Direct synthesis of phenol by novel [FeFe]-hydrogenase model complexes as catalysts of benzene hydroxylation with H$_2$O$_2$†
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Three new [FeFe]-hydrogenase model complexes, μ-(SCH$_2$CH$_2$)CH$_2$S$\cdots$Fe$_2$(CO)$_6$ (complex 1), μ-(SCH$_2$CH$_2$)CH$_2$S$\cdots$Fe$_2$(CO)$_5$PCy$_3$ complex 2) and μ-(SCH$_2$CH$_2$)CH$_2$S$\cdots$Fe$_2$(CO)$_5$PPh$_3$ (complex 3) were prepared. The structures of complexes 1–3 were characterized by FT-IR, UV-vis, $^1$H, $^{13}$C, $^{31}$P NMR spectra and single-crystal analyses. The electron density of these model complexes was studied by IR spectra, UV spectra and electrochemical analysis and evaluated against their respective catalytic performances. The CV (cyclic voltammetry) study of complex 2 showed a less positive oxidation event at 0.6 V and a more negative reduction event at $-1.94$ V, which is in accordance with the enlargement of electron density at diiron centers when CO were substituted by better electron donor ligands. Of all these three complexes, complex 2 exhibited the best catalytic activity, with a yield of phenol of up to 24.6% and selectivity up to 92%, which is consistent with its higher electron density of the Fe–Fe bond.

This study revealed the correlations between the electron density of the catalytic site of catalysts and their performance in catalytic hydroxylation of benzene. Based on these experimental results, a catalytic oxidation mechanism via an Fe$^{3+}$–μ-O–Fe$^{3+}$ intermediate as oxygen transfer reagent has been proposed.

Introduction

Being an important industrial feedstock used in production of fibres, resins, antioxidants, plastics, agrochemicals and medicines, phenol is in high demand. The current method for industrial scale production of phenol is mostly based on the cumene process, which produces a large amount of acetone as the by-product. Since the market demand for phenol is much higher than for acetone, a method of direct oxidation of benzene to phenol is expected to bring better economic benefits. The direct catalytic hydroxylation of benzene to phenol under mild conditions has attracted great interest and has been extensively investigated due to the reduced expense of the base materials. Some new catalysts have already been tried in this reaction, like iron complexes, TS-1 and Py$_4$PMo$_11$V.$^{2,4}$ However, the yield of phenol by the direct hydroxylation of benzene has been found to be lower than produced through the traditional method. The hydroxylation process can not avoid the excessive oxidation and subsequent formation of by-products derived from phenol thereby leading to an overall decline in desirable yield.$^9$ Therefore, the seeking for novel catalysts with high selectivity and efficiency for the direct catalytic hydroxylation of benzene to phenols under mild circumstances has been an ongoing process and a challenge.$^{10,11}$

Among diversified catalysts, iron-based catalysts attracted great research interest for many years due to the convenience, low cost, environmental amity and low toxicity of iron. As reported in the previous studies,$^{12-14}$ iron-based catalysts usually have high selectivity and efficiency in a series of chemically challenging oxidative processes. Especially, iron-based biomimetic catalysts with low barriers to suitable redox states for selective oxidations, have been studied. These catalysts mimic the active site of natural oxygenase enzymes and serve as high-performance catalysts for selective hydrocarbon oxidation.$^{11,15-17}$

