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Three-dimensional (3D) graphene-based composites have drawn increasing attention for energy applications due to their unique structures and properties. By combining the merits of 3D graphene (3DG), e.g., a porous and interconnected network, a high electrical conductivity, a large accessible surface area, and excellent mechanical strength and thermal stability, with the high chemical/electrochemical activities of active materials, 3DG-based composites show great promise as high-performance electrode materials in various energy devices. This article reviews recent progress in 3DG-based composites and their applications in energy storage/conversion devices, i.e., supercapacitors, lithium-ion batteries, dye-sensitized solar cells, and fuel cells.

1. Introduction

Graphene is a crystalline allotrope of carbon with a two-dimensional (2D) structure, in which carbon atoms are densely packed in a regular sp²-bonded atomic-scale hexagonal pattern.1,2 Due to its unique structure (atomic thick carbon layer) and properties, e.g., a remarkably high electron mobility at room temperature, a high specific surface area, a high thermal conductivity, excellent mechanic strength, etc.,3,4 graphene has attracted tremendous attention for a wide range of applications, e.g., energy storage/conversion devices.5–7 Although many promising energy applications have been demonstrated with 2D graphene-based nanostructures, a huge challenge and need still remains for the efficient use of graphene’s large specific surface area and extraordinary electrical, chemical, and mechanical properties. For instance, the main reason behind the interest and relevance of graphene in energy devices is its large specific surface area and high electrical conductivity. However, the specific surface area of graphene depends on its solid surface and not the porosity. Since graphene sheets tend to form irreversible agglomerates or restack due to the strong π–π stacking and van der Waals interactions between the graphene sheets, graphene normally used as an electrode material has a dramatically decreased surface area, which limits its practical application in energy devices. To increase the accessible surface area of graphene, studies have been carried...
out to use “spacers”, e.g., carbon nanotubes, nanoparticles (NPs), and polymers between graphene sheets to separate them. These types of graphene composites have solved the restacking issues of graphene sheets and led to enhanced performance of graphene in energy applications; however, their ability to load a sufficient amount of active materials is still unsatisfactory to deploy these materials in practical devices.

Recently, macroscopic three-dimensional (3D) graphene structures have been successfully synthesized by various methods, e.g., self-assembly, template-assisted synthesis, aerosolization, direct deposition, etc. This type of 3D graphene (3DG) has a very unique structure different from the graphenes normally used, i.e., a 3D porous/hollow structure. Due to their unique structures, 3DGs have outstanding properties, e.g., a large accessible surface area, excellent mechanical strength, and good flexibility. A lot of effort has been devoted to controlling the morphologies and properties of 3DGs in order to fully utilize their potential in energy applications. For example, a graphene hydrogel, made from the self-assembly of graphene oxide (GO) sheets, has a 3D porous structure and excellent mechanical stability and flexibility. It shows great promise for application in supercapacitors since it not only facilitates the access of the electrolyte to its entire surface, but also provides electrically conductive channels for active materials anchored on it.

Although several reviews have summarized the up-to-date achievements of 3DGs and their applications in some energy devices, most of them focused on the 3DG synthesis methods or their applications in supercapacitors. By creating 3DG/active material composites, the unique properties of 3DGs and active materials and the synergistic effects between them can be utilized, thereby greatly expanding the potential of 3DG-based materials in energy applications.

A timely summary focusing on 3DG-based composites and their use in a wide range of energy applications, e.g., supercapacitors, lithium-ion batteries, dye-sensitized solar cells, and fuel cells, is thus deemed necessary for a better understanding and further improvement of 3DG-based composites in the abovementioned energy systems/devices. In this review, we highlight recent progress in 3DG-based composites with an emphasis on their applications in energy storage/conversion devices. The synthesis methods of 3DG composites as well as typical 3DG composite-based electrode materials in energy devices are introduced and discussed.

2. Preparation methods and structures

Due to their unique structures and appealing properties, tremendous effort has been devoted to the fabrication of 3DG-based composites with controlled morphologies and structures. In this section, the fabrication methods as well as the resulting 3DG composite structures are discussed.

2.1 Self-assembly methods

The chemical preparation of graphene from GO is promising for the mass production of graphene-based materials since GO can be synthesized in large quantities and easily reduced by different methods. Self-assembly of GO sheets is one of the commonly used methods to obtain 3DGs. As a typical example, 3DG graphene structures can be produced through the gelation process of a GO suspension. In a stable GO suspension, individual GO sheets are well dispersed due to the van der Waals attractions from the basal planes of GO sheets and the electrostatic repulsions from the functional groups on GO sheets. Once the force balance is broken, the gelation of the GO suspension starts, and GO sheets interconnect with each other to form a hydrogel, which has a 3D porous architecture. A previous study shows that the formation of the graphene hydrogel was driven by either the linkers or π–π stacking interactions of graphene sheets. For the π–π stacking interactions, the recovery of a π-conjugated system from GO sheets upon the gelation process was confirmed by the decreased interlayer spacing of graphene hydrogel (3.76 Å) compared with GO (6.94 Å), which resulted from a reduction process. Moreover, the hydrophilic oxygenated functional groups on GO or reduced GO (RGO) sheets can encapsulate water in the process of self-assembly. This factor together with the π-stacking of graphene sheets resulted in the successful construction of the hydrogel. There are many ways to trigger the gelation of a GO suspension, for example, adding cross-linkers, changing the pH value of the GO dispersion, or using chemical reactions. Interestingly, without adding any chemicals, self-assembly of GO sheets into 3DGs has also been achieved by physical treatments of the GO suspension, e.g., hydrothermal treatment, direct freeze-drying, ultrasonication, controlled filtration/centrifugation/baking, temperature/light induction, electrochemical deposition, etc. Fig. 1a–c
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compounds, has a higher electrical conductivity than the GO precursor. The hydrothermal process-derived graphene hydrogel usually could remove the oxygenated functional groups in GO by high pressure and temperature in a hydrothermal process reduction of GO in a vacuum or an inert gas environment, the hydrogels due to the graphene skeleton. Similar to the thermal mechanically stronger than conventional self-assembled composed of a 3D porous graphene network, which is

2.2 Template methods

Compared with self-assembly methods that produce 3DG composites with a large amount of pores in the graphene network, template-assisted methods have also been used to produce 3DG composites with more controlled and uniform morphologies and structures. This strategy has been well demonstrated by the direct growth of graphene on 3D templates using chemical vapour deposition (CVD) methods. For example, by using porous Ni foam as both the template and the catalyst, a graphene network could be successfully synthesized on the Ni surface. After the etching of the Ni template (usually in HCl), 3DG networks were obtained. 3DGs produced by this method have a higher specific surface area (as high as 850 m$^2$ g$^{-1}$) than those produced through chemical methods (normally 100–700 m$^2$ g$^{-1}$). Using CVD or annealing methods, 3DG structures have also been produced with other templates, e.g., Ni NPs, Ni film/amorphous carbon, and anodised aluminium oxide. In addition to the chemical growth of graphene on the template surface, direct mixing of GO with various templates, e.g., spongy Ni foam, polystyrene spheres, polypyrrole, and cellulose, is also an efficient route for creating porous and hollow graphene structures. Compared with the conventional CVD process, which produces a limited amount of graphene, large amounts of 3DG materials can be produced using the template-assisted direct mixing methods. This greatly benefits the applications of 3DGs that require a large quantity of materials.

