Glycosidase inhibition: assessing mimicry of the transition state
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Glycosidases, the enzymes responsible for hydrolysis of the glycosidic bond in di-, oligo- and polysaccharides, and glycoconjugates, are ubiquitous in Nature and fundamental to existence. The extreme stability of the glycosidic bond has meant that these enzymes have evolved into highly proficient catalysts, with an estimated $10^{17}$ fold rate enhancement over the uncatalysed reaction. Such rate enhancements mean that enzymes bind the substrate at the transition state with extraordinary affinity; the dissociation constant for the transition state is predicted to be $10^{-22}$ M. Inhibition of glycosidase hydrolases has widespread application in the treatment of viral infections, such as influenza and HIV, lysosomal storage disorders, cancer and diabetes. If inhibitors are designed to mimic the transition state, it should be possible to harness some of the transition state affinity, resulting in highly potent and specific drugs. Here we examine a number of glycosidase inhibitors which have been developed over the past half century, either by Nature or synthetically by man. A number of criteria have been proposed to ascertain which of these inhibitors are true transition state mimics, but these features have only be critically investigated in a very few cases.

Introduction

Glycosidases, the enzymes responsible for the breakdown of di-, oligo- and polysaccharides, and glycoconjugates, are ubiquitous through all kingdoms of life. Carbohydrate processing enzymes, including glycosidases and glycosyltransferases (the enzymes which transfer saccharides to other saccharide moieties, small molecules, lipids or proteins), constitute between 1 and 3% of the genome of most organisms. The task facing these enzymes with respect to maintaining efficient and highly specific catalysis is no mean feat; it has been calculated that there are $1.05 \times 10^{12}$ possible linear and branched forms of a hexasaccharide and that carbohydrates account for around 75% of the biomass on Earth. The extreme stability of the glycosidic bond and the catalytic rates glycosidases achieve mean they are among the most proficient of all enzymes. Although glycosidases and glycosyltransferases act on a huge range of differing substrates, individual enzymes must display specificity related to their function. Indeed, the roles of these enzymes are numerous and diverse ranging from glycosylation of proteins in the Golgi apparatus to plant cell wall
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biosynthesis, from breakdown of ingested material in the gut to defence mechanisms against microbial infection.

Great efforts have been made in recent years to design and synthesize inhibitors of glycosidases. Given their multitude of roles in vivo, inhibition of these enzymes in a number of different processes is extremely attractive with potential in the treatment of lysosomal storage diseases, diabetes and viral infections including influenza and HIV. Indeed there are a number of drugs currently on the market which are used in the treatment of some of these diseases. Acarbose and Miglitol target intestinal tract α-glucosidases and α-amylases in the treatment of type II diabetes. These enzymes are responsible for the digestion of dietary carbohydrates to monosaccharides that can be absorbed through the intestinal wall into the blood stream; their inhibition helps to control uptake of monosaccharides into the blood and suppress an excessive rise in blood glucose. Relenza and Tamiflu target a neuraminidase on the influenza virus surface, which during infection cleaves terminal sialic acid residues to destroy the receptors recognised by haemagglutinin, and thus plays an important role in spreading the virus and preventing aggregation of viral particles. Inhibition of the neuraminidase causes the spread of the progeny virus to new cells to be slowed or halted. As with any new drug, the key to producing clinically relevant glycosidase inhibitors is not only high potency, but also specificity over other enzymes which may be encountered. In addition to potential therapeutic applications, glycosidase inhibitors are extremely useful probes for dissecting the subtle differences in mechanism employed by different glycosidase families (see below) and also as tools for chemical biology. In such a regard selective inhibitors can be invaluable for modulating effects in cells or in vivo (for example see Ref. 20, 21).

CAZY families

Carbohydrate processing enzymes are classified by primary sequence similarity into ‘families’, which are listed in the Carbohydrate Active enZyme (CAZy) database (available at http://www.cazy.org); at present there are 115 sequence-distinct families of glycosidases. A feature of most CAZy families is sequence similarity into ‘families’, which are listed in the Carbohydrate Active enZyme (CAZy) database (available at http://www.cazy.org); at present there are 115 sequence-distinct families of glycosidases. A feature of most CAZy families is largely unchanged (for reviews see Ref. 31–33). Traditionally (although there are exceptions) classical glycosidases possess two carboxylate-containing residues which are responsible for hydrolysis. Inversion of stereochemistry is a single step mechanism (Fig. 1a), which allows both substrate and a water molecule to be bound simultaneously. One of the catalytic residues acts as a general acid and the other as a general base. Protonation of the glycosidic oxygen by the general acid and departure of the leaving group is accompanied by concomitant nucleophilic attack by a water molecule that has been deprotonated by the general base. Retention of stereochemistry is a double displacement mechanism, consisting of two inverting steps (Fig. 1b); one of the catalytic residues acts as the acid/base residue and the other as a nucleophile. During the first (glycosylation) step of the reaction the acid/base protonates the glycosidic oxygen to aid leaving group departure, which is concomitant with attack of the nucleophile at the anomic carbon, and leads to formation of a covalent glycosyl-enzyme intermediate. In the second (deglycosylation) step the acid/base residue deprotonates a water molecule which provides a nucleophilic species to attack at the anomic carbon and displace the glycoside. As structural and mechanistic studies have become more sophisticated, other mechanisms have been proposed for small subsets of glycoside hydrolases, and this information aids inhibitor design for these enzymes. A number of families containing glycosidases which hydrolyse substrates containing N-acetylhexosamine with retention of configuration (which are classified into families GH18, GH20, GH56, GH84, GH85, GH25 and is likely for GH25) have been shown to lack a conventional catalytic nucleophile, but instead use a substrate-assisted catalytic mechanism (Fig. 1c). The acetamido group at the C2 position of the substrate acts as a nucleophile to attack the anomic carbon to create an enzyme-stabilised oxazoline intermediate. The intermediate is hydrolysed by a water molecule, which is activated by a residue acting as a general base. In most cases, a second carboxylate-containing residue orients and polarizes the 2-acetamido group to increase its nucleophilicity. Some sialidases and neuraminidases (such as those classified into families GH33 and GH34) catalyse hydrolysis of sialic acid-containing substrates (in an exo fashion, where only the terminal residue is cleaved), with retention of configuration, using a tyrosine residue as the catalytic nucleophile. This differs from the ‘classical’ mechanism where traditionally a residue harbouring a carboxylate group is responsible for nucleophilic attack, but a similar mechanism is employed (Fig. 1d). The tyrosine residue relays charge from a nearby glutamate residue to provide a nucleophilic oxygen atom carrying some negative charge; it is proposed a glutamate residue in this position would cause electrostatic repulsions with the carboxylate group of the sialic acid. Other sialidases and neuraminidases (such as those classified into families GH58 and GH83), which hydrolyse sialic acid-containing substrates in an endo fashion (where cleavage occurs within a polysaccharide), have been observed to lack the tyrosine residue which acts as a nucleophile in families GH33 and GH34, nor possess a carboxylate-containing residue that could also fulfil this role. Very recently it has been shown for the GH83 enzymes at least, that these endo-sialidases hydrolyse with inversion of configuration. As there is only one suitably positioned carboxylate-containing residue in the active site, it has been proposed this residue acts as the general acid, and the

