmed by XRD (Fig.  $S1$  in the ESI<sup>†</sup>).

These bulk uranium oxides were tested in the gas-phase oxidation of HCl at  $T_{\text{bed}} = 773$  K and  $O_2/\text{HCl} = 2$  for 3 h. The rates of Cl<sub>2</sub> production were stable at *ca.* 2 mol Cl<sub>2</sub> h<sup>-1</sup> mol U<sup>-1</sup> for UO<sub>2</sub> and  $\alpha$ -U<sub>3</sub>O<sub>8</sub> and *ca*. 3 mol Cl<sub>2</sub> h<sup>-1</sup> mol U<sup>-1</sup> for  $\gamma$ -UO<sub>3</sub>. Normalisation of the rates by  $S<sub>BET</sub>$  of the fresh samples gives the values as  $7 \times 10^{-3}$ ,  $8 \times 10^{-3}$ , and  $3.4 \times 10^{-3}$  mol Cl<sub>2</sub> h<sup>-1</sup> m<sup>-2</sup> for  $\alpha$ -U<sub>3</sub>O<sub>8</sub>, UO<sub>2</sub>, and  $\gamma$ -UO<sub>3</sub>, respectively. However, due to transformation of the latter two oxides into the former during reaction (vide infra), rates normalised by the  $S<sub>BET</sub>$  of the used sample are more relevant and lead to a value of  $4 \times 10^{-3}$  mol  $\text{Cl}_2$  $h^{-1}$  m<sup>-2</sup> in all cases. The dependence of the activity of these oxides on temperature was investigated between 673 and 823 K at  $O_2/HCl = 2$ . The reaction rate scaled linearly with the temperature in the whole range. The apparent activation energy  $(E_a^{\text{app}})$  was estimated from the Arrhenius plots at 52, 54, and 40 kJ mol<sup>-1</sup> for UO<sub>2</sub>,  $\alpha$ -U<sub>3</sub>O<sub>8</sub>, and  $\gamma$ -UO<sub>3</sub>, respectively.

The used catalysts were characterised by the same techniques applied to the fresh samples in order to assess possible structural changes upon exposure to reaction conditions. Remarkably, XRD analysis indicated the absence of chlorinated phases in any of the used catalysts. However, we observed the complete conversion of  $UO_2$  and  $\gamma$ -UO<sub>3</sub> into  $\alpha$ -U<sub>3</sub>O<sub>8</sub> (Fig. 1b). It is suggested that such transformation is due to oxidation by the excess gas-phase  $O_2$  for the former oxide and reduction by feed



Fig. 1 Structure of the uranium oxides (a) and characterisation results from powder XRD (b) and H<sub>2</sub>-TPR (c) of the samples in fresh form (blue lines), after HCl oxidation at 773 K (black lines), and after HCl treatment at 823 K (dotted lines). Vertical lines at the bottom of the U<sub>3</sub>O<sub>8</sub> pattern show the positions of most intense reflections of UCl<sub>4</sub>

Table 1 Characterisation and catalytic data of uranium-based catalysts



<sup>a</sup> Determined by ICP-OES. <sup>b</sup> Conditions:  $W = 0.5$  g (bulk oxides) or 0.25 g (supported catalysts),  $T_{\text{bed}} = 773$  K, O<sub>2</sub>/HCl = 2,  $F_T = 166$  cm<sup>3</sup> STP min<sup>-1</sup>, and  $t = 3$  h (bulk oxides) or 1 h (supported catalysts). <sup>c</sup> Surface area of the supports in brackets.

HCl for the latter. Indeed, treatment of  $UO_2$  and  $\gamma$ -UO<sub>3</sub> in 20 vol %  $O_2/N_2$  at 773 K for 3 h caused the complete transformation of UO<sub>2</sub> into  $\alpha$ -U<sub>3</sub>O<sub>8</sub>, while it did not affect the state of  $\gamma$ -UO<sub>3</sub> (confirmed by XRD, Fig. S2 in the ESI<sup>†</sup>). All of the  $H_2$ -TPR profiles of the uranium oxides after reaction feature a single reduction peak, attributed to the transformation of  $U_3O_8$  into  $UO<sub>2</sub>$  (Fig. 1c), in line with the identical bulk composition of the samples after HCl oxidation. The appearance of the peak at higher reduction temperature for used  $\gamma$ -UO<sub>3</sub> and  $\alpha$ -U<sub>3</sub>O<sub>8</sub> is likely related to certain degree of surface chlorination and/or sintering. With regard to the former, the bulk  $\alpha$ -U<sub>3</sub>O<sub>8</sub> catalyst after Deacon reaction was calcined in static air at 773 K for 5 h (aimed at removing surface chlorine species) and then measured by  $H_2$ -TPR. A reduction profile equivalent to that of the fresh  $\alpha$ -U<sub>3</sub>O<sub>8</sub> sample was obtained (Fig. S3a†), which confirmed that the change in reducibility is mainly due to surface chlorination. Further, TEM of  $\alpha$ -U<sub>3</sub>O<sub>8</sub> in fresh form and after Deacon indicated a slight increase in overall particle size for the latter (Fig. S3b and c†). Since calcination of  $U_3O_8$  after Deacon reproduced the reduction profile of the fresh sample, the effect of sintering on reducibility of  $U_3O_8$  seems to be negligible. Edge Article. Chemical Science<br> **Open Access Article.** Chemical Science<br>
Machine and the state of the st