To create 3DG-based composites, precursor ions are usually added during or after the GO gelation process for in situ decoration of NPs/nanomaterials on 3DGs. This method was successfully demonstrated in decorating various nanomaterials on 3DGs, including metallic particles, alloys, inorganic compounds, and organic polymers. In one study, NiCo double hydroxide decorated N-doped graphene hydrogels, denoted NG-NiCo, were produced by hydrothermal treatment of a GO suspension and subsequent heterogeneous deposition of NiCo double hydroxides from Ni$^{2+}$ and Co$^{2+}$ precursors. The black NG–NiCo composite hydrogel is a self-supported macroscopic cylinder, which is highly hydrophilic, containing 95.3 wt% of water and only 4.7 wt% of the NG–NiCo composites (Fig. 1d and e). As shown in Fig. 1e and f, the composite hydrogel exhibits a well-developed 3D interconnected porous network of NG with NiCo double hydroxides decorated uniformly on the surface. The major feature of a graphene hydrogel is the large porous 3D graphene network, which has a pore size up to several micrometers. The porous structure leads to a large accessible surface area, which is suitable for active material decoration. Moreover, because of the strong intersheet linking, the graphene hydrogel also has excellent mechanical strength and thermal stability, which brings tremendous opportunities for its application in energy devices.
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number of graphene layers could be effectively controlled by the size of the templates and the GO suspension concentration or growth conditions. Further structural modification, e.g., doping, could be used to tune the 3DG properties for various applications.

2.3 Aerosolization methods

Recently, it was reported that aqueous GO sheets can be converted into crumpled graphene (CG) balls by the capillary force associated with rapid solvent loss and physical deformation through an aerosolization process, in which GO was transformed from a 2D sheet to a 3D hollow structure.97–100 Huang’s and Zangmeister’s groups have applied this method for synthesizing CGs and studied their structures and properties.97,98,101,102 Their studies show that when a GO aqueous solution was sprayed/aerosolized, due to the rapid evaporation of the solvent of GO droplets, GO sheets were compressed and aggregated to form a 3D graphene structure similar to a crumpled ball. Although the crumpling process could happen at room temperature, the GO could be thermally reduced to RGO simultaneously if the crumpling was carried out at an elevated temperature.101 Importantly, their studies showed that CGs had a high compressive strength, which gave them an interesting aggregation-resistant property, and the CGs could be redispersed in a solvent even after compression.97 The as-produced CG is of great interest for fundamental scientific research and practical applications due to their unique structures and properties.

To expand its potential applications, CG has been combined with various functional nanomaterials, and such CG-based composites have provided tremendous opportunities for energy applications. For instance, various CG-based composites were produced by aerosolizing the mixtures of GO and pre-synthesized NPs (Pt, Ag, Au, Si, carbon black, Fe3O4, TiO2, etc.).103–109 In addition to aerosolizing mixed GO and pre-made NPs, an approach using precursor ions mixed with a GO suspension for local crystallization and growth of NPs on CG was reported.110–112 In a typical synthesis procedure, precursor ions (e.g., Mn2+, Sn4+, Ag+, and [PtCl6]2−) were dissolved in a GO suspension, which was then aerosolized and dried through a tube furnace using an ultrasonic nebulizer (Fig. 3a).112 CG-based composites with different nanocrystals (Mn3O4, SnO2, Ag, and Pt) have been fabricated during this process. SEM images of the CG/Mn3O4 composite shows that NPs were uniformly deposited on the surface of CG balls, indicating the local growth of NPs during the GO aerosolization and crumpling process (Fig. 3b and c). Based on the TEM image (Fig. 3d), the CG has a 3D hollow structure with NPs decorated on both the inner and outer surfaces. Of practical significance, through the aerosolization method, the CG-based composites can be continuously produced and collected onto various types of substrates, enabling the direct fabrication of binder-free electrodes for various energy storage and conversion devices.

2.4 Direct deposition methods

Graphene nanosheets arranged perpendicularly to the substrate surface, i.e., vertically-oriented graphene (VG) sheets, are another type of 3DGs. VGs are normally produced by a plasma-enhanced chemical vapor deposition (PECVD) method.113–121 With the assistance of plasma, the growth of VG can be carried out in a low-temperature, highly-efficient, and catalyst-free manner, with controllable structure and properties by tuning the growth conditions. Compared with RGO produced from wet chemical methods, this type of 3DGs possess a number of unique features, e.g., vertical orientation on the substrate, non-agglomerated 3D morphology, open inter-sheet channels, and exposed ultra-thin and ultra-long edges. By incorporating other nanomaterials into them, VG-based composites were widely studied and presented great potential in energy and environmental applications.122–128 For example, Seo et al. reported a supercapacitor device with MnO2/VG composites as the electrode material.126 In their study, VGs grown on a nickel foil were used as conductive templates for the deposition of MnO2. The vertical orientation and open space between the graphene sheets facilitate ion diffusion, and the covalent bonding between MnO2 and VG leads to a highly stable structure.

In addition to the PECVD growth of VG, a 3DG structure could also be prepared through electrochemical reduction of GO in an aqueous dispersion, in which the RGO sheets are deposited directly onto the surface of the electrode, which shows a similar structure to the graphene hydrogel.129 3DG composites could be fabricated by subsequent electrochemical deposition of the active materials on the 3DG surface.
3. Energy applications

Compared with 2D graphene/RGO from the chemical processes, 3DG networks normally possess a number of unique features, such as a non-agglomerated 3D inter-networked morphology, a large pore volume, a high accessible surface area, a controlled inter-sheet connectivity, as well as excellent stability and mechanical strength. By incorporating 3DGs with other nanostructures, e.g., NPs, nanorods, nanotubes, nanosheets, etc., 3DG-based composites have shown great potential in energy applications. For instance, the 3D hollow structure, the large accessible surface area, and the high in-plane electrical conductivity of graphene, and the high activity of the incorporated nanomaterials lead to many advances in nanomaterial-based supercapacitors, lithium-ion batteries, dye-sensitized solar cells, and fuel cells. A detailed review of 3DG composites’ applications in energy storage/conversion devices is presented in this section.