[FeFe]-hydrogenases are also iron-based bio-catalysts which are much more efficient in the evolution and oxidation of H$_2$ under mild conditions.$^{17-24}$ However, oxygenation is found to occur at the dithiolato-sulfur or at the Fe–Fe bond site of the [FeFe]-hydrogenase active site model complexes.$^{25,26}$ This oxygenation may lead to S-oxygenated products which having relatively stable O atom. The other route is the formation of Fe-based oxidative addition products of Fe$^{3+}$–μ-O–Fe$^{3+}$. Although this species are demonstrated thermodynamically favourable,
they are not isolated to date. According to the reaction kinetics research on [FeFe]-hydrogenase active site model complexes, the diiron Fe–Fe subsite is thermodynamically favored to be oxidized to the active Fe²⁺–μ–O–Fe²⁺ species. The iron-based highly active Fe²⁺–μ–O–Fe²⁺ species as the crucial intermediates during oxygenation could be used for transfer of oxygen in the biomimetic hydrocarbon hydroxylation. This is due to the fact that the [FeFe]-hydrogenases model complexes have an active site that remains unconstrained towards a suitable redox state. This also implies high activity towards the oxygenation reactions. Our group’s previous research showed the Fe–Fe bond based oxygenated μ-O complex has the ability of transferring oxygen atom to the aromatic substrates. Supporting DFT calculations and the experimental catalytic activity towards hydroxylation tend to confirm the mechanism. Although the selectivity for phenol is high, the yield is not ideal yet. Chen’s group showed the benzene conversions by the catalytic system can be improved by adding PPh₃ analogues rather than using the catalysts alone. Thus, it is essential to prepare some new [FeFe]-hydrogenase model compound with a PPh₃ analogue ligand aimed at enhancing the yield of catalytic hydroxylation of benzene.

Herein, a new dinuclear [2Fe2S] mimic complex μ-(SCH(CH₂CH₃)CH₂S)–Fe₂(CO)₆ (1) was obtained by reaction of Fe(CO)₅ with excess 1,2-butanedithiol. For studying the impact of the ligand at the active site of [FeFe]-hydrogenase model compound, the complexes namely μ-(SCH(CH₂CH₃)CH₂S)–Fe₂(CO)₆L (2: L = PCy₃, tricyclohexyl phosphine; 3: L = PPh₃, triphenyl phosphine) were obtained by treating Fe(CO)₅ with PCy₃ and PPh₃ respectively, along with Me₃NO in THF at ambient temperature with good yields through successive stirring. The ¹H NMR spectra of complexes 1–3 consist of the expected signals for the 1,2-butanedithiol bridges and the phosphine ligands. In the ³¹C NMR spectrum, complexes 1–3 show peaks at δ = 208.82, 210.86 and 210.82 ppm, respectively. These peaks can be safely assigned to the Fe–CO carbon atom. The ³¹P NMR spectrum of 2 and 3 was display a singlet at δ = 70.89 and 63.95 ppm, respectively. The observations from the elemental analysis in all products agree well with the assumed compositions.

The IR spectra for the compounds 1–3 obtained in the hexane solution show distinct peaks between 2100 and 1900 cm⁻¹, characteristic of stretching vibrational modes of terminal metal carbonyls. As a result of the electron-donating phosphine ligands, the IR spectra of complexes 2–3 are shifted by νₐ = 30 cm⁻¹ and νₐ = 27 cm⁻¹ towards lower frequency compared to the all-carbonyl compound 1 (Fig. 1). The application of ν(CO) bands is regarded to be a great tool for identifying the alteration of electron density on Fe atoms in the model compounds. The CO stretches of the mononuclear complexes 2–3 are lower in wavenumber in comparison to the all-carbonyl compound 1 which is indicative of the strong donating reactivity of the catalysts could be improved by enhancing the electron density of active sites in the catalysts.

## Results and discussion

### Synthesis and spectroscopic characterization of complexes 1–3

The reaction of Fe(CO)₅ with 1,2-butanedithiol in refluxing toluene, yielded the anticipated μ-(SCH(CH₂CH₃)CH₂S)–Fe₂(CO)₆ (1). Mono-substituted complexes μ-(SCH(CH₂CH₃)CH₂S)–Fe₂(CO)₆L (2: L = PCy₃, tricyclohexyl phosphine; 3: L = PPh₃, triphenyl phosphine) were obtained by treating 1 with PCy₃ and PPh₃ respectively, along with Me₃NO in THF at ambient temperature with good yields through successive stirring. The ¹H NMR spectra of complexes 1–3 consist of the expected signals for the 1,2-butanedithiol bridges and the phosphine ligands. In the ³¹C NMR spectrum, complexes 1–3 show peaks at δ = 208.82, 210.86 and 210.82 ppm, respectively. These peaks can be safely assigned to the Fe–CO carbon atom. The ³¹P NMR spectrum of 2 and 3 was display a singlet at δ = 70.89 and 63.95 ppm, respectively. The observations from the elemental analysis in all products agree well with the assumed compositions.

