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Therefore the knowledge regarding the siting of cations and the local structure of cationic sites in silicon-rich zeolites is very limited. However, this knowledge is essential to evaluate the catalytic and sorption properties of cation exchanged zeolites. Scarcely crystallographic studies deal with monovalent cations, but the studies are rather limited to heavy ions (Cs⁺ (ref. 7–9) and Tl⁺ (ref. 10)) not very attractive for catalysis. Li is a very light element which is hardly detected by X-ray diffraction in silicon-rich zeolites employing powder diffraction, and therefore, neutron diffraction was used to detect the siting of Li⁺ ions in silicon-rich matrices. The siting of divalent metal cations was determined by X-ray crystallography for ferrierites with Si/Al 8.5 and mordenites, while for zeolites with a lower Al content in the framework a methodology based on the V2 spectra of Co³⁺ ions was developed. Moreover, X-ray experiments provide only the positions of cations in the framework but not the local structure of the cationic sites as the coordinates of the cations are combined with the averaged coordinates of the framework reflecting mainly empty cationic sites and also the corresponding siliceous structures (i.e., without the framework Al/Si substitutions). Conversely, Li MAS NMR spectroscopy represents a powerful tool to identify Li⁺ siting. The incorporation of Li⁺ ions into various matrices has attracted particular attention due to its crucial importance in various fields such as, for example, energy storage and CO₂ capture. In this communication, we demonstrate a new approach to the determination of the siting of Li⁺ and the local structure of Li⁺ sites in the crystalline aluminosilicate matrices based on the Li⁺ siting. The Li⁺ siting and its variability in silicon-rich ferrierites (Si/Al ≥ 20) is determined and subsequently independently verified using the previous results regarding the Al siting in the same ferrierite samples. The significant differences between the Li MAS NMR spectra (Fig. S1, ESI†) clearly reflect the variations in the Li⁺ siting caused by...
the different siting of Al atoms in the framework T sites. However, a detailed analysis of these spectra is limited due to the narrow range of the $^7$Li chemical shifts and low resolution of $^7$Li MAS NMR spectra. Zeolites are typical materials for which the broadening of NMR resonances (e.g. for $^{29}$Si and $^{27}$Al) can originate from the variability of middle- and long-range orderings of the zeolite framework due to isomorphous substitutions of Al into the silicate framework. The observed NMR signal therefore represents a Gaussian envelope of a number of close NMR resonances.

The observed $^7$Li broadening is due to neither the anisotropy of the chemical shift nor weak quadrupolar interaction. In this case, the application of $^6$Li MAS NMR spectroscopy (not shown in the figures) does not result in the increase of the spectral resolution. Thus, also the application of an ultra-high field does not represent a viable route to increase the spectral resolution. Multiple quantum (MQ) MAS NMR experiments, which provide a significant increase of the spectral resolution for quadrupolar nuclei, cannot be applied on $^7$Li either due to the small quadrupolar interaction of $^7$Li nucleus. Our experiments show that also applications of various one-dimensional MAS NMR pulse sequences (solid echo, $^1$H decoupled single-pulse, and triple-quantum filtered MAS NMR experiments) do not result in the increase of the resolution of the $^7$Li MAS NMR spectra (not shown in the figures). In contrast, we show that analysis of non-diagonal cross-peaks in the 2D $^7$Li–$^7$Li correlation spectra provides an increase of the spectral resolution and thus the accuracy of the estimation of the number of $^7$Li NMR resonances (Fig. 1).

As the long-range $^7$Li–$^7$Li correlations are evolved during the mixing period (50 ms), the cross-sections through the shoulders apparent in the skyline projections allow refinement of $^7$Li isotropic chemical shifts of the dipolarly coupled partners. Our preliminary calibration tests indicated that the upper limit of $^7$Li distances that can be effectively probed by the applied correlation experiment is ca. 4.0–4.3 Å.

The $^7$Li NMR resonances identified for FER/20–FER/30 using the $^7$Li–$^7$Li correlation experiments are shown in Table 1 and Fig. 2. The latter also depicts the relative concentrations of the Li$^+$ ions corresponding to the individual $^7$Li NMR resonances obtained by the simulation of $^7$Li MAS NMR spectra employing the $^7$Li chemical shifts from the $^7$Li–$^7$Li correlation experiments.

Periodic DFT calculations including molecular dynamics conformational sampling of all possible Li$^+$ sites for Al(T1a), Al(T1b), Al(T2), Al(T3), and Al(T4) yield two low energy (i.e., their relative energy $\leq$ 2.0 kcal mol$^{-1}$ with respect to the most stable Li$^+$ site for Al in a particular T site) Li$^+$ sites for T1 and T2 while only one for T3 and T4. The Li$^+$ sites for Al(T1a) and Al(T1b) are the same. Subsequently the $^7$Li NMR shieldings are calculated using seven shell clusters cut out from the optimized structures and converted into $^7$Li chemical shifts (Fig. 2). This combination of employing periodic DFT for the structure determination and cluster DFT for the evaluation of the NMR shielding, which is a local property, has been successfully used in our prior study. The calculated relative energies of the other Li$^+$ sites are significantly higher and therefore they are not populated. The optimized structures of the low energy Li$^+$ sites together with the relative energies and the corresponding $^7$Li chemical shifts are shown in Fig. 3.
Two Li⁺ sites are occupied concurrently for Al(T1) and Al(T2) controlled by the location of Al atoms in the framework T sites. The Li⁺ siting in many crystalline oxides are assigned to tetrahedral and octahedral sites based on their 6Li chemical shifts. The 6Li chemical shift correlation data, 35 Li⁺ ions in the dehydrated FER/20–FER/30 samples, 7Li chemical shifts calculated for Li⁺ ions balancing Al atoms in the T1–T4 sites, and their assignments to the experimental data. FER/20 1, FER/27 1, and FER/30 1: Li⁺ balancing Al in the T1 1, T2 1, T3 1, and T4 1 sites.