Glycosidase mechanisms

Hydrolysis of the glycosidic bond proceeds with either net retention or inversion of anomeric configuration. The ‘classical’ mechanisms for glycoside hydrolysis were first proposed by Koshland in 1953 and, now over 50 years later, have stood the test of time and a vast amount of biochemical investigation and remain largely unchanged (for reviews see Ref. 31–33). Traditionally (although there are exceptions) classical glycosidases possess two carboxylate-containing residues which are responsible for hydrolysis. Inversion of stereochemistry is a single step mechanism (Fig. 1a), which allows both substrate and a water molecule to be bound simultaneously. One of the catalytic residues acts as a general acid and the other as a general base. Protonation of the glycosidic oxygen by the general acid and departure of the leaving group is accompanied by concomitant nucleophilic attack by a water molecule that has been deprotonated by the general base. Retention of stereochemistry is a double displacement mechanism, consisting of two inverting steps (Fig. 1b); one of the catalytic residues acts as the acid/base residue and the other as a nucleophile. During the first (glycosylation) step of the reaction the acid/base protonates the glycosidic oxygen to aid leaving group departure, which is concomitant with attack of the nucleophile at the anomic carbon, and leads to formation of a covalent glycosyl-enzyme intermediate. In the second (deglycosylation) step the acid/base residue deprotonates a water molecule which provides a nucleophilic species to attack at the anomic carbon and displace the glycoside. As structural and mechanistic studies have become more sophisticated, other mechanisms have been proposed for small subsets of glycoside hydrolases, and this information aids inhibitor design for these enzymes. A number of families containing glycosidases which hydrolyse substrates containing N-acetylhexosamine with retention of configuration (which are classified into families GH18, GH20, GH56, GH84, GH85, GH25 and is likely for GH25) have been shown to lack a conventional catalytic nucleophile, but instead use a substrate-assisted catalytic mechanism (Fig. 1c). The acetamido group at the C2 position of the substrate acts as a nucleophile to attack the anomic carbon to create an enzyme-stabilised oxazoline intermediate. The intermediate is hydrolysed by a water molecule, which is activated by a residue acting as a general base. In most cases, a second carboxylate-containing residue orients and polarizes the 2-acetamido group to increase its nucleophilicity. Some sialidases and neuraminidases (such as those classified into families GH33 and GH34) catalyse hydrolysis of sialic acid-containing substrates (in an exo fashion, where only the terminal residue is cleaved), with retention of configuration, using a tyrosine residue as the catalytic nucleophile. This differs from the ‘classical’ mechanism where traditionally a residue harbouring a carboxylate group is responsible for nucleophilic attack, but a similar mechanism is employed (Fig. 1d). The tyrosine residue relays charge from a nearby glutamate residue to provide a nucleophilic oxygen atom carrying some negative charge; it is proposed a glutamate residue in this position would cause electrostatic repulsions with the carboxylate group of the sialic acid. Other sialidases and neuraminidases (such as those classified into families GH58 and GH83), which hydrolyse sialic acid-containing substrates in an endo fashion (where cleavage occurs within a polysaccharide), have been observed to lack the tyrosine residue which acts as a nucleophile in families GH33 and GH34, nor possess a carboxylate-containing residue that could also fulfil this role. Very recently it has been shown for the GH83 enzymes at least, that these endo-sialidases hydrolyse with inversion of configuration. As there is only one suitably positioned carboxylate-containing residue in the active site, it has been proposed this residue acts as the general acid, and the...
carboxylate moiety in the sialic acid substrate acts as the general base; although this mechanism has yet to be verified in a number of enzymes, it does suggest another, completely independent, substrate-assisted mechanism is employed by glycosidases. It has also been shown in recent years that glycosidases in families GH4 and GH109, which both hydrolyse with retention of configuration, use an unusual NAD$^+$-dependent mechanism and that the GH4 enzymes also require a divalent metal ion. NAD$^+$ is believed to abstract a hydride from the C3 carbon atom of the glycoside to form a ketone, which acidifies the proton at the C2 position and allows deprotonation by a residue acting as a base. This is accompanied by elimination of the glycosidic oxygen by a residue acting as an acid, leading to a 1,2-unsaturated intermediate that is stabilised by the metal ion (in GH4 enzymes). A water molecule attacks at the anomeric position, following base activation, to generate a 3-keto-glycoside, which is subsequently reduced by NADH to regenerate NAD$^+$.

**What makes a good mechanism-based glycosidase inhibitor?**

A great deal of attention over the past decade has been paid to increasing the potency of glycosidase inhibitors with a view to designing drugs which could be used in a plethora of medical applications. In this review, we will not be discussing any inhibitors which bind covalently to the enzyme, but this has been extensively reviewed recently by Rempel and Withers. In
many cases inhibitors are proposed to mimic the transition state formed fleetingly during glycoside hydrolysis, but this has been demonstrated conclusively in very few cases. Wolfenden has shown glycoside-degrading enzymes are able to enhance the rate of catalysis 10\textsuperscript{37} fold over the uncatalysed reaction, making them a remarkably proficient class of enzyme.\textsuperscript{9} This would translate to an estimated dissociation constant for the transition state to not be greater than 10\textsuperscript{-22} M, indicating that the transition state binds to an enzyme with extraordinary affinity.\textsuperscript{3,6} Pauling first postulated in the 1940s that the highest affinity inhibitors for an enzyme were likely to be those that mimicked the structure of a 'strained activated complex' \textsuperscript{50,51} (i.e. transition state). In the case of glycoside hydrolysis, the short-lived transition state possesses substantial oxocarbenium character (Fig. 2a and b); it consists of partially formed or broken bonds between the attacking nucleophile (which may be a deprotonated water molecule, carboxylate group, acetamido group or a tyrosine residue) and the glycosidic oxygen of the leaving group (or the attacking water molecule in the deglycosylation step of a retaining mechanism). Under these conditions the anomeric carbon possesses trigonal character, which causes sp\textsuperscript{2} hybridisation predominantly along the bond between the anomeric carbon and endocyclic oxygen.\textsuperscript{14} The double bond character imposed on the pyranose ring means there is distortion from a relaxed chair conformation typical of the substrate, which causes C1, C2, O5 and C5 to lie in a plane and to take a more energetically unfavourable half chair or boat conformation at, or close to, the transition state (Fig. 2c).\textsuperscript{34,52} There is also a considerable build-up of positive charge on the pyranose ring at the transition state, which is delocalised along the bond between the anomeric carbon and endocyclic oxygen.
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**Fig. 2** Structure of the oxocarbenium ion-like transition state, formed during glycoside hydrolysis of (a) the glycosylation step of the 'classical' retaining mechanism and (b) the glycosylation step of substrate-assisted catalysis; R is the leaving group. (c) Possible transition state conformations employed during glycoside hydrolysis (half chair (\textsuperscript{3}H\textsubscript{4} or \textsuperscript{4}H\textsubscript{3}) or boat (\textsuperscript{2}B or \textsuperscript{3}B\textsubscript{2,5}) conformations).