 $\alpha$ -U<sub>3</sub>O<sub>8</sub> was further assessed under harsher conditions, *i.e.* at  $O_2/HCl = 0.5$  and 0 (without gas-phase  $O_2$ ) at 823 K for 2 h on stream to evaluate its resistance to bulk chlorination and metal loss. The weight of the reactor before and after the tests remained practically unchanged, suggesting no loss of uranium. Furthermore, the diffractograms of the samples after these treatments indicated the preservation of a pure oxidic phase (Fig. 1b). The endothermic nature of the penetration of Cl atoms to deeper layers (ca. 2 eV) has been already found as a key reason for the robustness of  $RuO<sub>2</sub>$  against bulk chlorination.<sup>22,28</sup> Similar property could be responsible for the stability of  $\alpha$ -U<sub>3</sub>O<sub>8</sub> against bulk chlorination. In this line, chlorination of  $UO<sub>2</sub>$ (which also revealed the absence of any chloride phase upon testing in  $O_2/HCl = 0$  at 823 K for 2 h), by  $Cl_2$  to form UCl<sub>4</sub> has been reported highly endothermic ( $\Delta G = 148.9 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1}$ ).<sup>33</sup> Thus, bulk uranium oxide represents an exceptionally stable high-temperature catalyst for HCl oxidation. This finding is particularly striking since CuO,  $Cr_2O_3$ , CeO<sub>2</sub>, and RuO<sub>2</sub> undergo structural changes at high temperatures. In particular, after testing at 823 K and  $O_2/HCl = 0.5$  for 2 h, strong chlorination

was detected (XRD analysis) for the first three oxides, while  $RuO<sub>2</sub>$  underwent partial transformation into volatile RuO<sub>4</sub> (ca. 20 wt%  $RuO<sub>2</sub>$  loss).<sup>16</sup> It is worth noting, though, that  $RuO<sub>2</sub>$  is an outstanding low-temperature (473–673 K) catalyst and is extremely stable under its optimised operating conditions.<sup>20,34</sup>

#### Supported  $U_3O_8$  catalysts

Based on the very promising performance of bulk  $\alpha$ -U<sub>3</sub>O<sub>8</sub>, the next step of the work consisted of finding a suitable support for this uranium-based active phase. Monoclinic  $ZrO_2$ ,  $\gamma$ -Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>,  $SiO<sub>2</sub>$ , and TiO<sub>2</sub>-anatase were considered as carriers. The synthesis protocol comprised dry impregnation of these oxides with a uranium precursor (in an amount corresponding to a nominal loading of 10 wt% U), followed by calcination under the same conditions applied for the preparation of bulk  $\alpha$ -U<sub>3</sub>O<sub>8</sub> (see Experimental section).

The supported  $U_3O_8$  catalysts were screened in HCl oxidation at  $O_2/HCl = 2$  in the temperature range of 673-823 K (Fig. 2a). Blank experiments confirmed that the Deacon activity of the pure carriers was negligible under the conditions applied. The HCl conversion displayed a steady increase with the temperature for all supported catalysts, reaching values comprised between 21 and 47% at 823 K.  $U_3O_8/ZrO_2$  was the most active catalyst, followed by  $U_3O_8/SiO_2$  and, finally,  $U_3O_8/TiO_2$  and  $U_3O_8/Al_2O_3$ , which were comparably active. With respect to the bulk oxide, only the zirconia- and silica-supported materials offered improved performances (Fig. 2a). Still, as  $\alpha$ -U<sub>3</sub>O<sub>8</sub> was tested using twice the catalyst amount, a better comparison was drawn on the basis of the reaction rates per mol of U at 773 K. Accordingly, it appeared evident that any of the supports employed determined an activity enhancement, overall leading to 14–30 times higher rates (Table 1). As shown in the same table, the  $E_a^{\text{app}}$  values (at 723–823 K and  $O_2/HCl = 2$ ) determined from the Arrhenius plots were in the range of 46-57 kJ  $\mathrm{mol}^{-1}$  for the supported catalysts, thus being similar to  $\alpha$ -U<sub>3</sub>O<sub>8</sub>. The dependence of the activity on the relative  $O_2$  content in the feed was studied over the two most promising catalysts,  $U_3O_8/ZrO_2$ and  $U_3O_8/SiO_2$  (Fig. 2b). In both cases, the HCl conversion increased upon raising the feed  $O<sub>2</sub>/HCl$  ratio and the formal reaction order of  $O_2$  was calculated as *ca.* 0.3. This behaviour is common to the vast majority of Deacon catalysts $24,28$  and



indicates that catalyst re-oxidation is the limiting step.<sup>27</sup> It is worth noting that the HCl conversion over  $U_3O_8/ZrO_2$  remained higher than that of  $U_3O_8/SiO_2$  at all  $O_2/HCl$  ratios. Overall, the catalytic results indicate that zirconia is the most suitable carrier for uranium oxide.