Fig. 2 compares the experimental 7Li chemical shifts with the calculated ones. The patterns of the experimental and predicted 7Li chemical shifts in Fig. 2 show significant similarities. The R5 resonance observed for the FER/20 and FER/27 samples (Table 1) can be safely assigned to the T2A site. Since there are two low energy Li⁺ sites for Al(T2), the R2 resonance measured for the same samples corresponds to the T2B site. Then, it follows that the R4 resonance observed also for FER/20 and FER/27 belongs to either one of the T3A and T4A sites or to both of them. The calculated 7Li chemical shifts of T3A and T4A are too close to each other to be distinguishable by 7Li MAS NMR spectroscopy. The R1 resonance observed for FER/27 and FER/30 can be safely assigned to the T1A site. There are three more 7Li NMR resonances measured for FER/30 (Table 1). R4 corresponds to either one of the T3A and T4A sites or to both of them while R3 belongs to the T1B site which is the other low energy Li⁺ site for Al(T1). Fig. 2 shows the assignment of the observed 7Li NMR resonances to the Li⁺ sites. The shift deviations are ca. ±0.2 ppm. The remaining very low intensity R6 resonance at ~1.50 ppm, which is observed only for FER/30, cannot be assigned to any of the calculated low energy Li⁺ sites and its origin is unknown. The ranges of the observed (without the unassigned R6) and calculated 7Li chemical shifts (1.24 and 1.18 ppm, respectively) are in very good agreement.

There are no experimental data based on diffraction methods regarding the siting of Li⁺ in ferrierites. However, the knowledge of the Al siting in the three ferrierite samples used 22 permits a verification of the siting of Li⁺ ions obtained in this study. The Al atoms occupy the T sites in the samples (for details see Fig. 6 of ref. 22) as follows: T2, T3, and T4 in FER/20; T1, T2, T3, and T4 in FER/27; and T1, T3, and T4 in FER/30. Fig. 4 compares the relative concentration of Al atoms (in %) corresponding to the T sites obtained from (i) the corresponding Li⁺ siting analyzed using 7Li MAS NMR and (ii) 27Al MAS NMR experiments. 22

The agreement between the results obtained by 7Li and 27Al MAS NMR is very good and confirms the assignment of the experimental 7Li NMR resonances to the Li⁺ sites related to Al in the individual T sites. It substantiates that the siting of Li⁺ is controlled by the siting of Al atoms in the zeolite framework. Moreover, the agreement supports the reliability of (i) the periodic DFT calculations of the local structure of Li⁺ sites using extensive conformational sampling and (ii) DFT cluster computations of the 7Li NMR shielding. Moreover, only the 27Al 3Q MAS NMR experiments allow the distinction between the T3 and T4 sites and reveal that the 7Li R4 resonance observed for all the three samples belongs to Li⁺ in both the T3A and T4A sites. Therefore, the combination of 7Li MAS NMR and 27Al 3Q MAS NMR experiments in tandem with DFT calculations is suggested to represent the optimal approach to the analysis of the Al and Li⁺ sitings in the framework T and extra-framework sites, respectively, in silicon-rich zeolites.

Li⁺ ions in many crystalline oxides are assigned to tetrahedral and octahedral sites based on their 4Li chemical shifts. 34 According to 6Li chemical shift correlation data, 35 Li⁺ ions in the former and latter positions exhibit positive and negative 4Li...
chemical shifts, respectively. However, our study on a silicon-rich zeolite reveals that the coordination of Li⁺ ions (also showing positive and negative ⁷Li chemical shifts) exhibits low symmetry and it is different from tetrahedral or octahedral. The local structure of Li⁺ sites is controlled by the framework structure and by the location of Al atoms in the framework T sites.

In summary, we present a newly developed method to determine the siting of Li⁺ and the local structure of Li⁺ sites in crystalline aluminoasilicate matrices based on a combination of ⁷Li–⁷Li correlation MAS NMR spectroscopy and periodic DFT calculations of the structure of Li⁺ sites and subsequent DFT cluster computations of the ⁷Li NMR shielding. The developed approach can be in general applied to Li⁺ ions in other zeolites and various crystalline matrices with large unit cells and a low concentration of Li⁺ and also to other NMR-active cations without a significant limitation of their concentration. It should be stressed that calculations with an extensive conformational sampling of the cation are required (due to the absence of experimental data regarding the sitting of the cation) to obtain the accurate sitting of the cation, i.e. employing only optimizations of the structure of the cationic sites in the zeolite framework is not sufficient.
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32. By 3–7 kcal mol⁻¹ for Al(T1), 5–6 kcal mol⁻¹ for Al(T2), 5–6 kcal mol⁻¹ for Al(T3), and 12–19 kcal mol⁻¹ for Al(T4).
33. The calculated NMR shielding values are as follows: 89.84 ppm (T1A), 90.50 ppm (T1B), 91.02 ppm (T2A), 90.61 ppm (T2B), 90.94 ppm (T3A), and 90.87 ppm (T4A).