There are numerous examples of glycosidase inhibitors that have been designed, synthesised or tested as transition state mimics. This is largely based on the incorporation of one or more features, such as charge or geometry, which mirrors that proposed for the transition state in question. Some would argue that the classification of these inhibitors as “transition state analogues” is not wrong, as, at an intuitive level the features incorporated in an inhibitor may mimic the transition state. However, to further improve potency of transition state analogues, with the aim of harnessing more of the transition state binding potential, it should be ascertained which are actually true analogues in an enzyme system as opposed to those which bind fortuitously. This is obviously difficult to define, but a number of criteria have been proposed and some are discussed below.

One approach for studying transition state mimicry involves investigating linear (Gibbs) free energy relationships (LFERs). For a true transition state mimic, alterations in chemical structure (of the substrate and inhibitor) or the surrounding environment (of the enzyme) should have an equal effect on enzyme rate enhancement and the affinity of the inhibitor relative to the substrate. Thus, for a true transition state analogue, the inhibition constant ($K_I$) is proportional by a ‘proportionality constant’ $\alpha$ to the dissociation constant for the transition state. Wolfenden and Thompson (independently) first alluded to this relationship for classifying peptide aldehyde inhibitors as transition state analogues of papain and elastase, respectively.$^{53,54}$ If alterations are made to the enzyme (by site-directed mutagenesis to active site residues that are not vital to catalysis) or equivalent structural changes are made to a series of substrates and inhibitors so that the uncatalysed reaction rate remains unchanged, then a plot of $\log K_M/K_{cat}$ against $\log K_I$ should yield a straight line with a slope equal to 1 if the inhibitor mimics the transition state.\textsuperscript{55} The correlation does not indicate the degree to which an inhibitor mimics the transition state, but a slope of 1 signifies that an inhibitor (at least the part that has been altered or tested by a mutation in the enzyme) is a transition state analogue (this is well described in Ref. 55). The relationship also assumes there is no change in the rate determining step of the enzyme-catalysed reaction.\textsuperscript{56}

Wolfenden and co-workers have demonstrated that enzymes lower the enthalpy of activation for a reaction substantially, and the degree to which the enthalpy of activation is lowered correlates with the effectiveness of the enzyme as a catalyst. The reliance of enzymes on a substantial enthalpy of activation for the most proficient catalysis means that rate enhancements increase considerably with decreasing temperature.$^{56,57}$ It follows that the increased affinity for the transition state over the ground state substrate by an enzyme is therefore largely enthalpic in origin. Wolfenden has therefore proposed that it is reasonable to assume that inhibitors which truly mimic the transition state would also derive their binding from large enthalpies of activation. Also, as rate enhancements increase considerably as the temperature is decreased for these proficient enzymes, the enzyme affinity for inhibitors that mimic the transition state should also increase more sharply with a decrease in temperature than those that are ground state substrate analogues.$^{56,57}$

Some elegant examples of how the predicted structure of the transition state can influence inhibitor design are illustrated by the work of Schramm and colleagues using a combination of kinetic isotope effects, computer modelling, X-ray crystallography and NMR spectroscopy.\textsuperscript{58} The work stresses the need for mimicry of the transition state shape and volume, examination of the molecular electrostatic potential surface similarity between the transition
state and putative analogues, and consideration of the energy that every functional group in the transition state contributes to binding with the enzyme. These methods have been employed in the design of extremely potent inhibitors for human purine nucleoside phosphorylase, the inhibition of which has great therapeutic potential; indeed, these compounds are currently in clinical trials for the treatment of T-cell cancers and autoimmune disorders. Similar techniques were used in the inhibitor design of a 5'-methylthioadenosine/S-adenosylhomocysteine nucleoside, which yielded inhibitors in the low femtomolar range. It is interesting to note that despite significant homology between enzymes from different species, the transition state “poise” varied subtly which aided the design for both potency and specificity, and has ramifications for inhibitor design that may be isozyme, tissue or species specific.

**Glycosidase inhibitors**

The number of putative glycosidase inhibitors is continually growing, and it is outside the scope of this review to mention them all in detail. Instead, we will describe the general features of representative glycosidase inhibitors from different classes (concentrating on those that possess six-membered rings and largely omitting the body of work that has been done on the pyrrolidines and pyrrolizidines), which will be used to put the later discussion about the properties of transition state mimics in context; more comprehensive and detailed reviews from the last decade about the inhibitors themselves can be found in Ref. 64–70. By far the most popular way of designing glycosidase inhibitors is to incorporate a nitrogen atom in the saccharide ring and in many cases Nature beat man to the synthesis. Nojirimycin 1 (Fig. 3) was the first natural saccharide mimic to be isolated from a Streptomyces strain in 1966, and was originally proposed to contain antibiotic properties. Although capable of inhibiting both α- and β-glycosidases, the hydroxyl group at C1 of the imino sugar is unstable. The synthesis of deoxynojirimycin 2, which lacks this hydroxyl group, was accomplished the following year by Paulsen and colleagues and also shown to occur naturally. Deoxynojirimycin served as a template for the subsequent synthesis of different glycoside derivatives (some of which also occur in Nature), including deoxynamnogojirimycin and deoxygalactonojirimycin, and all tend to be more potent inhibitors of α-glycosidases than β-glycosidases. 1,2-Dideoxyojirimycin, or fagomine, was also isolated, but was a less potent inhibitor. The drug Miglitol 3, used in the treatment of type II diabetes (described earlier), builds on the deoxynojirimycin scaffold with a hydroxyethyl group at the nitrogen atom and Zavesca/Miglustat 4, used to combat the symptoms of Gaucher disease (by inhibition of the glucosyltransferase biosynthesizing the glucosylceramide substrate which accumulates during the disease), possesses an N-butyl group.

Many ideas for the synthesis of indolizidines, where a five and a six-membered ring are fused together, also came following the discovery of natural products. Castanospermine 5, first isolated from the legume Castanospermum australe and later chemically synthesised, can inhibit both α-glucosidases and β-glucosidases, and may play a role in preventing spread of dengue virus infection by inhibiting an endoplasmic reticulum α-glucosidase. Similarly swainsone 6, isolated from Swainsona canescens and later synthesised, and kifunensine 7, which was isolated from Kitasatia pumila kifunense and also chemically synthesised, are both potent α-mannosidase inhibitors; the latter has shown promise as an anticancer agent. Calystegine compounds, which possess a nortropane ring system were first discovered in the early 1990s as natural products in the roots of Calystegia sepium and subsequently a number have been chemically synthesised (reviewed in Ref. 92). They are a complex family of molecules with a naming system based on the position of the nitrogen atom and the configuration of the hydroxyl groups, and many inhibit glycosidases with different specificities; calystegine B, 8 is shown here as a representative example. Although 8 is pseudo-symmetrical with respect to its hydroxyl groups, when visualised in complex with a β-glucosidase (Fig. 4a) it was observed in an orientation similar to isofagomine 9 (see below) rather than deoxynojirimycin 2.