In order to rationalise the activity differences, the supported  $U_3O_8$  catalysts were characterised in fresh form and after use in the Deacon reaction. The uranium content, as determined by ICP-OES, was close to the nominal value of 10 wt% for all of the catalysts and remained unchanged in the used samples, indicating negligible uranium loss during HCl oxidation. The fresh alumina- and silica-based catalysts featured ca. 4 times larger  $S<sub>BET</sub>$  than the zirconia- and titania-based materials (Table 1). This deviation reflects the difference in surface area of the pure carriers, which was depleted to a similar extent upon uranium incorporation in all cases, likely due to pore blockage. The  $S_{BET}$ of the catalysts was also unaltered upon use. Accordingly, the activity trend cannot be explained by differences and/or changes in the active phase content or textural characteristics.

XRD analysis of the fresh materials evidenced the formation of  $\alpha$ -U<sub>3</sub>O<sub>8</sub> over all supports with exception of titania (Fig. 3). In this latter case, a mixed  $UTiO<sub>5</sub>$  phase was detected (JCPDS 49-1397).<sup>35</sup> Furthermore, reflections specific to both the anatase and rutile forms of titania were observed, indicating that partial transformation of the carrier structure occurred during the high-temperature thermal activation of the as-impregnated solid. Thus, the loss in the support's surface area during catalyst preparation could be additionally ascribed to phase changes and structural reconstructions for  $U_3O_8/TiO_2$ . Based on the much lower intensity of its diffraction lines, the uranium phase is supposed to be present in form of smaller nanostructures on  $ZrO<sub>2</sub>$  compared to the other carriers, especially titania. The diffractograms of the samples after reaction revealed the absence of bulk chlorides (Fig. 3), extending the stability of



Fig. 2 HCl conversion over  $U_3O_8$ -based catalysts versus (a) bed temperature at  $O<sub>2</sub>/HCl = 2$  and (b)  $O<sub>2</sub>/HCl$  ratio at 773 K. HCl conversion and U-specific rate over  $U_3O_8$ /ZrO<sub>2</sub> versus the uranium loading (c) and HCl conversion over  $U_3O_8$ /ZrO<sub>2</sub> and an as-impregnated zirconia-supported catalyst (uncalcined) versus time-onstream (d) at 773 K and  $O_2/HCl = 2$ . Data were acquired after 1 h under each condition for a–c. Other conditions are detailed in the Experimental section.

Fig. 3 XRD patterns of supported  $U_3O_8$  samples in fresh form (blue lines) and after Deacon reaction (black lines). Unmarked reflections belong to the corresponding carriers.

 $\alpha$ -U<sub>3</sub>O<sub>8</sub> against chlorination also to the supported form. No changes were detected in the patterns of the TiO<sub>2</sub> and  $Al_2O_3$ supported catalysts upon use, while the reflections specific to  $\alpha$ -U<sub>3</sub>O<sub>8</sub> became less intense for U<sub>3</sub>O<sub>8</sub>/SiO<sub>2</sub> and disappeared for  $U_3O_8/ZrO_2$ . Since uranium was not lost upon reaction, these alterations might be substantiated by fragmentation of the  $\alpha$ -U<sub>3</sub>O<sub>8</sub> phase in tinier structures.

In order to further tackle this point and as the XRD analysis hints to differences in the dispersion of the supported active phase as a possible main parameter for determining the activity levels, the two most active catalysts  $(U_3O_8/ZrO_2$  and  $U_3O_8/SiO_2)$ were further investigated by electron microscopy (EM, Fig. 4 and 5). For fresh  $U_3O_8/ZrO_2$ , aggregates of 20–30 nm sized support grains are visualised by HRTEM (Fig. 4a). However, inspection of surface regions even at higher magnification does not reveal a distinct uranium phase. Thus, based on the significant difference in the atomic numbers of U and Zr ( $Z_{U} = 92$  versus  $Z_{Zr} =$ 40), HAADF-STEM with Z-contrast was applied as a suitable tool to get information about the distribution of uranium-based phases (Fig. 5). Indeed, the uranium oxide species in the fresh  $U_3O_8/ZrO_2$  are clearly visualised as bright rim or spots (Fig. 5a and b). The presence of uranium in these rims was confirmed by EDXS analysis. Investigation of the surface structure at the edges and on the surface revealed that two types of uranium oxide dispersions are present in the fresh  $U_3O_8/ZrO_2$ , namely, (i) a film-like nanostructure with a thickness ranging from a monolayer to 1 nm (Fig. 5a) and (ii) atomically dispersed uranium oxide as identified by bright spots (encircled) on the  $ZrO<sub>2</sub>$  support (Fig. 5b). Moreover, analysis of the complete structure of these spots is not possible on the basis of HAADF-STEM and would require more specific methods such as STEM coupled with electron energy loss spectrometer (EELS).<sup>36</sup> Nonetheless, based on the studies on identification of single atoms,<sup>36</sup> the bright spots seem to be composed of a single uranium atom (likely with some O atoms bound to it) and therefore, in this study they are referred to as atomically dispersed  $UO_x$ . Upon exposure to reaction conditions (for 5 h), the catalyst morphology seems to be altered. A film-like nanostructure is less visible and a concentration of bright spots of  $UO_x$  appears to be increased (Fig. 5c), suggesting the Edge Article<br>
2010, against chicaration also to discuss apply that  $\alpha$  February 2013. This are the study published on the published on the study published on the study in the published on the study in the study in the stu

