FeV$_2$S$_4$ as a high capacity electrode material for sodium-ion batteries†
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Iron vanadium sulfide (FeV$_2$S$_4$) was synthesized via a high temperature solid state reaction and was investigated as a cheap anode material for Na and Li ion batteries. Discharge capacities as high as 723 mA h g$^{-1}$ (Na) and 890 mA h g$^{-1}$ (Li) were found for half-cell measurements at room temperature. The capacity of the Na–FeV$_2$S$_4$ system remained constant at 529 mA h g$^{-1}$ after the 10th cycle with an anode capacity of 2.7 mA h cm$^{-2}$ being very close to that of conventional Li-ion technology.

Since the price of fossil fuels has increased dramatically in the last few decades and the world-wide energy demand is still rising, the generation and storage of environmentally friendly and renewable energy has become a key issue for modern society. 1–3 In the past the focus on energy storage devices was on lithium ion batteries (LIB) due to their beneficial properties e.g. high power densities, fast reaction kinetics, high voltage etc. For large scale energy storage enormous amounts of Li would be required. Despite the fact that Li is a widely distributed element on Earth, it cannot be regarded as an abundant element. 4 An alternative and the most promising element replacing Li is Na, considering the standard potential, atomic weight, the low price and especially the nearly unlimited availability. 5,6 Reports about suitable Na cathode materials were published during the last few years covering a variety of mainly oxido compounds. 7–14 Graphite was considered to be a non-suitable anode material because Na barely reacts to form staged intercalation compounds, which is assumed to be the consequence of an unfavourable disproportion between the graphite structure and the size of the Na$^+$ ion. 15 Recent studies show that this disproportion can be overcome by the use of co-intercalation phenomena using solvent molecules to form ternary graphite intercalation compounds with capacities close to 100 mA h g$^{-1}$. 16 Besides graphite, the performance of other carbon based anode materials has gradually improved during the last few years, but overall, issues such as power capability and irreversible capacity loss remain. Further, potential anode materials are much less explored and are mostly based on main group elements, alloys, phosphides and a variety of oxido compounds as was recently reviewed in ref. 17. In most cases the reaction between Na and the anode material leads to high capacities during conversion reactions, which can be regarded as a promising route. While conversion reactions with Li were studied already a long time ago 18–20 and are still being investigated intensively, 21–24 the literature on conversion reactions of sulphide compounds applying Na is limited and only a few sulphides were studied. 25–27 A focus of research lies on layered dichalcogenide compounds such as SnS$_2$, 28 MoS$_2$, 29–35 NbS$_2$, 36 WS$_2$, 14 or TiS$_2$. 37 But main group sulfides like Sb$_2$S$_3$ were also studied concerning the electrochemical behavior in Na batteries. 38 For binary compounds conversion reactions can be generally formulated according to eqn (1):

$$\text{M}_2\text{Q}_y + 2y \text{e}^- + 2y \text{Na}^+ \leftrightarrow x[\text{M}]^{2+} + y \text{Na}_w\text{Q}_v \quad (1)$$

(M = metal, Q = O, S, Se).

A recently published paper dealing with binary transition metal (TM) compounds for conversion reactions showed that the difference in cell potentials between conversion reactions of binary sulfides with Na and Li amounts to +0.39 V assuming the formation of A$_2$S as reaction products (A = Li, Na). Obviously, such a conversion of a simple compound offers attractive capacities for energy storage. 39 However, conversion reactions also have disadvantages like e.g. high volume expansion, which can reduce the cycle stability drastically. The most challenging intrinsic limitation of conversion reactions is the use or the formation of poorly conducting compounds such as Li$_2$S or Na$_2$S. Although the formation of insulating compounds is
highly undesired, the electrodes usually still remain fairly active, as the first Li or Na insertion leads to the formation of a complex, nanoscopic structure. Nevertheless, as a result of the phase separation, high overpotentials and poor reversibility are typical for most conversion reactions. A large amount of conducting additive, typically around 15–30 wt%, is therefore commonly added in order to achieve a reasonable performance. Conversion reactions requiring small amounts of conductive additive are therefore highly desirable. Assuming the electrode reaction proceeds ideally as formulated in eqn (1), defined voltage plateaus would be expected during cycling. However, sloping potentials are observed during cycling once the nanoscopic structure has formed during the first insertion process. The more phases that form during the first insertion, the more finely divided the nanostructure that might evolve, so using ternary instead of binary compounds as the starting material might enable a better reversibility while showing similar voltage profiles. Moreover, sodium based conversion reaction with sulfides might lead to several intermediate phases such as Na2S, Na2S2, Na2S4 or Na2S5.