The IR spectra for the compounds 1–3 obtained in the hexane solution show distinct peaks between 2100 and 1900 cm⁻¹, characteristic of stretching vibrational modes of terminal metal carbonyls. As a result of the electron-donating phosphine ligands, the IR spectra of complexes 2–3 are shifted by νₐ = 30 cm⁻¹ and νₐ = 27 cm⁻¹ towards lower frequency compared to the all-carbonyl compound 1 (Fig. 1). The application of ν(CO) bands is regarded to be a great tool for identifying the alteration of electron density on Fe atoms in the model compounds. The CO stretches of the mononuclear complexes 2–3 are lower in wavenumber in comparison to the all-carbonyl compound 1 which is indicative of the strong donating
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**Scheme 1** Synthetic route of complexes 1–3.
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**Fig. 1** IR spectra of complexes 1–3 in hexane solution (CO region).
character of PCy3 and PPh3 group. The red-shift value order for the ν(CO) bands of 2–3 is in accordance with electron-donation capabilities of diverse phosphine ligands, PCy3 ligand reveals its strong electron-donation character compared to the PPh3 ligand. These showing a distinct ranking of 2 > 3 > 1 in electron density on active sites.

To further clarify the electronic effect of the terminal ligands (L) on the activity of the complexes, UV-vis spectra of the compounds 1–3 were recorded in dichloromethane. As shown in the Fig. 2, prominent absorption peaks of complexes 1–3 are observed at 326 nm, 360 nm and 354 nm, respectively. These peaks in the visible region can be attributed to π–π* transition. The electronic transitions of compound 2 (360 nm) and 3 (354 nm) were found to be red-shifted compared to compound 1 (326 nm). These indicated more electron-donation ligand will improve the electron densities at the diiron core. The electronic transition signal compound 2 is about 6 nm red-shifted compared to compound 3, which indicated the PCy3 ligand has the strong electron-donation than the PPh3 ligand. These are in accordance with the result derived from the IR spectra analysis and showed a distinct ranking of 2 > 3 > 1.

Molecular structures of complexes 1–3

X-ray quality single crystals of complexes 1–3 were obtained by slow evaporation of the respective hexane–dichloromethane solutions at low temperature under normal atmospheric pressure. The structures of the compounds 1–3 are illustrated in Fig. 3. As the coordination locations for phosphine ligands on the diiron compounds are not identifiable only via the spectroscopic data, the X-ray diffraction study was conducted for 1–3. The [Fe2S2] skeleton of compounds 1–3 have the famous butterfly structure where every iron center takes on the twisted square-pyramidal coordination shape. Some of the important bond lengths and angles are displayed in Table S1,† while crystallographic data are shown in Table S2.† The Fe–Fe distances (2.5090(4) Å in 2, and 2.5126(11) Å in 3) were found to be slightly longer compared to the Fe–Fe distances in complex 1 (2.5054 Å in 1). The PCy3 or PPh3 substituent group has a minor impact on the Fe–Fe distances. PCy3 or PPh3 ligand was located in the apical site of Fe atom and was placed trans to the Fe–Fe bond. The Fe–P bond distances of complex 2 (2.2734(5) Å) are longer than that of complex 3 (2.2447(15) Å), showing the larger steric effects on the coordination geometry following the order PCy3 > PPh3. X-ray crystallographic analysis for complexes 2 and 3 implied that the replacement of one CO by tertiary phosphine ligands on the μ-Σ(CH3CH2CH2S)–Fe2(CO)6 offers solely an apical isomer as illustrated in Fig. 3. The displacement of CO by the tertiary phosphine ligands (PCy3 or PPh3), which are better electron-donating, increases the electron density on the iron centers of a diiron dithiolate model compound, thereby further strengthening the strong back-bonding from the Fe atoms to

Fig. 2 UV-visible spectra of 1 (black), 2 (red) and 3 (blue) recorded in CH2Cl2.