transformation into tinier, better dispersed uranium oxide. Thus, uranium oxide on zirconia likely undergoes partial re-dispersion during reaction. This explains the disappearance of the  $\alpha$ -U<sub>3</sub>O<sub>8</sub> peaks in the XRD pattern of the used sample (Fig. 3).  $\alpha$ -U<sub>3</sub>O<sub>8</sub> on SiO<sub>2</sub> appears to be carried as nanoparticles of ca. 5 nm in the fresh catalyst (Fig. 4b). Upon use in HCl oxidation, the average particle size was reduced to  $ca. 2.5$  nm (Fig. 4c, inset in b), supporting a certain degree of re-dispersion of the uranium phase. This agrees with the XRD results (Fig. 3). The origin of the active phase re-dispersion phenomenon, apparently common to both the zirconia- and silica-supported catalysts, is not fully understood. It is proposed that disaggregation of the uranium oxide structures might be induced by HCl and Cl<sub>2</sub>. The latter has been reported to produce such an effect on supported noble metal particles by generation of chlorides which readsorb on the solid carrier and are then reduced by the reaction environment.37,38 In our case, it is possible that uranium oxychloride species (UO<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>, melting point = 843 K)<sup>39</sup> are formed to some extent. As they are highly unstable and readily re-oxidise under conditions similar to those applied in HCl oxidation,<sup>40</sup> uranium will not be lost, but a certain degree of metal migration could be possible. This will ultimately improve the dispersion of the supported phase. Thus, based on the XRD and EM results, the activity differences seem to mainly depend on the uranium oxide dispersion. Still, the possibly different intrinsic activity of the chemical forms of uranium stabilised by the carriers might also play a role.

In view of its potential practical application, the  $U_3O_8/ZrO_2$ system was further studied in terms of optimisation of the active phase content as well as durability. Thus, catalysts with U loading comprised between 1 and 20 wt% were prepared and tested at 773 K and  $O_2/HCl = 2$  (Fig. 2c). The HCl conversion was found to raise with increasing U contents up to 10 wt%, while a loading of 20 wt % resulted in slightly lower activity. On the contrary, the U specific activity (*i.e.* reaction rate per mol of U) was the highest for the 1 wt% U catalyst and progressively diminished at increased U loadings. Hence, as a compromise between these parameters, a 5–10 wt% U content turns out to be optimal.

The robustness of  $U_3O_8(10 \text{ wt\% U})/ZrO_2$  in HCl oxidation was tested in a long catalytic run (Fig. 2d). The HCl conversion



Fig. 4 HRTEM of fresh U<sub>3</sub>O<sub>8</sub>/ZrO<sub>2</sub> (a), U<sub>3</sub>O<sub>8</sub>/SiO<sub>2</sub> in fresh form (b) and after Deacon (c). Inset in (b) shows the particle size distribution of the fresh and used U<sub>3</sub>O<sub>8</sub>/SiO<sub>2</sub> sample.



Fig. 5 HAADF-STEM of U<sub>3</sub>O<sub>8</sub>/ZrO<sub>2</sub> in fresh form (a and b) and after Deacon reaction for 100 h (c). Bright spots (some of which are encircled) in b and c corresponds to atomically dispersed UO<sub>x</sub>

moderately increased from 27 to 35% in the first 85 h on stream, remaining then stable up to a reaction time of ca. 100 h. Overall, this result evidences outstanding longevity, offering bright perspectives for an industrial application of zirconia-supported uranium catalysts in chlorine production. Still, the progressive catalyst activation indicates an alteration of the material's properties upon use. According to the above discussion of the characterisation data, this might originate from an increase in the dispersion of the active phase induced by the exposure to the reaction mixture. To further explore this point, samples after 5, 10, and 100 h on stream were collected and characterised by HAADF-STEM and  $H_2$ -TPR (Fig. 5 and 6). While an increase in the uranium dispersion to certain extent has been already discussed for the sample after 5 h reaction (vide supra), HAADF-STEM of the sample after 100 h reaction evidenced that the uranium on  $ZrO<sub>2</sub>$  carrier is mainly present as atomically dispersed  $UO_x$  (Fig. 5c). The latter would be characterised by the highest dispersion of uranium oxide. This result provides a direct evidence for the dependence of activity on degree of dispersion.



Fig. 6  $H_2$ -TPR profiles of U<sub>3</sub>O<sub>8</sub>/ZrO<sub>2</sub> in fresh form and after Deacon reaction for different times.