On the basis of the considerations presented in ref. 39 and above, we investigated FeV2S4 (see the ESI† for Experimental details), which contains several potential electro-chemical redox-active elements. The compound FeV2S4 has been intensively studied concerning its magnetic and structural properties but not as an anode material in sodium or lithium ion batteries so far. FeV2S4 crystallizes in the monoclinic space group I2/m in the V3S4 structure, which is a distorted NiAs-type structure with the Fe cations preferably occupying the metal deficient layers (inset Fig. 1) and shows metallic conductivity with a resistivity of 1.2 × 10⁻³ Ω cm.40–49

The Rietveld refinement of the X-ray powder data reveals a phase pure material (Fig. 1). The lattice parameters were determined as \( a = 5.853(3) \) Å, \( b = 3.291(9) \) Å, \( c = 11.257(9) \) Å and \( \beta = 91.92(1)° \), similar to the data reported.45,48 The elemental analysis performed with EDX yields a composition close to a stoichiometric material (EDX: FeV2S4.1). In SEM images needle and plate like crystals with a broad size distribution are seen (Fig. S1, ESI†). The phase diagram of Na and S shows numerous sulfides as mentioned above, so several reactions can be assumed during Na uptake by the host material.27,50

\[
\begin{align*}
8\text{Na} + \text{FeV}_2\text{S}_4 &\rightarrow \text{Fe} + 2\text{V} + 4\text{Na}_2\text{S} & (2) \\
4\text{Na} + \text{FeV}_2\text{S}_4 &\rightarrow \text{Fe} + 2\text{V} + 2\text{Na}_2\text{S}_2 & (3) \\
4\text{Na} + 2\text{FeV}_2\text{S}_4 &\rightarrow 2\text{Fe} + 4\text{V} + 2\text{Na}_2\text{S}_4 & (4) \\
8\text{Na} + 5\text{FeV}_2\text{S}_4 &\rightarrow 5\text{Fe} + 10\text{V} + 4\text{Na}_2\text{S}_5 & (5)
\end{align*}
\]

In contrast, the Li–S phase diagram shows only Li2S, equal to eqn (2), under ambient conditions. The theoretical capacity according to eqn (2)–(5) is 750, 375, 188 and 150 mA h g⁻¹, respectively. Fig. 2 displays the voltage profiles of sodium and lithium insertion/deinsertion into FeV2S4 at a constant current rate of 75 mA g⁻¹ between 0 and 3 V. The Na–FeV2S4 cell shows a sudden potential drop at the beginning of discharge followed by a long plateau at 0.3 V and finally a steady drop to 0 V. The initial discharge capacity of 723 mA h g⁻¹ is close to the theoretical value calculated on the basis of the reaction presented in eqn (2), i.e. it seems that 7.7 Na can be inserted into the host material. However, it is clear that the well-known solid electrolyte interphase (SEI) formation also partially contributes to the capacity. An exact verification of the proposed reaction.

---

**Fig. 1** Rietveld refinement of XRD pattern of FeV2S4 and unit cell (inset). Final observed (dots), calculated (red) and difference (blue) profiles. Reflection positions are marked as vertical bars.

**Fig. 2** The first charge/discharge curve of FeV2S4 vs. Na (top) and Li (bottom).
equations based on the achieved capacity is therefore not possible.

The first charge curve shows a constant increase of the potential up to 1.3 V followed by a plateau region between 1.3 and 1.6 V. Charging ends with a sudden potential increase. At about 3 V a charge capacity of 622 mA h g\(^{-1}\) is reached corresponding to the extraction of 6.6 Na per formula unit. We note that the fraction of active material is 80 wt% and hence comparably high for conversion electrodes. Only 10 wt% of conductive additive has been added during electrode preparation. With an active mass loading of around 3 mg cm\(^{-2}\), the observed values correspond to an area capacity of around 2.7 mA h cm\(^{-2}\) which is comparable to conventional Li-ion technology. On the other hand, we observed that a long cycle life so far is limited by side reactions with the electrolyte. Future studies therefore also need to be directed towards identification of suitable electrolytes.