3.1 Supercapacitor applications

Supercapacitors are advanced electrochemical devices suited for energy storage that undergo frequent charge/discharge cycles at high power and short duration and have a much higher capacitance than regular capacitors.\textsuperscript{130–132} Based on the charge storage mechanisms, supercapacitors are categorized into electric double-layer capacitors (EDLCs) and pseudocapacitors. EDLCs operate with the electric double-layer mechanism, where electrode materials are charged for the rapid separation and surface adsorption of ions with opposite charges. Pseudocapacitors incorporate pseudo-capacitance derived from reversible Faradaic-type charge transfer in electrode materials. Although supercapacitors are commercially available, there is still a need for further improvement in supercapacitor performance, e.g., in mass/volume-specific capacitance, rate capability, and power and energy densities. Nanocarbon-based materials, such as activated carbons, carbon nanotubes, and graphene, have been widely studied as supercapacitor electrode materials, due to their high electrical conductivity, high surface area, and low cost.\textsuperscript{76,132–134} To further enhance the capacitor performance of graphene, 3DG-based materials have been employed recently.\textsuperscript{20,135–137} The porous structure of 3DGs not only improves the accessibility of the electrolyte to the electrode surface, but also provides electrochemically conductive channels for the active material decoration, which enhances the performance of both EDLCs and pseudocapacitors.

As pure carbon electrodes, most 3DGs, e.g. graphene hydrogels,\textsuperscript{14,138} template-based 3DGs,\textsuperscript{84,139,140} hollow CGs,\textsuperscript{135,141} and
VGs, were used in EDLCs. Some of the demonstrated EDLCs with 3DGs showed a greatly enhanced performance compared with other carbon-based electrodes. In one report from Shi’s group, a graphene hydrogel/Ni foam (G-Gel/NF) composite electrode was prepared by direct deposition and in situ reduction of GO on the framework of Ni foam with subsequent free-drying of the hydrogel. The graphene gel had a 3D porous structure with a pore size in the range of submicrometer to several micrometers. To test the performance of G-Gel/NF EDLC, symmetric cells with a two-electrode configuration were constructed. Their study showed that the G-Gel/NF EDLC can be operated at 100 ms level, which is among the most rapid graphene-based EDLCs. The capacitance of G-Gel/NF is 45.6 mF cm$^{-2}$ at a discharge current of 0.67 mA cm$^{-2}$, which is about one order of magnitude higher than those of the high-rate EDLCs based on other carbon materials such as onion-like carbon and laser-scribed graphene films. The excellent performance of G-Gel/NF EDLCs is attributed to the high conductivity and electrochemical stability of the graphene gel, the 3D porous microstructure of the G-Gel/NF electrode, and the short distances of charge transfer from the graphene hydrogel to the current collector (Ni foam).

Because of the redox reactions, pseudocapacitors usually have higher capacitance but lower power density and poorer cycling stability than those of EDLCs. By combining the merits of 3DGs and pseudo-species, e.g., transition metal oxides, repeated redox reactions can be realized. In 3DG-based composite electrodes, the roles of 3DG mainly include: increasing the specific loading of pseudo-species for higher energy density, enhancing the charge transport between pseudo-species and electrode for higher power and rate capabilities, and improving the adhesion of pseudo-species for enhanced cycling stability. Commonly used pseudo-species include Mn, Ni, Co, and V-based transition metal oxides or compounds with various morphologies.

In one study, a MnO$_2$-coated free-standing, flexible, lightweight, and highly conductive 3DG network was fabricated for supercapacitor electrodes. The 3DG network exhibited a remarkably high specific surface area (392 m$^2$ g$^{-1}$), allowing an extremely high MnO$_2$ mass loading of 9.8 mg cm$^{-2}$ (~92.9% of the entire electrode mass). To fabricate the 3DG network, a Ni foam was used as the template for CVD growth of the graphene film. After removal of the Ni foam, a 3DG with a foam-like network was obtained, with a weight of 0.70–0.75 mg cm$^{-2}$ and a thickness lower than 200 μm. Their study showed that the free-standing 3DG network obtained from compressed Ni foam showed greater flexibility and superior mechanical strength in comparison with those from non-compressed Ni foam. Moreover, the 3DG network exhibited a high electrical conductivity of 55 S cm$^{-1}$ and possessed a hollow internal structure similar to the Ni foam template. The nanostructured MnO$_2$ materials were deposited on the 3DGs through an electrochemical deposition process. The mass loading of MnO$_2$ could be well controlled by adjusting the deposition current and deposition time. Fig. 4b shows the SEM images of a typical 3DG/MnO$_2$ composite with a mass loading of 0.85 mg cm$^{-2}$.
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(a) Schematic of flexible supercapacitors consisting of two symmetrical graphene/MnO$_2$ composite electrodes, a polymer separator, and two PET membranes. The two digital photographs show the flexible supercapacitors when bended. (b) SEM image of a typical sample with mass loadings of 0.85 mg cm$^{-2}$. The inset is the corresponding high-magnification SEM image of the sample. (c) TEM image of the nanoporous structure of MnO$_2$ with a deposition time of 120 min. The inset is the high-magnification TEM image. (d) CVs of the flexible supercapacitors at scan rates of 50, 100, 200, 400, and 500 mV s$^{-1}$. The inset shows the CVs of the flexible supercapacitors with bending angles of 0° and 90° at a fixed scan rate of 10 mV s$^{-1}$. (e) Galvanostatic charge/discharge curves of the supercapacitor at different current densities. (f) Cycling performance of the flexible supercapacitors for bending cycles with a bending angle of 90°. The inset shows cycling performance of the flexible supercapacitors for bending cycles with a bending angle of 90°. Reproduced with permission from ref. 145.
The MnO₂ nanomaterials were uniformly coated on the entire surface of the 3DG networks. The MnO₂ had a hierarchical nanosphere structure, consisting of MnO₂ nanosheets, as shown in the TEM images (Fig. 4c).

To explore the advantages of the 3DG support, a supercapacitor was assembled from two pieces of 3DG/MnO₂ composites, as shown in Fig. 4a. The rectangular shapes and symmetry of the cyclic voltammetry (CV) scans indicate its ideal pseudocapacitive nature, even at a high scan rate of 1000 mV s⁻¹ (Fig. 4d). In order to demonstrate the flexibility of the device, they compared the CV scans of the device with a bending angle of 90°. As shown in the inset of Fig. 4d, there was no significant difference between the CV scans before and after bending, suggesting the high flexibility and stability of the 3DG/MnO₂ composite electrodes in the symmetrical supercapacitor.