Additional support was derived from the  $H_2$ -TPR analysis. The reduction profile of fresh  $U_3O_8/ZrO_2$  features two main peaks at ca. 710 and 800 K (Fig. 6), which could be consistent with the presence of uranium oxide structures of different size (Fig. 5a and b), namely, thin layer (high-temperature signal) and atomic dispersion (low-temperature signal). For the sample collected after 5 h, a broad and more intense reduction peak centred at ca. 730 K with low- (695 K) and high-temperature  $(775 K)$  shoulders was visualised, while that taken after 10 h of reaction produced a single, symmetric, and sharper signal with maximum at 740 K. The curve of the catalyst unloaded at the end of the run displays an even narrower and more intense peak, slightly shifted to lower temperature (710 K). The depletion of the high-temperature signals with reaction time and the strengthening of a single peak at lower temperature supports a change in the morphology of  $\alpha$ -U<sub>3</sub>O<sub>8</sub> phase towards the formation of more uniformly-sized atomically dispersed nanostructures ( $UO_x$ ), in line with the HAADF-STEM results. The latter actually represents the predominant uranium distribution after 100 h on stream (Fig. 5c). Still, considering the modifications in peak position and shape, along with the significant increase in  $H<sub>2</sub>$  consumption, the presence of more oxidic uranium in  $UO_x$  than in the original  $\alpha$ - $U_3O_8$  phase cannot be excluded. Based on the structural equivalence between the zirconia support and  $\beta$ -UO<sub>3</sub> (both monoclinic, the latter having about double cell parameters with respect to the former),<sup>1</sup> it could be possible that  $\alpha$ -U<sub>3</sub>O<sub>8</sub> undergoes transformation into this oxide during reaction. Although  $\alpha$ -U<sub>3</sub>O<sub>8</sub> is the most stable bulk oxide under HCl oxidation conditions and  $\gamma$ -UO<sub>3</sub> is converted into it during reaction, it is plausible that, when the incipient uranium oxychloride is oxidised by the  $O_2$  excess, the structural matching offered by the support could stabilise  $\beta$ -UO<sub>3</sub> as an oxidation product rather than  $\alpha$ -U<sub>3</sub>O<sub>8</sub>. However, this phase is not detected by XRD owing to its very small size. Thus, from increased H<sub>2</sub> uptake and development of atomically dispersed  $UO_x$  with reaction time, it can only be suggested that uranium generated in situ as  $UO_x$  is in higher oxidation state than in the original  $\alpha$ -U<sub>3</sub>O<sub>8</sub> and the transformation of  $\alpha$ -U<sub>3</sub>O<sub>8</sub> to UO<sub>x</sub> is accompanied by an enhancement of the dispersion. Since the presence of some  $UO_x$  is evidenced already for the fresh catalyst (Fig. 5b and 6), it could even be possible that a part of the uranium is already stabilised as  $UO_x$  during calcination and the atomic dispersion (Fig. 5b) rendering it undetectable by XRD (Fig. 3). An increase of uranium oxide dispersion during HCl oxidation was also evidenced for  $U_3O_8/SiO_2$  (Fig. 3, 4b and c). However, from a similar  $H_2$  consumption of fresh and used catalyst (not shown), it appears that in situ oxidation of the uranium phase does not occur on silica. This could be related to a specific property of the carrier and its interaction with the active phase. Thus, it seems that the support determines the degree of redispersion and reoxidation characteristics of the uranium phase. An in-depth understanding of these complex phenomena will require deeper characterisation studies, which are beyond the scope of this paper.

Finally, we tested under the same HCl oxidation conditions an as-impregnated catalyst sample with equal U loading (i.e. no calcination applied after impregnating the U-precursor). This material reached a similar HCl conversion level (ca. 36%) to  $U_3O_8/$  $ZrO<sub>2</sub>$  after only 3 h on stream (Fig. 2d). On the basis of this outcome and of the resemblance of the HAADF-STEM images and XRD pattern of the two catalysts after use (not shown), it is suggested that  $UO_x$  can be directly created in situ from the uranium precursor and with much faster kinetics. The latter is probably related to the ease of altering an amorphous and unstable deposit rather than a well-crystallised and stable phase.

#### Comparison with other systems

The performance of  $U_3O_8/ZrO_2$  was contrasted with other known supported HCl oxidation catalysts, namely,  $RuO<sub>2</sub>(2 wt\%)$ Ru)/SnO<sub>2</sub>-Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>,<sup>20</sup> CeO<sub>2</sub>(9 wt% Ce)/ZrO<sub>2</sub>,<sup>29</sup> and CuO(15 wt%  $Cu)/SiO<sub>2</sub>$  (synthesised by dry impregnation, followed by calcination at 823 K for 10 h). Fig. 7a displays the dependence of the HCl conversion level on temperature for these materials. The equilibrium HCl conversion (dashed line) is reported as a reference. The activity of  $RuO<sub>2</sub>/SnO<sub>2</sub>-Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>$  increases with the temperature and reaches a HCl conversion close to the equilibrium value at 673 K. Beyond this temperature the active phase of this catalyst starts to form volatile RuO<sub>4</sub>.<sup>16</sup> This indicates that the optimal high temperature boundary for  $RuO<sub>2</sub>$ -based catalysts is 673 K. CuO/SiO<sub>2</sub> possesses a volcanoshaped activity profile. A strong deactivation above 723 K is due to huge copper loss in the form of CuCl and CuCl<sub>2</sub>.<sup>41</sup> Differently,  $U_3O_8/ZrO_2$  and  $CeO_2/ZrO_2$  show a steady increase of the HCl conversion with temperature. The difference of activity between these two systems is relatively low (ca. 30 K).  $Cl<sub>2</sub>$  co-feeding at comparable initial HCl conversion levels (attained by adjusting  $T_{\text{bed}}$ , Experimental section) also displays a very similar inhibition of HCl oxidation activity (Fig. 7b). Still,  $CeO<sub>2</sub>/ZrO<sub>2</sub>$  was observed to undergo bulk chlorination and, thus, deactivation at low  $O_2$  excess.<sup>29</sup> Accordingly,  $U_3O_8$ /  $ZrO<sub>2</sub>$  stands as the most robust catalyst among all and belongs to the category of high-temperature catalysts, similar to  $CeO<sub>2</sub>/$ ZrO2. However, the former offers a superior resistance to bulk chlorination.