The Li–FeV\(_2\)S\(_4\) cell exhibits a very similar profile, with a long plateau at 0.66 V during discharge followed by a drop to 0 V at the end of the reaction. For the charge curve a steady increase of the voltage is observed with a plateau located in the region of 1.7–1.9 V. The discharge and charge capacities are 890 and 830 mA h g\(^{-1}\) respectively, which are higher than the theoretically expected capacity of 750 mA h g\(^{-1}\) assuming a full conversion of Fe\(^{2+}\) and V\(^{3+}\) to the metals and the formation of Li\(_2\)S (eqn (2)). The phenomenon of additional capacity in Li conversion reactions is well documented in the literature and additional capacitive charge storage at interfaces\(^{51,52}\) and/or electrolyte decomposition\(^{53-57}\) are often invoked to explain this observation.

In Fig. 3 the evolution of the discharge/charge curves during cycling are displayed. The second discharge traces differ from the first curve for both systems. The long plateau region at 0.3 V (Na) respectively 0.66 V (Li) disappeared and a slope occurred for both Na– and Li–FeV\(_2\)S\(_4\) cells.

In the Na–FeV\(_2\)S\(_4\) cell the capacity of the second discharge decreased to 596 mA h g\(^{-1}\) which is 82% of the initial capacity and then stabilized at 529 mA h g\(^{-1}\) after the 10th cycle (73% of the initial capacity, cycling of 5.6 Na) reaching a Coulomb efficiency of 99%. This behavior differs from that of the Li–FeV\(_2\)S\(_4\) cell. For this cell the capacity loss in the second cycle is less pronounced giving a capacity of 853 mA h g\(^{-1}\) (96% of initial capacity) but showing a continuous capacity fade after every cycle with a remaining capacity of only 400 mA h g\(^{-1}\) after the 15th cycle (45% of the initial capacity).

Although reversible charge storage is found, the voltage profiles and complementary cyclic voltammetry measurements (Fig. S2, ESI†) are not suited to formulate the detailed reaction mechanisms, which is a common challenge for conversion reactions. More insight into the reaction mechanism might be obtained by in situ high resolution X-ray diffraction and X-ray absorption spectroscopy measurements (XAS). Ex situ XRD measurements reveal a fast conversion of the anode material into an amorphous state upon first discharge. No recrystallization was observed during charging to 3 V (Fig. S3, ESI†).

The sodium storage behavior of FeV\(_2\)S\(_4\) at different currents is shown in Fig. 4. Capacities of around 500 to 550 mA h g\(^{-1}\) were achieved at currents of 75 mA g\(^{-1}\) and 150 mA g\(^{-1}\). Around 350 mA h g\(^{-1}\) were found at a current of 375 mA g\(^{-1}\).

Overall, this study demonstrates that electrochemically driven reactions of FeV\(_2\)S\(_4\) with Na and Li are at least partially reversible and deliver high capacities, making the material an interesting candidate for primary and/or secondary Li or Na batteries. After an initial activation cycle, the Na–FeV\(_2\)S\(_4\) system shows a small capacity fade of 12% within the first 10 cycles when discharged with a constant current of 75 mA g\(^{-1}\) (this value corresponds to a C-rate of C/10 assuming full conversion after eqn (2)). The presence of vanadium seems to be beneficial regarding capacity fading and cyclability compared to the Na–FeS\(_2\) system, showing a severe capacity fade within the first
10 cycles of about 55% when fully converted to metallic Fe and sodium sulfide. In a recent work, improved cycle stability was achieved by restricting the cut-off voltage to 0.8 V to avoid a full conversion of FeS₂ leading to a constant capacity of 240 mA h g⁻¹.

The extraordinary performance of the Na₂-FeV₂S₄ cell becomes obvious when comparing the present results with those reported for other sulfides. For a MoS₂-carbon composite a capacity of ≈ 400 mA h g⁻¹ was reported. About 250 mA h g⁻¹ were obtained for a MoS₂-graphene material. Even much lower capacities (<200 mA h g⁻¹) were reported for the Na–TiS₂ system and for Na–NbS₂.

A comparable capacity to that obtained for Na–FeV₂S₄ was only achieved for sulfide-carbon composites with high amounts of added carbon black and low loading rates of about 1 mg cm⁻² while we studied a bare material with 80 wt% active material and reasonable loading rates of 3 mg cm⁻² on the electrode films.

In conclusion, the use of ternary sulfides such as FeV₂S₄ might enable the development of electrode materials for new electrochemical storage devices, with particular focus on sodium as the abundant element. In addition, the complete understanding of the reaction mechanism requires suitable in operando investigations, which will be performed in the future.

Notes and references