Pioneering work in the Bols group in the early 1990s and later also by Ichikawa and co-workers saw the synthesis of isofagomine and its derivatives. Isofagomine was the first compound to possess a nitrogen atom in place of the anemic carbon. It tends to inhibit β-glycosidases more potently than α-glycosidases, which may be a consequence of charge accumulation at different positions in the transition state for the different enzymes. Interestingly, the atomic resolution structure of the cellobio-derived form of 9 in complex with an endoglucanase showed the secondary amine functional group was protonated and both catalytic glutamate residues were deionised (Fig. 4b). Although 9 is a potent glycosidase inhibitor, it was predicted that the inclusion of a hydroxyl group at the C2 position would increase this potency as this group had been shown to contribute considerable binding energy to stabilisation of the transition state, predominantly through interactions with the nucleophile. Although synthesised as a mixture of anomers at C2, neuramycin 10 strongly inhibits both α- and β-glycosidases. Another isofagomine analogue, tetrahydrooxazine 11, which was also synthesised by Bols and co-workers possesses an endocyclic oxygen atom as found in native glycosides which enables additional hydrogen bonding potential that is unavailable in 9 and 10. Although a reasonable inhibitor of a β-glucosidase, its lower potency than 9 may be a consequence of the weak basicity of the nitrogen atom which is unlikely to be protonated. An attempt to overcome this came in the form of azaferomine 12, which incorporates two nitrogen atoms, and thus combines features of both 2 and 9; it is possible that either nitrogen atom may be protonated and as such may better reflect the charge distribution at the transition state. The inhibitors discussed so far are typically found in a relaxed chair conformation in solution, although some distortion may occur upon binding to an enzyme. The majority of them possess a protonated secondary amine group (although this has only been shown unequivocally for 9), and hence to some degree mimic the charge distribution at the transition state. A different approach is to incorporate group(s) in the inhibitor which causes distortion of the compound to mimic the geometry of the transition state; this may also introduce a specificity element as different enzymes use itineraries via transition states with varying conformations. Amidase inhibitors, such as the gluco form 13, were synthesised in the Ganem group in the early 1990s followed by amidrazone and amidoxime (also called hydroximo-1,5-lactam or 1,5-lactam...
Fig. 3  Structure of nojirimycin (1), deoxynojirimycin (2), Miglitol (3), N-butyl deoxynojirimycin (4), castanospermine (5), swainsonine (6), kifunensine (7), calystegine B (8), isofagomine (9), noeuromycin (10), tetrahydrooxazine (11), azafagomine (12), glucos- amidine (13), glucos-hydroximolactam (14), glucotetrazole (15), unsubstituted glucoimidazole (16), phenethyl-substituted glucoimidazole (17), isofagomine lactam (18), valienamine (19), valdoxylamine A (20), acarbose (21), gluconolactone (22), PUGNAc (23), NAG-thiazoline (24), glucose-nagstatin (25), GlcNAcstatin C (26), 2-deoxy-2,3-didehydro-N-acetylneuraminic acid (27), Relenza (28), and Tamiflu (29).
oxime) derivatives, in gluco 14, manno and galacto forms, which were shown to inhibit both α- and β-glycosidases. A number of functional groups have been added to the exocyclic nitrogen in order to mimic the aglycon, both with the amidine and amidoxime/hydroximolactam inhibitors. In addition the latter has also been synthesised in an oligosaccharide form. The conjugation of the carbon–nitrogen double bond of the hydroximolactam group (which has been shown to be exocyclic) and the endocyclic nitrogen atom causes distortion of the ring to a half chair conformation which may mimic the geometry at the transition state. Consistent with protonation by the acid/base residue using a lateral protonation mechanism, these inhibitors were synthesised on the premise of providing a heteroatom in the ring plane which should allow a strong hydrogen bond interaction with the acid/base residue.

Vasella and colleagues pioneered the synthesis of glucotetrazole in the early 1990s, to create a new class of inhibitors related to 2. Subsequently other derivatives were developed, which all proved to be good β-glycosidase inhibitors. The addition of the tetrazole moiety fused onto the glycoside ring causes distortion of the ring into a half chair conformation. Along similar lines, imidazole (or tetrahydroimidazopyridine) derived compounds, the idea of which came from the naturally occurring inhibitor nagstatin (see below), was again first developed in the Vasella group; the unsubstituted gluco form is shown in Fig. 3. These compounds have been synthesised to mimic different glycosides, with a number of different functional groups attached to mimic the aglycon, and in oligosaccharide forms. It is interesting to note that if a triazole moiety is fused to the pseudo-glycoside ring (i.e. the nitrogen atom adjacent to the anomeric carbon is replaced with a carbon atom), then extremely weak inhibitors result. This supports the notion that the heteroatom attached to the anomeric carbon makes an important interaction with the acid/base residue; the lone pair of electrons for this interaction is in the plane of the ring suggesting that protonation of the glycosidic oxygen also occurs in this manner. The imidazole compounds are more basic than the tetrazole and triazole compounds, however, which has been shown to correlate with greater inhibition. Compound 16 is a highly potent inhibitor of β-glycosidases, but a weaker inhibitor of α-glucosidases. Atomic resolution analysis with the cellobio-derived form of 16 indicated a strong hydrogen bond interaction between the nitrogen atom adjacent to the anomeric carbon and the acid/base residue; the protonation trajectory was in the plane of the ring suggesting that protonation of the glycosidic oxygen also occurs in this manner.
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of the imidazole ring, and the proton was observed to lie closer to the nitrogen atom of the imidazole. Glucoimidazole compounds with the addition of functional groups were synthesised on the premise of increasing potency mimicking the aglycon and thus taking advantage of interactions in the +1 subsite for (subsite nomenclature see Ref. 131). These groups did indeed improve potency in many cases, with \( K \), values as low as 0.11 nM for the phenethyl-substituted glucoimidazole 17.132 The general mode by which these imidazole derivatives gain potency, however, remains contentious; although the observations of phenyl- and phenylaminomethyl-substituted glucoimidazole in complex with an enzyme, 133 a similar study with two family 1 \( \beta \)-glucosidases in complex with 17 showed an absence of any such interaction.134

Distortion of the ring to generate compounds which may resemble the geometry of the transition state can also be done by introducing a double bond in the pseudo-glycoside ring itself. Isofagomine lactam, the gluco form 18 is shown in Fig. 3, the synthesis of which was first reported by Williams et al., was proposed to exist as the iminol tautomer where a double bond would exist in the pseudo-glycoside ring with a hydroxyl group at C2.135 Atomic resolution crystallography later disproved this theory and showed it existed as the energetically favoured amide tautomer136 (Fig. 4d). A number of different glycoside forms of isofagomine lactam have since been synthesised, and have proved to be potent inhibitors of \( \beta \)-glucosidases.137 Valienamine 19, and its analogues, have also been shown to be potent inhibitors primarily of \( \alpha \)-glycosidases.138 Valienamine was first discovered as a product of validoxylamine A hydrolysis by Pseudomonas denitrificans, which in turn was a product of validamycin A hydrolysis,140 and was later chemically synthesised.141 Validoxylamine A is a pseudo-disaccharide which proved to be a potent trehalase inhibitor;142 the first structure of a trehalase was solved recently and demonstrated the valienamine unit bound in the –1 subsite, and the pseudo-glucoside in the +1 subsite.143 The valienamine unit is also found in acarbose,144 a drug which targets \( \alpha \)-glycosidases in the treatment of type II diabetes (described earlier). The valienamine part of the compound is usually found in the –1 subsite when visualised in complex with an enzyme, such as a glucoamylase,145 suggesting it may act as a transition state mimic.