Fig. 7 Steady-state HCl conversion versus bed temperature (a) and amount of Cl<sub>2</sub> co-fed (b) at O<sub>2</sub>/HCl = 2. Other conditions are detailed in the Experimental section.

## Conclusions

Uranium catalysts have been successfully evaluated for HCl oxidation to  $Cl_2$ . Extraordinary resistance of bulk uranium oxides against chlorination demonstrates their suitability as a stable active phase for this reaction. While  $\alpha$ -U<sub>3</sub>O<sub>8</sub> maintains its oxidation state,  $UO_2$  and  $\gamma$ -UO<sub>3</sub> tend to transform into  $\alpha$ -U<sub>3</sub>O<sub>8</sub> under reaction conditions. The support of the uranium phase plays a very important role on its performance.  $ZrO<sub>2</sub>$  allows depositing of the oxidic uranium phase in the form of film-like nanostructures and atomic dispersion, thus leading to a superior catalyst.  $U_3O_8/ZrO_2$  activates under reaction conditions before reaching a stable performance after ca. 85 h on stream. The catalyst activation is related to in situ re-dispersion and gradual transformation of the original  $\alpha$ -U<sub>3</sub>O<sub>8</sub> phase into a more oxidic and atomically dispersed  $UO_x$ . An uncalcined sample allows faster generation of this highly dispersed  $UO_x$ . The unique robustness of  $ZrO<sub>2</sub>$  supported uranium oxide under the harsh reaction conditions and stable  $Cl<sub>2</sub>$  production for more than 100 h on stream justifies its consideration as a hightemperature HCl oxidation catalytic technology. Uranium materials are less sensitive to metal loss and sintering than other known catalysts and are cost-effective since they can be prepared from waste produced in the uranium-enrichment processes.

## Experimental

#### Materials

ZrO<sub>2</sub>-monoclinic (Saint-Gobain NorPro, 99.8%),  $\gamma$ -Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> (Alfa Aesar, catalyst support, 43855),  $SiO<sub>2</sub>$  (ABCR, 99%), and TiO<sub>2</sub>anatase (Aldrich, nanopowder, 99.7%) were calcined at 773 K  $(10 K min<sup>-1</sup>)$  for 5 h prior to their use. The starting uranium compounds  $UO_2$  and  $UO_2(NO_3)_2.6H_2O$  (International Bio-Analytical Industries) derive from depleted uranium sources and were used as received. The most important precaution for the safe handling of uranium compounds is to avoid their access to the body, through direct contact with the skin and/or inhalation, and dispersal in the environment. In the present case, personal protective equipment such as impervious gloves, boots, and an apron were worn to prevent skin contact.  $U_3O_8$  and  $UO_3$  were prepared by thermal decomposition of  $UO_2(NO_3)_2 \cdot 6H_2O$  in static air following existing protocols.<sup>8,42</sup>  $U_3O_8$  was obtained by two-step calcination of  $UO_2(NO_3)_2 \cdot 6H_2O$ . The uranyl nitrate was treated at 573 K (5 K min<sup>-1</sup>) for 1 h and then, without intermediate cooling, at 1073 K (5 K  $\text{min}^{-1}$ ) for another 3 h. UO<sub>3</sub> was synthesised by calcination of the uranyl nitrate at 723 K  $(5 K min<sup>-1</sup>)$  for 3 h. Supported catalysts were prepared by dry impregnation of the carriers with an aqueous solution of uranyl nitrate (nominal 1–20 wt% U), followed by drying at 338 K for 12 h and calcination, according to the same protocol applied for the synthesis of bulk  $U_3O_8$ . Unless stated otherwise, the supported catalysts, denoted as  $U_3O_8$ /support, contain 10 wt% U. Chemical Science<br> **State Article Characteristic** Articles Articles<br> **Macristan Access Article Characteristic Characteristic Characteristic Characteristic Characteristic Characteristic Characteristic Characteristic Article** 

#### Characterisation techniques

Powder X-ray diffraction (XRD) was measured in a PANalytical X'Pert PRO-MPD diffractometer. Data were recorded in the 10–70  $2\theta$  range with an angular step size of 0.017° and a counting time of 0.26 s per step.  $N_2$  sorption at 77 K was performed in a Quantachrome Quadrasorb-SI gas adsorption analyser. Prior to the measurement, the samples were evacuated at 473 K for 12 h. Temperature-programmed reduction with hydrogen  $(H_2$ -TPR) was measured in a Thermo TPDRO 1100 unit. The samples were loaded in a quartz micro-reactor (11 mm i.d.), pre-treated in He  $(20 \, \text{cm}^3 \, \text{STP} \, \text{min}^{-1})$  at 473 K for 30 min, and cooled to 323 K in He. The analysis was carried out in 5 vol%  $\rm H_2/N_2$  (20  $\rm cm^3$  STP  $\rm min^{-1})$ , ramping the temperature from 323 to 1173 K at 10 K  $\mathrm{min}^{-1}$ . Highresolution transmission electron microscopy (HRTEM) measurements were undertaken on a FEI Tecnai F30 microscope (field emission gun), operated at 300 kV. High-angle annular dark field scanning transmission electron microscopy (HAADF-STEM) investigations were performed on an aberration-corrected Hitachi HD-2700CS microscope, operated at 200 kV and equipped with an energy-dispersive X-ray spectrometer (EDXS, EDAX) for elemental analysis. The incorporated probe correction system (CEOS) enables a resolution of below 0.1 nm to be achieved.<sup>43</sup>