A galvanostatic charge/discharge test is usually performed to study the capacitor performance under different current densities. The galvanostatic charge/discharge results of the 3DG/MnO₂ capacitor over a voltage window of 0–1 V are shown in Fig. 4e. The linear voltage versus time profiles, the symmetrical charge/discharge characteristics, and a quick I–V response represent good capacitive characteristics for the 3DG/MnO₂ supercapacitor. The cycling performance of a supercapacitor is also critical for its practical application. Fig. 4f shows the cycling stability of the supercapacitor over the 0–1 V range at a current density of 1.5 mA cm⁻². The specific capacitance of the 3DG/MnO₂ supercapacitor decreased slightly from 29.8 F g⁻¹ to 27.8 F g⁻¹ after 500 cycles. After 5000 cycles, it still retained a high specific capacitance of 24.2 F g⁻¹. The decrease of the capacitance is probably due to the mechanical expansion of MnO₂ during the redox reactions or the dissolution of some MnO₂ during the charge/discharge cycling. The cycling performance of the flexible supercapacitors for bending cycles (bending angle of 90°) was also tested, as shown in Fig. 4f (inset). The specific capacitance retained 92% of its initial value after 200 bending actions, indicating its excellent mechanical and flexible properties. With a MnO₂ mass loading of 0.1 mg cm⁻² and at a scan rate of 2 mV s⁻¹, the 3DG/MnO₂ supercapacitor had a specific capacitance of 465 F g⁻¹, higher than that of MnO₂-carbon nanotube-textile supercapacitors.¹⁵⁵

In addition to single-component pseudo-species, hybrid pseudo-species can also be integrated with 3DGs for supercapacitor applications. For instance, hybrid Ni₃S₂ and Ni(OH)₂ nanostructures were grown on a 3DG network (3DGN) and used as the electrodes in supercapacitors (Fig. 5a).¹⁵⁶ The 3DGN was produced by CVD growth of graphene on a Ni foam. The as-produced 3DGN was sealed in an autoclave containing precursors for Ni₃S₂ and Ni(OH)₂. By controlling the hydrothermal reaction duration, three types of composites were produced, i.e., Ni₃S₂/3DGN (6 h), Ni₃S₂@Ni(OH)₂/3DGN (12 h), and Ni(OH)₂/3DGN (24 h). In the composite, the Ni₃S₂ has a nanorod structure and the Ni(OH)₂, which has a nanosheet morphology, covers the nanorod to form a core–shell heterostructure (Fig. 5b).

CV curves of the Ni₃S₂@Ni(OH)₂/3DGN electrode at different scan rates were tested (Fig. 5c). With increasing scan rate, the current response increased accordingly, and the shapes of CV curves were retained, indicating a good rate capability. Fig. 5d shows the constant current galvanostatic charge/discharge curves of the Ni₃S₂@Ni(OH)₂/3DGN electrode at different current densities. A high specific capacitance (1037.5 F g⁻¹) was obtained at a discharge current density of 5.1 A g⁻¹. Even at a relatively high current density of 19.8 A g⁻¹, a specific capacitance of 398 F g⁻¹ was obtained. These specific capacitances are higher than those of supercapacitors based on mesoporous carbons, e.g., lignin-derived mesoporous carbon (102.3 and 91.7 F g⁻¹ for CO₂- and KOH-activated carbons, respectively).¹⁵⁷ Fig. 5e shows the cycling performances of the three electrodes at a current density of 5.9 A g⁻¹. The Ni₃S₂@Ni(OH)₂/3DGN electrode had the highest specific capacitance and better durability than those of the other two electrodes. Specifically, the Ni₃S₂@Ni(OH)₂/3DGN electrode maintained more than 99% of its initial capacitance after 2000 cycles of operation. They also studied the morphology of the Ni₃S₂@Ni(OH)₂/3DGN electrode after 2000 cycles and found no obvious change in its structure, indicating good electrochemical stability of the materials during the charge and discharge process.
Using 3DG as the support for pseudo-species has been demonstrated to be an efficient method to prepare high performance supercapacitor electrodes. The outstanding performance of the 3DG-based composites is mainly attributed to the following reasons: (1) the porous structure and high surface area of 3DG increases the loading of pseudo-species and leads to easy access for the electrolyte to the electrode surface; (2) the highly conductive 3DG network serves as an excellent channel for electron transfer; and (3) the good contact between the 3DG and active pseudo-species ensures low contact resistance.

3.2 Lithium-ion battery applications

Lithium-ion batteries (LIBs) have attracted considerable interest due to their high energy density with high cell voltage (>3.2 V) and low maintenance (low self-discharge and no periodic discharge needed). They have found applications ranging from renewable energy, portable electronic devices, to electric or hybrid vehicles, and even to grid storage. But the widespread use of LIBs as transportation and stationary power systems remains challenging due to the high cost and inadequate performance. There has been tremendous effort at exploring new, inexpensive lithium intercalation materials with superior performance for both anodes and cathodes. In this section, we discuss the potential of 3DG-based composites as LIB anode materials.

The ideal candidate for LIB anode materials should have characteristics such as high lithium storage capability and robust structure for repeated charge/discharge cycles. Sn, SnO2, and Si have been demonstrated as potential anode materials for LIBs due to their high specific capacities (981 mA h g⁻¹ for Sn, 1491 mA h g⁻¹ for SnO2, and 4200 mA h g⁻¹ for Si) compared with those of carbon-based materials (372 mA h g⁻¹ for graphite). However, these anodes usually suffer from severe capacity degradation (aging) due to the aggregation of Sn/Si-based particles and the huge volume change (over 300%) during Li⁺ insertion/extraction cycles, which causes the pulverization of the anodes and electrical detachment of the active materials from the current collector. Reducing the material size to the nanoscale and dispersing the material onto porous structures has proved very effective in solving the problems of similar systems. Meanwhile, due to its single-atom thickness, large surface area, and ballistic conductivity, graphene has emerged as an attractive conducting support for NPs, which can not only facilitate the transport of electrons and electrolyte in the electrode, but also lower the stress of the NPs, which can not only facilitate the transport of electrons and limiting electron and ion transport. In addition, the mass loading per unit area of nanostructured anode materials has typically been low, limited by either the fabrication process or the structural design itself.

Constructing 2D graphene sheets into 3D architectures can effectively avoid the restacking of graphene and provide a resulting 3DG with a large specific surface area, porous structure, and fast electron transport. Many novel composites combining 3DG with various high-capacity anode materials (e.g., Sn, SnO2, Si, and Fe3O4) have been demonstrated for LIB applications. In this section, we provide a brief overview of 3DG/Sn, SnO2, Si, or Fe3O4 composites as LIB anode materials. It is worth mentioning that many other low-cost, high-capacity materials have also emerged as functional components that can be integrated with 3DG graphene to serve as LIB anode materials. These materials include Fe2O3, MnO174, MnO2175,176 TiO2,177,178 NiO179 MoO2,180 V2O5,181 Co3O4,182 CoO183 MoS2,184,185 Ni3S2,186 Ge187 and so on.