One of the most appealing ways to design a transition state analogue would be to incorporate both the features of geometry and charge present at the transition state. Glyconolactones, such as glyconolactone 22, which unlike many inhibitors possess an endocyclic oxygen atom as in a native glycoside, were first shown to inhibit \( \beta \)-glycosidases (but to a lesser extent \( \alpha \)-glycosidases) in the early 1940s.146,147 The conjugation in the glyconolactones was predicted to cause distortion to a conformation typical of the transition state;148 the gluco- and galactonolactone were later observed in a half chair conformation when in complex with an enzyme.149,150 It has also been postulated that the charge distribution of the compounds may mimic the partial positive charge at the transition state.151 Unfortunately, however, these compounds are unstable as they are prone to ring opening, which makes their study as glycosidase inhibitors limited.152 Attempts have also been made to include sulfur in the pseudo-glycoside ring of a number of different glycoside forms, as well as the sulfoxide or sulfone derivatives. In the majority of cases, however, these have proved to be weak inhibitors of both \( \alpha \) - and \( \beta \)-glycosidases.153,154 Inhibitors containing a sulfonium ion, where the positively charged sulfur atom in the pseudo-glycoside ring may mimic the charge at the transition state, have been successfully synthesized. Elucidation of the structure155 and subsequent synthesis156 of the naturally occurring salacinol demonstrated these compounds were viable, and their inhibition of \( \alpha \)-amylases158 suggested they may be used in the treatment of diabetes. Subsequently a number of analogues of salacinol have been synthesized159 and other known glycosidase inhibitors have been modified to possess a sulfonium ion.160,161

Enzymes which catalyse substrates containing N-acetyl hexosamine using a substrate-assisted mechanism are amenable to the design of selective and potent inhibitors which incorporate features designed to mimic the transition state which exists on the way to and from an oxazoline ion intermediate. Early work in the field focussed on the PUGNac hybrid which possesses an N-phenylcarbamate moiety that makes a number of interactions with active site residues.171,162 The deduction of the mechanism led to the design of NAG-thiazoline 24163 and the subsequent quest for selectivity provided a number of other derivatives with different lengths of chains19 and groups37 at the N-acyl position, many of which proved to be extremely potent inhibitors. A number of other inhibitors incorporate some of the features already described, combined with the GlcNAc functionality; for example gluco-configured nagstatin 25,164 which is based on the naturally occurring nagstatin and provided the basis for the synthesis of the imidazole derivatives. This was developed further into a PUGNac-imidazole hybrid by the Vasella group and a series of GlcNAcstatins, such as GlcNAcstatin C by van Aalten et al.; the incorporation of an imidazole moiety in these compounds causes distortion of the ring to a geometry which may resemble the transition state.

Inhibitors targeting sialidases have received a great deal of interest over the past decade or so because of the vital role the enzyme plays in the life cycle of the influenza virus and thus the spread of the disease.165 Early work in the field focussed on the naturally occurring 2-deoxy-2,3-dideoxy-N-acetylenuraminic acid 27,166 which contains a double bond in the pseudo-glycoside ring that may mimic the geometry at the transition state. Structure-based drug design methods were used to replace the hydroxyl group at the C4 of 27 with a guanidino group, which lead to the sub-nanomolar inhibitor Relenza 28.167 Further developments were attempted in order to make a drug with better oral bioavailability than Relenza, which was achieved by changing the glycerol moiety to a group with more hydrophobic character.18 This led to the drug now known as Tamiflu 29 which is presently used world-wide in the treatment of the influenza virus.

Many glycosidases hydrolyse substrates longer than those which involve a single monosaccharide being present in the –1 subsite, and indeed for a large number it is an absolute requirement. This adds complexity to the study of glycosidase inhibitors, as for many enzymes an inhibitor which is similar in size to a monosaccharide does not provide enough binding potential for the compound to be analysed as an inhibitor; additional residues are required in the other glycon and/or aglycon binding sites for this potential to be realised. If the synthesis of these single unit inhibitors was not enough of a challenge for chemists, the addition of further sugars
onto them is approaching a near impossibility. Nevertheless, in a number of cases this has been achieved. Glycosynthases have been used to generate cellobio-, cellotrio- and cellotetrao-versions of isofagomine and tetrahydroxazine; in each case the inhibitors became more potent as the length of the inhibitors increased demonstrating the requirement for the binding in the –2, –3 and –4 subsites. Others have used a synthetic approach to obtain cellobio- and cellotrio- analogues containing a hydroximolactam, tetrazole or imidazole unit. These studies demonstrated that some inhibitors benefited from having additional sugars at the non-reducing end, while others had an improved potency when on the reducing end (where the sugars would lie in the product subsites). Xylobio- derivatives of 2, 9, 14 and 16 have also been chemically synthesised. In recent years the synthesis of oligosaccharides where the glycosidic oxygen is replaced by a sulfur atom has also become extremely useful at providing inhibitors which cannot be hydrolysed by the enzyme.

Transition state mimicry or fortuitous binding

Given the volume of publications on glycosidase inhibitors, only a handful have addressed the issue of whether they are mimics of the transition state or fortuitous binders. These studies have been extremely insightful, and although they involve a large volume of experiments and analysis, should be encouraged for other enzyme systems and inhibitors in the future. We will now describe the conclusions from these studies, classified by a number of criteria proposed for true transition state mimics.

Linear (Gibbs) free energy relationships (LFERs)

As alluded to earlier, one approach for studying whether an inhibitor is a true transition state mimic is by measuring LFERs. Thus, for a true transition state mimic, changes in chemical structure of the substrate and inhibitor or the surrounding environment of the enzyme should have an equal effect on enzyme rate enhancement and the affinity of the inhibitor relative to the substrate. In real experimental terms, this means that corresponding changes in series of substrates and inhibitors or a series of mutational alterations in the enzyme (that are not vital for catalysis) should influence the $K_{sl}/k_{cat}$ and $K_i$ to the same extent. Note that this does not predict that the inhibitor possesses every interaction present at the transition state, for it is the relative changes in inhibitor and transition state binding that are assessed. The LFER technique has been applied successfully to a number of studies examining the transition state analogy of peptidase inhibitors.

To our knowledge the first time a full LFER study was conducted for a glycosidase was by Ermert et al. who examined the gluco- and manno-derived forms of tetrazole (for the glucoform see 15), although Field and colleagues had considered correlations between $K_i$ and $K_{sl}/k_{cat}$, albeit in a more crude form, in 1991. Ermert et al. measured the catalytic rates on two synthetic substrates using a range of enzymes ($\alpha$-mannosidase, a $\beta$-mannosidase, an $\alpha$-glucosidase, a $\beta$-glucosidase and a $\beta$-galactosidase) and the inhibition of both tetrazole compounds on these same enzymes. The methods used here are not totally in accordance with the way in which LFERs are usually conducted as the authors chose to test the compounds in a number of different enzymes. It has, however, been shown that different enzymes use various conformation itineraries and even very closely related enzymes may have different transition state poise, and so what may be a good transition state mimic for one class of enzymes may not be so for others. Although it is not entirely clear in the publication which of the inhibition data reported are included in the correlation of log $1/K_i$ against log $k_{cat}/K_{M}$, the plot nevertheless gives a linear correlation with a slope of 1.1 which suggests tetrazole-derived inhibitors are good transition state mimics. The distortion caused by the fusion of the tetrazole moiety to the pseudo-glycoside ring into a half chair (or envelope) conformation may therefore closely represent the structure at the transition state.