#### Catalytic tests

The gas-phase oxidation of hydrogen chloride was studied at ambient pressure in a continuous-flow set  $up<sup>44</sup>$  composed of mass-flow controllers to feed HCl (Messer, purity 2.8, anhydrous),  $O_2$  (Pan Gas, purity 5.0),  $Cl_2$  (Messer, purity 2.8,

anhydrous), and  $N_2$  (Pan Gas, purity 5.0), a home-made electrically heated oven hosting a 8 mm i.d. quartz micro-reactor, and a Mettler Toledo G20 Compact Titrator for quantitative  $Cl<sub>2</sub>$ analysis in the product stream. The catalysts were loaded in the tubular reactor and pre-treated in  $N_2$  at 673 K for 30 min. Thereafter, steady-state experiments at variable bed temperatures ( $T_{\text{bed}} = 673 - 823 \text{ K}$ ), inlet O<sub>2</sub>/HCl ratios (0.5–7), and catalyst amounts ( $W = 0.25$  or 0.5 g for supported or bulk catalysts, respectively) were carried out. The inlet HCl concentration and total volumetric flow  $(F_T)$  were fixed at 10 vol% and 166 cm<sup>3</sup> STP  $min^{-1}$ , respectively. The O<sub>2</sub>/HCl dependence was measured by increasing the  $O_2$  content in the inlet mixture from 5 to 70 vol% with  $N_2$  as balance gas. The influence of  $Cl_2$  co-feeding on the rate of HCl oxidation was studied by introducing variable amounts (2-5  $\text{cm}^3$  STP  $\text{min}^{-1}$ ) of Cl<sub>2</sub> to the inlet feed with  $O_2/HCl = 2$  at 733 K and 703 K over  $U_3O_8/ZrO_2$  and  $CeO_2/ZrO_2$ , respectively. Used samples were collected for characterisation after rapidly cooling down the reactor to room temperature in  $N_2$  flow. The percentage of HCl conversion was determined as  $X_{\text{HCl}} = (2 \times \text{mole Cl}_2 \text{ at the reactor outlet/mole HCl at the}$ reactor inlet)  $\times$  100.

### Acknowledgements

We thank Bayer MaterialScience AG for permission to publish these results. Dr Martin Badertscher of the Radiochemistry Laboratory of the ETH Zurich is thanked for granting access to the facility and for the training on the safe handling of uraniumbased materials. The Electron Microscopy Centre of the ETH Zurich is acknowledged for the use of their facilities.