Fig. 3 Crystal structures of the complexes (ellipsoids are drawn at the 50% probability level).
the CO ligands and simultaneous weakening of CO triple bonds.\textsuperscript{11,40,41}

**Electrochemistry**

The electrochemical properties of complexes 1–3 were investigated via cyclic voltammogram (Fig. 4). CV data are shown in Table 1. The cyclic voltammogram of complex 1 displays an irreversible oxidation process at $E_{\text{pa}} = 0.74$ V and a quasi-reversible process at $E_{\text{pc}} = -1.78$ V. The first oxidation process is ascribed to the process from FeIFeI to FeII-FeI, and the initial process is able to be allocated to the FeI-FeI/FeI-Fe0 reduction.\textsuperscript{11} The CV of the PCy3-substituted complex 2 and PPh3-substituted complex 3 in CH3CN solution display one irreversible reduction process (FeII-FeI/FeI-Fe0) at $E_{\text{pc}} = -1.94$ V and $-1.84$ V, and a quasi-reversible oxidation process at $E_{\text{pa}} = 0.6$ V and 0.75 V, respectively. Compared with the first reduction and oxidation event of complex 1, the PCy3-substituted complex 2 and PPh3-substituted complex 3, reveal cathodic shifts in the reduction potential, all in accordance with the enlargement of electron density in diiron centers when CO were substituted by better electron donating ligands.\textsuperscript{11,12}

**Hydroxylation reactions of aromatic compounds**

Although many iron-based catalysts have been studied, but the [FeFe]-hydrogenase model complexes have rarely been used for the direct production of phenol from benzene.\textsuperscript{11} In the present work, the [FeFe]-hydrogenase model compounds 1–3 were used as catalysts in the single-step hydroxylation from benzene into phenol with oxidant. We choose O2, H2O2, PhIO, m-CPBA as oxidants in the single-step hydroxylation. Among the oxidants, H2O2 has a higher phenol yield than m-CPBA and O2, PhIO were not have the ability to catalyze the single-step hydroxylation from benzene into phenol with these complexes under the given experimental conditions, as summarized in Table S3.\textsuperscript{†} Thus, we used H2O2 as the oxidant in the single-step hydroxylation from benzene into phenol with [FeFe]-hydrogenase model compounds. The catalytic performance of complex 1 was assessed by following the procedures well established in literature\textsuperscript{14–18} in acetonitrile using H2O2 as oxidant. Some parameters which can potentially impact the catalytic properties, like the amount of H2O2, amount of catalyst, the reaction time, as well as the temperature, were also examined.

The phenol yield and selectivity is significantly affected by the amount of H2O2 (Fig. 5). The yield increased with increase in amount of H2O2 from 0–5.0 mmol. The maximum yield of phenol was 10.5% when the amount of H2O2 was 5.0 mmol. But with the further raise in the amount of H2O2, the yield and selectivity of phenol has an obvious decreased, because too much H2O2 may be resulted in excessive oxidation of phenol. Therefore, the best amount of H2O2 applicable for this reaction is 5.0 mmol.
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Fig. 4 Cyclic voltammograms of the diiron complexes 1–3 (1.0 mM) in CH3CN solution (0.1 M n-Bu4NPF6; scan rate 200 mV s\textsuperscript{-1}).

### Table 1 Redox potentials of the complexes

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Complex</th>
<th>$E_{\text{pc}}$ (V) vs. Fc/Fc\textsuperscript{+}</th>
<th>$E_{\text{pa}}$ (V) vs. Fc/Fc\textsuperscript{+}</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>$-1.78$</td>
<td>0.74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>$-1.94$</td>
<td>0.60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>$-1.84$</td>
<td>0.75</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The decrease in yield and selectivity of phenol could be noticed, the probable reason being the excessive oxidation of phenol high temperatures, leading to by-products. Summing up from the tests the best appropriate reaction temperature was 60°C.

The effect of amount of catalyst in the one-step hydroxylation of benzene into phenol was tested and the results shown in the Fig. 7. The phenol yield increased from 7.2% to 10.5% when the catalyst amount was changed from 10 μmol to 30 μmol. And the selectivity of phenol was no significant change when the catalyst amount was changed from 10 μmol to 30 μmol. Moreover, with the increased of catalyst amount to 40 μmol caused an obvious decrease in yield and selectivity of phenol which may be attributed to the oxidation on all possible sites of benzene or excessive oxidation of phenol caused by the excessive catalyst. So, the best amount of catalyst is 30 μmol.