A LFER study of the tetrasaccharide inhibitor acarbose was performed by Mosi et al., using a number of active site mutants of the GH13 cyclodextrin glycosyltransferase. The study was conducted using two different synthetic substrates for the enzyme (which differed in length and hence number of subsites occupied), and the dependence of $K_i$ against both log $K_{sl}/k_{cat}$ and log $K_i$ was assessed. In each case the plot yielded a reasonable correlation; the log $K_i$, vs. log $K_{sl}/k_{cat}$ plot gave slopes of 2.2 and 1.6 for the ‘monosaccharide’ and ‘trisaccharide’ substrates, respectively, whereas the plot for log $K_i$ against log $K_M$ gave slopes of between 0.4 and 0.5. The correlation was better ($r^2$ of 0.96 vs. 0.81) for the ‘monosaccharide’ substrate, which was assumed to be a better test for the –1 subsite where the valienamine unit of acarbose lies; this comparison does, however, show the influence of the choice of substrate upon LFER studies in general. The authors interpreted the data as acarbose displaying ‘equal mimicry of the ground state and transition state’. This, however, is at odds with the derivation of the equation underpinning the use of LFERs, where a transition state analogue is defined only when there is a direct correlation between log $K_i$ and log $K_{sl}/k_{cat}$ with a slope of 1. An independent study by Berland et al. used kinetic methods to determine the $k_{cat}/K_M$ parameters for a series of active site mutants of a GH15 glucoamylase and isothermal titration calorimetry (ITC) methods to measure the $K_i$ values for acarbose. The plot of log $K_{sl}$ and log $K_{sl}/k_{cat}$ once again gave a reasonable correlation, with a slope of 2. The authors accounted for the fact the correlation should have a unity slope, and concluded that acarbose is not a true transition state mimic for this enzyme. The double bond in the valienamine unit of acarbose may prevent the appropriate ring distortion to mimic the transition state, but nevertheless remains a highly potent inhibitor. During the same study deoxynojirimycin (2) was also studied as a candidate for a transition state analogue, but no correlation was seen between the kinetic and inhibition parameters which suggests it is not a true mimic of the transition state. Whitworth et al. examined LFERs with two inhibitors which target enzymes that use a substrate-assisted mechanism. They used an elegant system of modifying the volume of the alkyl group of the $N$-acyl moiety at the C2 position in both the substrates used to monitor the reaction and the inhibitors tested: PUGNAc (23) and NAG-thiazoline (24). The experiments were conducted on the human O-GlcNAcase, which is classified into family GH84. The resulting plots of log $1/K_i$ against log $k_{cat}/K_M$ for the NAG-thiazoline series showed a strong correlation with a slope close to 1 suggesting it is a true transition state mimic. The opposite,
however, was true for the PUGNAc series, which show a weaker correlation suggesting this compound is less likely to mimic the transition state. The results were perhaps surprising given the $sp^2$ anomic centre in PUGNAc, which may have been predicted to resemble the transition state more than the equivalent $sp^2$ centre in NAG-thiazoline, which may have been expected to better represent the oxazoline intermediate (see Fig. 1). The authors postulated that these unexpected results may be attributable to the longer C–S bond length present in NAG-thiazoline in comparison to the C–O bond in the oxazoline intermediate. The longer bond length may mimic the partial, but significant, bond order between the nucleophilic carbonyl oxygen atom and anomeric carbon of a late transition state.

It is intriguing that the general conclusions in terms of $sp^2$- and $sp^3$-centred inhibitors drawn from a LFER study by Wicki et al. were almost opposite to those described for PUGNAc and NAG-thiazoline (although they were tested against different enzymes which may have significantly different transition states). Wicki et al. used a series of active site mutants (both ‘first sphere’ and ‘second sphere’) of a xylanase to assess the transition state analogy for the xylobio-derived versions of deoxynojirimycin (2), isoagomine (9), lactam oxime (14), imidazole (16) and isoagomine lactam (18). The log $K_{i}/K_M$ against log $1/K_i$ plot for each inhibitor showed a slope of close to 1, but the correlation coefficients for the $sp^3$-centred inhibitors (those which were more likely to resemble the charge at the transition state rather than the geometry) deoxynojirimycin and isoagomine were poor ($r$ values of 0.89 and 0.77, respectively, which equates to $r^2$ values of 0.79 and 0.59 to allow direct comparison with values described below). However, the $sp^2$-centred inhibitors (which were more likely to resemble the geometry at the transition state) all showed a correlation coefficient very close to 1, suggesting they were transition state mimics. These data are in agreement with the earlier study by Ermert et al. on the tetrazole inhibitors, and suggest that those inhibitors which can distort the pseudo-glycoside ring into a half chair or envelope conformation may generally be the best mimics of the transition state.

A detailed investigation into the LFERs of 8 mannosidase inhibitors was performed by Tailford et al. with a series of active site mutants of a family 2 mannosidase. In particular this study examined a number of manno-derived imidazoles (see 16 for gluco unsubstituted version) and amides (see 13 for gluco unsubstituted version) with a number of functional groups attached which may mimic the aglycon; all compounds were observed in a $B_{33}$ conformation in the active site of the enzyme. The substituted manno-imidazole compounds (the three tested had phenethyl (see 17 for the gluco version), phenylaminomethyl and phenoxymethyl functional groups) all showed a strong correlation in the plot of log $K_{i}/K_M$ against log $K_i$ with a slope close to 1 (Fig. 5a) suggesting they were transition state analogues. The plot for the unsubstituted manno-imidazole also had a slope close to 1, but the $r^2$ correlation was lower (at 0.78). The study by Wicki et al. showed the LFER plot for the equivalent xylobio-derived compound had a high correlation coefficient suggesting it was a good transition state analogue; this may, however, reflect the differences in the structure of the transition state for different enzyme families. This observation also suggests that, for this enzyme, the presence of the functional group in the aglycon was required to properly mimic the transition state. The two substituted amidines tested, isoquinuclidine and noeuromycin, all showed slopes of less than one and poor correlation coefficients, suggesting none of them were good mimics of the transition state.

In contrast to definitions of transition state mimicry which depend solely on the intuition or prejudice of an individual scientist, LFERs produce a quantifiable measurement that others can repeat. This does not mean, however, that LFER analyses are without flaws. Firstly, if the goal of the study is to simply obtain tight-binding enzyme inhibition, then a LFER correlation is irrelevant; it would be a mistake to be discouraged by a poor LFER performance if one is primarily only interested in $K_i$ values. The second issue, especially where site-directed mutagenesis is the perturbation of choice in the LFER study, is the selection of the mutations made. Some would argue, for example, that charged inhibitors do comparatively poorly in LFER analysis (discussed in Ref. 134) because the most energetically significant interactions of these charged compounds are with the nucleophile and acid/base residues; the two residues which cannot be mutated in the analysis without compromising the enzyme activity completely. One can imagine that, were it possible, an LFER plot which included nucleophile and acid/base variants would show massive disruption to catalysis and a similar reduction in inhibitor binding. This raises the issue of how to address the compounds that do “poorly” in LFER tests. Do they mimic something other than the transition state, are they fortuitous or adventitious binders, or has the technique itself legislated against certain classes of compounds?