### Notes and references

- 1 S. T. Taylor, in Metal Oxide Catalysis, Wiley-VCH, Weinheim, 2009, ch. 13, p. 539.
- 2 A. R. Fox, S. C. Bart, K. Meyer and C. C. Cummins, Nature, 2008, 455, 341.
- 3 H. Idriss, Surf. Sci. Rep., 2010, 65, 67.
- 4 W. J. Hale, US1 595 299, assigned to Dow Chemical Company, 1926.
- 5 A. E. Craver, US1 636 854, assigned to Barrett Company, 1927.
- 6 Z. R. Ismagilov, S. V. Kuntsevich, N. V. Shikina, V. V. Kuznetsov, M. A. Kerzhentsev, V. A. Ushakov, V. A. Rogov, A. I. Boronin and V. I. Zaikovsky, Catal. Today, 2010, 157, 217.
- 7 G. J. Hutchings, C. S. Heneghan, I. D. Hudson and S. H. Taylor, Nature, 1996, 384, 341.
- 8 S. H. Taylor and S. R. O'Leary, Appl. Catal., B, 2000, 25, 137.
- 9 T. Campbell, M. A. Newton, V. Boyd, D. F. Lee and J. Evans, J. Phys. Chem. B, 2005, 109, 2885.
- 10 P. L. Cottingham and L. K. Barker, Ind. Eng. Chem. Prod. Res. Dev., 1973, 12, 41.
- 11 J. L. Callahan and B. Gertisser, US3 198 750, assigned to The Standard Oil Company, 1965.
- 12 J. L. Callahan and B. Gertisser, US3 308 151, assigned to The Standard Oil Company, 1967.
- 13 R. K. Grasselli and J. L. Callahan, J. Catal., 1969, 14, 93.
- 14 I. Grenthe, J. Drozdzynski, T. Fujino, E. C. Buck, T. E. Albrecht-Schmitt, S. F. Wolf, in The Chemistry of the Actinide and Transactinide Elements, Springer, Dordrecht, 2006, vol. 1, ch. 5, p. 253.
- 15 H. Deacon, US85 370, assigned to Gaskell, Deacon and Co., 1868.
- 16 J. Pérez-Ramírez, C. Mondelli, T. Schmidt, O. F.-K. Schlüter, A. Wolf, L. Mleczko and T. Dreier, Energy Environ. Sci., 2011, 4, 4786.
- 17 K. Seki, Catal. Surv. Asia, 2010, 14, 168.
- 18 H. Over, J. Phys. Chem. C, 2012, 116, 6779.
- 19 A. Wolf, L. Mleczko, O. F. Schlüter and S. Schubert, EP2026905, assigned to Bayer MaterialScience, 2006.
- 20 C. Mondelli, A. P. Amrute, F. Krumeich, T. Schmidt and J. Pérez-Ramírez, ChemCatChem, 2011, 3, 657.
- 21 D. Crihan, M. Knapp, S. Zweidinger, E. Lundgren, C. J. Weststrate, J. N. Andersen, A. P. Seitsonen and H. Over, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2008, 47, 2131.
- 22 N. López, J. Gómez-Segura, R. P. Marín and J. Pérez-Ramírez, J. Catal., 2008, 255, 29.
- 23 M. A. G. Hevia, A. P. Amrute, T. Schmidt and J. Pérez-Ramírez, *J. Catal.*, 2010, 276, 141.
- 24 D. Teschner, R. Farra, L.-D. Yao, R. Schlögl, H. Soerijanto, R. Schomaecker, T. Schmidt, L. Szentmiklósi, A. P. Amrute, C. Mondelli, J. Pérez-Ramírez, G. Novell-Leruth and N. López, *J. Catal.*, 2012, 285, 273. Edge Article<br>
13 R. K. Censel, Published on 1, Censel, Published on 1, Censel, Published on 1, Censel, This article. Schedull, T. S
	- 25 F. Studt, F. Abild-Pedersen, H. A. Hansen, I. C. Man, J. Rossmeisl and T. Bligaard, ChemCatChem, 2010, 2, 98.
	- 26 T. Hibi, H. Nishida and H. Abekawa, US5 871 707, assigned to Sumitomo Chemical Company, 1999.
	- 27 D. Teschner, G. Novell-Leruth, R. Farra, A. Knop-Gericke, R. Schlögl, L. Szentmiklósi, M. G. Hevia, H. Soerijanto, R. Schomäcker, J. Pérez-Ramírez and N. López, Nat. Chem., 2012, 4, 739.
	- 28 A. P. Amrute, C. Mondelli, M. Moser, G. Novell-Leruth, N. López, D. Rosenthal, R. Farra, M. E. Schuster,

D. Teschner, T. Schmidt and J. Perez-Ramírez, J. Catal., 2012, 286, 287.

- 29 M. Moser, C. Mondelli, T. Schmidt, F. Girgsdies, M. E. Schuster, R. Farra, L. Szentmiklósi, D. Teschner and J. Pérez-Ramírez, Appl. Catal., B, 2013, 132-133, 123.
- 30 A. Wolf, L. Mleczko, S. Schubert and O. F. Schlüter, US20100202959, assigned to Bayer Technology Services, 2010.
- 31 A. Wolf, L. Mleczko, O. F. Schlüter and S. Schubert, US20110180419, assigned to Bayer Technology Services, 2011.
- 32 K. J. Notz and M. G. Mendel, J. Inorg. Nucl. Chem., 1960, 14, 55.
- 33 Y.-S. Yang, Y.-H. Kang and H.-K. Lee, Mater. Chem. Phys., 1997, 50, 243.
- 34 A. P. Amrute, C. Mondelli, T. Schmidt, R. Hauert and J. Pérez-Ramírez, ChemCatChem, 2013, 5, 748.
- 35 R. H. Marsuall and H. R. Hoekstra, J. Inorg. Nucl. Chem., 1965, 27, 1947.
- 36 C. Colliex, A. Gloter, K. March, C. Mory, O. Stéphan, K. Suenaga and M. Tencé, Ultramicroscopy, 2012, 123, 80.
- 37 K. Foger and H. Jaeger, J. Catal., 1985, 92, 64.
- 38 P. Birke, S. Engels, K. Becker and H. D. Neubauer, Chem. Technol., 1980, 32, 253.
- 39 T. Subramanian, B. P. Reddy, P. Venkatesh, R. Kandan, T. Vandarkuzhali, K. Nagarajan and P. R. V. Rao, in Pyrochemical Separations: Workshop Proceeding, Avignon, France, OECD Publishing, Paris, 2000, p. 190.
- 40 T. Sato, S. Shiota, S. Ikoma and F. Ozawa, J. Appl. Chem. Biotechnol., 1977, 27, 275.
- 41 C. Mondelli, A. P. Amrute, T. Schmidt and J. Pérez-Ramírez, Chem. Commun., 2011, 47, 7173.
- 42 V. J. Wheeler, R. M. Dell and E. Wait, J. Inorg. Nucl. Chem., 1964, 26, 1829.
- 43 H. Inada, L. Wu, J. Wall, D. Su and Y. Zhu, J. Electron Microsc. Tech., 2009, 58, 111.
- 44 A. P. Amrute, C. Mondelli and J. Pérez-Ramírez, Catal. Sci. Technol., 2012, 2, 2057.