The effect of reaction time on the yield and selectivity of phenol was also studied and the findings are shown in Fig. 8. The yield went to a maximum (12.6%) after 3 h. Declining selectivity due to phenol overoxidation was also discovered with extension in the reaction time. Under the optimized circumstances (1, 30 μmol; 60 °C; benzene, 1.12 mmol; CH3CN, 2.0 mL; H2O2, 5.0 mmol; reaction time, 3 h), the selectivity and yield of one-step conversion of benzene to phenol with catalyst complex 1 is 12.6% and 83%, respectively.

According to previous results, the catalytic hydroxylation activity of such diiron complexes is based on their special structural and electronic properties, which was noticed firstly in some precious fundamental studies of the oxygen sensitivity of the [FeFe]-hydrogenase active site models, which was carried out by Darensbourg’ group. They found the iron based oxidized species was thermodynamically favored in the diiron model complexes, which was further proven by the experimental and theoretical studies. Just based on this idea, we designed the catalytic system of these diiron complexes as an
hydroxylation reaction in CH$_3$CN. This indicates the preservation of complexes.

As shown in Fig. 10, the CO-stretching band positions of complexes 1–3 didn’t change, only the intensities of IR spectrum of complexes 1–3 has slightly changes before and after the hydroxylation reaction in CH$_3$CN. This indicates the preservation of molecular structure of complexes 1–3 in the hydroxylation reaction in CH$_3$CN. Further research on the catalysts stability of complexes 1–3 is concluded by the TG analysis.

In order to investigate the catalysts stability of complexes 1–3, further investigations were carried out through solution IR spectrum before and after the hydroxylation reaction in CH$_3$CN. As shown in Fig. 10, the CO-stretching band positions of complexes 1–3 didn’t change, only the intensities of IR spectrum of complexes 1–3 has slightly changes before and after the hydroxylation reaction in CH$_3$CN. This indicates the preservation of molecular structure of complexes 1–3 in the hydroxylation reaction in CH$_3$CN. Further research on the catalysts stability of complexes 1–3 is concluded by the TG analysis.

The TG analysis patterns of complexes 1–3 are shown in Fig. 11, these showed great heat resistance of complexes 1–3.

### Table 2: Effects of various catalysts for the direct hydroxylation of benzene to phenol with H$_2$O$_2$

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Catalysts</th>
<th>Yield (%)</th>
<th>Selectivity (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>12.6</td>
<td>85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>24.6</td>
<td>92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>18.2</td>
<td>87</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$^a$ Catalysts, 30 μmol; 60 °C; benzene, 1.12 mmol; CH$_3$CN, 2.0 mL; oxidants, 5.0 mmol; reaction time, 3 h. $^b$ Selectivity: yield of phenol/benzene conversion.

According to the inference of the experimental results and based on previously published theoretical results, a probable mechanism has been proposed for hydroxylation of benzene to phenol. The [FeFe]-hydrogenase model complex has the ability to catalyze the hydroxylation of different substrates to phenol, which revealed that hydroxylation reaction involves an electrophilic addition process and the proposed reaction mechanism for the hydroxylation of benzene to phenol is shown in Fig. 9. In the process of hydroxylation, the nucleophilic diiron center of [Fe$^+$Fe$^-$] complex tended to react with the oxidant of H$_2$O$_2$ due to the high electron density of the diiron center.

In order to investigate the catalysts stability of complexes 1–3, further investigations were carried out through solution IR spectrum before and after the hydroxylation reaction in CH$_3$CN. As shown in Fig. 10, the CO-stretching band positions of complexes 1–3 didn’t change, only the intensities of IR spectrum of complexes 1–3 has slightly changes before and after the hydroxylation reaction in CH$_3$CN. This indicates the preservation of molecular structure of complexes 1–3 in the hydroxylation reaction in CH$_3$CN. Further research on the catalysts stability of complexes 1–3 is concluded by the TG analysis.