**Thermodynamics of inhibitor binding**

As described earlier, Wolfenden proposed that the increased affinity for the transition state over the ground state by an enzyme is primarily derived from enthalpy, and therefore it could be argued that inhibitors which truly mimic the transition state should also bind with large enthalpic contributions. The issue of which glycosidase inhibitors were likely to be transition state mimics based on their binding thermodynamics was tackled using a panel of 18 inhibitors with a family 1 $\beta$-glucosidase. Measurements were made using ITC, which gives a direct read-out on the affinity of the inhibitor, stoichiometry of binding between inhibitor and enzyme and the enthalpic contribution to binding, from which the Gibbs free energy and entropy can be calculated (for a recent review on ITC see Ref. 185). All of the inhibitors examined in this study (deoxynojirimycin, castanospermine, calystegine $B_3$, isoagomine, noeuromycin, tetrahydroxazine, azafagomine, gluco- 14 and galacto-hydroximolactam, glucotetra- trazole, unsubstiuted glucosidamidole, glucosidamidole substituted with a phenethyl group and a range of other functional groups, and isoagomine lactam) displayed a negative and therefore favourable enthalpic contribution to binding. Some of the inhibitors, however, harnessed a significant portion of their binding energy from entropy, which questions their ability to be transition state mimics. These include the phenethyl-substituted glucosidamidole (which incidentally was the most potent of the inhibitors examined in the study), isoagomine, and calystegine $B_3$.

The data show there is no correlation between the inhibitor affinity and the enthalpic contribution to binding, and nor does the chemistry of the inhibitor appear to influence the thermodynamics, at least not on this system.
A popular way of assessing thermodynamic data for a series of related compounds is using enthalpy–entropy compensation plots. The enthalpy–entropy compensation phenomenon appears to occur in many, if not all, binding studies and is demonstrated by a linear correlation between the enthalpic and entropic contributions to binding for a series of perturbations or changes in experimental variable, such as temperature, series of homologous compounds or mutants.\textsuperscript{186} Many dispute the significance of enthalpy–entropy compensation, claiming it demonstrates the known thermodynamic laws and the limitations of the values measured.\textsuperscript{186,187} Compensation between enthalpy and entropy terms is also likely to be evident where the range of $\Delta G^\circ$ values measured is relatively small; this limited range is likely to occur both from the range of values measurable using techniques such as ITC and the values imposed by biology.\textsuperscript{186,188–190} In addition the intrinsic properties of water are also likely to be important in the compensation. Upon ligand binding a favourable enthalpic contribution suggests solvent molecules are involved in strong hydrogen bonding interactions, but this is likely to be associated with an entropic cost due to the small configurational freedom in the system, whereas conversely the loss of bound water molecules contributes favourably to entropy and the disorder of the system, but unfavourably to the enthalpy term as hydrogen bonding interactions are lost.\textsuperscript{187,191–193}

An enthalpy–entropy plot for the thermodynamic data determined for the 18 inhibitors with a $\beta$-glucosidase shows a line of best fit with a slope of 0.93 and correlation of 0.91 (Fig. 5b).\textsuperscript{184} No related inhibitors, however, such as those which may mimic the charge at the transition state or those which may mimic the geometry, are closely grouped in the compensation plot. In fact very closely related compounds which only differ by one atom type were observed at opposite ends of the trend. The ITC data for three other inhibitors tested with the $\beta$-glucosidase since the original publication, two 1-deoxy-6-oxa-N-(thiocarbamoyl)calystegines\textsuperscript{194} and a 3-imino-2-thia-(+)-castanospermine analogue\textsuperscript{195} (shown in Fig. 5b), also lie on the best fit line through the points. The castanospermine analogue was shown to differ from the other compounds in that it bound with an unfavourable enthalpic contribution which was more than compensated by a large entropy; despite this different thermodynamic signature, this point
remarkably still lies on the line. It is interesting to note that a study investigating putative transition state analogues with the human purine nucleoside phosphorylase, some of which have picomolar affinity, also show strong enthalpy–entropy compensation. In this plot, however, related compounds did tend to cluster in one region of the plot.196

The differences in the (closely) related inhibitors studied are most likely attributed to the effects of solvation and desolvation. Whilst ITC studies can quantify the thermodynamics of inhibitor binding to protein and the subsequent displacement of water molecules during this process, nothing is known about the solvation state of either the protein or inhibitor alone. Homans and co-workers have demonstrated that desolvation of the inhibitor upon binding is likely to contribute significantly;197 it may, therefore, only be when more sophisticated methods are available to measure or model these important contributions that the true thermodynamics of inhibitor binding are revealed.

**Slow onset inhibition**

Slow onset inhibition, a phenomenon characterised by an initial rapid catalytic rate by an enzyme in the presence of an inhibitor, followed by a decrease to a slower steady state rate after an amount of time (Fig. 5c), has been observed with a number of glycosidase inhibitors, including nojirimycin 1,198,199 deoxynojirimycin 2,199 acarbose 21,199 isoagomine 9 and analogues,221–223,224,225 castanospermine 5,176,177 noeuromycin 10,184 azafagomine 12,184 hydroximolactam 14,184 glucotetrazole 15,184 glucoimidazole 16,184 and derivatives such as 17,125,184 and isofagomine lactam 18,184. Various reasons have been offered as to why inhibitors may display slow onset. The initial rapid reaction rate may represent formation of the enzyme-inhibitor complex, after which isomerisation202,203 or conformational changes204,205,206 of the inhibitor or protein causes formation of a more potent inhibitory species. It has also been suggested the lag phase for inhibition may allow a time-dependent protonation of the enzyme or inhibitor.203,206,207 Slow onset inhibition may also be a consequence of a slow interaction (less than the rate of diffusion) between the inhibitor and enzyme.202,203,208

Schramm and co-workers have suggested that the presence of slow onset inhibition is a criterion for an inhibitor to be a true transition state mimic (although not exclusively).198,209 They believe that slow onset is evident among transition state mimicking inhibitors because they bind to an enzyme that is conformationally optimised to bind the substrate in the ground state. The lag phase before the true inhibitory potential of an inhibitor becomes apparent is therefore attributed to conformational changes that occur in the active site, which will be less efficient with a transition state analogue than with the ground state substrate for which it optimised.55,178,209 Although evidence of slow onset inhibition is a useful guide when studying inhibitors that are putative transition state mimics, it is highly likely that inhibitors which do not comply also display the phenomenon; this is strongly suggested merely by the number of glycosidase inhibitors which have been reported to show it, as detailed in the previous paragraph. It may also be possible that all inhibitors show slow onset inhibition to some degree, but is more apparent when measuring high affinity inhibition constants at low inhibitor concentration.55