Qin et al. reported a scalable in situ CVD approach for one-step fabrication of a 3DG-Sn NP composite as a LIB anode material. In this composite (designated as 3D Sn@G-PGNW), Sn NPs (5–30 nm) encapsulated by conformal graphene shells (~1 nm) were uniformly and tightly anchored on the surfaces of thin walls (~3 nm) of the 3D porous graphene network (Fig. 6a). The graphene shells effectively prevented Sn NPs from direct exposure to the electrolyte, preserved their structural and interfacial stabilization, suppressed their aggregation, and buffered their volume expansion. The 3D porous graphene network provided high electrical conductivity, large surface area, and high mechanical flexibility to the core–shell Sn@G structure, resulting in enhanced electrical conductivity and structural integrity of the overall electrode. As shown in Fig. 6b and c, the 3DG/Sn
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(a) Schematic of the 3DG-Sn NP composite. (b) Rate cycle performance of the electrodes of 3D Sn@G-PGNWs and Sn/C composites at charge/discharge rates from 0.2 to 10 C (1C = 1 A g⁻¹) for 340 cycles. (c) Cycle performance of the 3D Sn@G-PGNW electrode at current densities of 0.2, 0.5, and 1 A g⁻¹ for the initial six cycles and then 2 A g⁻¹ for the subsequent 1000 cycles. Reproduced with permission from ref. 188.
Composite anode exhibited high rate performance (1022 mA h g\(^{-1}\) at 0.2 C, 865 mA h g\(^{-1}\) at 0.5 C, 780 mA h g\(^{-1}\) at 1 C, 652 mA h g\(^{-1}\) at 2 C, 459 mA h g\(^{-1}\) at 5 C, and 270 mA h g\(^{-1}\) at 10 C, 1 C = 1 A g\(^{-1}\)) and long cycling stability even at high rates (a capacity of 682 mA h g\(^{-1}\) was achieved at 2 A g\(^{-1}\) and maintained approximately 96.3% after 1000 cycles). The high specific capacity and good capacity retention achieved by the 3DG/Sn composite anode at a high rate (2 A g\(^{-1}\)) exceed those of many other carbon/Sn nanostructures, e.g., nano-Sn/carbon composites\(^{189}\) and porous core–shell Sn@carbon composites\(^{190}\).

Crumpled GO/graphene has attracted increasing attention due to its 3D open structure and excellent stability in an aqueous solution. We reported a general and one-step approach for producing CG–nanocrystal composites by direct aerosolization of a GO suspension mixed with precursor ions.\(^{112}\) Nanocrystals spontaneously grow from precursor ions and assemble on both the external and internal surfaces of CG balls during the solvent evaporation and GO crumpling process (Fig. 3). The 3D CG–nanocrystal composites could be directly deposited onto various current-collecting substrates, facilitating their potential for energy applications such as in LIBs. As a proof of concept, we demonstrated the use of 3D CG–SnO\(_2\) as the anode material in LIBs. CG–SnO\(_2\) nanohybrids were directly deposited on a copper current collector (copper foil) without any binder or conductive carbon (Fig. 7a). The performance of the resulting battery was attractive and outperformed conventional flat graphene-based hybrid devices. By comparing the initial two charge–discharge curves (Fig. 7b and c), the CG–SnO\(_2\) nanohybrids displayed a much smaller change, signifying a much smaller capacity loss in the initial two cycles than flat GO–SnO\(_2\) nanohybrids. Fig. 7d compares the cycling performance and the rate capability of CG–SnO\(_2\) nanohybrids and flat graphene–SnO\(_2\). The CG–SnO\(_2\) nanohybrids exhibit a remarkable improvement in various performance parameters, including specific capacity, rate capability, and stability. Our study provided a new and facile route for fabricating high-performance CG–nanocrystal composite electrodes for LIB systems.

Several other groups also synthesized 3DG/SnO\(_2\) composites using hydrothermal processes\(^{191,192}\) or a template method\(^{95}\) and applied these composites to LIBs. For example, Huang et al. reported a two-step assembly method for producing 3D graphene–SnO\(_2\) composites with SnO\(_2\) NPs uniformly immobilized on the graphene surface.\(^{191}\) SnO\(_2\) NPs were first formed on GO sheets by in situ synthesis, and then 3D SnO\(_2\)/graphene frameworks (SnO\(_2\)/GFs) were formed through the hydrothermal self-assembly of the 2D SnO\(_2\)/GO nanosheets. The resulting 3D SnO\(_2\)/GFs had a large surface area, numerous macropores, and a low mass density. The 3D SnO\(_2\)/GFs structure could effectively accommodate the volume change of SnO\(_2\) NPs during the cycling process and provide multidimensional channels for electron transport and electrolyte access, enabling the rapid diffusion of lithium ions from the electrolyte to the electrode. SnO\(_2\)/GFs exhibited a high capacity of 830 mA h g\(^{-1}\) for up to 70 charge–discharge cycles at 100 mA g\(^{-1}\). At a current density of 500 mA g\(^{-1}\), SnO\(_2\)/GFs still maintained a reversible capacity as high as 621 mA h g\(^{-1}\) with good cycling stability. Wang et al. reported a solvothermal-induced self-assembly process using SnO\(_2\) colloid sol as the precursor to
form a 3D aerogel composed of self-assembled nitrogen-doped graphene networks homogeneously coated with SnO$_2$ NPs (3–7 nm). Nitrogen-doping could further tune the electronic properties of graphene and enhance its lithium storage properties. The resulting 3D nitrogen-doped graphene-SnO$_2$ showed good rate capability and prolonged cyclic stability (905 mA h g$^{-1}$ after 1000 cycles at 2000 mA g$^{-1}$). Liu et al. demonstrated a 3D macroporous graphene framework (GFs)-based SnO$_2$ composite (3D SnO$_2$/GFs) by a self-assembly process, in which polystyrene (PS) nanospheres were used as sacrificial templates. The 3D SnO$_2$/GF electrode displayed a capacity of 1244 mA h g$^{-1}$ for 50 cycles at 100 mA g$^{-1}$ and a rate capability of 753 mA h g$^{-1}$ for up to 200 cycles at 1000 mA g$^{-1}$. The good cycling performance and rate capability of 3D SnO$_2$/GFs were attributed to the synergistic effects of graphene, SnO$_2$, and the 3D porous structure.