The TG analysis patterns of complexes 1–3 are shown in Fig. 11, these showed great heat resistance of complexes 1–3.

Fig. 9 Proposed reaction mechanism for the hydroxylation of benzene to phenol.

These observations can probably be attributed to the different activities of 1, 2 and 3 correlations with the diverse Fe–Fe electron densities. The Fe–Fe bond of the complex 2 or complex 3 with the electron-donating PCy$_3$ ligand or PPh$_3$ ligand could be oxidized more easily by H$_2$O$_2$ to form the iron–oxygen reactive species, whereas the less electron-donating complexes were found to be less reactive with this oxidant. These proved the electron density of the Fe–Fe bond of the complexes would affect the catalytic performances.

Undeniably, the Fe–Fe bond of the complexes would affect the catalytic performances.

### Table 2: Effects of various catalysts for the direct hydroxylation of benzene to phenol with H$_2$O$_2$

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Catalysts</th>
<th>Yield (%)</th>
<th>Selectivity (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>12.6</td>
<td>85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>24.6</td>
<td>92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>18.2</td>
<td>87</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$^a$ Catalysts, 30 μmol; 60 °C; benzene, 1.12 mmol; CH$_3$CN, 2.0 mL; oxidants, 5.0 mmol; reaction time, 3 h. $^b$ Selectivity: yield of phenol/benzene conversion.

Under the optimized reaction conditions, performances of complexes 1–3 as catalysts were investigated and the results are summarized in Table 2, their active properties decline in the ranking of 2 > 3 > 1 under identical conditions. The complexes 1–3 showed better phenol yield and comparable selectivity to that we reported recently, namely [[μ-dmedt]-{Fe(CO)$_3$}]$_2$ 

### Table 2: Effects of various catalysts for the direct hydroxylation of benzene to phenol with H$_2$O$_2$

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Catalysts</th>
<th>Yield (%)</th>
<th>Selectivity (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>12.6</td>
<td>85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>24.6</td>
<td>92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>18.2</td>
<td>87</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$^a$ Catalysts, 30 μmol; 60 °C; benzene, 1.12 mmol; CH$_3$CN, 2.0 mL; oxidants, 5.0 mmol; reaction time, 3 h. $^b$ Selectivity: yield of phenol/benzene conversion.

Actually, the Fe–(μ-O)–Fe species as the iron–oxygen reactive species in the catalytic oxidation was also studied by Can Li’ group. They identified the Fe–(μ-O)–Fe sites in Fe/ZSM-35 by in situ resonance Raman spectroscopy. Fe–(μ-O)–Fe species worked as an oxygen transfer species.
300 °C. From the results it can be concluded that the structure of the catalyst in the hydroxylation reaction has not changed.

Conclusions

In this work, we successfully prepared three dinuclear [2Fe–2S] bio-mimetic complexes μ-(SCH(CH₂CH₃)CH₂S)–Fe₂(CO)₆ (1), μ-(SCH(CH₂CH₃)CH₂S)–Fe₂(CO)₆PCy₃ (2) and μ-(SCH(CH₂CH₃)CH₂S)–Fe₂(CO)₆PPh₃ (3). The molecular structures of 1, 2 and 3 were well characterized by IR, ¹H, ¹³C, ³¹P NMR, UV-vis spectra and single crystal X-ray analysis, respectively. These complexes were tested as catalysts in the study of the one-step oxidation of benzene by H₂O₂ to phenol. The study firstly revealed the correlations between the electron densities of the catalytically active sites of the complexes and the catalytic performance. On comparing the catalytic performance of complexes, the complex 2 was found to be leading to highest phenol yield (24.6%) and phenol selectivity (92%), which has the highest electron densities of the Fe–Fe bond. This study demonstrates the catalytic abilities of [FeFe]-hydrogenase model complexes. This is a new research direction for the design and development of new [FeFe]-hydrogenase model complexes applied on the one-step oxidation of benzene by H₂O₂ to phenol which has the excellent phenol selectivity.