**pH dependence of inhibition**

Another proposed criterion for analysing which inhibitors are likely to be true transition state mimics is the comparison of the profiles for pH dependence of inhibition and catalysis.210 A difference in the pH dependence for catalysis vs. inhibition, where, for example, an inhibitor inhibits most effectively when the enzyme is largely inactive, suggests it cannot be a true transition state mimic, as, by definition, a catalytically inactive enzyme cannot bind the transition state.211,212 A study which examined the pH profiles for both catalysis and inhibition using 18 putative transition state analogues indicated that in very few cases did the profile for inhibition mirror that for catalysis.184 This was only apparent for tetrahydroxazine (11), the hydroximolactam analogues (14) (Fig. 5d) and glucotetrazole (15); each of these compounds has a low pKₐ value (3.6 for 11,184 4.8 for 14 and −4.0 for 15) and so the titration is likely to reflect the catalytic residues rather than the inhibitor itself. In fact, it has been suggested the pH profile for inhibition will only mirror catalysis when the pKₐ of the inhibitor is below that of the acidic catalytic residue of the enzyme,215 but if this was the case it would seem intuitively incorrect to assume these were transition state analogues. A counter-argument can be made here though, as at the transition state both the nucleophile and acid/base residues may both be partially deionised, and thus tight-binding displayed by inhibitors at high pH where the enzyme is doubly deionised may actually better reflect the real situation.216 If this was the case then deoxynojirimycin 2, castanospermine 5, calystegine B₁, 8, isoagomine 9, noeuromycin 10, glucimidazole 16 and some of its derivatives, such as 17, would all be candidates as transition state analogues.

It should be acknowledged, however, that analysis of pH profiles for catalysis and inhibition are extremely circumspect. McIntosh et al. have described how the pKₐ values of the catalytic residues of a xylanase ‘cycle’ during catalysis, with changes of up to 2.5 pH units.217 Although it is assumed in most cases that the acidic limb of pH dependence for catalysis demonstrates titration of the nucleophile residue and the basic limb titration of the acid/base residue, and that at the pH optimum for catalysis, the acid/base will be protonated and the nucleophile deprotonated, it is impossible to assign this unequivocally in each case. Measurement of the microscopic pKₐ values of the acid/base and nucleophile demonstrate that they are heavily reliant on the ionisation state of the other.218 If the pKₐ values of the catalytic residues change by as much as 2.5 pH units during hydrolysis, the question has to be raised as to their likely values at the transition state, but this can never be determined. It does have wide implications, however, for the likely pKₐ values of the catalytic residues when bound to inhibitors that may mimic the transition state. The presence of inhibitors complicate the matter even further as they themselves may be protonated, or indeed their protonation state may change upon binding to the enzyme. Atomic resolution X-ray crystallography has indicated that upon inhibitor binding the ionisation state of the catalytic residues can easily change (although they themselves may be influenced by the crystallisation conditions); for example, the xylobio-derived forms of 212 and 18127 bound to an enzyme where the nucleophile and acid/base had reversed protonation states than required for catalysis, and the cellobio-derived form of 9 bound to an enzyme where both
residues were deionised. These catalytic residues and inhibitors may also be subject to \( pK_a \) changes upon binding, meaning the \( pK_a \) values determined for each in the free state are meaningless. Both Knowles and Broeklehurst have warned about the risks involved with analysing \( pD \) dependence data, both for catalysis and inhibition. The \( pD \) profiles for inhibition are likely to reflect composites of the free enzyme, free inhibitor and the enzyme-inhibitor complex.

**Conclusions**

This article, and a number of other reviews in the glycosidase inhibition field (including Ref. 64–70), have demonstrated that the quest for potent and selective inhibitors is extremely active at present. The involvement of glycosidases in lysosomal storage disorders, cancer, viral infections and diabetes demonstrates the need to continue strong and fruitful research in this area. It is, however, perhaps a little alarming to acknowledge the small gains in potency made in these inhibitors over the past 50 years or so. As Woffenden has demonstrated the binding potential of the transition state to be approximately \( 10^{-20} \) M, it has been proposed that if features of the transition state could be included in the design of inhibitors, then higher potency should result. In many cases this has been achieved on an intuitive level, where aspects of the transition state charge or geometry have been introduced into inhibitors. However, the exact nature of the transition state is unknown, and indeed will differ between individual enzymes, and so more quantitative methods are required to gain confidence in the transition state analogy. A number of criteria for transition state mimicry have been proposed, and have been discussed in relation to inhibitors where they have been tested. Of these, it is perhaps the LFERs that use the most quantitative means to test the likelihood of an inhibitor mimicking the transition state, although still gives a qualitative answer. It is interesting also to note that different conclusions were drawn from the same ‘classes’ of inhibitors with the different enzymes described here which may reflect the subtleties of the transition state in each case. This point has been highlighted previously by Schramm and colleagues by demonstrating that the bovine, human and *Mycobacterium* species forms of an enzyme utilised either an early (more like the substrate) or late (more like the product) transition state, and that by incorporating features into inhibitors to mimic each of these structures, different compounds inhibited each of the enzymes optimally. Indeed, it has also been suggested that the structure of the transition state may change with the evolution of an enzyme. It is, of course, not possible for any compound to mimic the transition state in its entirety as it would have to include partially formed and broken bonds, a partial positive charge and a trigonal centre which is chemically challenging; harnessing the full binding potential of the transition state is therefore never going to be realised. It can, of course, be argued that highly effective inhibitors do not have to mimic the transition state and there are a plethora of potent and specific drugs in clinical use as examples. A favourable combination of the necessary hydrogen bond, electrostatic and hydrophobic interactions can lead to inhibitors that bear little resemblance to the transition state. A picomolar inhibitor (methotrexate) of dihydrofolate reductase was observed using X-ray crystallography to actually bind upside down when compared to the substrate, even though very similar interactions were made, demonstrating that Nature can be unpredictable. Indeed an array of glycosidase inhibitors have been identified which not only lack features of the transition state, but any resemblance of a carbohydrate moiety; these include inhibitory proteins, cyclopeptides, amines (which is why Tris buffer is often observed to inhibit glycosidases) and phenyl-imidazole compounds.

Although the potency of glycosidase inhibitors has been slow to improve over the past half century, it is perhaps only in the past decade or so that the detailed understanding of reaction mechanism and transition state structure, chemical synthetic methods to allow inhibitors incorporating different features to be synthesised and computer modelling to aid inhibitor design, in addition to techniques such as kinetics for measurements of LFERs and ITC for determination of thermodynamic signatures, have developed sufficiently to realise their full potential in inhibitor design. Schramm and colleagues have elegantly demonstrated the power of combining techniques such as X-ray crystallography, computer modelling and kinetic isotope effects to deduce the structure of the transition state and design analogues, which has led to the production of picomolar or femtomolar inhibitors of enzymes with a number of medical applications.

Their studies have highlighted some of the subtleties of transition state mimicry, which, in conjunction with the enhanced methodologies should now be used to catalyse the advancement of potency for glycosidase inhibitors. Given the extremely tight binding of the transition state in glycoside hydrolysis, the design of inhibitors that mimic its structure must be one of the most efficient ways of achieving highly potent drugs in the quest for inhibiting glycosidases in the future.
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