Various approaches have been proposed to produce 3DG/Si composites as high-performance anode materials for LIBs. Zhou et al. designed an Si/carbon/graphene composite (abbreviated as Si–C/G). In this structure, carbon NPs were used to improve the electronic conductivity of the composite, while graphene sheets combined with carbon NPs resulted in a 3D conducting network to buffer the large volume changes of Si during battery cycling. The Si–C/G composite exhibited a reversible capacity of 1521 mA h g$^{-1}$ at 0.2 C after 200 cycles and a good high-rate capability as well. Xin et al. reported a 3D porous composite of Si/graphene using a spray-drying method. The Si/graphene microspheres showed a capacity as high as 1000 mA h g$^{-1}$ for 30 cycles. Chen et al. synthesized 3D carbon-coated mesoporous Si nanospheres@graphene foam (C@Si@GF) by a thermal bubble ejection assisted CVD and magnesiothermic reduction process. C@Si@GF nanocomposites showed good electrochemical performance including a specific capacity as high as 1200 mA h g$^{-1}$ at the current density of 1 A g$^{-1}$, excellent high rate capabilities, and an outstanding cyclability (Fig. 8a and b). The morphology of 3D C@Si@GF electrodes was well maintained after 200 cycles. Wu et al. synthesized a 3D interconnected network of graphene-wrapped porous silicon spheres (Si@G network) via layer-by-layer assembly and a subsequent in situ magnesiothermic-reduction process. When tested as a LIB anode material, the Si@G composite showed enhanced lithium-storage capabilities in terms of specific capacity, cycling stability, and rate capability in comparison with bare Si spheres (Fig. 8c and d). When current density was varied from 0.05 to 0.1, 0.2, 0.5 C, and finally back to 0.05 C, the discharge capacity of the Si@G composite changed from 1467.5 to 1210.6, 970.7, and 697.8 mA h g$^{-1}$ and finally reversibly recovered to 1096.8 mA h g$^{-1}$. Huang’s group produced submicrometer-sized capsules of Si NPs wrapped by CG shells using an aerosolization method. Si NPs could be protected from excessive deposition of the insulating solid electrolyte interphase as the folds and wrinkles in the CG coating could buffer the volume expansion of Si upon lithiation. The CG/Si composite capsules showed better performance than the bare Si particles as LIB anodes in terms of capacity, cycling stability, and Coulombic efficiency (Fig. 8e and f).

Fe$_3$O$_4$ is a promising LIB anode material owing to its high theoretical capacity, earth abundance, low cost, and nontoxicity. 3DG/Fe$_3$O$_4$ composites have been synthesized using various methods and investigated as LIB anode materials. For instance, Luo et al. reported a
bottom-up approach assisted by atomic layer deposition to graft bicontinuous mesoporous nanostructure Fe3O4 onto 3DG foams and directly used the composite as the LIB anode. A capacity as high as 785 mA h g\(^{-1}\) was obtained at a 1 C rate and could be maintained without decay up to 500 cycles. Wei et al. reported a method to fabricate 3DG foams cross-linked with Fe3O4 nanospheres (NSs) encapsulated with graphene shells (Fe3O4@GS/GF). The structure of Fe3O4@GS/GF resulted in double protection against the volume change of Fe3O4 NSs during charge/discharge: the graphene shells could suppress the aggregation of Fe3O4 NSs and buffer the volume expansion, while the 3D graphene networks could strengthen the core–shell Fe3O4@GS structure and thus enhance the electrical conductivity of the overall electrode. Fe3O4@GS/GF had a high reversible capacity of 1059 mA h g\(^{-1}\) over 150 cycles and good rate capability, exhibiting potential as an anode material for LIBs.

3.3 Dye-sensitized solar cell applications

Dye-sensitized solar cells (DSSCs) have been considered as a technical and economical alternative to Si-based p–n junction photovoltaic devices. The key components of a DSSC generally include a dye-sensitized photoanode, an electrolyte solution with a redox mediator, and a counter electrode (or cathode). Typically, the photoanode is made of a fluorine-doped SnO\(_2\) (FTO) transparent electrode with a sintered TiO\(_2\) (anatase) NP scaffold that is sensitized with organometallic dye molecules; an iodide/triiodide redox couple dissolved in acetonitrile serves as the electrolyte; and a platinumized FTO electrode as the counter electrode. The DSSC works in the following sequence: (1) generation of electron–hole pairs through the light-absorbing dye; (2) quick separation of electrons and holes with electrons injected into TiO\(_2\) and holes into the electrolyte through redox species; and (3) transport of the charge carriers (i.e., electrons and holes) in the respective phases to opposing electrodes.

Integration of new materials and processing techniques has been actively pursued to improve the performance and lower the cost of DSSCs. As a “star” material with exceptional electrical, optical, and mechanical properties, graphene has been vigorously explored for each part of a DSSC (i.e., the photoanode, the electrolyte, and the counter electrode). Graphene materials (e.g., CVD graphene and RGO) have been studied as transparent conductors, in the semiconducting layer, and even as the sensitizer itself for the photoanode of a DSSC. The combination of the excellent electrical conductivity and the porous structure makes 3DG a promising anode/cathode material for building DSSCs with enhanced photovoltaic properties and superior performance.

3DG has been incorporated into the semiconducting layer (e.g., TiO\(_2\) scaffold) in the photoanode to improve photocurrent density by enhancing electron transport, dye adsorption, and light scattering. Tang et al. prepared 3DG by CVD with Ni foam rods as the template and then mixed 3DG with P25 (a traditional photoanode material made of TiO\(_2\) NPs) to form the photoanode of DSSCs. The continuous structure between graphene sheets in the 3DG led to a good transport channel for the photogenerated electrons from TiO\(_2\) NPs to conductive glass. The power conversion efficiency (\(\eta\)) of the as-prepared DSSCs was observed to increase, which was attributed to the excellent electron transport properties and large surface area of the 3DG. The amount of 3DG in the photoanode ranged from 0.2 to 2 wt%. The DSSC with a photoanode of 1 wt% 3DG showed the best photovoltaic performance (\(\eta\) as high as 6.87%): the short circuit current density (\(J_{sc}\)) and power conversion efficiency increased by up to 29.4% and 32.7%, respectively, in comparison with the DSSC based on pure P25 photoanodes. Additionally, DSSCs with the 3DG based counter electrode and photoanode were also studied (Fig. 9); however, the performance of the resulting DSSCs was inferior to that of DSSCs with a Pt counter electrode. It is believed that enhancing the contact between the 3DG and conductive glass could improve the 3DG counter electrode and thus the whole DSSC.

3DG hydrogel and aerogel are robust hosts for anchoring various functional NPs. Han et al. modified a hydrothermal method that was previously reported for synthesizing graphene hydrogel/aerogel and prepared a ternary aerogel composed of an interconnected 3DG aerogel embedded with CdS and TiO\(_2\) NPs (Fig. 10a). In addition to the advantages of each
component in the ternary aerogel, synergistic interactions among the three components could also be expected. Indeed, the ternary aerogel showed some promising properties, such as enhanced light absorption and photocurrent (Fig. 10b), efficient charge separation, and good durability, showing its potential in DSSCs and quantum dot-sensitized solar cells (QDSSCs).

Yin et al. developed a scalable, solution-processable technique to form free-standing 3D ordered porous structures made of functionalized graphene sheets via an “on water spreading” method (Fig. 11a–c). The free-standing graphene honeycomb film with a large-area, uniform, periodic structure could be transferred onto various substrates of interest. Functional NPs such as TiO2 NPs were easily introduced into the 3DG honeycomb films by premixing. The formed composite honeycomb film electrode showed a fast, stable, and reversible photocurrent response with each switch-on and switch-off of simulated sunlight irradiation. The graphene-based honeycomb scaffold enhanced the light-harvesting efficiency and improved the photoelectric conversion behaviour; the photocurrent of the composite film was about two times as high as that of the pure TiO2 film electrode (Fig. 11d). The composite porous films could combine the good electrochemical performance of graphene, a large electrode/electrolyte contact area, and good stability during the photo-conversion process, holding promise for further applications in solar energy conversion.