Experimental section

Materials

Reactions and measurements related to [FeFe]-hydrogenase model compounds were performed in an inert (N₂) atmosphere with Schlenk line technology. THF, hexane, CH₂Cl₂, toluene and acetonitrile were purchased from Jiangtian Chemical and dried and distilled by standard methods. THF, hexane, CH₂Cl₂, toluene, and acetonitrile were deoxygenated before every use. The Fe(CO)₅ was acquired from Jiangsu Tianyi Ultra-fine Metal Powder Co., Ltd. H₂O₂ (30%) and Me₃NO (tri-methylamine oxide) were directly applied as auxiliary electrolyte that was degassed with dry N₂ for 10 min prior to examination. Ferrocene was applied as an internal criterion in the identical examining situation and each potential was referred to the Cp₂Fe⁺/0 couple at 0 V.

Hydroxylation of benzene with H₂O₂ was conducted in a 25 mL flask that is equipped by a condenser as well as a magnetic agitator. In a characteristic reaction, the composite 1 (4 mg, 0.01 mmol) was mixed in CH₃CN (2.0 mL). After heating to the required temperature, benzene (0.1 mL, 1.12 mmol) was added into the blend. A given mass of H₂O₂ was added at last for beginning the reaction, and in the meanwhile the blend was agitated for a couple of hours. The research was conducted in atmospheric pressure. Examinations of GC (9890B) equipped by a FID sensor as well as a capillary pillar (OV-1701; 30 m × 0.25 mm × 0.25 μm) were conducted to investigate the product composition. TPD analysis was performed, from 70 °C to 180 °C by a variation of 10 °C, and then from 180 °C to 280 °C by a variation of 30 °C.

Synthesis of μ-(SCH(CH₂CH₃)CH₂S)–Fe₂(CO)₆ (1). The complex 1 was prepared according to the published procedures with a modified procedure.¹¹,¹² 1,2-Butanediolthiol (1.39 g, 11.4 mmol) was added to the solution of 1,2-butanediolthiol (1.39 g,
11.4 mmol) in 30 mL toluene. The mixture was stirred at reflux for 72 h under an atmosphere of nitrogen. The solvent was evaporated under 110 °C. Then the residue was purified by a silica gel column and eluted with hexane. Single crystals of product 1 were obtained by slow diffusion of hexane into CH2Cl2 solution at –20 °C. Yield: 1.1 g (24%). C11H12O3S2Fe (anal. calc'd): C 30.03, H 2.02, O 24.00; found: C 29.98, H 2.01, O 23.89. IR (hexane, cm⁻¹): v(CO) 2076(s), 2035(m), 2006(s), 1992(m), 1983(m). ¹³C NMR (CD2Cl2): δ = 208.82, δdialkyl = 13.69, 30.18, 41.86, 56.44. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl3): δ = 1.10, 1.08, 1.06 (d, 3H, CH3), δ = 1.63, 1.61, 1.59, 1.58, 1.56, 1.54, 1.52, 1.51 (m, 2H, CH2), δ = 1.89, 1.88, 1.86, 1.85 (m, H, CH), δ = 2.80, 2.78, 2.77, 2.75, 2.67, 2.65, 2.64, 2.62, 2.60 (m, 2H, CH2).

**Synthesis of μ-(SCH(CH2CH3)CH2S)Fe2(CO)3PCy3 (2).** The complex μ-(SCH(CH2CH3)CH2S)Fe2(CO)3PCy3 (2) was prepared according to the published procedures with a modified procedure.¹⁰,¹¹ The mixing of complex 1 178.8 mg (0.44 mmol) and Me4NO 34.8 mg (0.46 mmol) in 5 mL THF was carried out at 0 °C for 30 min under N2, the color turned into black from red. Then PCy3 (30.8 mg, 0.11 mmol) was added in the THF solution. After the mixture was stirred for 1 h at room temperature under N2 and then the mixture solution was evaporated to dryness in vacuum. The residue was purified by a silica gel column and eluted with hexane. The second red band eluted with ethyl ether was collected. Anal. calcd (%) for Fe2(CO)5PCy3 (2): C 49.62, H 6.33, O 12.23; found(%): C 49.71, H 6.33, O 12.26; other data were consistent with the above. The product was characterized by NMR and IR.
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