The function of the counter electrode in a DSSC is usually twofold: to regenerate the redox couples by a catalyst and to collect electrons from the external circuit as a current collector. Counter electrode materials thus should possess excellent catalytic activity and electrical conductivity. Conventionally, a counter electrode consists of Pt (as the catalyst) deposited on FTO conductive glass (as the electron collector). Although Pt has been proved to be an excellent catalyst due to its high catalytic activity and stability, it is not suitable for large-scale applications because of its high cost and limited reserves. Considerable effort has been devoted to finding high-performance, low-cost counter electrode materials to replace Pt and Pt/FTO.

Inspired by the unique properties of graphene (e.g., high surface area, high conductivity, and flexibility in tuning defects and composites), various graphene materials, including 3DG and 3DG-based composites, have been recently used in the DSSC counter electrode. Wang et al. synthesized a 3D honeycomb-like structured graphene (HSG) by a simple reaction between Li2O and CO and used the HSG/FTO as a counter electrode for DSSCs. HSG showed good catalytic performance for DSSC with an energy conversion efficiency as high as 7.8%, which is comparable to that of a Pt/FTO electrode. CVD-grown p-doped 3DG nano-networks also offered promising performance as a counter electrode material in DSSCs, which had a maximum photoconversion efficiency of 8.46%.

Graphene-based composites have also been investigated as counter electrode materials for DSSCs. Metal sulfides (MS, M = Ni, Co, Cu, Mo, W, etc.) are a group of efficient counter-electrode catalysts for DSSCs. A composite structure with SnS2 NPs uniformly dispersed on RGO (SnS2/RGO) was synthesized and used as a counter electrode in a DSSC system. The SnS2/RGO composite showed promising electrocatalytic properties in reducing the triiodide, due to the synergetic effect between SnS2 NPs and graphene sheets and the improved conductivity. The DSSC with the SnS2/RGO counter electrode achieved a power conversion efficiency of 7.12%, higher than those cells using SnS2 nanoparticles (5.58%) or RGO alone (3.73%) as counter electrodes, and comparable to that of the DSSC with a Pt counter electrode (6.79%).

Bi et al. demonstrated the composite film of metal sulfide (MS, M = Ni, Co) NPs/3DG as a novel transparent conductive counter electrode with high electrocatalytic activity for DSSCs. Graphene sheets were grown on dielectric SiO2 substrates by CVD and then deposited with MS NPs by dip coating of MS precursors and further annealing at 400 °C for 30 min in Ar. The DSSCs with NiS/3DG and CoS/3DG counter electrodes showed good electrocatalytic activity and photovoltaic conversion efficiencies of 5.04% and 5.25%, respectively, which are higher than or comparable to the DSSC with a Pt/FTO counter electrode (5.00%). The good DSSC efficiencies were ascribed to the good electrocatalytic activity of the MS and the high electrical properties of 3DG films. However, it is debatable whether the graphene synthesized with the method detailed in this work is indeed 3D. Future work is needed to explore the integration of 3DG-based composites as counter electrode materials in DSSCs. Nevertheless, this work suggests that electrodes based on MS NPs/graphene (particularly 3D)
composites could be a promising alternative to Pt and Pt/FTO counter electrodes for low-cost and high performance DSSCs.

3.4 Fuel cell applications

Fuel cells are devices that convert chemical energy from fuels, e.g., hydrogen, methanol, ethanol, etc., into electricity through chemical reactions with oxidizing agents, e.g., oxygen.218–220 There are many types of fuel cells, but they all consist of an anode, a cathode, and an electrolyte that allows charges to move between the two sides of the fuel cell. In a typical fuel cell system, chemical reactions occur at the interfaces of anode/cathode electrode and electrolyte. At the anode, a catalyst is normally employed to assist the oxidation of the fuels, while at the cathode, a catalyst is used to assist the reduction of oxidizing agents. The catalytic behaviour of the catalyst strongly influences fuel cell performance and applications.

Precious metals are the most commonly used catalysts in fuel cells for fuel oxidation reactions and oxygen reduction reaction (ORR). For instance, Pt and Ru particles are widely used for methanol/ethanol oxidation reactions,221–223 Pt and its alloys, e.g., Pt–Ni, Pt–Co, and Pt–Cu, are the most popular ORR catalysts.224–226 Although precious metal catalysts have the best catalytic activities in fuel cell reactions, they suffer from high cost and poor stability. Alternative catalysts based on non-precious metals, e.g., Co, Fe, and V-based catalysts,227–232 and metal-free materials, e.g., carbon nanotubes, graphene, and C₃N₄,233–238 have been actively studied.

Although defected or doped graphene has good catalytic activities,239,240 graphene is normally used as the catalyst support due to its high conductivity and large specific surface area.241–244 Compared with conventional graphene, the unique features of 3DGs make them even more appealing catalyst supports for such reactions. The non-agglomerated morphology and porous structure of 3DGs facilitate the loading and dispersion of catalysts. Moreover, the interconnected graphene networks promote fast electron transport between the reaction sites and the electrode. 3DG-based composites are widely used as catalysts in fuel cell reactions, e.g., methanol oxidation reaction (MOR),245–247 ethanol oxidation reaction (EOR),248–249 biofuel oxidation reactions,250,251 and ORR.96,243,252,253

Specifically, for the EOR in direct-ethanol fuel cells, Pt/PdCu nanocubes supported by a 3DG framework (3DG) exhibited better electrocatalytic performance in activity and stability than Pt-based catalysts. The composites, prepared by a dual solvothermal process, have a well-defined and interconnected 3D porous network of graphene sheets and uniformly decorated Pt/PdCu nanocubes with an average size of 30 nm (Fig. 12a and b). The catalytic activity of ternary Pt/PdCu nanocubes on 3DG was investigated by the electro-oxidation reaction of ethanol in a basic medium. Fig. 12c shows the CVs of ethanol oxidation in a 1.0 M KOH and 1.0 M C₂H₅OH solution at a scan rate of 50 mV s⁻¹ with Pt/3DGF, PdCu/3DGF, Pt/PdCu/3DG, and 20% Pt/C electrodes, respectively. The onset potential for the ethanol oxidation with the Pt/PdCu/3DG electrode is at −0.6 V, which is more negative than the other three catalysts. Moreover, the Pt/PdCu/3DG electrode has the highest peak current density, which is a few times higher than those of the other electrodes. Based on the electrochemical results, the Pt/PdCu/3DG has been proved to have the highest catalytic activity towards ethanol oxidation among the tested catalysts. The function of the 3DG support in the composite catalyst is that it provides high accessibility of active species to the fuel to ensure an effective mass transfer of reactants and/or products.

The electrochemical stability of the catalysts for ethanol oxidation was investigated by chronocoulometric tests at a given potential (~0.3 V). The reaction current for the ethanol oxidation reaction shows a rapid decay in the first few hundred seconds for all catalysts (Fig. 12d), which is due to the formation of the intermediate species during the ethanol oxidation reaction in alkaline media. Nevertheless, the current decay in the Pt/PdCu/3DG electrode is much slower than those of the other electrodes, indicating a better durability of the Pt/PdCu/3DG catalyst for long-term operation. The high stability of the Pt/PdCu/3DG electrode was also demonstrated in Fig. 12e–f in comparison with the Pt/3DG electrode. The Pt/PdCu/3DG electrode shows a very small degradation (~6%) in the reaction current and almost no shift in onset potential.
compared with the Pt/3DGF electrode (35% degradation in the reaction current) after 1000 cycles of operation.

At the cathode of fuel cells, the reduction of oxygen is the dominant reaction, and great effort has been made to develop highly active and stable catalysts for ORR. 3D N-doped graphene hydrogel-supported Fe₃O₄ NPs (Fe₃O₄/3DG) is one of the typical composites used for the ORR catalyst. By combining the good ORR catalytic activities of Fe₃O₄ NPs and N-doped graphene, the good conductivity and large surface area of 3DG, and the synergistic effects between the two components, high performance ORR catalysts were designed and synthesized. The SEM and TEM images in Fig. 13a and b show that the composite has a 3D porous structure with Fe₃O₄ NPs decorated on the graphene network surface. The Fe₃O₄ NP has a well-defined crystalline texture that is encapsulated by graphene sheets.

The ORR activity of the catalyst was first evaluated by examining the electrocatalytic properties of Fe₃O₄/3DG in a N₂- or O₂-saturated 0.1 M aqueous KOH electrolyte solution using CV (Fig. 13c). In the N₂-saturated electrolyte, there was no oxygen reduction peak found in the CV curve. In contrast, a significant oxygen reduction peak with an onset potential at ~0.19 V was found with the O₂-saturated electrolyte, indicating that the oxygen reduction happens on the electrode surface. To study the reaction kinetics of the catalyst, linear sweep voltammograms (LSVs) were recorded in an O₂-saturated electrolyte using a rotating disk electrode (RDE). As shown in Fig. 13d, by applying the Koutecky–Levich equation, the LSVs of Fe₃O₄/3DG revealed a direct four-electron transfer pathway from ~0.19 to ~1.2 V for producing OH⁻ ions. The direct four-electron transfer indicates a high catalytic activity of the catalysts in ORR since catalysts usually have a two-electron transfer pathway to produce hydroperoxide anions (HO₂⁻) as intermediates in alkaline media.

Nitrogen-doped 3D crumpled graphene and CoO (N-CG–CoO) composites (Fig. 13e) were also reported as ORR catalysts. These types of composites were produced by the previously introduced aerosolization method. The unique 3D and hollow graphene structure offers a large surface area and stable anchoring sites for CoO nanocrystals, which enables the full use of the catalyst surface area by minimizing the agglomeration/restacking of the graphene sheets. This catalyst also showed a direct four-electron oxygen reduction process (Fig. 13f). Based on the RDE results, the Tafel slope (Fig. 13g) of the N-CG–CoO was calculated as 48 mV per decade, which is among the smallest Tafel slopes reported for ORR catalysts so far.

Due to its high conductivity, 3D porous structure, and high specific surface area, 3DG has shown great promise as a catalyst support for fuel cell applications and may replace the commonly used catalyst supports such as carbon black and activated carbon when large-scale production of 3DG is realized.

4. Conclusions and outlook

In recent years, many research studies have focused on the design and fabrication of 3DG-based hybrid structures to exploit their potential in energy devices. This article reviews...
recent progress in the synthesis methods for 3DG-based composites, discusses representative applications of the composites in energy devices, and compares their performance with conventional graphene-based counterparts. Although 3DGs could be fabricated into various morphologies for different applications, the key features of these materials are their 3D porous structure and high electrical conductivity. These unique features have enabled various promising energy applications of 3DGs and 3DG-based composites.

A number of future directions can be explored and are expected to further enhance the 3DG-based composites’ unique properties. The adaptability of 3DG-based composites can be improved so that they can accommodate the diverse demands in various energy applications, where the different aspect(s) of the 3DG composites may be emphasized. For example, 3DGs with a high electrical conductivity are desired in some devices (e.g., supercapacitors and LIBs, where 3DG serves as the support); however, since most of the 3DGs in these applications are currently made from GO, the conductivity of the 3DG needs to be further enhanced with easier and more efficient reduction routes. Even so, due to its inherently defective nature, RGO has an electrical conductivity that is much lower than intrinsic graphene. Therefore, in addition to pursuing more effective reduction methods, some “healing” processes, such as annealing and plasma treatments, may be options for repairing RGO-derived 3DGs by reducing the defects. CVD is widely used to produce single-layered graphene sheets with quality close to intrinsic graphene. However, at present, the component graphene sheets in 3DGs made from CVD are mostly few-layered. One direction is thus to explore the CVD process to obtain 3DG composed of mostly single-layered graphene sheets. On the other hand, defective graphene with high catalytic reactivity is favourable in some energy applications (e.g., in fuel cells), where graphene materials with better-controlled defects (e.g., graphene nanomesh) are needed as building blocks for 3DGs. Better control of the 3DG structures (e.g., porosity, surface area, conductivity, and thickness of component graphene sheets) by tuning the synthesis conditions and choosing appropriate precursors can also result in the easy incorporation of active materials into the 3DG network, potentially improving the overall performance of the 3DG composites. Large-scale and low-cost fabrication of the 3DG-based composites is another critical issue for their practical applications since most of the energy devices need a significant amount of materials for operation.

The performance of the 3DG-based composites in energy devices is essentially determined by their electronic, electrochemical, and structural properties. The control of the composition of the 3DG-based composites (i.e., the mass ratio of 3DG to active materials in a composite) is important for realizing the optimum structures for specific applications. More experimental and theoretical studies are needed to reveal information on the composite system, particularly, the interactions between the two components, i.e., 3DG and loaded active materials (e.g., NPs). In addition, a more profound understanding of the functional performance of 3DG and active materials is essential for the optimum material design and device performance optimization. Examples of such mechanisms include charge/ion storage and transfer at the interface of electrolyte and electrode materials for supercapacitors and lithium-ion batteries, and electron transport during the interactions of electrode materials with the electrolyte for DSSCs and fuel cells.

Due to its high specific surface area, tunable surface behaviour, and high mechanical strength, 3DG has also been used in water remediation, such as the cleanup of oil and removal of heavy metal ions and soluble organic contaminants. It is foreseeable that many more energy and environmental applications from 3DG and 3DG-based composites will emerge in the near future.
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